

1 CROSNER LEGAL, P.C.  
2 Michael T. Houchin (SBN 305541)  
3 mhouchin@crosnerlegal.com  
4 Craig W. Straub (SBN 249032)  
5 craig@crosnerlegal.com  
6 Zachary M. Crosner (SBN 272295)  
7 zach@crosnerlegal.com  
8 9440 Santa Monica Blvd. Suite 301  
9 Beverly Hills, CA 90210  
10 Tel: (866) 276-7637  
11 Fax: (310) 510-6429  
12 *Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Proposed Class*

13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

ASHLEY WRIGHT, individually, and on  
behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

OCEAN SPRAY CRANBERRIES, INC.,

Defendant.

Case No.

**CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT AND  
DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

CROSNER LEGAL, P.C.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**INTRODUCTION**

1. Plaintiff Ashley Wright (“Plaintiff”) on behalf of herself, all others similarly situated, and the general public, by and through her undersigned counsel, hereby brings this action against Defendant Ocean Spray Cranberries, Inc. (“Defendant” or “Ocean Spray”), and upon information and belief and investigation of counsel, alleges as follows:

2. This is a California consumer class action for violations of the Consumers Legal Remedies Act, Cal. Civ. Code §§ 1750, *et seq.* (“CLRA”), Unfair Competition Law, Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17200, *et seq.* (“UCL”), and for breach of express warranty.

3. Defendant manufactures, distributes, advertises, markets, and sells the Ocean Spray Cran-Raspberry juice product and the Ocean Spray 100% Juice Cranberry Watermelon product (collectively “the Products”).

4. The labels for the Products prominently display, in a conspicuous advertising panel on the back of the label, the claim that these Products include “**No Preservatives.**”

5. This statement is false. Each of the Products are made with citric acid—a well known preservative used in food and beverage products.

6. Defendant’s packaging, labeling, and advertising scheme is intended to give consumers the impression that they are buying a premium product that is free from preservatives.

7. Plaintiff, who purchased the Products in California, was deceived by Defendant’s unlawful conduct and brings this action on her own behalf and on behalf of California consumers to remedy Defendant’s unlawful acts.

**JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

8. This Court has original jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d) because this is a class action in which: (1) there are over 100 members in the proposed class; (2) members of the proposed class have a different citizenship from Defendant; and (3) the claims of the proposed class members exceed \$5,000,000 in the aggregate, exclusive of interest and costs.

9. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because Defendant conducts and transacts business in the State of California, contracts to supply goods within the State of

1 California, and supplies goods within the State of California. Defendant, on its own and through  
 2 its agents, is responsible for the distribution, marketing, labeling, and sale of the Products in  
 3 California, specifically in this judicial district. The marketing of the Products, including the  
 4 decision of what to include and not include on the labels, emanates from Defendant. Thus,  
 5 Defendant has intentionally availed itself of the markets within California through its  
 6 advertising, marketing, and sale of the Products to consumers in California, including Plaintiff.  
 7 The Court also has specific jurisdiction over Defendant as it has purposefully directed activities  
 8 towards the forum state, Plaintiff's claims arise out of those activities, and it is reasonable for  
 9 Defendant to defend this lawsuit because it has sold deceptively advertised Products to Plaintiff  
 10 and members of the Class in California. By distributing and selling the Products in California,  
 11 Defendant has intentionally and expressly aimed conduct at California which caused harm to  
 12 Plaintiff and the Class that Defendant knows is likely to be suffered by Californians.

13 10. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) because a substantial part of the  
 14 events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred in this District because Plaintiff purchased  
 15 one of the Products within this District.

#### 16 PARTIES

17 11. Defendant Ocean Spray Cranberries, Inc. is a Delaware corporation that  
 18 maintains its principal place of business at 1 Ocean Spray Drive, Lakeville-Middleboro,  
 19 Massachusetts 02349. Defendant is registered to do business in California as entity number  
 20 2082649. At all times during the class period, Defendant was the manufacturer, distributor,  
 21 marketer, and seller of the Products.

22 12. Plaintiff Ashley Wright is a resident of California. Plaintiff purchased one of the  
 23 Products during the class period in California. Plaintiff relied on Defendant's deceptive  
 24 advertising and labeling claims as set forth below.

#### 25 FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS


##### 26 **“NO PRESERVATIVES” IS PROMINENTLY DISPLAYED ON THE LABELS OF THE PRODUCTS**

27 13. The back labels for each of the Products prominently state that the Products  
 28 contain “No Preservatives” thereby misleading reasonable consumers into believing that the

CROSNER LEGAL, P.C.


