Case 2:18-cv-06018 Document 1 Filed 10/27/18 Page 1 of 12 PageID #: 1

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X Case No. 18-cv-06018

ERROL WRIGHT, on behalf of himself individually and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

# CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

-against-

TRI-STATE ADJUSTMENTS, INC.,

Defendant.

Plaintiff, by and through his attorneys, FAGENSON & PUGLISI, PLLC,

upon knowledge as to himself and his own acts, and as to all other matters upon information and belief, brings this complaint against above-named defendant and in support thereof alleges the following:

## INTRODUCTION

1. This is an action for damages brought by an individual consumer for defendant's violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act ("FDCPA"), 15 U.S.C. § 1692, *et seq.* which prohibits debt collectors from engaging in abusive, deceptive and unfair acts and practices.

2. This action is also brought by an individual consumer and on behalf of a class pursuant to New York General Business Law ("NYGBL") § 349 for an injunction and damages regarding defendant's deceptive acts and practices.

#### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

3. This Court has jurisdiction pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d) (FDCPA) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and supplemental jurisdiction exists over the NYGBL § 349 claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367.

4. This Court has venue pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) in that plaintiff resides in this District and a substantial portion of the events or omissions giving rise to this action occurred in this District.

#### PARTIES

5. Plaintiff is a natural person who resides in this District.

6. Plaintiff is a consumer within the meaning of 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3) as he is a natural person who is alleged by defendant to owe a financial obligation.

7. The financial obligation which defendant sought to collect from plaintiff is a debt within the meaning of 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5) in that the obligation which defendant sought to collect from plaintiff is an allegedly defaulted debt originally incurred, if at all, for personal, family or household purposes.

Plaintiff is a reasonable consumer within the meaning of NYGBL §
 349 who acted reasonably under the circumstances alleged herein.

9. Defendant is a debt collector as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).

10. The principal purpose of defendant's business is the collection of defaulted consumer debts.

-2-

#### Case 2:18-cv-06018 Document 1 Filed 10/27/18 Page 3 of 12 PageID #: 3

11. Defendant uses the mails and the telephone in its business the

principal purpose of which is the collection of defaulted consumer debts.

12. Defendant regularly collects or attempts to collect defaulted

consumer debts owed or due or alleged to be owed or due to others.

13. Upon information and belief, defendant is a foreign business corporation incorporated in Wisconsin.

# FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 14. Plaintiff re-alleges paragraphs 1-13 as if fully re-stated herein.
- 15. Defendant sent to plaintiff a collection letter dated November 28,

2017.

16. Defendant stated near the top of the letter in pertinent part:

"<u>Client Name</u> GINNY'S".

17. Near the top of the letter, defendant also displayed two sets of characters denoted "ONLINE USER NAME" and "PASSWORD".

18. Defendant thereafter stated in the body of the letter:

"This account has been listed with our office for collection."

19. Defendant further stated in the body of the letter:

"If paid in full to this office all collection activity will be stopped."

20. In the letter, defendant stated that if plaintiff wished to pay by credit

card, he should fill in the detachable slip at the top of the letter and return the slip to defendant.

#### Case 2:18-cv-06018 Document 1 Filed 10/27/18 Page 4 of 12 PageID #: 4

21. The detachable slip at the top of the letter is pre-addressed to defendant.

22. In the letter, defendant further stated that if plaintiff wished to pay through defendant's website, he should access <u>www.paytsa.com</u> using the user name and password displayed near the top of the letter.

23. The letter was defendant's first communication with plaintiff in connection with the collection of the debt.

24. The letter was defendant's first written communication with plaintiff in connection with the collection of the debt.

25. Defendant sent no letter to plaintiff within five days after the date on which defendant sent the letter dated November 28, 2017.

26. In the letter, defendant fails to identify the current creditor to whom the debt is owed.

27. Upon receipt of defendant's letter, plaintiff did not know who the current creditor of his debt was, whether defendant, Ginny's or some other unnamed entity, and was confused and perplexed by defendant's letter.

- 4 -

Case 2:18-cv-06018 Document 1 Filed 10/27/18 Page 5 of 12 PageID #: 5

#### AS AND FOR A FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

Failure to identify the current creditor clearly and effectively

15 U.S.C. §§ 1692g(a)(2) and 1692e

28. Plaintiff re-alleges paragraphs 1-27 as if fully re-stated herein.

29. In its letter, defendant fails to identify clearly and effectively the current creditor to whom the debt is owed.

30. Defendant does not state in the caption of the letter whether the entity it describes as "Ginny's" is the current creditor of the debt defendant sought to collect from plaintiff.

