Case 3:16-cv-07154 Document 1 Filed 12/14/16 Page 1 of 17

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Lee A. Cirsch (SBN 227668) Lee.Cirsch@capstonelawyers.com Robert K. Friedl (SBN 134947) Robert.Friedl@capstonelawyers.com Trisha K. Monesi (SBN 303512) Trisha.Monesi@capstonelawyers.com Capstone Law APC 1875 Century Park East, Suite 1000 Los Angeles, California 90067 Telephone: (310) 556-4811 Facsimile: (310) 943-0396 Attorneys for Plaintiff Amy Vail	
9	LINUTED OT A THE	NO DISTRICT COLUDT
10		S DISTRICT COURT
11	NORTHERN DIST	RICT OF CALIFORNIA
12	AMSV VAIT in distillation and an halast	Com No.
	AMY VAIL, individually, and on behalf of a class of similarly situated individuals,	Case No.:
13	Plaintiff,	CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT FOR:
14	V.	 Negligence Breach of Express and Implied Contract Violation of Unfair Competition Law,
15 16	YAHOO! INC., a Delaware corporation,	(3) Violation of Unfair Competition Law, California Business & Professions Code § 17200 et seq.
17	Defendant.	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
18		DEMAND FOR JUNI TRIAL
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
- 1		

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

INTRODUCTION

- 1. Plaintiff Amy Vail ("Plaintiff") brings this action for herself and on behalf of all persons in the United States who maintained an account, at any time, from four years prior to the filing of this complaint, with Yahoo! Inc. ("Defendant" or "Yahoo") that was vulnerable or potentially vulnerable to cybersecurity breaches ("Yahoo Users").
- 2. Since 1995, Yahoo has been one of the world's leading technology companies, providing numerous internet-based services and products to consumers and businesses. Throughout the course of its business, Yahoo has collected and maintained an extensive amount of its users' personal information including, without limitation, the users' names, email addresses, telephone numbers, birth dates, passwords, security questions, and security answers required to create a Yahoo account. However, Yahoo failed, and continues to fail, to provide adequate protection of its users' personal and confidential information and has failed to provide sufficient and timely notice or warning of potential and actual cybersecurity breaches to its users.
- 3. In an ongoing investigation, Yahoo has recently revealed that its users' personal information has been subject to two of the largest data security breaches ever disclosed, **affecting over one billion Yahoo user accounts**. According to Yahoo, in July 2016, a state-sponsored actor "claimed to have obtained certain Yahoo user data" but Yahoo was unable to substantiate the hacker's claims and took no further action including either enhancing its security measures or notifying its users of the potential data breach. Yahoo knew about and continued to conceal the security breach from its users until approximately 3 months later. Specifically, on September 22, 2016, Yahoo issued the following statement:

A recent investigation by Yahoo has confirmed that a copy of certain user account information was stolen from the company's network in late 2014 by what it believes is a state-sponsored actor. The account information may have included names, email addresses, telephone numbers, dates of birth, hashed passwords (the vast majority with bcrypt) and, in some cases, encrypted or unencrypted security questions and answers...Based on the ongoing investigation, Yahoo believes that information associated with at least 500 million user account was stolen [...]¹ ("Security Incident I").

 $^{^1}$ Bob Lord, CISO, An Important Message About Yahoo User Security, $\underline{\text{Yahoo Tumblr}}$

- 11

- 21
- 23
- 24
- 26
- 27
- 28

- 4. Then, on or around October 28, 2016, Yahoo stated in its Form 10-Q filing with the SEC that it had identified the "state-sponsored actor" in late 2014. However, on information and belief, Yahoo took no actions at that time to enhance its security measures or notify its users of a potential cybersecurity breach or vulnerability.
- 5. Further, **just today**, Yahoo announced that, in August 2013, an "unauthorized third party" stole personal data associated with **over one billion user accounts** by utilizing Yahoo's proprietary code in order to forge cookies ("Security Incident II"). Yahoo stated that Security Incident II was "likely distinct" from Security Incident I but that they "have connected some of this activity to the same state-sponsored actor believed to be responsible" for Security Incident I.
- 6. As a result of Defendant's failure to maintain adequate security measures and timely security breach notifications, Yahoo Users' personal and private information has been repeatedly compromised and remains vulnerable. Further, Yahoo Users have suffered an ascertainable loss in that they have had to undertake additional security measures, at their own expense, to minimize the risk of future data breaches including, without limitation, changing passwords, security questions and security answers, and purchasing a security freeze on their credit files. However, due to Yahoo's ongoing and incomplete investigation, Yahoo Users have no guarantee that the above security measures will in fact adequately protect their personal information. As such, Plaintiff and other Class Members have an ongoing interest in ensuring that their personal information is protected from past and future cybersecurity threats.