1 Products are free from preservatives. However, each of the Products contain the preservative  
 2 citric acid. The labels for each of the Products are shown below.

3 Ocean Spray Cran-Raspberry



Ocean Spray 100% Juice Cranberry Watermelon

CROSNER LEGAL, P.C.



CROSNER LEGAL, P.C.

**CITRIC ACID IS A PRESERVATIVE**

14. Citric acid is found naturally in certain citrus fruits. However, many commercial food manufactures use a form of citric acid that is derived from heavy chemical processing.<sup>1</sup> Most commercially produced citric acid is manufactured using a type of black mold called *Aspergillus niger*.<sup>2</sup> Consumption of manufactured citric acid has been associated with adverse health events like joint pain with swelling and stiffness, muscular and stomach pain, as well as shortness of breath.<sup>3</sup>

15. Citric acid acts as a preservative when added to food products. The Food and Drug Administration (“FDA”) defines a preservative as “any chemical that, when added to food, tends to prevent or retard deterioration thereof, but does not include common salt, sugars, vinegars, spices, or oils extracted from spices, substances added to food by direct exposure thereof to wood smoke, or chemicals applied for their insecticidal or herbicidal properties.” 21 C.F.R. §101.22(a)(5). The FDA has listed citric acid as a preservative in its “Overview of Food Ingredients, Additives and Colors” as shown below:<sup>4</sup>

Types of Ingredients	What They Do	Examples of Uses	Names Found on Product Labels
Preservatives	Prevent food spoilage from bacteria, molds, fungi, or yeast (antimicrobials); slow or prevent changes in color, flavor, or texture and delay rancidity (antioxidants); maintain freshness	Fruit sauces and jellies, beverages, baked goods, cured meats, oils and margarines, cereals, dressings, snack foods, fruits and vegetables	Ascorbic acid, citric acid, sodium benzoate, calcium propionate, sodium erythorbate, sodium nitrite, calcium sorbate, potassium sorbate, BHA, BHT, EDTA, tocopherols (Vitamin E)

<sup>1</sup> A. Hesham, Y. Mostafa & L. Al-Sharqi, *Optimization of Citric Acid Production by Immobilized Cells of Novel Yeast Isolates*, 48 MYCOBIOLOGY 122, 123 (2020), available at <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7178817/>

<sup>2</sup> *Id*; Pau Loke Show, et al., *Overview of citric acid production from Aspergillus niger*, FRONTIERS IN LIFE SCIENCE, 8:3, 271-283 (2015), available at <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/21553769.2015.1033653>

<sup>3</sup> Iliana E. Sweis, et al., *Potential role of the common food additive manufactured citric acid in eliciting significant inflammatory reactions contributing to serious disease states: A series of four case reports*, TOXICOL REP. 5:808-812 (2018), available at <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6097542/>

<sup>4</sup> *Overview of Food Ingredients, Additives & Colors*, FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION, available at <https://web.archive.org/web/20220901032454/http://www.fda.gov/food/food-ingredients-packaging/overview-food-ingredients-additives-colors>

1           16. In a warning letter sent to Chiquita Brands International, Inc. and Fresh Express,  
2 Inc., the FDA warned that certain products were misbranded under the Federal Food Drug and  
3 Cosmetics Act because they “contain the *chemical preservatives ascorbic acid and citric acid*  
4 but their labels fail to declare these *preservatives* with a description of their functions. 21 C.F.R.  
5 [§] 101.22” (emphasis added).<sup>5</sup>

6           17. The Encyclopedia Britannica also classifies citric acid as a preservative because it  
7 has antioxidant properties, as shown below<sup>6</sup>:

## 8           **Preservatives**

9           Food preservatives are classified into two main groups: antioxidants and  
10 antimicrobials. Antioxidants are compounds that delay or prevent the deterioration of  
11 foods by oxidative mechanisms. Antimicrobial agents inhibit the growth of spoilage  
12 and pathogenic microorganisms in food.

13 <b>Food preservatives</b>	
14 <b>chemical agent</b>	15 <b>mechanism of action</b>
16 <b>Antioxidants</b>	
17 <b>ascorbic acid</b>	oxygen scavenger
18 <b>butylated hydroxyanisole (BHA)</b>	free radical scavenger
19 <b>butylated hydroxytoluene (BHT)</b>	free radical scavenger
20 <b>citric acid</b>	enzyme inhibitor/metal chelator
21 <b>sulfites</b>	enzyme inhibitor/oxygen scavenger
22 <b>tertiary butylhydroquinone (TBHQ)</b>	free radical scavenger
23 <b>tocopherols</b>	free radical scavenger

24  
25  
26           <sup>5</sup> See **Exhibit A** attached hereto.