31. Nowhere in the letter does defendant state who the current creditor of the debt is.

32. The word "creditor" does not appear in defendant's letter to plaintiff.

33. Defendant included no language in the letter to indicate who the current creditor of the debt is.

34. Defendant included no language in the letter to indicate who currently owns plaintiff's debt.

35. Nowhere in the letter does defendant state what, if any, is the relationship between Ginny's and the debt.

36. In the letter, defendant told plaintiff that if he paid defendant in full all collection activity would be stopped.

- 5 -

#### Case 2:18-cv-06018 Document 1 Filed 10/27/18 Page 6 of 12 PageID #: 6

37. In the letter, defendant invited plaintiff to send credit card payment to defendant.

38. In the letter, defendant invited plaintiff to pay defendant through defendant's website.

39. Defendant's said instructions regarding payment further confused plaintiff as to the identity of the current creditor of the debt.

40. Plaintiff did not know whether the current creditor of his debt was defendant, Ginny's or some other unnamed entity.

41. Upon receipt of defendant's letter, plaintiff did not know who currently owned his debt.

42. Upon receipt of defendant's letter, the least sophisticated consumer would not know who currently owned her debt.

43. Defendant's failure to identify clearly and effectively the current creditor of the debt contravenes the FDCPA, § 1692g(a)(2).

44. Defendant is further in violation of the FDCPA, § 1692e in that its failure to identify clearly and effectively the current creditor of the debt constitutes a deceptive and misleading means used in an attempt to collect the debt.

45. Under the FDCPA, communications from debt collectors, such as the letter which defendant sent to plaintiff, are to be evaluated by the objective standard of the hypothetical "least sophisticated consumer".

#### AS AND FOR A SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

#### NYGBL § 349

46. Plaintiff re-alleges paragraphs 1-45 as if fully re-stated herein.

47. Defendant owed a duty to plaintiff to effect its collection of his debt with reasonable care.

48. Defendant breached its duty to collect plaintiff's debt with reasonable care.

49. In the exercise of reasonable care defendant ought to have ensured that in its collection letters it identified, in a clear and effective manner, the creditor of the debt it sought to collect.

50. At all times relevant herein, defendant's letters confused consumers as to the identity of the entity which defendant was claiming they owed.

51. On information and belief, at all times relevant herein, defendant sent collection letters to hundreds of consumers within the State of New York each month.

52. Defendant's letter to plaintiff is derived from a letter form.

53. Defendant's letter to plaintiff is derived from a letter template.

54. Defendant's failure, in its collection letters, to identify in a clear and effective manner the creditors of the debts it sought to collect is a deceptive act and practice.

#### Case 2:18-cv-06018 Document 1 Filed 10/27/18 Page 8 of 12 PageID #: 8

55. Said deceptive act and practice was committed by defendant in the conduct of a business, trade or commerce or the furnishing of a service in the State of New York and constitutes a violation of NYGBL § 349.

56. Defendant's deceptive act and practice was consumer-oriented in that defendant's failure to identify in a clear and effective manner the creditors of the debts it sought to collect was not an act limited to plaintiff's account, but extended to the accounts of other consumers which defendant sought to collect at all times relevant herein.

57. Defendant's said failure has a broader impact on consumers at large whose accounts are placed with defendant for collection, because at all times relevant herein defendant sent substantially the same letter to all consumers, all of which failed to identify the creditors to whom the debts were alleged to be currently owed.

58. Because at all times relevant herein defendant's letters failed to inform consumers as to the identity of their creditors, while at the same time demanding the payment of money from consumers, defendant's said failure was deceptive in a material way.

59. At all times relevant herein, by its collection letters defendant demanded the payment of money for debts without informing consumers who the current creditors of their debts were.

60. At all times relevant herein, by its collection letters defendant demanded the payment of money for debts while confusing consumers as to whether defendant, Gilley's or some other unnamed entity was the current creditor of their debts.

- 8 -

#### Case 2:18-cv-06018 Document 1 Filed 10/27/18 Page 9 of 12 PageID #: 9

61. Plaintiff is a reasonable consumer within the meaning of the NYGBL and acted reasonably under the circumstances of this case.

62. Upon receipt of defendant's letter, plaintiff did not know who defendant was claiming was the current creditor of his debt.

63. Upon receipt of defendant's letter, the reasonable consumer would not know who defendant was claiming was the current creditor of her debt.

64. Defendant violated NYGBL § 349(a) by its letter and is liable to Plaintiff and the reasonable consumer under NYGBL § 349(h).