THE PARTIES

7. Plaintiff Amy Vail ("Plaintiff") is a citizen of the state of New York, residing in Binghamton, New York.

(Sept. 22, 2016), https://yahoo.tumblr.com/post/150781911849/ an-important-message-aboutvahoo-user-security (last visited Dec. 14, 2016).

² Yahoo Security Notice December 14, 2016, Yahoo! Help, https://help. vahoo.com/kb/account/SLN27925.html?impressions=true (last visited Dec. 14, 2016).

- 8. Defendant Yahoo! Inc. is a corporation organized and in existence under the laws of the State of Delaware and registered to do business in the State of California. Yahoo! Inc.'s Corporate Headquarters are located at 701 First Avenue, Sunnyvale, California, 94089.
- 9. At all relevant times, Defendant was and is engaged in the business of providing internet-based services and products in Santa Clara County and throughout the United States of America.

JURISDICTION

- 10. This is a class action.
- 11. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 because this action arises under the Constitution or laws of the United States and the Class Action Fairness Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2) and (6), in that, as to each Class defined herein:
 - (a) the matter in controversy exceeds \$5,000,000.00, exclusive of interest and costs:
 - (b) this is a class action involving 100 or more class members; and
 - (c) this is a class action in which at least one member of the Plaintiff class is a citizen of a State different from at least one Defendant.
- 12. The Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant, which have at least minimum contacts with the State of California because their headquarters are located there and they have conducted business there and have availed themselves of California's markets through their internet-based services.

VENUE

- 13. Yahoo, through its business of providing internet-based services and products to Yahoo Users, has established sufficient contacts in this district such that personal jurisdiction is appropriate. Defendant is deemed to reside in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(a).
 - 14. In addition, Defendant is headquartered here and has conducted business here

and availed itself of California's markets through its marketing, sale, and administration of internet-based services. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(a).

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

15. In order to utilize Yahoo's services and products, consumers, including Plaintiff and other Class Members, must create an online account through Yahoo. As such, Yahoo has collected and maintained an extensive amount of its users' personal account information including, without limitation, the users' names, email addresses, telephone numbers, birth dates, passwords, security questions, and security answers required to create a Yahoo account. Consumers provide this personal information to Yahoo in reliance on Yahoo's assurances that it "takes your privacy seriously" and "[p]rotecting our systems and our users' information is paramount to ensuring Yahoo users enjoy a secure user experience and maintaining our users' trust. Yahoo further maintains a privacy policy that provides, in part, that:

Information Sharing & Disclosure

Yahoo does not rent, sell, or share personal information about you with other people or non-affiliated companies except to provide products or services you've requested, when we have your permission, or under the following circumstances:

- We provide the information to trusted partners who work on behalf of or with Yahoo under confidentiality agreements. These companies may use your personal information to help Yahoo communicate with you about offers from Yahoo and our marketing partners. However, these companies do not have any independent right to share this information.
- We have a parent's permission to share the information if the user is a child under age 13. See Children's Privacy & Family Accounts for more information about our privacy practices for children under 13.
- We respond to subpoenas, court orders, or legal process (such as law enforcement requests), or to establish or exercise our legal rights or defend against legal claims.
- We believe it is necessary to share information in order to investigate, prevent, or take action regarding illegal activities, suspected fraud, situations involving potential threats to the physical safety of any person, violations of Yahoo's terms of use, or as otherwise required by law.
- We transfer information about you if Yahoo is acquired by or merged with another company. In this event, Yahoo will notify you before information about

³ Security at Yahoo, Yahoo! Privacy Center, https://policies.yahoo.com/us/en/yahoo/privacy/topics/security/index.htm (last visited Dec. 14, 2016).

