UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

Larry Zhu, individually and on behalf all other employees similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

- against -

Baybridge Szechuan Inc. d/b/a Baybridge Szechuan Restaurant , Joseph Y. Chan

Defendants.

Case No.

COLLECTIVE ACTION
COMPLAINT AND JURY
TRIAL DEMAND

Plaintiff Larry Zhu ("Zhu") on his own behalf and on behalf of all others similarly situated, by and through their undersigned attorneys, Hang & Associates, PLLC, hereby files this complaint against the Defendants Baybridge Szechuan Inc. d/b/a Baybridge Szechuan Restaurant, Joseph Y. Chan ("Chan") (collectively "Defendants"), allege and show the Court the following:

INTRODUCTION

- 1. This is an action brought by Plaintiff on his own behalf and on behalf of similarly situated employees, alleging violations of the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 201 et seq. ("FLSA") and the New York Labor Law, arising from Defendants' various willful and unlawful employment policies, patterns and/or practices.
- 2. Upon information and belief, Defendants have willfully and intentionally committed widespread violations of the FLSA and NYLL by engaging in a pattern and practice of failing to pay their employees, including Plaintiff, compensation for all hours worked, minimum wage, and overtime compensation for all hours worked over forty (40) each workweek.

- 3. Plaintiff alleges pursuant to the FLSA, that he is entitled to recover from the Defendants: (1) unpaid wages and minimum wages, (2) unpaid overtime wages, (3) liquidated damages, (4) prejudgment and post-judgment interest; and (5) attorneys' fees and costs.
- 4. Plaintiff further alleges pursuant to New York Labor Law § 650 et seq. and 12 New York Codes, Rules and Regulations §§ 146 ("NYCRR") that he is entitled to recover from the Defendants: (1) unpaid wages and minimum wages, (2) unpaid overtime compensation, (3) unpaid "spread of hours" premium for each day they worked ten (10) or more hours, (4) compensation for failure to provide wage notice at the time of hiring and failure to provide paystubs in violation of the NYLL (5) liquidated damages equal to the sum of unpaid minimum wage, unpaid "spread of hours" premium, unpaid overtime pursuant to the NY Wage Theft Prevention Act; (6) prejudgment and post-judgment interest; and (7) attorney's fees and costs.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 5. This Court has original federal question jurisdiction over this controversy under 29 U.S.C. §216(b), 28 U.S.C. § 1331, and has supplemental jurisdiction over the New York Labor Law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a).
- 6. Venue is proper in the Eastern District of New York pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) and (c), because Defendants conduct business in this District, and the acts and omissions giving rise to the claims herein alleged took place in this District.

PLAINTIFF

7. Plaintiff Zhu is a resident of Queens and was employed as a waiter by Baybridge Szechuan Inc. d/b/a Baybridge Szechuan Restaurant located at 208-06 Cross Island Pkwy, Bayside, NY 11360 from July 4, 2013 to August 9, 2015.

DEFENDANTS

- 8. Upon information and belief, Defendant, Baybridge Szechuan Inc. d/b/a Baybridge Szechuan Restaurant owns and operates a restaurant in Bayside located at 208-06 Cross Island Pkwy, Bayside, NY 11360.
- 9. Upon information and belief, Defendant, Baybridge Szechuan Inc. d/b/a Baybridge Szechuan Restaurant had gross sales in excess of Five Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$500,000) per year. Upon information and belief, Baybridge Szechuan Inc. purchased and handled goods moved in interstate commerce.
- 10. Upon information and belief, Defendant Chan is the owner, officer, director and/or managing agent of Baybridge Szechuan Inc. at 208-06 Cross Island Pkwy, Bayside, NY 11360, and participated in the day-to-day operations of Baybridge Szechuan Restaurant and acted intentionally and maliciously and is an employer pursuant to FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §203d, and regulations promulgated thereunder, 29 C.F.R. §791.2, NYLL §2 and the regulations thereunder, and is jointly and severally liable with Baybridge Szechuan Inc. (See Exhibit 2).
- 11. Upon information and belief, Defendant Chan owns the stock of Baybridge Szechuan Inc. and manages and makes all business decisions including but not limited to the amount in salary the employee will receive and the number of hours employees will work.
- 12. At all times relevant herein, Baybridge Szechuan Inc. was, and continues to be, an "enterprise engaged in commerce" within the meaning of FLSA.
- 13. At all relevant times, the work performed by Plaintiff was directly essential to the business operated by Baybridge Szechuan Inc..