27           <sup>6</sup> *Preservatives*, BRITANICA, available at <https://www.britannica.com/topic/food-additive/Preservatives#ref502211>  
28

1 18. The Agricultural Marketing Service of the United States Department of  
2 Agriculture (“USDA”) has also recognized the use of citric acid as a preservative stating that  
3 “Citric acid has a wide variety of uses, some of which can provide preservative functions,  
4 primarily though lowering the pH of the food.”<sup>7</sup>

5 19. The USDA’s Food Safety Inspection Service’s “Guideline for Label Approval”  
6 states that “[s]ome common chemical preservatives include BHA, BHT, calcium propionate,  
7 citric acid, natamycin and sodium propionate.”<sup>8</sup>

8 20. Several academic journals also note the use of citric acid as a preservative.<sup>9</sup>  
9 Indeed, “Citric acid acts as a preservative in many processed foods, keeping them fresh. It does  
10 this by slowing or helping prevent the formation of bacteria, mold, yeast, and fungus.”<sup>10</sup> “Today,  
11 citric acid is one of the most common and widely-used preservatives in the world[.]”<sup>11</sup>

12 21. Citric acid functions as a preservative in the Products regardless of whether  
13 Defendant intended to use citric acid as a preservative. Citric acid has the capacity or tendency  
14 to function as a preservative even if it is added to the Products for some other use. *See* 21 C.F.R.  
15 §101.22(a)(5) (defining preservatives as “any chemical that, when added to food, *tends to*  
16 *prevent or retard deterioration*”) (emphasis added); *see also* Merriam-Webster’s Dictionary

17 \_\_\_\_\_  
18 <sup>7</sup> *Citric Acid and Salts*, UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE, *available at*  
19 <https://www.ams.usda.gov/sites/default/files/media/Citric%20Acid%20TR%202015.pdf>.

20 <sup>8</sup> FSIS Guideline for Label Approval, UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE, *available*  
21 *at* [https://www.fsis.usda.gov/sites/default/files/media\\_file/documents/FSIS-GD-2023-0001.pdf](https://www.fsis.usda.gov/sites/default/files/media_file/documents/FSIS-GD-2023-0001.pdf)

22 <sup>9</sup> K. Kirimura, et al., *Citric Acid*, COMPREHENSIVE BIOTECHNOLOGY (SECOND EDITION) (2011),  
23 *available at*  
24 <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/B9780080885049001690?via%3Dihub>;  
25 K.M.S. Islam, *Use of citric acid in broiler diets*, WORLD’S POULTRY SCIENCE JOURNAL VOL.  
26 68, ISSUE 1 (Feb. 21, 2012), *available at* <https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/world-s-poultry-science-journal/article/abs/use-of-citric-acid-in-broiler-diets/DA15C2C1F90667525BF2414DF3BFF646> (“Citric Acid (CA) is a weak organic acid  
27 which is a natural preservative and can add an acidic or sour taste to foods and soft drinks.”).

28 <sup>10</sup> *What is citric acid, and what is it used for?*, MEDICAL NEWS TODAY (July 23, 2021), *available*  
*at* <https://www.medicalnewstoday.com/articles/citric-acid>

<sup>11</sup> *Citric Acid: One of the Most Important Preservatives in The World*, FBC INDUSTRIES, INC.  
(Feb. 5, 2019), *available at* <https://fbcindustries.com/citric-acid-one-of-the-most-important-preservatives-in-the-world/>



1 (defining “preservative” as “something that preserves or *has the power of preserving.*”)  
 2 (emphasis added).<sup>12</sup>

3 **REASONABLE CONSUMERS ARE DECEIVED BY DEFENDANT’S FALSE “NO PRESERVATIVES”**

4 **LABELING STATEMENT AND SUFFERED ECONOMIC INJURY**

5 22. Consumers, like Plaintiff, relied on Defendant’s “No Preservatives” labeling  
 6 statement. The “No Preservatives” statement on the labels of the Products is material to  
 7 reasonable consumers. “[F]oods bearing ‘free-from’ claims are increasingly relevant to  
 8 Americans, as they perceive the products as closely tied to health ... 84 percent of American  
 9 consumers buy free-from foods because they are seeking out more natural or less processed  
 10 foods. In fact, 43 percent of consumers agree that free-from foods are healthier than foods  
 11 without a free-from claim, while another three in five believe the fewer ingredients a product  
 12 has, the healthier it is (59 percent). Among the top claims free-from consumers deem most  
 13 important are trans-fat-free (78 percent) and preservative-free (71 percent).”<sup>13</sup>