3 4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

you is transferred and becomes subject to a different privacy policy.

Confidentiality & Security

We limit access to personal information about you to employees who we believe reasonably need to come into contact with that information to provide products or services to you or in order to do their jobs.

We have physical, electronic, and procedural safeguards that comply with federal regulations to protect personal information about you.

To learn more about security, including the security steps we have taken and security steps you can take, please read Security at Yahoo.⁴

- 16. However, despite Yahoo's assurances, Yahoo has failed, and continues to fail, to provide adequate protection of its users' personal and confidential information, as evidenced by Yahoo's announcements regarding egregious user data breaches affecting over one billion Yahoo User accounts since 2013. Further, Yahoo failed to provide sufficient and timely notice or warning of potential and actual cybersecurity breaches to its users so as to mitigate the users' risks.
- According to Yahoo, in July 2016, a state-sponsored actor "claimed to have 17. obtained certain Yahoo user data" but Yahoo was unable to substantiate the hacker's claims and took no further action including enhancing its security measures or notifying its users of the potential data breach. Yahoo knew about and continued to conceal the security breach from its users until approximately 3 months later. Specifically, on September 22, 2016, Yahoo issued the following statement:

A recent investigation by Yahoo has confirmed that a copy of certain user account information was stolen from the company's network in late 2014 by what it believes is a state-sponsored actor. The account information may have included names, email addresses, telephone numbers, dates of birth, hashed passwords (the vast majority with bcrypt) and, in some cases, encrypted or unencrypted security questions and answers...Based on the ongoing investigation, Yahoo believes that information associated with at least 500 million user account was stolen [...]⁵ ("Security Incident I").

⁴ Yahoo Privacy Center, Yahoo.com, https://policies.yahoo.com/us/en/ yahoo/privacy/index.htm (last visited Dec. 14, 2016).

⁵ Bob Lord, CISO, An Important Message About Yahoo User Security, Yahoo Tumblr (Sept. 22, 2016), https://yahoo.tumblr.com/post/150781911849/ an-important-message-about-

- 18. Then, on or around October 28, 2016, Yahoo stated in its Form 10-Q filing with the SEC that it had identified the "state-sponsored actor" in late 2014. However, on information and belief, Yahoo took no actions at that time to enhance its security measures at or notify its users of a potential cybersecurity breach or vulnerability.
- 19. Further, on December 14, 2016, Yahoo announced that, in August 2013, an "unauthorized third party" stole personal data associated with over one billion user accounts by utilizing Yahoo's proprietary code in order to forge cookies ("Security Incident II"). Yahoo issued the following statement, in part, regarding Security Incident II:

Law enforcement provided Yahoo in November 2016 with data files that a third party claimed was Yahoo user data. We analyzed this data with the assistance of outside forensic experts and found that it appears to be Yahoo user data. Based on further analysis of this data by the forensic experts, we believe an unauthorized third party, in August 2013, stole data associated with more than one billion user accounts. Yahoo has not been able to identify the intrusion associated with this theft. We believe this incident is likely distinct from the incident we disclosed on September 22, 2016. We are notifying potentially affected users and have taken steps to secure their accounts, including requiring users to change their passwords. Yahoo has also invalidated unencrypted security questions and answers so that they cannot be used to access an account.

Separately, our outside forensic experts have been investigating the creation of forged cookies that could allow an intruder to access users' accounts without a password. Based on the ongoing investigation, the outside forensic experts have identified user accounts for which they believe forged cookies were taken or used in 2015 or 2016. The company is notifying the affected account holders, and has invalidated the forged cookies. We have connected some of this activity to the same state-sponsored actor believed to be responsible for the data theft we disclosed on September 22, 2016.

20. The insufficient security policies and procedures implemented by Yahoo is a material fact that a reasonable consumer would consider when deciding whether to create an online account and provide personal information. Had Plaintiff and other Class Members known that Yahoo failed to employ necessary and adequate protection of their personal information, they would not have created a Yahoo account. In fact, Plaintiff and other Class

yahoo-user-security (last visited Dec. 14, 2016).