- 14. At all relevant times, Defendants knowingly and willfully failed to pay Plaintiff his lawfully earned minimum wages, overtime compensation and spread-of-hour premiums, and failed to provide him a wage notice at the time of hiring in violation of the NYLL.
- 15. Plaintiff has fulfilled all conditions precedent to the institution of this action and/ or conditions have been waived.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

- 16. Defendants committed the following alleged acts knowingly, intentionally and willfully.
- 17. Defendants knew that the nonpayment of minimum wage, overtime pay, spread of hours pay, and failure to provide the required wage notice at the time of hiring would financially injure Plaintiff and similarly situated employees and violate state and federal laws.
- 18. From July 4, 2013 to August 9, 2015, Plaintiff worked as a waiter for Defendants' restaurant located at 208-06 Cross Island Pkwy, Bayside, NY 11360.
- 19. Throughout his employment with the Defendants, Plaintiff worked either five days a week or six days a week depending on the size of the teams he worked with.
- 20. Throughout his employment with the Defendants, Plaintiff either worked in a team of four servers including himself ("Team Four"), or in a team of five servers including himself ("Team Five"). He worked six days a week when he work in Team Four, he worked half day on Monday from 4:00 pm to 9: 45 pm or 10:00 pm, he worked full day on Tuesday, Wednesday and Friday from 10: 45 am to 9: 15 pm on each day. He worked half day on Saturday from 4:00 pm to 9: 45 pm or 10:00 pm and worked full day on Sunday from 11: 30 am to 9: 15 pm. He worked five days a week when he work in Team Five with two days off on Monday and Thursday, he would work full day on Tuesday, Wednesday and Friday from 10: 45 am to 9: 15 pm on each day. He

worked half day on both Saturday and Sunday from 4:00 pm to 9: 45 pm respectively. Regardless of the number of days he worked each week, he had two meal breaks each work day with each break lasted only about 10 to 15 minutes. As a result, Plaintiff worked about fifty-two hours and forty-five minutes (52.45) when he worked six days a week, and he worked about forty-three (43) hours a week when he worked five days a week.

- 21. From July 4, 2013 to January 4, 2015 Plaintiff worked five days a week. From February 5, 2014 to October 6, 2014 he worked six (6) days a week. From October 7, 2014 to January 10, 2015 he worked five days a week. From February 20, 2015 to March 30, 2015 he worked six days. From March 31, 2015 to May 10, 2015, he worked five days a week. From May 11, 2015 to August 9, 2015 he worked six (6) days a week.
- 22. Although Plaintiff was employed as a waiter, he also performed side work such as pick Green Beans, wrap wantons, put dried noodles to the container and he regularly spent about two-hour performing those side works.
- 23. Throughout his employment, Plaintiff was paid by fixed weekly rate regardless of the actual hours he worked, he was paid \$150 a week for a five-day workweek and \$170 a week for a six-day workweek. Plaintiff was paid in cash.
- 24. Defendants did not compensate Plaintiff for minimum wage or overtime compensation according to state and federal laws.
- 25. Plaintiff was not compensated for New York's "spread of hours" premium for shifts that lasted longer than ten (10) hours, one day each week.
 - 26. Defendants did not provide Plaintiff with a wage notices at the time of their hiring.
- 27. The applicable minimum wage for the period of July 4, 2013 through December 30, 2013 was \$7.25 per hour.