14 23. Plaintiff and the putative class members suffered economic injury as a result of  
 15 Defendant’s actions. Plaintiff and putative class members spent money that, absent Defendant’s  
 16 actions, they would not have spent. Plaintiff and putative class members are entitled to damages  
 17 and restitution for the purchase price of the Products that were falsely labeled and advertised.  
 18 Consumers, including Plaintiff, would not have purchased Defendant’s Products, or would have  
 19 paid less for the Products, if they had known the Products actually contain a preservative  
 20 ingredient.

21  
 22  
 23  
 24 \_\_\_\_\_  
 25 <sup>12</sup> *Preservative*, MERRIAM-WEBSTER’S DICTIONARY, available at [https://www.merriam-](https://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/preservative?utm_campaign=sd&utm_medium=serp&utm_source=jso)  
 26 [webster.com/dictionary/preservative?utm\\_campaign=sd&utm\\_medium=serp&utm\\_source=jso](https://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/preservative?utm_campaign=sd&utm_medium=serp&utm_source=jso)  
 27 [nld](https://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/preservative?utm_campaign=sd&utm_medium=serp&utm_source=jso)

28 <sup>13</sup> *84% of Americans buy “free-from” foods because they believe them to be more natural or less processed*, Mintel (Sept. 3, 2015), available at [https://www.mintel.com/press-centre/84-of-](https://www.mintel.com/press-centre/84-of-americans-buy-free-from-foods-because-they-believe-them-to-be-more-natural-or-less-processed/)  
[americans-buy-free-from-foods-because-they-believe-them-to-be-more-natural-or-less-](https://www.mintel.com/press-centre/84-of-americans-buy-free-from-foods-because-they-believe-them-to-be-more-natural-or-less-processed/)  
[processed/](https://www.mintel.com/press-centre/84-of-americans-buy-free-from-foods-because-they-believe-them-to-be-more-natural-or-less-processed/)

CROSNER LEGAL, P.C.

**PLAINTIFF’S PURCHASE OF THE PRODUCTS**

1  
2 24. Plaintiff Ashley Wright has purchased the Ocean Spray Cran-Raspberry product  
3 with the “No Preservatives” label claim during the class period. Plaintiff’s last purchase of the  
4 Product was in approximately 2023 from a Safeway or Lucky’s store located in Contra Costa  
5 County, California.

6 25. Plaintiff saw and relied on the “No Preservatives” claim on the labels of the  
7 Products. Plaintiff would not have purchased the Products, or would have paid less for the  
8 Products, had she known that the products actually contain a preservative ingredient. As a result,  
9 Plaintiff suffered injury in fact when she spent money to purchase the Products she would not  
10 have purchased, or would have paid less for, absent Defendant’s misconduct. Plaintiff has not  
11 purchased the Products after learning that they contain a preservative ingredient. Plaintiff desires  
12 to purchase the Products again if the labels of the products were accurate and if the products  
13 actually contained “No Preservatives.” However, as a result of Defendant’s ongoing  
14 misrepresentations, Plaintiff is unable to rely on the Products’ advertising and labeling when  
15 deciding in the future whether to purchase the Products.

**NO ADEQUATE REMEDY AT LAW**

16  
17 26. Plaintiff and members of the class are entitled to equitable relief as no adequate  
18 remedy at law exists. The statutes of limitations for the causes of action pled herein vary. Class  
19 members who purchased the Products more than three years prior to the filing of the complaint  
20 will be barred from recovery if equitable relief were not permitted under the UCL.

21 27. The scope of actionable misconduct under the unfair prong of the UCL is broader  
22 than the other causes of action asserted herein. It includes Defendant’s overall unfair marketing  
23 scheme to promote and brand the Products, across a multitude of media platforms, including the  
24 product labels, packaging, and online advertisements, over a long period of time, in order to gain  
25 an unfair advantage over competitor products. Plaintiff and class members may also be entitled  
26 to restitution under the UCL, while not entitled to damages under other causes of action asserted  
27 herein (e.g., the CLRA is limited to certain types of plaintiffs (an individual who seeks or  
28

1 acquires, by purchase or lease, any goods or services for personal, family, or household  
2 purposes) and other statutorily enumerated conduct).