⁶ *Yahoo Security Notice December 14*, 2016, Yahoo! Help, https://help. yahoo.com/kb/account/SLN27925.html?impressions=true (last visited Dec. 14, 2016).

Members relied on Yahoo's Security and Privacy policies ensuring implementation of "physical, electronic, and procedural safeguards" to protect their personal information.

B. Plaintiff Amy Vail

- 21. Plaintiff has been a Yahoo user continually for over ten (10) years and has been damaged as a result of Security Incident I and Security Incident II. She remains concerned about the potential misuse of her personal information and the continued vulnerability of her Yahoo account information. As such, she has taken steps recommended by Yahoo in an attempt to protect her personal information provided to Yahoo, including changing her password and security questions.
- 22. At no point prior to Yahoo's announcement on September 22, 2016, was Plaintiff informed by Yahoo of a potential security breach exposing her personal data or provided any instructions regarding additional security measures to minimize her risk of identity theft.

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

- 23. Plaintiff brings this lawsuit as a class action on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated as members of the proposed Class pursuant to pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 23(a), 23(b)(2), 23(b)(3), and 23(c)(4). This action satisfies the numerosity, commonality, typicality, adequacy, predominance, and superiority requirements of those provisions.
 - 24. The Class and Sub-Class are defined as:
 - <u>Nationwide Class:</u> All individuals in the United States who maintained an account, at any time, from four year prior to the filing of this complaint, with Yahoo! Inc. that was vulnerable or potentially vulnerable to cybersecurity breaches (the "Nationwide Class" or "Class").
- 25. Excluded from the Class and Sub-Classes are: (1) Defendant, any entity or division in which Defendant has a controlling interest, and their legal representatives, officers, directors, assigns, and successors; (2) the Judge to whom this case is assigned and the Judge's staff; (3) any Judge sitting in the presiding state and/or federal court system who may hear an

appeal of any judgment entered; and (4) those persons who have suffered personal injuries as a result of the facts alleged herein. Plaintiff reserves the right to amend the Class and Sub-Class definitions if discovery and further investigation reveal that the Class and Sub-Class should be expanded or otherwise modified.

- 26. <u>Numerosity</u>: Although the exact number of Class Members is uncertain and can only be ascertained through appropriate discovery, the number is great enough such that joinder is impracticable. The disposition of the claims of these Class Members in a single action will provide substantial benefits to all parties and to the Court. The Class Members are readily identifiable from information and records in Defendant's possession, custody, or control.
- 27. Typicality: Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the Class in that Plaintiff, like all Class Members, has maintained a Yahoo account since August 2013. The representative Plaintiff, like all Class Members, has been damaged by Defendant's misconduct in that they have had to undertake additional security measures, at their own expense, to minimize the risk of future data breaches. Furthermore, the factual bases of Yahoo's misconduct are common to all Class Members and represent a common thread resulting in injury to all Class Members.
- 28. <u>Commonality</u>: There are numerous questions of law and fact common to Plaintiff and the Class that predominate over any question affecting only individual Class Members. These common legal and factual issues include the following:
 - (a) Whether Yahoo owed a duty of care to Plaintiff and Class Members with respect to the security of their personal information;
 - (b) Whether Yahoo had a legal and/or contractual duty to use reasonable security measures to protect Plaintiff's and Class Members' personal information;
 - (c) Whether Yahoo took reasonable steps and measures to safeguard Plaintiff's and Class Members' personal information;