65. Plaintiff is entitled to injunctive relief and defendant is liable in an amount to be determined at the time of trial, plus costs and attorneys' fees.

#### CLASS ALLEGATIONS

66. Plaintiff re-alleges paragraphs 1-65 as if fully re-stated herein.

67. This action is brought on behalf of plaintiff and the members of a class. The class consists of all persons who defendant's records reflect were sent debt collection letters within the State of New York within the period of time commencing three years before the filing of this complaint up to and including the date of the filing of the complaint and who were sent a collection letter (a) in substantially the same form as the letter dated November 28, 2017 which defendant sent to plaintiff; (b) the collection letter was sent to a consumer seeking payment of a consumer debt; (c) the collection letter was not returned by the postal service as undeliverable; and (d) the letter contained violations of NYGBL § 349(a) as aforesaid. The class does not include defendant or persons who are officers, directors, employees or representatives of defendant.

#### Case 2:18-cv-06018 Document 1 Filed 10/27/18 Page 10 of 12 PageID #: 10

68. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23, a class action is appropriate and preferable in this case because:

- (A) Based on the fact that the collection letter that is the gravamen of this litigation is a mass-mailed form letter, the class is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable. Upon information and belief, thousands of persons have received similar debt collection letters from defendant which violate the various provisions of the NYGBL.
- (B) There are questions of law and fact common to the class and these questions predominate over any questions affecting only individual class members. The principal question presented by this claim is whether defendant's collection letters violate NYGBL § 349(a).
- (C) The only individual issue is the identification of the consumers who received the letters (the class members), a matter capable of ministerial determination from the records of defendant.
- (D) The claims of plaintiff are typical of those of the class members. All are based on the same facts and legal theories.
- (E) Plaintiff will fairly and adequately represent the class members' interests. Plaintiff has retained experienced counsel. Plaintiff's interests are consistent with those of the members of the class.

#### Case 2:18-cv-06018 Document 1 Filed 10/27/18 Page 11 of 12 PageID #: 11

69. A class action is superior for the fair and efficient adjudication of the class members' claims. The members of the class are generally unsophisticated individuals, whose rights will not be vindicated in the absence of a class action. Prosecution of separate actions by individual members of the class would create the risk of inconsistent or varying adjudications resulting in the establishment of inconsistent or varying standards for the parties and would not be in the interest of judicial economy.

70. If the facts are discovered to be appropriate, plaintiff will seek to certify a class action pursuant to Rule 23(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

71. As a result of the above violations, defendant is liable to plaintiff and the members of the class for an injunction and damages in an amount to be determined at the time of trial, plus costs and attorneys' fees.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff respectfully prays that judgment be entered against defendant as follows:

- (a) certifying a class action pursuant to Rule 23(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure;
- (b) awarding actual damages to the class pursuant to NYGBL § 349(h) in an amount to be determined at the time of trial;
- (c) in the alternative, awarding statutory damages to the class pursuant toNYGBL § 349(h) in an amount to be determined at the time of trial;
- (d) awarding maximum statutory damages to plaintiff pursuant to 15
   U.S.C. § 1692k;

#### Case 2:18-cv-06018 Document 1 Filed 10/27/18 Page 12 of 12 PageID #: 12

- (e) awarding actual damages to plaintiff pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k in an amount to be determined at the time of trial;
- (f) awarding reasonable attorneys' fees, costs and disbursements pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k;
- (g) enjoining defendant from committing further deceptive acts and practices pursuant to NYGBL § 349;
- (h) awarding actual damages to plaintiff pursuant to NYGBL § 349(h) in an amount to be determined at the time of trial;
- (i) in the alternative, awarding statutory damages to plaintiff pursuant to NYGBL § 349(h);
- (j) awarding reasonable attorneys' fees, costs and disbursements pursuant to NYGBL § 349; and
- (k) for such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

# DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY

Plaintiff requests trial by jury on all issues so triable.

Dated: New York, New York October 27, 2018.

/s/ Novlette R. Kidd

NOVLETTE R. KIDD, ESQ. (NK 9339) FAGENSON & PUGLISI, PLLC Attorneys for Plaintiff 450 Seventh Avenue, Suite 704 New York, New York 10123 Telephone: (212) 268-2128 Nkidd@fagensonpuglisi.com Case 2:18-cv-06018 Document 1-1 Filed 10/27/18 Page 1 of 2 PageID #: 13

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of New York

)

)

)

))

ERROL WRIGHT, on behalf of himself individually and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff(s)

v.

