NOTICE OF REMOVAL OF ACTION

Case 3:18-cv-00355-BEN-AGS Document 1 Filed 02/15/18 PageID.1 Page 1 of 3

and all others similarly situated v., STEELE CANYON GOLF CLUB CORPORATION, a California corporation and DOES 1 to 10, inclusive, Defendants," as Case No. 37-2018-00000721-CU-MC-CTL (the "Complaint").

- 2. Defendant accepted service of the Complaint on February 5, 2018. A copy of the summons and Complaint served on Defendant is attached as Exhibit 1. Thus, in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 1446(b) Defendant has timely filed this Notice of Removal.
- 3. Plaintiff alleges defendant violated 15 U.S.C. §§ 1681 *et seq.* This suit is a civil action over which this Court would have original jurisdiction and is one which Defendants may remove to this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441.
- 4. Defendant will promptly serve written notice to the plaintiff in this action upon the filing of the Notice of Removal with this Court.
- 5. Defendant will file a true and correct copy of its Notice of Removal with the Clerk of the Court for the Superior Court of the State of California in and for the County of San Diego as provided under 28 U.S.C. § 1446 (d).

WHEREFORE, Defendant hereby removes the above-styled civil action to this Court's jurisdiction.

Dated: February 15, 2018

SMYLIE & VAN DUSEN

By: //s/ Scott A. Smylie
Scott A. Smylie, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
E-mail: esqsas@aol.com

#### DUPLICATE

Court Name: USDC California Southern

Division: 3

Receipt Number: CAS098446 Cashier ID: nsiefken

Transaction Date: 02/15/2018 Payer Name: One Legal LLC

CIVIL FILING FEE

For: One Legal LLC

Case/Party: D-CAS-3-18-CV-000355-001

Amount: \$400.00

CHECK

Check/Money Order Num: 3559062

Amt Tendered: \$400.00

Total Due:

\$400.00

Total Tendered: \$400.00

Change Amt: \$0.00

There will be a fee of \$53.00 charged for any returned check. S 24 (Rev. 96 17)

## CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. ISEL INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORMAL.

L (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS Steele Canyon Golf	Club Corporation	•
Michael Witte		FILED Steele Canyon Golf Club Corporation '18 CV0355 BEN AGS			
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff  HEXTEPT IN ITS PLAINTH		Feb 15 2018  County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF			Y(.Y)
		CLERK, U.S. DISTRIC SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF	CALIFORNIA		
(c) Attorneys (From Name.) Gaines & Gaines, APLC 27200 Agoura Road, Sui 818-703-8985	L	3) Elliando	DENTY   S (II Known)  1350 Columbia Str 619-233-9199	JSEN eet, Suite 403, San Diew	/go, CA 92101
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place on "N")	n One Box Only	. CITIZENSHIP OF PI	RINCIPAL PARTIES	Place on "X" in One Box for Planny
77 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff			(For Diversity Cases Only) PT Citizen of This State 7		
T 2 U.S. Government Dofendant	(7) 4 Diversity  Andwate Cinzer	nship of Parties in Hem III)	Citizen of Another State	of Business In A	Another State
			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3	Ø 6 0 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT			FORFEITURE/PENALTY	Click here for, Nature of BANKRUPTCY	of Suit Code Descriptions.  OTHER STATUTES
7 110 Insurance 7 120 Marine 7 120 Marine 7 130 Miller Act 7 140 Negotiable Instrument 7 150 Recovery of Overpayment 8 Enforcement of Judgment 7 151 Medicare Act 7 152 Recovery of Defaulted 8 Student Leans (Excludes Veterans) 7 153 Recovery of Overpayment 9 Veteran's Benefits 7 160 Stockholders' Suits 7 190 Other Contract 7 195 Couttact Product Liability 7 196 Franchise 8 REAL PROPERTY 9 210 Land Condemnation 9 220 Foreclosure 1 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 1 245 Tort Product Liability 1 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY  □ 310 Airplane Product Liability  □ 320 Assault, Libet & Slander □ 330 Federal Employers Liability  □ 340 Marine □ 345 Marine Product Liability  □ 350 Motor Vehicle □ 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability  □ 360 Other Personal Injury Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS  □ 440 Other Civil Rights □ 442 Employment □ 443 Housing □ 445 Amer w/Disabilitie Employment	☐ 365 Personal Injury Product Liability ☐ 367 Health Care' Pharmaceuteal Personal Injury Product Liability ☐ 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY ☐ 370 Other Fraud ☐ 371 Truth in Lending ☐ 380 Other Personal Property Damage ☐ 385 Property Damage ☐ 385 Property Damage ☐ 7 September Liability  PRISONER PETITIONS Habeas Corpus: ☐ 463 Alien Detainee ☐ 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence ☐ 530 General	G 625 Drug Related Scizure     of Property 21 USC 881     690 Other	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 ☐ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157  PROPERTY RIGHTS ☐ 830 Patent ☐ 836 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application ☐ 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY ☐ 861 RIA (13951) ☐ 862 Black Lung (923) ☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) ☐ 865 RSI (405(g))  FEDERAL TAX SUITS ☐ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) ☐ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	□ 375 False Claims Act □ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) □ 400 State Reapportronment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketee Influenced and Corrupt Organizations □ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 850 Securities/ Commodities Exchange □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 895 Environmental Matters □ 895 Environmental Matters □ 895 Freedom of Information Act □ 896 Arbitration □ 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision □ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
	n One Box Only) moved from 73 3 de Court	Remanded from 71.4 Appellate Court	Reinstated or D 5 Transfe Reopened Anothe	r District Litigation	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO			hing (Do not cite jurisdictional stat		Entert 110
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:		HS IS A CLASS ACTION E 23, F.R.Cv.P.	DEMANDS	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See msmacnons):	JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER	€ 
DATE 02/15/2018 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		SIGNATURE OF ATTOR S/ Scott A. Smylie			\$
	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP	J. DGE	MAG. JUL	) जि: इ