1	(d)	Whether Yahoo breached its duty to exercise reasonable care in		
2		handling Plaintiff's and Class Members' personal information;		
3	(e)	Whether an implied contract existed between Yahoo and Class		
4		Members;		
5	(f)	Whether Defendant's acts and omissions described herein give rise to a		
6		claim of negligence;		
7	(g)	Whether Yahoo's security procedures and practices violated California		
8		Business & Professions Code §§ 17200 et seq.;		
9	(h)	Whether Yahoo knew or should have known of Security Incident I and		
10		Security Incident II prior to its late 2016 announcements;		
11	(i)	Whether Yahoo had a duty to promptly notify Class Members that their		
12		personal information was, or potentially could be, compromised;		
13	(j)	Whether Plaintiff and other Class Members are entitled to damages or		
14		equitable relief, including but not limited to a preliminary and/or		
15		permanent injunction; and		
16	(k)	Whether Defendant is obligated to inform Class Members of their right		
17		to seek reimbursement for having paid for a security freeze on their		
18		credit files.		
19	29. <u>Adeq</u>	uate Representation: Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the		
20	interests of the Class Members. Plaintiff has retained attorneys experienced in the prosecution			
21	of class actions, including consumer and product defect class actions, and Plaintiff intends to			
22	prosecute this action vigorously.			
23	30. <u>Predo</u>	minance and Superiority: Plaintiff and Class Members have all suffered		
24	and will continue to suffer harm and damages as a result of Defendant's unlawful and			
25	wrongful conduct. A class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and			
26	efficient adjudication of the controversy. Absent a class action, most Class Members would			
27	likely find the cost of	of litigating their claims prohibitively high and would therefore have no		
28				

effective remedy at law. Because of the relatively small size of the individual Class Members' claims, it is likely that only a few Class Members could afford to seek legal redress for Defendant's misconduct. Absent a class action, Class Members will continue to incur damages, and Defendant's misconduct will continue without remedy. Class treatment of common questions of law and fact would also be a superior method to multiple individual actions or piecemeal litigation in that class treatment will conserve the resources of the courts and the litigants, and will promote consistency and efficiency of adjudication.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Negligence)

- 31. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations contained in each and every paragraph of this Complaint.
- 32. Plaintiff brings this cause of action on behalf of herself and on behalf of the Nationwide Class.
- 33. Yahoo owed a duty to Plaintiff and Class Member to exercise reasonable care in obtaining, retaining, securing, safeguarding, deleting and protecting their personal information in its possession from being compromised, lost, stolen, accessed and misused by unauthorized persons. This duty included, among other things, designing, implementing, maintaining and testing Defendant's security systems and protocols, consistent with industry standards and requirements, to ensure that Plaintiff's and Class members' personal information in Yahoo's possession was adequately secured and protected. Yahoo further owed a duty to Plaintiff and Class Members to implement processes that would detect a breach of its security system in a timely manner and to timely act upon warnings and alerts, including those generated by its own security systems.
- 34. Yahoo owed a duty of care to Plaintiff and Class Members because they were foreseeable and probable victims of any inadequate security practices. Yahoo solicited, gathered, and stored the personal data provided by Plaintiff and Class Members in the regular course of its business. Yahoo knew that a breach of its systems would cause damages to

5

6

7 8

9

1112

1314

15

16

17

18

1920

21

22

23

24

2526

27

28

Plaintiff and Class Members, and Yahoo had a duty to adequately protect such sensitive personal information.

- 35. Similarly, Yahoo owed a duty to Plaintiff and Class Members to timely disclose any incidents of data breaches, where such breaches compromised the personal information of Plaintiff and Class Members. Plaintiff and Class Members were foreseeable and probable victims of any inadequate notice practices. Yahoo knew that, through its actions and omissions, it had caused the sensitive personal information of Plaintiff and Class Members to be compromised and accessed by unauthorized third parties yet failed to mitigate potential harm to its users by providing timely notice of the security breach.
- 36. Yahoo breached its duties owed to Plaintiff and Class Members by failing to exercise reasonable care in the adoption, implementation, and maintenance of adequate security procedures and protocols and by failing to timely notify Plaintiff and Class Members of potential and actual security breaches. Yahoo's breach of its duties owed to Plaintiff and members of the Class caused injuries to Plaintiff and members of the Class, including but not limited to a) theft of their personal information; b) costs associated with the detection and prevention of identity theft; c) costs associated with time spent and the loss of productivity from taking time to address and attempt to ameliorate and mitigate the actual and future consequences of the aforementioned data breaches, including without limitation finding fraudulent charges, cancelling and reissuing credit cards and bank accounts, purchasing credit monitoring and identity theft protection, and the stress, nuisance and annoyance of dealing with all issues resulting from the data breaches; d) the imminent and impending injury flowing from potential fraud and identity theft posed by the unauthorized control and use of their personal information by third parties; e) damages to and diminution in value of their personal information entrusted to Yahoo with the understanding that Yahoo would safeguard their data against theft and not allow access and misuse of their data by others; and f) the continued risk to their personal information, which remains in the possession of Yahoo and which is subject to further breaches so long as Yahoo fails to undertake appropriate and adequate measures to

with other people or non-affiliated companies" and the terms of its implied contract to protect its users' personal information.