- 28. The applicable minimum wage for the period of December 31, 2013 to December 31, 2014 is \$8.00 per hour.
- 29. The applicable minimum wage for the period of January 2015 to August 2015 is \$8.75 per hour.
- 30. Plaintiff was required to punch cards but he was not paid by the hour and was not properly paid for all the actual hours he worked.
- 31. Defendants committed the following alleged acts knowingly, intentionally and willfully.
- 32. Defendants knew that the nonpayment of overtime and the "spread of hours" premium would economically injure Plaintiff and the Class Members by their violation of federal and state laws.
- 33. While employed by Defendants, Plaintiff was not exempt under federal and state laws requiring employers to pay employees overtime.
- 34. Plaintiff and the New York Class Members' workdays frequently lasted longer than 10 hours.
- 35. Defendants did not pay Plaintiff and other Class members' New York's "spread of hours" premium for every day in which they worked over 10 hours.
 - 36. Defendants failed to keep full and accurate records of Plaintiff's hours and wages.
- 37. Defendants did not provide Plaintiff and other Class members with written notices about the terms and conditions of their employment upon hire in relation to their rate of pay, regular pay cycle and rate of overtime pay. These notices were similarly not provided upon Plaintiff and other Class members' pay increase(s).

38. Defendants committed the foregoing acts against the Plaintiff, the FLSA Collective Plaintiff, and the Class.

COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS

- 39. Defendants knowingly and willfully operated their business with a policy of not paying either the FLSA minimum wage or the New York State minimum wage to Plaintiff or other similarly situated employees.
- 40. Defendants knowingly and willfully operated their business with a policy of not paying Plaintiff and other similarly situated employees either the FLSA overtime rate (of time and one-half), or the New York State overtime rate (of time and one-half), in violation of the FLSA and New York Labor Law and the supporting federal and New York State Department of Labor Regulations.
- 41. Defendants knowingly and willfully operated their business with a policy of not paying the New York State "spread of hours" premium to Plaintiff and other similarly situated employees.
- 42. Plaintiff brings this action individually and on behalf of all other and former non-exempt employees who have been or were employed by the Defendants at each of their three restaurant locations for up to the last three (3) years, through entry of judgment in this case (the "Collective Action Period") and whom failed to receive minimum wages, spread-of-hours pay, overtime compensation for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week (the "Collective Action Members"), and have been subject to the same common decision, policy, and plan to not provide required wage notices at the time of hiring, in contravention to federal and state labor laws.
- 43. Upon information and belief, the Collection Action Members are so numerous the joinder of all members is impracticable. The identity and precise number of such persons are

unknown, and the facts upon which the calculations of that number may be ascertained are presently within the sole control of the Defendants. Upon information and belief, there are more than fifteen (15) Collective Action members, who have worked for or have continued to work for the Defendants during the Collective Action Period, most of whom would not likely file individual suits because they fear retaliation, lack adequate financial resources, access to attorneys, or knowledge of their claims. Therefore, Plaintiff submits that this case should be certified as a collection action under the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §216(b).

- 44. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Collective Action Members, and have retained counsel that is experienced and competent in the field of employment law and class action litigation. Plaintiff has no interests that are contrary to or in conflict with those members of this collective action.
- 45. This action should be certified as collective action because the prosecution of separate action by individual members of the collective action would risk creating either inconsistent or varying adjudication with respect to individual members of this class that would as a practical matter be dispositive of the interest of the other members not party to the adjudication, or subsequently impair or impede their ability to protect their interests.
- 46. A collective action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy, since joinder of all members is impracticable. Furthermore, inasmuch as the damages suffered by individual Collective Action Members may be relatively small, the expense and burden of individual litigation makes it virtually impossible for the members of the collective action to individually seek redress for the wrongs done to them. There will be no difficulty in the management of this action as collective action.

- 47. Questions of law and fact common to members of the collective action predominate over questions that may affect only individual members because Defendants have acted on grounds generally applicable to all members. Among the questions of fact common to Plaintiff and other Collective Action Members are:
 - a. Whether the Defendants employed Collective Action members within the meaning of the FLSA;
 - b. Whether the Defendants failed to pay the Collective Action Members the minimum wage in violation of the FLSA and the regulations promulgated thereunder;
 - c. Whether the Defendants failed to pay the Collective Action Members overtime wages for all hours worked above forty (40) each workweek in violation of the FLSA and the regulation promulgated thereunder;
 - d. Whether the Defendants failed to pay the Collective Action Members spread of hours payment for each day an employee worked over 10 hours;
 - e. Whether the Defendants failed to provide the Collective Action Members with a wage notice at the time of hiring as required by the NYLL;
 - f. Whether the Defendants' violations of the FLSA are willful as that terms is used within the context of the FLSA; and,
 - g. Whether the Defendants are liable for all damages claimed hereunder, including but not limited to compensatory, punitive, and statutory damages, interest, costs and disbursements and attorneys' fees.
- 48. Plaintiff knows of no difficulty that will be encountered in the management of this litigation that would preclude its maintenance as a collective action.