**EXHIBIT "1"** 

### SUMMONS (CITACION JUDICIAL)

NOTICE TO DEFENDANT: STEELE CANYON GOLF CLUB (AVISO AL DEMANDADO): CORPORATION, a California corporation, and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive

YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF: MICHAEL WITTE, on (LO ESTÁ DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE): behalf of himself and all others similarly situated

SUM-100

FOR COURT USE ONLY (SOLO PARA USO DE LA CORTE)

#### ELECTRONICALLY FILED

Superior Court of California, County of San Diego

01/05/2018 at 01:04:11 Plvi

Clerk of the Superior Court By Bika Engel, Deputy Clerk

NOTICE! You have been sued. The court may decide against you without your being heard unless you respond within 30 days. Read the information

You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfinelp), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court clerk for a fee waiver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court.

There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney there are order legal requirements. For may want to carran authory light away, if you do not know an authory, you may want to carran authory referral services. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can locate these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), the California Courts online Self-Help Center (www.courtlinfo.ca.gow/selfheip), or by contacting your local court or county bar association. NOTE: The court has a statutory lien for waived fees and costs on any settlement or arbitration award of \$10,000 or more in a civil case. The court's lien must be paid before the court will dismiss the case. JAVISOI Lo han demandado. Si no responde dentro de 30 días, la corte puede decidir en su contra sin escuchar su versión. Lea la información a continuación

Tilene 30 DIAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entreguen esta citación y papeles legales para presentar una respuesta por escrito en esta corde y hacer que se entregue una copia al demandante. Una carta o una llamada telefónica no lo protecto. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar en formato legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haye un formutario que usted pueda user para su respuesta.

en formalo legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un formulario que usted pueda user para su respuesta. Puede encontrar estos formularios de la corte y más información en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California (www.sucorte.ca.gov), en la biblioteca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que le quede más cerca. Si no puede pagar la cuota de presentación, pida al secretario de la corte que le dé un formulario de exención de pago de cuotas. Si no presenta su respuesta a tlempo, puede perder el caso por incumplimiento y le corte le podrá quitar su sueldo, dinero y bienes sin más advertencia.