45. As a result of Yahoo's breach of the express and implied contracts, Plaintiff and Class Members suffered and will continue to suffer damages including, but not limited to, loss of their personal information, loss of money, the objectively reasonable likelihood of identity theft and/or fraudulent purchases, and loss of money and costs incurred as a result of increased risk of identity theft and fraudulent purchases, all of which have ascertainable value to be proven at trial.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

(Violation of California Business & Professions Code § 17200, et seq.)

- 46. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations contained in each and every paragraph of this Complaint.
- 47. Plaintiff brings this cause of action on behalf of herself and on behalf of the Nationwide Class.
- 48. As a result of their reliance on Defendant's omissions, Yahoo Users utilizing Defendant's internet-based services suffered an ascertainable loss due to Defendant's failure to provide adequate protection of its users' personal and confidential information and failure to provide sufficient and timely notice or warning of potential and actual cybersecurity breaches.
- 49. California Business & Professions Code § 17200 prohibits acts of "unfair competition," including any "unlawful, unfair or fraudulent business act or practice" and "unfair, deceptive, untrue or misleading advertising."
- 50. Plaintiff and Class Members are reasonable consumers who expected Yahoo to vehemently protect the personal information entrusted to them and to be informed by Yahoo of potential and actual cybersecurity vulnerabilities as soon as Yahoo became aware of such threat.
 - 51. Yahoo's acts and omissions were intended to induce Plaintiff and Class

Members' reliance on Yahoo's guarantee that their personal information was secure and protected, to increase the number of Yahoo subscribers, and, ultimately, to increase Yahoo's revenues. Plaintiff and the Class members were deceived by Yahoo's failure to properly implement adequate, commercially reasonable security measures to protect their personal information, and Yahoo's failure to promptly notify them of the security breach. As a result, Yahoo's conduct constitutes "fraudulent" business acts or practices.

- 52. Defendant's conduct was and is likely to deceive consumers.
- 53. In failing to implement adequate security procedures and protocols to protect Plaintiff and Class Members' personal information and promptly notify Plaintiff and Class Members of potential and actual security threats, Defendant has knowingly and intentionally concealed material facts and breached its duty not to do so.
- 54. Defendant was under a duty to Plaintiff and Class Members to protect its users' personal information and promptly notify users of potential and actual security threats, and other omitted facts alleged herein, because:
 - (a) Defendant was in a superior position to know the specifics of a potential or actual security breach; and
 - (b) Defendant actively concealed information known to Defendant regarding potential and actual security breaches affecting user account information.
- 55. The facts Defendant concealed from or did not disclose to Plaintiffs and Class Members are material in that a reasonable person would have considered them to be important in deciding whether to utilize Yahoo's services or cancel, change or otherwise modify their account information. Had Plaintiff and other Class Members known that Yahoo failed to employ necessary and adequate protection of their personal information and would fail to timely notify them of potential security breaches, they would not have created a Yahoo account.
 - 56. By its conduct, Defendant has engaged in unfair competition and unfair and