49. Plaintiff and others similarly situated have been substantially damaged by Defendants' unlawful conduct.

STATEMENT OF CLAIM

COUNT I

[Violations of the Fair Labor Standards Act—Minimum Wage Brought on behalf of the Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective]

- 50. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 51. At all relevant times, upon information and belief, Defendants have been, and continue to be, "employers" engaged in interstate "commerce" and/or in the production of "goods" for "commerce," within the meaning of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §§206(a) and §§207(a). Further, Plaintiff is covered within the meaning of FLSA, U.S.C. §§206(a) and 207(a).
- 52. At all relevant times, Defendants employed "employees" including Plaintiff, within the meaning of FLSA.
- 53. Upon information and belief, at all relevant times, Defendants have had gross revenues in excess of \$500,000.
- 54. The FLSA provides that any employer engaged in commerce shall pay employees the applicable minimum wage. 29 U.S.C. § 206(a).
- 55. At all relevant times, Defendants had a policy and practice of refusing to pay the statutory minimum wage to Plaintiff, and the collective action members, for some or all of the hours they worked.
- 56. The FLSA provides that any employer who violates the provisions of 29 U.S.C. §206 shall be liable to the employees affected in the amount of their unpaid minimum compensation, and in an additional equal amount as liquidated damages.

57. Defendants knowingly and willfully disregarded the provisions of the FLSA as evidenced by failing to compensate Plaintiff and Collective Class Members at the statutory minimum wage when they knew or should have known such was due and that failing to do so would financially injure Plaintiff and Collective Action members.

COUNT II [Violation of New York Labor Law—Minimum Wage]

- 58. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 59. At all relevant times, plaintiff was employed by Defendants within the meaning of New York Labor Law §§2 and 651.
- 60. Pursuant to the New York Wage Theft Prevention Act, an employer who fails to pay the minimum wage shall be liable, in addition to the amount of any underpayments, for liquidated damages equal to the total of such under-payments found to be due the employee.
- 61. Defendants knowingly and willfully violated Plaintiffs' and Class Members' rights by failing to pay them minimum wages in the lawful amount for hours worked.

COUNT III

[Violations of the Fair Labor Standards Act—Overtime Wage Brought on behalf of the Plaintiffs and the FLSA Collective]

- 62. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 63. The FLSA provides that no employer engaged in commerce shall employ a covered employee for a work week longer than forty (40) hours unless such employee receives compensation for employment in excess of forty (40) hours at a rate not less than one and one-half

times the regular rate at which he or she is employed, or one and one-half times the minimum wage, whichever is greater. 29 USC §207(a).

- 64. The FLSA provides that any employer who violates the provisions of 29 U.S.C. §207 shall be liable to the employees affected in the amount of their unpaid overtime compensation, and in an additional equal amount as liquidated damages. 29 USC §216(b).
- 65. Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective their overtime pay violated the FLSA.
- 66. At all relevant times, Defendants had, and continue to have, a policy of practice of refusing to pay overtime compensation at the statutory rate of time and a half to Plaintiff and Collective Action Members for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per workweek, which violated and continues to violate the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §§201, et seq., including 29 U.S.C. §§207(a)(1) and 215(a).
- 67. The FLSA and supporting regulations required employers to notify employees of employment law requires employers to notify employment law requirements. 29 C.F.R. §516.4.
- 68. Defendants willfully failed to notify Plaintiff and FLSA Collective of the requirements of the employment laws in order to facilitate their exploitation of Plaintiff's and FLSA Collectives' labor.
- 69. Defendants knowingly and willfully disregarded the provisions of the FLSA as evidenced by their failure to compensate Plaintiff and Collective Class Members the statutory overtime rate of time and one half for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) per week when they knew or should have known such was due and that failing to do so would financially injure Plaintiff and Collective Action members.