Hay otros requisitos legales. Es recomendable que llame a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no conoce a un abogado, puede llamer a un servicio de remisión a abogados. Si no puede pagar a un abogado, es posible que cumpla con los requisitos para obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un programa de servicios legales sin tinos de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin tinos de lucro en el silto web de California Legal Services, (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California, (www.sucorte.ca.gov) o poniéndose en contacto con la corte o el colegio de abogados locales. AVISO: Por ley, la corte tiene derecho e reclamar las cuotas y los costos exentos por imponer un gravamen sobre cualquier recuperación de \$10,000 ó más de valor recibide mediante un acuerdo o una concesión de arbitraje en un caso de derecho civil. Tiene que pagar el gravemen de la corte entes de que la corte pueda desechar el caso. pagar el gravamen de la corie antes de que la corie pueda desechar el caso. The name and address of the court is:

CASE NUMBER:

(Número del Caso): 37-2018-00000721-CU-MC-CTL

Code of Civil Procedure §§ 412.20, 465

(El nombre y dirección de la corte es):

Form Adopted for Mandatory Use

Judicial Council of California SUM-100 (Rev. July 1, 2009)

Superior Court of	the State of Cali	fornia			
330 West Broadway, San Diego, CA 921 The name, address, and tele (El nombre, la dirección y el l Alex P. Katofsky, Gaines & Gaines, P	.01 phone number of plaintiff's at número de teléfono del aboga Esc. (SBN 202754)	torney, or plaintiff without an atto ado del demandante, o del dema 818-	andante que no tie	ne abogado, es): 818-703-8984	
27200 Agoura Road,	Suite 101		C 6 0		
Calabasas, CA 9130	1		E. Emal E. Engel		
DATE: 01/08/2018		Clerk, by	E. Engel	, Deputy	
(Fecha)		(Secretario)		(Adjunto)	
(Para prueba de entrega de e	sta citatión use el formulario	of Summons (form POS-010).) Proof of Service of Summons, (IN SERVED: You are served	POS-010)).		
(SEAL)	1. as an individual of	lefendant			
Caure of Call		ed under the fictitious name of (	specify):		
	3. on behalf of (specify):				
	under: CCP 416	3.10 (corporation)	CCP 416.6	30 (minor)	
		3.20 (defunct corporation)		70 (conservatee)	
	CCP 416	.40 (association or partnership)	CCP 416.9	0 (authorized person)	
AT STORY	other (sp			. , ,	
	4. by personal delive	erv on (date):		Manua di vididi	

SUMMONS

ELECTRONICALLY FILED Superior Court of California, County of San Diego KENNETH S. GAINES, ESQ. SBN 049045 1 01/05/2018 at 01:04:11 PM ken@gaineslawfirm.com Clerk of the Superior Court 2 DANIEL F. GAINES, ESQ. SBN 251488 By Bika Engel, Deputy Clerk daniel@gaineslawfirm.com ALEX P. KATOPSKY, ESQ. SBN 202754 3 alex@gaineslawfirm.com EVAN S. GAINES, ESO. SBN 287668 4 evan@gaineslawfirm.com GAIÑES & GAINES, APLC 5 27200 Agoura Road, Suite 101 Calabasas, California 91301 6 Telephone: (818) 703-8985 Facsimile: (818) 703-8984 7 Attorneys for Plaintiff Michael Witte, on behalf of himself and others similarly situated 8 9 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO 11 Case No.: 37-2018-00000721-CU-MC-CTL 12 MICHAEL WITTE, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated, 13 CLASS ACTION Plaintiff, 14 ٧. COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND 15 INJUNCTIVE RELIEF STEELE CANYON GOLF CLUB 16 CORPORATION, a California corporation. **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL** and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive. 17 Defendants. 18 19 Plaintiff MICHAEL WITTE ("Plaintiff"), on behalf of himself and all others similarly 20 situated (the "Class" or "Plaintiff Class"), and on behalf of the general public, complains of 21 Defendants, and each of them, as follows: 22 INTRODUCTION 23 1. This is a Class Action, pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure § 382, on behalf of 24 Plaintiff and other individuals who used credit or debit cards to make purchases from one or 25 more establishments owned and/or operated by Defendant STEELE CANYON GOLF CLUB 26 CORPORATION, a California corporation, and/or any subsidiaries or affiliated companies 27 (hereinafter referred to as "Defendants"). 28

2

3

- 2. In 2003, Congress passed the Fair and Accurate Credit Transaction Act ("FACTA") to assist in the prevention of identity theft and credit and debit card fraud.
- A main provision of FACTA (codified as 15 U.S.C. § 1681c(g) of the Fair Credit 3. Reporting Act) provides that:

"No person that accepts credit cards or debit cards for the transaction of business shall print more than the last five digits of the card number or the expiration date upon any receipt provided to the cardholder at the point of sale or transaction."