1	fraudulent business practices. Defendant's unfair or deceptive acts or practices occurred				
2	repeatedly in Defendant's trade or business, and were capable of deceiving a substantial				
3	portion of the purchasing public.				
4	57.	As a	direct and proximate result of Defendant's unfair and deceptive practices,		
5	Plaintiff and Class Members will continue to suffer actual damages.				
6	58. Defendant has been unjustly enriched and should be required to make				
7	restitution to Plaintiff and Class Members pursuant to §§ 17203 and 17204 of the California				
8	Business & Professions Code.				
9			RELIEF REQUESTED		
10	59.	Plain	tiff, on behalf of himself, and all others similarly situated, requests the		
11	Court to enter judgment against Defendant, as follows:				
12		(a)	An order certifying the proposed Class, designating Plaintiff as named		
13			representative of the Class, and designating the undersigned as Class		
14			Counsel;		
15		(a)	An order enjoining Defendant from further unfair and deceptive		
16			business practices regarding the maintenance and protection of its users		
17			personal information;		
18		(b)	An award to Plaintiff and the Class for compensatory, exemplary, and		
19			statutory damages, including interest, in an amount to be proven at trial;		
20		(c)	A declaration that Defendant must disgorge, for the benefit of the Class,		
21			all or part of the ill-gotten revenues it collected from its conduct alleged		
22			herein, or make full restitution to Plaintiff and Class Members;		
23		(d)	An award of attorneys' fees and costs, as allowed by law;		
24		(e)	An award of attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to California Code of		
25			Civil Procedure § 1021.5;		
26		(f)	An award of pre-judgment and post-judgment interest, as provided by		
27			law; and		
28					

Case 3:16-cv-07154 Document 1 Filed 12/14/16 Page 17 of 17

Such other relief as may be appropriate under the circumstances. (g) **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL** 60. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 38(b) and Northern District of California Local Rule 3-6, Plaintiff demands a trial by jury of any and all issues in this action so triable. Dated: December 14, 2016 Respectfully submitted, Capstone Law APC By: /s/ Lee A. Cirsch Lee A. Cirsch Robert K. Friedl Trisha K. Monesi Attorneys for Plaintiff Amy Vail Page 16 CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

JS-CAND 44 (Rev. 07/16) Case 3:16-cv-07154 Page 1 of 2

The JS-CAND 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved in its original form by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the Clerk of Court to initiate the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

Court to initiate the civil docke	t sneet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE	LOF THIS FORM.)	_			
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS			
AMY VAIL, individually, and on behalf of a class similarly situated individuals,			YAHOO! INC., a Delaware corporation			
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) (c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Lee A. Cirsch; Robert K. Friedl; Trisha K. Monesi Capstone Law APC 1875 Century Park East, Suite 1000 Los Angeles, California 90067 Telephone: (310) 556-4811			County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED. Attorneys (If Known)			
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)			RINCIPAL PARTIES (Place		
U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)	,	For Diversity Cases Only of This State	PTF DEF 1 Incorporated or Prior of Business In This	and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF ncipal Place 4 4 4	
2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item II.	<i>I</i>)	of Another State or Subject of a	2 Incorporated and Prof Business In And 3 3 Foreign Nation	rincipal Place 5 5 5 5 ther State 6 6 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box Only)	1 olcigii	Country			
CONTRACT	TORTS		RFEITURE/PENALT	Y BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment Of Veteran's Benefits 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veteran's) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities— Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities— Other 448 Education PERSONAL Pharmace Personal Injury Product Liability 370 Other Fra 371 Truth in L 380 Other Per Property I 385 Property I Product L Save Other 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities— Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities— Other 550 Civil Right 555 Prison Co 560 Civil Dec Condition Confinem	Injury — Liability ure/ utical njury iability Personal oduct ROPERTY ud Lending Sonal Damage Jamage Damage iability CTITIONS us: ainee o Vacate malty us & Other us of	Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC § 8 Other LABOR Fair Labor Standards Act Labor/Management Relations Railway Labor Act Family and Medical Leave Act Other Labor Litigation Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION Naturalization Applicat Other Immigration Actions	28 USC § 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS \$20 Copyrights \$30 Patent \$40 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY \$61 HIA (1395ff) \$62 Black Lung (923) \$63 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) \$64 SSID Title XVI \$65 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS \$70 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) \$871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC § 7609	375 False Claims Act 376 Qui Tam (31 USC § 3729(a)) 400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 893 Environmental Matters 895 Freedom of Information Act 896 Arbitration 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	
	Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which		Another (specify) o not cite jurisdictional	District Litigation-Tra	nsfer 8 Multidistrict Litigation–Direct File	
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTUNDER RULE 23, Fed. R. Civ. P.	ΓΙΟΝ DE	MAND \$ 5,000,00	01.00 CHECK YES on JURY DEMAN	ly if demanded in complaint: D: Yes No	
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY (See instruc				DOCKET NUMBER		
	IGNMENT (Civil Local Rule 3-2	2) FRANCISCO	/OAKLAND [XA-MCKINLEYVILLE	
<u> </u>		RE OF ATTO	RNEY OF REC	ORD: /s/ Lee A. Cirsch		