Civil Action No. 18-cv-06018

TRI-STATE ADJUSTMENTS, INC.,

Defendant(s)

#### SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address) TRI-STATE ADJUSTMENTS, INC., 3439 East Avenue S, La Crosse, Wisconsin 54601

C/O Secretary of State, Department of State of the State of New York, Albany, New York

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: FAGENSON & PUGLISI, PLLC 450 Seventh Avenue, Suite 704

New York, New York 10123

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

> DOUGLAS C. PALMER CLERK OF COURT

Date:

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

# Case 2:18-cv-06018 Document 1-1 Filed 10/27/18 Page 2 of 2 PageID #: 14

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No. 18-cv-06018

## **PROOF OF SERVICE**

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

	This summons for (no	ume of individual and title, if any)									
was ree	ceived by me on (date)										
	□ I personally serve	d the summons on the indivi	idual at (place)								
		; or									
	$\Box$ I left the summons	I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with ( <i>name</i> )									
	, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,										
	on (date), and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or										
	$\Box$ I served the summ	,	who is								
	designated by law to	I served the summons on (name of individual)									
			on (date)	; or							
	$\Box$ I returned the sum		; or								
	<b>Other</b> ( <i>specify</i> ):										
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00	)						
	I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.										
Date:											
Dute			Server's signature								
			Printed name and title								

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

Server's address

# JS 44 (Rev. 01/29/2018) Case 2:18-cv-06018 Document 20 Filed 10/27/18 Page 1 of 2 PageID #: 15

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. *(SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)* 

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS						
ERROL WRIGHT, on bel situated	nalf of himself individu	ally and all others s	similarly	TRI-STATE ADJU	STMENTS, INC				
(b) County of Residence of		lassau		County of Residence of First Listed Defendant					
(E)	XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA		<i>(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)</i> NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.						
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A	Address, and Telephone Number	r)		Attorneys (If Known)					
FAGENSON & PUGLISI, York, New York 10123. T		venue, Suite 704,	New						
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)		<b>FIZENSHIP OF P</b>	RINCIPAL PA	RTIES			intiff
□ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff				(For Diversity Cases Only)       and One Box for Defendant)         PTF       DEF       PTF       DEF         Citizen of This State       I       I       Incorporated or Principal Place       I       I         of Business In This State       Image: St					
2 U.S. Government Defendant				n of Another State	2 🗖 2 Incorp of H		rincipal Place	<b>□</b> 5 <b>□</b> 5	;
	7			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Nation G 6 6 6 Foreign Country					
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		ly) RTS	FO	RFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUP		f Suit Code De OTHER	STATUTES	
<ul> <li>110 Insurance</li> <li>120 Marine</li> <li>130 Miller Act</li> <li>140 Negotiable Instrument</li> <li>150 Recovery of Overpayment &amp; Enforcement of Judgment</li> <li>151 Medicare Act</li> <li>152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans)</li> <li>153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits</li> <li>160 Stockholders' Suits</li> <li>190 Other Contract</li> <li>195 Contract Product Liability</li> <li>196 Franchise</li> </ul> <b>REAL PROPERTY</b> <ul> <li>210 Land Condemnation</li> <li>220 Foreclosure</li> <li>230 Rent Lease &amp; Ejectment</li> <li>245 Tort Product Liability</li> <li>290 All Other Real Property</li> </ul>	PERSONAL INJURY  310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 448 Education 448 Education	<ul> <li>PERSONAL INJUR</li> <li>365 Personal Injury - Product Liability</li> <li>367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury</li> <li>Product Liability</li> <li>368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability</li> <li>368 Asbestos Personal</li> <li>370 Other Fraud</li> <li>371 Truth in Lending</li> <li>380 Other Personal</li> <li>Property Damage Product Liability</li> <li>PRISONER PETITIO</li> <li>Habeas Corpus:</li> <li>463 Alien Detainee</li> <li>530 General</li> <li>535 Death Penalty</li> <li>Other:</li> <li>540 Mandamus &amp; Oth</li> <li>550 Civil Rights</li> <li>555 Prison Condition</li> <li>560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of</li> </ul>	a 690 1 0 710 0 720 0 740 0 751 0 751	Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 Other EXABOR Fair Labor Standards Act Labor/Management Relations Railway Labor Act Family and Medical Leave Act Other Labor Litigation Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION Naturalization Application Other Immigration Actions		GHTS eviated oplication RITY 023) V (405(g)) VI SUITS diaintiff ) arty	Corrupt 480 Consum 490 Cable/S 850 Securiti Exchan 890 Other S 891 Agricult 893 Environn 895 Freedon Act 896 Arbitrat 899 Adminis Act/Rev	n (31 USC )) apportionment it nd Banking rce tion er Influenced and Organizations er Credit at TV es/Commodities/ ge tatutory Actions tural Acts mental Matters n of Information ion strative Procedur iew or Appeal of Decision utionality of	re
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in	1 One Box Only)	Confinement							
X 1 Original □ 2 Ren	moved from $\Box$ 3	Remanded from Appellate Court	□ 4 Reins Reope	tated or D 5 Transfe ened Anothe (specify)	r District	Multidistri Litigation Transfer	-	Multidistrict Litigation - Direct File	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	DN 15 U.S.C. section Brief description of ca Violations of Fair	n 1692 et seq. <sup>Juse:</sup> Debt Collection Pra	actices A	o not cite jurisdictional stat	utes unless diversity):				_
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	UNDER RULE 2	IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv.P.	N DE	EMAND \$		YES only <b>EMAND</b> :	if demanded in X Yes	complaint: □No	
VIII. RELATED CASH IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKET NUM	1BER			
DATE		SIGNATURE OF AT		F RECORD					
10/27/18 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		s/ Novlette R. I	NIUO						—
RECEIPT # AN	10UNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JUD	GE		