- 4. Defendants have willfully violated this law and failed to protect Plaintiff and others similarly situated against identity theft and credit card and debit card fraud by printing more than the last five digits of the debit and/or credit card account number on receipts provided to cardholders transacting business with Defendants.
- 5. Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated, brings this action against Defendants based on Defendants' violation of 15 U.S.C. §§ 1681 et seq.
- 6. Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and the Class, seeks statutory damages, punitive damages, costs and attorneys' fees, all of which are expressly made available by statute at 15 U.S.C. §§ 1681 et seq., and a permanent injunction enjoining Defendants from continuing their unlawful practice of willfully violating FACTA's provisions intended to safeguard against identity theft and credit and debit card fraud.

#### PARTIES

- 7. Plaintiff is, and at all times relevant hereto was, a resident of the State of California,
- 8. At all times relevant herein, Defendants have been doing business in the County of San Diego, State of California. The violations alleged herein have taken place at Defendants' business location within the County of San Diego, State of California. Venue as to each Defendant is therefore proper in this judicial district, pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure § 395.
- 9. The true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate, or otherwise, of Defendant sued herein as DOES 1 to 10, inclusive, are currently unknown to

Plaintiff, who therefore sues Defendant by such fictitious names under Code of Civil Procedure § 474. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon allege, that each of the Defendants designated herein as a DOE is legally responsible in some manner for the unlawful acts referred to herein. Plaintiff will seek leave of court to amend this Complaint to reflect the true names and capacities of the Defendant designated hereinafter as DOES when such identities become known.

10. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that each Defendant acted in all respects pertinent to this action as the agent of the other Defendants, carried out a joint scheme, business plan or policy in all respects pertinent hereto, and the acts of each Defendant are legally attributable to the other Defendants.

### **FACTUAL BACKGROUND**

- 11. At times since at least June, 2012, Defendants have owned and/or operated one or more golf courses in California.
- 12. Based on information and belief, Plaintiff alleges that Defendants accepted credit and debit cards from customers to make purchases at its golf courses.
- 13. Plaintiff used a credit card to make a purchase at Defendants' Steele Canyon golf course in June, 2017. More than the last five digits of his credit card account number were printed on the receipt generated and provided to him at the point of sale.
- 14. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that Defendants printed more than the last five digits of credit and/or debit card account number on receipts provided to their customers for transactions since at least June, 2012.

#### **CLASS ALLEGATIONS**

15. Plaintiff brings this class action pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure §382. Plaintiff seeks to certify a Class composed of and defined as follows:

#### THE CLASS

All persons in the United States to whom, since the date two years preceding the filing of this Action, Defendant provided an electronically printed hardeopy receipt at the point of sale or transaction on which was printed more than the last five digits of a

customer's credit or debit card account number of the person's credit 1 or debit card. 2 Numerosity 3 16. The Class is so numerous that joinder of all individual members in one action 4 would be impracticable. The disposition of the individual claims of the respective class members 5 6 through this class action will benefit both the parties and this Court. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that there are, at minimum, 7 17. 8 1,000 Class members. 9 18. The exact size of the Class and the identities of the individual members thereof are ascertainable through Defendants' records, including, but not limited to, Defendants' sales and 10 transaction records, 11 12 Typicality 19. 13 Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the Class. The claims of the Plaintiff and the Class are based on the same legal theories and arise from the same unlawful conduct. 14 15 20. Plaintiff and Class members were customers of Defendants, having made a purchase from, or transacted business with, Defendants using a credit card and/or debit card. At 16 the point of such sale or transaction with Plaintiff and Class members, Defendants provided to 17 Plaintiff and Class members a receipt which violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681c(g). 18 Common Questions of Fact and Law 19 There is a well-defined community of interest and common questions of fact and 20 21. law affecting members of the Class. 21 The questions of fact and law common to the Class predominate over questions 22 22. which may affect individual members and includes the following: 23 24 a. Whether Defendants' conduct of providing Plaintiff and Class members with a 25 sales or transaction receipt whereon Defendants printed more than the last five 26 digits of the credit or debit card account number violated FACTA, 15 U.S.C. 27 §§ 1681 et seq.; 28 b. Whether Defendants' conduct was willful:

H

 $\parallel$ 

- c. Whether Plaintiff and Class members are entitled to statutory damages, punitive damages, costs and/or attorneys' fees for Defendants' acts and conduct; and
- d. Whether Plaintiff and Class members are entitled to a permanent injunction enjoining Defendants from continuing to engage in its unlawful conduct.

#### Adequacy of Representation

23. Plaintiff is an adequate representative of the Class because his interests do not conflict with the interests of the Class. Plaintiff will fairly, adequately and vigorously represent and protect the interests of Class members and has no interests antagonistic to Class members. Plaintiff has retained counsel who are competent and experienced in the prosecution of class action litigation.

#### Superiority

24. A class action is superior to other available means for the fair and efficient adjudication of the Class' claims. The damages suffered by individual Class members are relatively small. As a result, the expense and burden of individual litigation makes it economically infeasible and procedurally impracticable for Class members to individually seek redress for the wrongs done to them. Plaintiff does not know of any other litigation concerning this controversy already commenced by or against any Class member. The likelihood of the individual Class members prosecuting separate claims is remote. Individualized litigation would also present the potential for varying, inconsistent or contradictory judgments, and would increase the delay and expense to all parties and the court system resulting from multiple trials of the same factual issues. In contrast, the conduct of this matter as a class action presents fewer management difficulties, conserves the resources of the parties and the court system, and would protect the rights of members of the Class. Plaintiff does not know of any difficulty to be encountered in the management of this action that would preclude its maintenance as a class action.

· 5 -

# 

# 

# 

## 

# 

# 

## 

### 

# 

# 

## 

## 

# 

# 

# 

# 

### Injunctive Relief

25. Defendants have acted on grounds generally applicable to all Class members, thereby making appropriate final injunctive relief with respect to the Class as a whole.

#### CAUSE OF ACTION

# PLAINTIFF AND THE CLASS AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS FOR VIOLATION OF 15 U.S.C. §§ 1681 *ET SEO*.

- 26. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference all the allegations contained in paragraphs I through 25, inclusive, of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
  - 27. Plaintiff asserts this claim on behalf of himself and the Class against Defendants.
- 28. Title 15 U.S.C. § 1681c(g)(1) provides in relevant part that: "no person that accepts credit cards or debit cards for the transaction of business shall print more than the last five digits of the card number or the expiration date upon any receipt provided to the cardholder at the point of sale or transaction."
- 29. Defendants transact business in California and accept credit cards and debit cards in the course of transacting business with persons such as Plaintiff and Class members. In transacting such business, Defendants use cash registers and/or other machines or devices that electronically print receipts for credit card and/or debit card transactions.
- 30. Defendants, at the point of sale or transaction with Plaintiff, provided Plaintiff with one or more electronically printed receipts on each of which Defendants printed more than the last five digits of Plaintiff's credit or debit card account number.
- 31. Defendants, at the point of a sale or transaction with Class members, provided, through use of a machine, Class members with one or more electronically printed receipts, on each of which Defendants printed, for each respective Class member, more than the last five digits of such Class member's credit or debit card account number.
- 32. Defendants' actions were and continue to be willful. Despite having more than 12 years to become compliant with FACTA, Defendants have willfully violated this law and failed to protect Plaintiff and others similarly situated against identity theft and credit card and debit card fraud by intentionally printing more than the last five digits of Plaintiff's and Class

members' credit and/or debit card account numbers on receipts. Defendants knew of, or should have known of, and were informed about the law, including specifically FACTA's requirements concerning the prohibition on printing more than the last five digits of credit and/or debit card account numbers on receipts.