3 28. A primary litigation objective in this litigation is to obtain injunctive relief.  
4 Injunctive relief is appropriate on behalf of Plaintiff and members of the class because Defendant  
5 continues to misrepresent the Products as containing “No Preservatives” when the Products  
6 actually contain the preservative ingredient citric acid. Injunctive relief is necessary to prevent  
7 Defendant from continuing to engage in the unfair, fraudulent, and/or unlawful conduct  
8 described herein and to prevent future harm—none of which can be achieved through available  
9 legal remedies (such as monetary damages to compensate past harm). Injunctive relief, in the  
10 form of affirmative disclosures or halting the sale of unlawful sold products is necessary to dispel  
11 the public misperception about the Products that has resulted from years of Defendant’s unfair,  
12 fraudulent, and unlawful marketing efforts. Such disclosures would include, but are not limited  
13 to, publicly disseminated statements stating that the Products actually contain a preservative. An  
14 injunction requiring affirmative disclosures to dispel the public’s misperception, and prevent the  
15 ongoing deception and repeat purchases, is also not available through a legal remedy (such as  
16 monetary damages). In addition, Plaintiff is currently unable to accurately quantify the damages  
17 caused by Defendant’s future harm, because discovery and Plaintiff’s investigation has not yet  
18 completed, rendering injunctive relief necessary. Further, because a public injunction is  
19 available under the UCL, and damages will not adequately benefit the general public in a manner  
20 equivalent to an injunction.

21 29. It is premature to determine whether an adequate remedy at law exists. This is an  
22 initial pleading and discovery has not yet commenced and/or is at its initial stages. No class has  
23 been certified yet. No expert discovery has commenced and/or completed. The completion of  
24 fact/non-expert and expert discovery, as well as the certification of this case as a class action,  
25 are necessary to finalize and determine the adequacy and availability of all remedies, including  
26 legal and equitable, for Plaintiff’s individual claims and any certified class or subclass. Plaintiff  
27 therefore reserves her right to amend this complaint and/or assert additional facts that  
28 demonstrate this Court’s jurisdiction to order equitable remedies where no adequate legal

1 remedies are available for either Plaintiff and/or any certified class or subclass. Such proof, to  
2 the extent necessary, will be presented prior to the trial of any equitable claims for relief and/or  
3 the entry of an order granting equitable relief.

4 **CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS**

5 30. Plaintiff brings this action as a class action pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil  
6 Procedure 23(b)(3) and 23(b)(2) on behalf of the following Class:

7 All persons who purchased the Products for personal use in California within the  
8 applicable statute of limitations until the date class notice is disseminated.

9 31. Excluded from the class are: (i) Defendant and its officers, directors, and  
10 employees; (ii) any person who files a valid and timely request for exclusion; (iii) judicial  
11 officers and their immediate family members and associated court staff assigned to the case; (iv)  
12 individuals who received a full refund of the Products from Defendant.

13 32. Plaintiff reserves the right to amend or otherwise alter the class definition  
14 presented to the Court at the appropriate time, or to propose or eliminate subclasses, in response  
15 to facts learned through discovery, legal arguments advanced by Defendant, or otherwise.

16 33. The Class is appropriate for certification because Plaintiff can prove the elements  
17 of the claims on a classwide basis using the same evidence as would be used to prove those  
18 elements in individual actions alleging the same claims.

19 34. Numerosity: Class Members are so numerous that joinder of all members is  
20 impracticable. Plaintiff believes that there are thousands of consumers who are Class Members  
21 described above who have been damaged by Defendant's deceptive and misleading practices.

22 35. Commonality: There is a well-defined community of interest in the common  
23 questions of law and fact affecting all Class Members. The questions of law and fact common  
24 to the Class Members which predominate over any questions which may affect individual Class  
25 Members include, but are not limited to:

26 a. Whether Defendant is responsible for the conduct alleged herein which was  
27 uniformly directed at all consumers who purchased the Products;

28

CROSNER LEGAL, P.C.

1           b.       Whether Defendant’s misconduct set forth in this Complaint demonstrates that  
2 Defendant engaged in unfair, fraudulent, or unlawful business practices with respect to the  
3 advertising, marketing, and sale of the Products;

4           c.       Whether Defendant made misrepresentations concerning the Products that were  
5 likely to deceive the public;

6           d.       Whether Plaintiff and the Class are entitled to injunctive relief;

7           e.       Whether Plaintiff and the Class are entitled to money damages and/or restitution  
8 under the same causes of action as the other Class Members.