Save As... Print

Reset

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS-CAND 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet. The JS-CAND 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved in its original form by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the Clerk of Court to initiate the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- **I. a) Plaintiffs-Defendants.** Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
 - b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
 - c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)."
- **II. Jurisdiction.** The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 8(a), which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.
 - (1) United States plaintiff. Jurisdiction based on 28 USC §§ 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here.
 - (2) United States defendant. When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.
 - (3) <u>Federal question</u>. This refers to suits under 28 USC § 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.
 - (4) <u>Diversity of citizenship</u>. This refers to suits under 28 USC § 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; **NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.**)
- III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties. This section of the JS-CAND 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If the nature of suit cannot be determined, be sure the cause of action, in Section VI below, is sufficient to enable the deputy clerk or the statistical clerk(s) in the Administrative Office to determine the nature of suit. If the cause fits more than one nature of suit, select the most definitive.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the six boxes.
 - (1) <u>Original Proceedings</u>. Cases originating in the United States district courts.
 - (2) Removed from State Court. Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 USC § 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.
 - (3) Remanded from Appellate Court. Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.
 - (4) Reinstated or Reopened. Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date.
 - (5) <u>Transferred from Another District</u>. For cases transferred under Title 28 USC § 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.
 - (6) <u>Multidistrict Litigation Transfer</u>. Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 USC § 1407. When this box is checked, do not check (5) above.
 - (8) Multidistrict Litigation Direct File. Check this box when a multidistrict litigation case is filed in the same district as the Master MDL docket.
 - <u>Please note that there is no Origin Code 7</u>. Origin Code 7 was used for historical records and is no longer relevant due to changes in statute.
- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. **Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity.** Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC § 553. <u>Brief Description</u>: Unauthorized reception of cable service.
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23.
 - Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction.
 - Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS-CAND 44 is used to identify related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.
- IX. Divisional Assignment. If the Nature of Suit is under Property Rights or Prisoner Petitions or the matter is a Securities Class Action, leave this section blank. For all other cases, identify the divisional venue according to Civil Local Rule 3-2: "the county in which a substantial part of the events or omissions which give rise to the claim occurred or in which a substantial part of the property that is the subject of the action is situated."
- Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Northern District of California				
AMY VAIL, individually, and on behalf of a class of similarly situated individuals				
Plaintiff(s)				
)	Civil Action No.			
)				
YAHOO! INC., a Delaware corporation)))))				
Defendant(s)				
SUMMONS IN A CIVI	L ACTION			
To: (Defendant's name and address) Yahoo! Inc. CT Corporation System 818 West Seventh Street Los Angeles, CA 90017				
A lawsuit has been filed against you.				
Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: Lee A. Cirsch Capstone Law APC 1875 Century Park East, Suite 1000 Los Angeles, California 90067				
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.				
	CLERK OF COURT			
Division				
Date:	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk			
	Signature of Cierk of Deputy Cierk			

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

was ra	This summons for (no ceived by me on (date)	ame of individual and title, if a	ny)			
was re	cerved by the on (aate)		·			
	☐ I personally serve	ed the summons on the inc	lividual at (place)			
			on (date)	; or		
	☐ I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with (name)					
			, a person of suitable age and discretion who res	sides there,		
	on (date)	on (date), and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or				
	☐ I served the summons on (name of individual)					
	designated by law to	accept service of process	s on behalf of (name of organization)			
			on (date)	; or		
	☐ I returned the sum	nmons unexecuted becaus	e	; or		
	☐ Other (<i>specify</i>):					
	My fees are \$	for travel and S	\$ for services, for a total of \$	0.00		
	I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.					
Date:		_				
			Server's signature			
		_	Printed name and title			
		_	Server's address			

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

Print Save As... Reset