- 33. In addition, on information and belief, many companies such as VISA and MasterCard devised and implemented policies well before the operative date of FACTA's requirements, wherein such companies as VISA and MasterCard and others required Defendants (and informed Defendants of the FACTA requirements) to prevent the printing more than the last five digits of credit and/or debit card account numbers on receipts.
- 34. Despite knowing and being repeatedly informed about FACTA and the importance of preventing the printing of more than the last five digits of credit and/or debit card account numbers on receipts, Defendants willfully violated and continue to violate FACTA's requirements by printing more the last five digits of credit and/or debit card account number on the receipts provided to Class members persons with whom Defendants transact business.
- 35. Defendants willfully violated FACTA in conscious disregard of the rights of Plaintiff and Class members thereby exposing Plaintiff and Class members to an increased risk of identity theft and credit and/or debit card fraud.
- 36. As a result of Defendants' willful violations of FACTA, Defendants are liable to Plaintiff and each Class member in the statutory damage amount of "not less than \$100 and not more than \$1000" for each violation. 15 U.S.C. 1681n(a)(1)(A).
- 37. As a result of Defendants' willful violations of FACTA, Plaintiff and Class members are entitled to recover costs of suit and their reasonable attorneys' fees. 15 U.S.C. 1681n(a)(3).
- 38. As a result of Defendants' willful violations of FACTA, Plaintiff and Class members are entitled to punitive damages. 15 U.S.C. 1681n(a)(2).
- 39. Defendants' conduct is continuing and, unless restrained, Defendants will continue to engage in its unlawful conduct.

 $\parallel$ 

1 PRAYER FOR RELIEF WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and each Class member, pray for: 2 An order certifying the Class and appointing Plaintiff as representative of the 3 î. Class, and appointing Gaines & Gaines, APLC as counsel for the Class; 4. 5 2. An award to Plaintiff and Class members of statutory damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n(a)(1)(A) for Defendants' willful violations of FACTA; 6 7 3. An award to Plaintiff and Class members of punitive damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n(a)(2); 8 Payment of costs of suit herein incurred pursuant to, inter alia, 15 U.S.C. § 9 1681n(a)(3); 10 5. Payment of reasonable attorneys' fees pursuant to, inter alia, 15 U.S.C. § 11 12 1681n(a)(3): 6. A permanent injunction enjoining Defendants from continuing to engage in their 13 violations of FACTA; and 14 15 7. For other and further relief as the Court deems proper. Dated: January 4, 2018 16 Respectfully submitted, 17 GAINES & GAINES, APLC 18 19 By: 20 ALEX P. KATOFSKY Attorneys for Plaintiff 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and the putative Class members, demands a trial by jury on all claims and causes of action to which he is entitled to a jury trial. Dated: January 4, 2018 Respectfully submitted, GAINES & GAINES, APLC By: DANIEL F. GAINES ALEX P. KATOFSKY Attorneys for Plaintiff -9-

#### PROOF OF SERVICE

#### Witte v. Steele Canyon Golf Club Corporation Case No. 37-2018-00000721-CU-MC-CTL

I, Scott A. Smylie, declare as follows:

SERVED UPON:

I am employed in the City of San Diego, County of San Diego, State of California. I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to the within action. My business address is 1350 Columbia Street, Suite 403, San Diego, CA 92101. On February 15, 2018, I electronically served the document(s) named below on the parties in the action as follows:

DOCUMENT(S) SERVED: NOTICE OF REMOVAL OF ACTION PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. § 1441(b)

Alex P Katofsky-alex@gaineslawfirm.com

- X (BY ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSION) I caused to be served the documents listed above by transmitting the documents to the persons at the email address listed above.
- (BY MAIL) I caused each such envelope, with postage thereon fully prepaid, to be placed in the United States mail at San Diego, California. I am readily familiar with the practice of SMYLIE & VAN DUSEN for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing, said practice being that in the ordinary course of business, mail is deposited in the United States Postal Service the same day as it is placed for collection.
- X (STATE) I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct. Executed on February 15, 2018, at San Diego, California.

s/ Scott A. Smylie
Scott Smylie
Email: esqsas@aol.com

# **ClassAction.org**

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Steele Canyon Golf Club Corporation Accused of Printing Too Much Personal Info on Receipts</u>