9           36.     Typicality: Plaintiff is a member of the Class that Plaintiff seeks to represent.  
10 Plaintiff’s claims are typical of the claims of each Class Member in that every member of the  
11 Class was susceptible to the same deceptive, misleading conduct and purchased the Products.  
12 Plaintiff is entitled to relief under the same causes of action as the other Class Members.

13           37.     Adequacy: Plaintiff is an adequate Class representative because Plaintiff’s  
14 interests do not conflict with the interests of the Class Members Plaintiff seeks to represent; the  
15 consumer fraud claims are common to all other members of the Class, and Plaintiff has a strong  
16 interest in vindicating the rights of the class; Plaintiff has retained counsel competent and  
17 experienced in complex class action litigation and Plaintiff intends to vigorously prosecute this  
18 action. Plaintiff has no interests which conflict with those of the Class. The Class Members’  
19 interests will be fairly and adequately protected by Plaintiff and proposed Class Counsel.  
20 Defendant has acted in a manner generally applicable to the Class, making relief appropriate  
21 with respect to Plaintiff and the Class Members. The prosecution of separate actions by  
22 individual Class Members would create a risk of inconsistent and varying adjudications.

23           38.     The Class is properly brought and should be maintained as a class action because  
24 a class action is superior to traditional litigation of this controversy. A class action is superior to  
25 the other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy because:

26           a.       The joinder of hundreds of individual Class Members is impracticable,  
27 cumbersome, unduly burdensome, and a waste of judicial and/or litigation resources;

28

CROSNER LEGAL, P.C.

1           b.       The individual claims of the Class Members may be relatively modest compared  
2 with the expense of litigating the claim, thereby making it impracticable, unduly burdensome,  
3 and expensive to justify individual actions;

4           c.       When Defendant’s liability has been adjudicated, all Class Members’ claims can  
5 be determined by the Court and administered efficiently in a manner far less burdensome and  
6 expensive than if it were attempted through filing, discovery, and trial of all individual cases;

7           d.       This class action will promote orderly, efficient, expeditious, and appropriate  
8 adjudication and administration of Class claims;

9           e.       Plaintiff knows of no difficulty to be encountered in the management of this  
10 action that would preclude its maintenance as a class action;

11           f.       This class action will assure uniformity of decisions among Class Members;

12           g.       The Class is readily definable and prosecution of this action as a class action will  
13 eliminate the possibility of repetitious litigation; and

14           h.       Class Members’ interests in individually controlling the prosecution of separate  
15 actions is outweighed by their interest in efficient resolution by single class action;

16           39.       Additionally or in the alternative, the Class also may be certified because  
17 Defendant has acted or refused to act on grounds generally applicable to the Class thereby  
18 making final declaratory and/or injunctive relief with respect to the members of the Class as a  
19 whole, appropriate.

20           40.       Plaintiff seeks preliminary and permanent injunctive and equitable relief on  
21 behalf of the Class, on grounds generally applicable to the Class, to enjoin and prevent  
22 Defendant from engaging in the acts described, and to require Defendant to provide full  
23 restitution to Plaintiff and the Class members.

24           41.       Unless the Class is certified, Defendant will retain monies that were taken from  
25 Plaintiff and Class members as a result of Defendant’s wrongful conduct. Unless a classwide  
26 injunction is issued, Defendant will continue to commit the violations alleged and the members  
27 of the Class and the general public will continue to be misled.

28

CROSNER LEGAL, P.C.

**FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

**Violation of California’s Consumers Legal Remedies Act**

**Cal. Civ. Code § 1750 *et seq.***

42. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference all allegations contained in this complaint, as though fully set forth herein.

43. Plaintiff brings this claim under the CLRA individually and on behalf of the Class against Defendant.

44. At all times relevant hereto, Plaintiff and the members of the Class were “consumer[s],” as defined in California Civil Code section 1761(d).

45. At all relevant times, Defendant was a “person,” as defined in California Civil Code section 1761(c).

46. At all relevant times, the Products manufactured, marketed, advertised, and sold by Defendant constituted “goods,” as defined in California Civil Code section 1761(a).

47. The purchases of the Products by Plaintiff and the members of the Class were and are “transactions” within the meaning of California Civil Code section 1761(e).