COUNT IV [Violation of New York Labor Law—Overtime Pay]

- 70. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 71. Pursuant to the New York Wage Theft Prevention Act, an employer who fails to pay proper overtime compensation shall be liable, in addition to the amount of any underpayments, for liquidated damages equal to the total of such under-payments found to be due the employee.
- 72. Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiff and the Rule 23 Class their overtime pay violated the NYLL.
 - 73. Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiff and the Rule 23 Class was not in good faith.

COUNT V [Violation of New York Labor Law—Spread of Time Pay]

- 74. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 75. The NYLL requires employers to pay an extra hour's pay for every day that an employee works an interval in excess of ten hours pursuant to NYLL §§190, et seq., and §§650, et seq., and New York State Department of Labor regulations §146-1.6.
- 76. Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiff and Rule 23 Class spread-of-hours pay was not in good faith.

COUNT VI [Violation of New York Labor Law—Time of Hire Wage Notice Requirement]

- 77. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
 - 78. The NYLL and supporting regulations require employers to provide written notice

of the rate or rates of pay and the basis thereof, whether paid by the hour, shift, day, week, salary, piece, commission, or other; allowances, if any, claimed as a part of minimum wage, including tip, meal, or lodging allowances; the regular pay day designated by the employer; the name of the employer; any "doing business as" names used by the employer; the physical address of employer's main office or principal place of business, and a mailing address if different; the telephone number of the employer. NYLL §195-1(a).

- 79. Defendants intentionally failed to provide notice to employees in violation of New York Labor Law § 195, which requires all employers to provide written notice in the employee's primary language about the terms and conditions of employment related to rate of pay, regular pay cycle and rate of overtime on his or her first day of employment.
- 80. Defendants not only did not provide notice to each employee at Time of Hire, but failed to provide notice to each Plaintiff even after the fact.
- 81. Due to Defendants' violations of New York Labor Law, each Plaintiff is entitled to recover from Defendants, jointly and severally, \$50 for each workday that the violation occurred or continued to occur, up to \$5,000, together with costs and attorneys' fees pursuant to New York Labor Law. N.Y. Lab. Law §198(1-b).

COUNT VII [Violation of New York Labor Law—New York Pay Stub Requirement]

- 82. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 83. The NYLL and supporting regulations require employers to provide detailed paystub information to employees every payday. NYLL §195-1(d).
 - 84. Defendants have failed to make a good faith effort to comply with the New York

Labor Law with respect to compensation of each Plaintiff, and did not provide the paystub on or after Plaintiff's payday.

85. Due to Defendants' violations of New York Labor Law, Plaintiff is entitled to recover from Defendants, jointly and severally, \$250 for each workday of the violation, up to \$5,000 for each Plaintiff together with costs and attorneys' fees pursuant to New York Labor Law N.Y. Lab. Law §198(1-d).

Prayer For Relief

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and the FLSA collective plaintiffs, respectfully requests that this court enter a judgment providing the following relief:

- a) Authorizing plaintiff at the earliest possible time to give notice of this collective action, or that the court issue such notice, to all persons who are presently, or have been employed by defendants as non-exempt tipped or non-tipped employees. Such notice shall inform them that the civil notice has been filed, of the nature of the action, of their right to join this lawsuit if they believe they were denied proper hourly compensation and premium overtime wages;
- b) Certification of this case as a collective action pursuant to FLSA;
- c) Issuance of notice pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) to all similarly situated members of the FLSA opt-in class, apprising them of the pendency of this action, and permitting them to assert timely FLSA claims and state claims in this action by filing individual Consent to Sue forms pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), and appointing Plaintiffs and his counsel to represent the Collective Action Members;
- d) A declaratory judgment that the practices complained of herein are unlawful under FLSA and New York Labor Law;