#### Case 2:18-cv-06018 Document 1-2 Filed 10/27/18 Page 2 of 2 PageID #: 16 CERTIFICATION OF ARBITRATION ELIGIBILITY

Local Arbitration Rule 83.10 provides that with certain exceptions, actions seeking money damages only in an amount not in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs, are eligible for compulsory arbitration. The amount of damages is presumed to be below the threshold amount unless a certification to the contrary is filed.

I, _	Novlette R.Kidd	, counsel for	Plaintiff	, do hereby certify that the above captioned civil action
is i	neligible for compulsory arbitration for the following	g reason(s):		

~	
~	
~	

monetary damages sought are in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs,

the complaint seeks injunctive relief,

the matter is otherwise ineligible for the following reason Legal issues predominate

#### **DISCLOSURE STATEMENT - FEDERAL RULES CIVIL PROCEDURE 7.1**

Identify any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation that owns 10% or more or its stocks:

#### N/A - Plaintiff is a natural person.

#### **RELATED CASE STATEMENT (Section VIII on the Front of this Form)**

Please list all cases that are arguably related pursuant to Division of Business Rule 50.3.1 in Section VIII on the front of this form. Rule 50.3.1 (a) provides that "A civil case is "related" to another civil case for purposes of this guideline when, because of the similarity of facts and legal issues or because the cases arise from the same transactions or events, a substantial saving of judicial resources is likely to result from assigning both cases to the same judge and magistrate judge." Rule 50.3.1 (b) provides that "A civil case shall not be deemed "related" to another civil case merely because the civil case: (A) involves identical legal issues, or (B) involves the same parties." Rule 50.3.1 (c) further provides that "Presumptively, and subject to the power of a judge to determine otherwise pursuant to paragraph (d), civil cases shall not be deemed to be "related" unless both cases are still pending before the court."

#### NY-E DIVISION OF BUSINESS RULE 50.1(d)(2)

1.)	Is the civil action b County?		ed in the East ′es	ern District removed from No	n a New	York State Court located i	n Nassau or Suffolk		
2.)	- · · · ·	or omiss		se to the claim or claims No	, or a sul	bstantial part thereof, occu	ır in Nassau or Suffolk		
			sions giving ri ′es	se to the claim or claims No	, or a sul	bstantial part thereof, occu	r in the Eastern		
	c) If this is a Fair De received: Nassau			Act case, specify the Coun	ty in whi	ch the offending communicat	tion was		
Suffolk (	If your answer to question 2 (b) is "No," does the defendant (or a majority of the defendants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County, or, in an interpleader action, does the claimant (or a majority of the claimants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County? <u>Yes</u> <u>No</u> (Note: A corporation shall be considered a resident of the County in which it has the most significant contacts).								
				BAR ADMIS	SION				
	I am currently admitted	ed in the	e Eastern Distr	ict of New York and current	ly a mem	ber in good standing of the ba	ar of this court.		
		~	Yes			No			
	Are you currently the	he subj	ect of any dis	ciplinary action (s) in this	or any o	other state or federal court	?		
			Yes	(If yes, please explain		No			
I certify the accuracy of all information provided above.									
	Signature:	/s/ N	lovlette R. Ki	bd					
	Print		Save As			Reset	Last Modified: 11/27/2017		

# **ClassAction.org**

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>New York Consumer Claims Tri-State Adjustments Failed to Identify Creditor in Letter</u>