48. Defendant disseminated, or caused to be disseminated, through its advertising, false and misleading representations, including the Products’ labeling that the Products contain “No Preservatives.” Defendant failed to disclose that the Products contain a preservative ingredient called citric acid. This is a material misrepresentation and omission as reasonable consumer would find the fact that the Products contain a preservative to be important to their decision in purchasing the Products. Defendant’s representations violate the CLRA in the following ways:

a) Defendant represented that the Products have characteristics, ingredients, uses, and benefits which they do not have (Cal. Civ. Code § 1770(a)(5));

b) Defendant represented that the Products are of a particular standard, quality, or grade, which they are not (Cal. Civ. Code § 1770(a)(7));

c) Defendant advertised the Products with an intent not to sell the Products as advertised (Cal. Civ. Code § 1770(a)(9)); and

CROSNER LEGAL, P.C.

1 d) Defendant represented that the subject of a transaction has been supplied in  
2 accordance with a previous representation when it has not (Cal. Civ. Code § 1770(a)(16)).

3 49. Defendant violated the CLRA because the Products were prominently advertised  
4 as containing “No Preservatives,” but, in reality, the Products contain a preservative ingredient  
5 called citric acid. Defendant knew or should have known that consumers would want to know  
6 that the Products contain a preservative.

7 50. Defendant’s actions as described herein were done with conscious disregard of  
8 Plaintiff’s and the Class members’ rights and were wanton and malicious.

9 51. Defendant’s wrongful business practices constituted, and constitute, a continuing  
10 course of conduct in violation of the CLRA, since Defendant is still representing that the  
11 Products have characteristics which they do not have.

12 52. Pursuant to California Civil Code section 1782(d), Plaintiff and the members of  
13 the Class seek an order enjoining Defendant from engaging in the methods, acts, and practices  
14 alleged herein.

15 53. Pursuant to California Civil Code section 1782, Plaintiff will notify Defendant in  
16 writing by certified mail of the alleged violations of the CLRA and will demand that Defendant  
17 rectify the problems associated with the actions detailed above and give notice to all affected  
18 consumers of their intent to so act. If Defendant fails to rectify or agree to rectify the problems  
19 associated with the actions detailed herein and give notice to all affected consumers within 30  
20 days of the date of written notice pursuant to section 1782 of the CLRA, then Plaintiff will  
21 amend her complaint to seek damages.

22 54. Pursuant to section 1780(d) of the CLRA, attached hereto is an affidavit showing  
23 that this action was commenced in a proper forum.

24  
25  
26  
27  
28



**SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

**Violation of California’s Unfair Competition Law**

**Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200 *et seq.***

1  
2  
3  
4 55. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference all allegations contained in this  
5 complaint, as though fully set forth herein.

6 56. Plaintiff brings this claim under the UCL individually and on behalf of the Class  
7 against Defendant.

8 57. The UCL prohibits any “unlawful,” “fraudulent,” or “unfair” business act or  
9 practice and any false or misleading advertising.

10 58. Defendant committed unlawful business acts or practices by making the  
11 representations and omitted material facts (which constitutes advertising within the meaning of  
12 California Business & Professions Code section 17200), as set forth more fully herein, and by  
13 violating California’s Consumers Legal Remedies Act, Cal. Civ. Code §§17500, *et seq.*,  
14 California’s False Advertising Law, Cal. Bus. & Prof. § 17500, *et seq.*, 15 U.S.C. § 45, and by  
15 breaching express and implied warranties. Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of the other Class  
16 members, reserves the right to allege other violations of law, which constitute other unlawful  
17 business acts or practices. Such conduct is ongoing and continues to this date.

18 59. Defendant committed “unfair” business acts or practices by: (1) engaging in  
19 conduct where the utility of such conduct is outweighed by the harm to Plaintiff and the members  
20 of the a Class; (2) engaging in conduct that is immoral, unethical, oppressive, unscrupulous, or  
21 substantially injurious to Plaintiff and the members of the Class; and (3) engaging in conduct  
22 that undermines or violates the intent of the consumer protection laws alleged herein. There is  
23 no societal benefit from deceptive advertising. Plaintiff and the other Class members paid for a  
24 Product that is not as advertised by Defendant. Further, Defendant failed to disclose a material  
25 fact (that the Products contain a preservative) of which they had exclusive knowledge. While  
26 Plaintiff and the other Class members were harmed, Defendant was unjustly enriched by its false  
27 misrepresentations and material omissions. As a result, Defendant’s conduct is “unfair,” as it  
28

CROSNER LEGAL, P.C.

CROSNER LEGAL, P.C.

1 offended an established public policy. There were reasonably available alternatives to further  
2 Defendant’s legitimate business interests, other than the conduct described herein.