- e) An injunction against Baybridge Szechuan Inc., its officers, agents, successors, employees, representatives and any and all persons acting in concert with them as provided by law, from engaging in each of unlawful practices and policies set forth herein;
- f) An award of unpaid wages and minimum wages due Plaintiff and the Collective Action members under the FLSA and New York Labor Law, plus compensatory and liquidated damages in the amount of twenty five percent under NYLL §§190 et seq., §§650 et seq., and one hundred percent after April 9, 2011 under NY Wage Theft Prevention Act, and interest;
- g) An award of unpaid overtime wages due under FLSA and New York Labor Law;
- h) An award of unpaid "spread of hours" premium due under the New York Labor Law;
- i) An award of damages for Defendants' failure to provide wage notice at the time of hiring as required under the New York Labor Law.
- j) An award of liquidated and/or punitive damages as a result of Defendants' knowing and willful failure to pay wages, minimum wages and overtime compensation pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216;
- k) An award of liquidated and/or punitive damages as a result of Defendants' willful failure to pay wages, minimum wages, overtime compensation, and "spread of hours" premium pursuant to New York Labor Law;
- l) An award of costs and expenses of this action together with reasonable attorneys' and expert fees pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216(b) and NYLL §§198 and 663;
- m) The cost and disbursements of this action;
- n) An award of prejudgment and post-judgment fees;
- o) Providing that if any amounts remain unpaid upon the expiration of ninety days

following the issuance of judgment, or ninety days after expiration of the time to appeal

and no appeal is then pending, whichever is later, the total amount of judgment shall

automatically increase by fifteen percent, as required by NYLL §198(4); and

p) Such other and further legal and equitable relief as this Court deems necessary, just,

and proper.

JURY TRIAL DEMAND

Pursuant to Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Plaintiff, on behalf of

himself and the Collective Action Members and members of the Class, demand a trial by jury on

all questions of fact raised by the complaint.

Dated: Flushing, New York November 11, 2016 HANG & ASSOCIATES, PLLC.

/S/ JIAN HANG

Jian Hang, Esq.

136-18 39th Ave., Suite 1003

Flushing, New York 11354

Tel: 718.353.8588

jhang@hanglaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff

CONSENT TO SUE UNDER FEDERAL FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT

I am an employee currently or formerly employed by Baybridge Szechuan Inc., Joseph Y. Chan and/or related entities. I consent to be a plaintiff in an action to collect unpaid wages. I agree that I am bound by the terms of the Contingent Fee Retainer signed by the named plaintiff in this case.

Signature

Date

EXHIBIT II

NOTICE OF INTENTION TO ENFORCE SHAREHOLDER LIABILITY FOR SERVICES RENDERED

TO: Joseph Y. Chan

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE, that pursuant to the provisions of Section 630 of the Business Corporation Law of New York, you are hereby notified that Larry Zhu, and others similarly situated intend to charge you and hold you personally liable, jointly and severally, as one of the ten largest shareholders of Baybridge Szechuan Inc. for all debts, wages, and/or salaries due and owing to them as laborers, servants and/or employees of the said corporations for services performed by them for the said corporations within the six (6) years preceding the date of this notice and have expressly authorized the undersigned, as their attorney, to make this demand on their behalf.

Dated: November 11, 2016

JS 44 (Rev. 1/2013) Case 1:16-cv-06290 Document 1 VEIR 11/11/16 Page 1 of 2 PageID #: 21

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			T THIS I'C	DEFENDANTS			
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) (c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED. Attorneys (If Known)			
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	ICTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)	III. CI	TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPA	L PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plai
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	☐ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)			(For Diversity Cases Only) P1 en of This State	rf de f 1 □ 1	Incorporated or Pri of Business In T	
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citiz	en of Another State	2 🗖 2	Incorporated and P of Business In A	
W. MARVIDE OF GUY	D			en or Subject of a reign Country	3 🗖 3	Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		orts	F	ORFEITURE/PENALTY	BAN	KRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment ∞ Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 360 Personal Injury Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 315 Airplane 310 Airplane 320 Other Civil Rights 331 Airplane 342 Commodations 3445 Amer. w/Disabilities - COther 3448 Education	PERSONAL INJUR 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITIO Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Oth 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	1	25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 20 Other LABOR 10 Fair Labor Standards Act 20 Labor/Management Relations 40 Railway Labor Act 51 Family and Medical Leave Act 20 Other Labor Litigation 20 Temployee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION 52 Naturalization Application 55 Other Immigration Actions	□ 422 Appe □ 423 With 28 U: PROPEF □ 820 Copy: □ 830 Paten □ 840 Trade SOCIAL □ 861 HIA (□ 862 Black □ 863 DIW(□ 864 SSID (□ 865 RSI (□ 870 Taxes or De □ 871 IRS— 26 U:	al 28 USC 158 drawal SC 157 RTY RIGHTS rights t t tmark SECURITY (1395ff) £ Lung (923) C/DIWW (405(g)) Title XVI 405(g)) SL TAX SUITS G (U.S. Plaintiff efendant)	□ 375 False Claims Act □ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced an
	moved from	Appellate Court			r District	6 Multidistri Litigation	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO			(2				
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2	IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv.P.	N D	EMAND \$		HECK YES only URY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint:
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKE	T NUMBER	
DATE		SIGNATURE OF AT	TORNEY (OF RECORD			
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY							
RECEIPT# AM	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JUI	DGE

Case 1:16-cv-06290 Document 1-1 Filed 11/11/16 Page 2 of 2 PageID #: 22

CERTIFICATION OF ARBITRATION ELIGIBILITY Local Arbitration Rule 83.10 provides that with certain exceptions, actions seeking money damages only in an amount not in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs, are eligible for compulsory arbitration. The amount of damages is presumed to be below the threshold amount unless a certification to the contrary is filed.
I,, counsel for, do hereby certify that the above captioned civil action is ineligible for compulsory arbitration for the following reason(s):
ineligible for compulsory arbitration for the following reason(s):
monetary damages sought are in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs,
the complaint seeks injunctive relief,
the matter is otherwise ineligible for the following reason
DISCLOSURE STATEMENT - FEDERAL RULES CIVIL PROCEDURE 7.1
Identify any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation that owns 10% or more or its stocks:
RELATED CASE STATEMENT (Section VIII on the Front of this Form)
Please list all cases that are arguably related pursuant to Division of Business Rule 50.3.1 in Section VIII on the front of this form. Rule 50.3.1 (a) provides that "A civil case is "related" to another civil case for purposes of this guideline when, because of the similarity of facts and legal issues or because the cases arise from the same transactions or events, a substantial saving of judicial resources is likely to result from assigning both cases to the same judge and magistrate judge." Rule 50.3.1 (b) provides that "A civil case shall not be deemed "related" to another civil case merely because the civil case: (A) involves identical legal issues, or (B) involves the same parties." Rule 50.3.1 (c) further provides that "Presumptively, and subject to the power of a judge to determine otherwise pursuant to paragraph (d), civil cases shall not be deemed to be "related" unless both cases are still pending before the court."
NY-E DIVISION OF BUSINESS RULE 50.1(d)(2)
1.) Is the civil action being filed in the Eastern District removed from a New York State Court located in Nassau or Suffolk County:
2.) If you answered "no" above:a) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in Nassau or Suffolk County?
b) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in the Eastern District?
If your answer to question 2 (b) is "No," does the defendant (or a majority of the defendants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County, or, in an interpleader action, does the claimant (or a majority of the claimants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County?
(Note: A corporation shall be considered a resident of the County in which it has the most significant contacts).
BAR ADMISSION
I am currently admitted in the Eastern District of New York and currently a member in good standing of the bar of this court. Yes No
Are you currently the subject of any disciplinary action (s) in this or any other state or federal court? Yes (If yes, please explain) No
I certify the accuracy of all information provided above.
Signature:

UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
for	the

	for the			
	District of			
Plaintiff(s) V. Defendant(s)))) (Civil Action No.)))))			
SUMMONS	IN A CIVIL ACTION			
To: (Defendant's name and address)				
A lawsuit has been filed against you.				
are the United States or a United States agency, or an or P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an	on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you afficer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of notion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney,			
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court. **CLERK OF COURT**				
Date:	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk			

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

	This summons for (na.	me of individual and title, if an	ıy)						
was rec	ceived by me on (date)		·						
	☐ I personally served	I the summons on the ind	ividual at (place)						
			on (date)	; or					
	☐ I left the summons	at the individual's reside	ence or usual place of abode with (name)						
	on (date), a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there, on (date), and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or								
	☐ I served the summer	ons on (name of individual)		, who is					
	designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of (name of organization)								
			on (date)	; or					
	☐ I returned the sum	; or							
	☐ Other (specify):								
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$						
	I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.								
Date:									
		_	Server's signature						
		_	Printed name and title						
		_	Server's address						

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: NY's Baybridge Szechuan Restaurant Knocked with FLSA Class Action