3 60. Defendant committed “fraudulent” business acts or practices by making the  
4 representations of material fact regarding the Products set forth herein. Defendant’s business  
5 practices as alleged are “fraudulent” under the UCL because they are likely to deceive customers  
6 into believing the Products actually contain no preservatives.

7 61. Plaintiff and the other members of the Class have in fact been deceived as a result  
8 of their reliance on Defendant’s material representations and omissions. This reliance has caused  
9 harm to Plaintiff and the other members of the Class, each of whom purchased Defendant’s  
10 Products. Plaintiff and the other Class members have suffered injury in fact and lost money as a  
11 result of purchasing the Products and Defendant’s unlawful, unfair, and fraudulent practices.

12 62. Defendant’s wrongful business practices and violations of the UCL are ongoing.

13 63. Plaintiff and the Class seek pre-judgment interest as a direct and proximate result  
14 of Defendant’s unfair and fraudulent business conduct. The amount on which interest is to be  
15 calculated is a sum certain and capable of calculation, and Plaintiff and the Class seek interest  
16 in an amount according to proof.

17 64. Unless restrained and enjoined, Defendant will continue to engage in the above-  
18 described conduct. Accordingly, injunctive relief is appropriate. Pursuant to California Business  
19 & Professions Code section 17203, Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of the Class, seeks (1)  
20 restitution from Defendant of all money obtained from Plaintiff and the other Class members as  
21 a result of unfair competition; (2) an injunction prohibiting Defendant from continuing such  
22 practices in the State of California that do not comply with California law; and (3) all other relief  
23 this Court deems appropriate, consistent with California Business & Professions Code section  
24 17203.

25 **THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

26 **Breach of Express Warranty**

27 65. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference all allegations contained in this  
28 complaint, as though fully set forth herein.



CROSNER LEGAL, P.C.

1 a. Declaring that this action is a proper class action, certifying the Class as requested  
2 herein, designating Plaintiff as the Class Representative and appointing the undersigned counsel  
3 as Class Counsel;

4 b. Ordering restitution and disgorgement of all profits and unjust enrichment that  
5 Defendant obtained from Plaintiff and the Class members as a result of Defendant’s unlawful,  
6 unfair, and fraudulent business practices;

7 c. Ordering injunctive relief as permitted by law or equity, including enjoining  
8 Defendant from continuing the unlawful practices as set forth herein, and ordering Defendant to  
9 engage in a corrective advertising campaign;

10 d. Ordering damages in amount which is different than that calculated for restitution  
11 for Plaintiff and the Class;

12 e. Ordering Defendant to pay attorneys’ fees and litigation costs to Plaintiff and the  
13 other members of the Class;

14 f. Ordering Defendant to pay both pre- and post-judgment interest on any amounts  
15 awarded; and

16 g. Ordering such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

17 **JURY DEMAND**

18 Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury of all claims in this Complaint so triable.

19  
20 Dated: October 27, 2023

CROSNER LEGAL, P.C.

21 By: /s/ Michael T. Houchin  
22 MICHAEL T. HOUCHIN

23 9440 Santa Monica Blvd. Suite 301  
24 Beverly Hills, CA 90210  
25 Tel: (866) 276-7637  
26 Fax: (310) 510-6429  
27 mhouchin@crosnerlegal.com

*Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Proposed Class*

CROSNER LEGAL, P.C.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**Affidavit Pursuant to Civil Code Section 1780(d)**

I, MICHAEL T. HOUCHIN, declare as follows:

1. I am an attorney duly licensed to practice before all of the courts of the State of California. I am one of the counsel of record for Plaintiff.

2. This declaration is made pursuant to § 1780(d) of the California Consumers Legal Remedies Act.

3. Defendant Ocean Spray Cranberries, Inc. has done, and is doing, business in California, including in this District. Such business includes the marketing, promotion, distribution, and sale of the Products within the State of California.

4. Plaintiff Wright alleges that she purchased one of the products at issue in this District.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed October 27, 2023 at San Diego, California.

CROSNER LEGAL, P.C.

By:           /s/ Michael T. Houchin            
MICHAEL T. HOUCHIN

9440 Santa Monica Blvd. Suite 301  
Beverly Hills, CA 90210  
Tel: (866) 276-7637  
Fax: (310) 510-6429  
mhouchin@crosnerlegal.com

# ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: [Certain Ocean Spray Juices Falsely Labeled as Made with 'No Preservatives,' Class Action Alleges](#)

---