# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MARSHALL DIVISION

William Wingo, individually and on behalf of other similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

Martin Transport, Inc.,

Civil Action No. 2:18-cv-141

JURY DEMANDED

Defendant.

# PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL COMPLAINT

Plaintiff William Wingo ("Plaintiff"), individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, files this Original Complaint against Defendant Martin Transport, Inc. ("Defendant"), and in support shows the Court the following:

# I. SUMMARY

1. This is a collective action brought pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 201 et seq. ("FLSA"). Plaintiff worked for Defendant as a Dispatcher. Plaintiff and a group of similarly situated former and current employees of Defendant routinely worked in excess of 40 hours per week, but were not paid for all overtime hours worked. Pursuant to a decision, policy, or plan/practice, Defendant unlawfully classified Plaintiff and other similarly situated individuals as exempt from laws requiring overtime pay, although they actually were and are non-exempt and entitled to overtime pay. Defendant's actions were and are willful and had the effect of denying the Plaintiff and other similarly situated individuals their overtime wages.

#### II. PARTIES

2. Plaintiff William Wingo is an individual residing in Jacksonville, Texas, who hereby consents to be a plaintiff in this lawsuit. His consent is attached as Exhibit A.

3. The "Potential Plaintiffs" are Defendant's current and former Dispatchers (and individuals performing similar dispatcher duties but who may have worked under different job titles) who were classified as exempt from the FLSA and not paid overtime pay for overtime work.

4. Defendant Martin Transport, Inc. is a Texas corporation with its principal place of business located in Kilgore, Texas. Defendant has appointed Chris Booth, 4200 Stone Road, Kilgore, Texas 75662 as its registered agent for service of process.

# III. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

5. Federal jurisdiction arises because Plaintiff has asserted a claim arising under the provisions of the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 201 et. seq.

6. Venue is proper in the Eastern District of Texas because Plaintiff resides in and was employed by Defendant in this District, Defendant engages in business and resides in this District, and the events forming the basis of the suit occurred in this District.

#### IV. COVERAGE

7. At all material times, Defendant has acted, directly or indirectly, in the interest of an employer or joint employer with respect to Plaintiff and Potential Plaintiffs.

8. At all times hereinafter mentioned, Defendant has been an employer or joint employer within the meaning of the Section 3(d) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203(d).

#### PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL COMPLAINT

Page - 2

#### Case 2:18-cv-00141 Document 1 Filed 04/11/18 Page 3 of 7 PageID #: 3

9. At all times hereinafter mentioned, Defendant has been an enterprise within the meaning of Section 3(r) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203(r).

10. At all times hereinafter mentioned, Defendant has been an enterprise engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce within the meaning of Section 3(s)(1) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203(s)(1), in that said enterprise has had employees engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce, or employees handling, selling, or otherwise working on goods or materials that have been moved in or produced for commerce by any person and in that said enterprise has had and has an annual gross volume of sales made or business done of not less than \$500,000 (exclusive of excise taxes at the retail level, which are separately stated).

11. At all times hereinafter mentioned, Plaintiff and Potential Plaintiffs were individual employees who were engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce as required by 29 U.S.C. §§ 206–207.

# V. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

12. Defendant, through its fleet of trucks and trailers, offers surface transportation services primarily to petroleum, petrochemical, and chemical industries to transport products in Texas and throughout the United States.

13. At all relevant times, Defendant has had gross operating revenues more than \$500,000.

14. Plaintiff worked as a Dispatcher for Defendant within the last three years, from approximately September 2013 through June 2017.

15. As Dispatchers, Plaintiff's and Potential Plaintiffs' job duties included contacting drivers to communicate assignments and details of assignments to the drivers,

#### Case 2:18-cv-00141 Document 1 Filed 04/11/18 Page 4 of 7 PageID #: 4

receiving information from drivers to forward to other company employees for proper action, and performing other rote and ministerial duties.

16. Defendant employs other Dispatchers at locations in Texas and other states.

17. Plaintiff and Potential Plaintiffs were paid a salary for their work.

18. Plaintiff and Potential Plaintiffs routinely worked over 40 hours per week, but they were not paid for all the hours they worked in excess of 40 hours at a rate of one-and-one-half times their regular rates of pay.

19. Instead, Defendant's companywide policy and practice was to fail to pay Plaintiff and the Potential Plaintiffs for hours worked in excess of 40 hours in a week at a rate of one-and-one-half times their regular rate for hours worked over 40 in a workweek.

20. Defendant knew Plaintiff and the Potential Plaintiffs worked in excess of 40 hours per week and allowed and directed them to do so. Defendant, through its corporate management and managers, deliberately trained, supervised, instructed, and authorized its managerial employees to engage in the above unlawful practices and has ratified their actions thereafter, in order to enhance corporate profits and reduce its labor costs. Therefore, Defendant's conduct was willful or the result of reckless disregard for the FLSA's overtime requirements.

#### VI. COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS

21. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates the above paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

#### Case 2:18-cv-00141 Document 1 Filed 04/11/18 Page 5 of 7 PageID #: 5

22. Plaintiff and Potential Plaintiffs performed the same or similar job duties as one another as described in the preceding paragraphs in that Plaintiff's and Potential Plaintiffs' primary job duties included contacting drivers to communicate assignments and details of assignments to the drivers, receiving information from drivers to forward to other company employees for proper action, and performing other rote and ministerial duties. Further, Plaintiff and Potential Plaintiff's were subjected to the same illegal pay plan in that they were paid on a salaried basis without compensation at oneand-one-half times their regular rates of pay for hours worked in excess of 40 hours in a workweek. Accordingly, Potential Plaintiffs who were victimized by Defendant's unlawful pattern and practices are similarly situated to Plaintiff in terms of job duties and pay provisions.

23. Defendant's failure to pay overtime compensation at the rates required by the FLSA results from generally applicable policies or practices and does not depend on the personal circumstances of Potential Plaintiffs. Thus, Plaintiff's experience is typical of the experience of Potential Plaintiffs. All Potential Plaintiffs, regardless of their precise job requirements or rates of pay, are entitled to overtime compensation for hours worked in excess of 40 hours per week. Although the issue of damages may be individual in character, this does not detract from the common nucleus of liability facts. The questions of law and fact are common to Plaintiff and Potential Plaintiffs.

24. Defendant, through its corporate management and managers, knowingly, willfully, or with reckless disregard carried out its illegal pattern or practice of failing to pay overtime compensation with respect to Plaintiff and Potential Plaintiffs.

#### VII. CAUSE OF ACTION: VIOLATION OF THE FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT

25. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates the above paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

26. During the relevant period, Defendant violated and is violating the provisions of Section 7 of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 207, and 215(a)(2), by employing employees in an enterprise engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce within the meaning of the FLSA as aforesaid, for workweeks longer than 40 hours, without compensating such employees for their work in excess of 40 hours per week at rates no less than one-and-one-half times the regular rates for which they were employed.

27. Defendant has acted willfully or with reckless disregard in failing to pay Plaintiff and Potential Plaintiffs in accordance with the law.

#### VIII. RELIEF SOUGHT

28. WHEREFORE, cause having been shown, Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendant as follows:

a. For an Order pursuant to Section 16(b) of the FLSA finding Defendant liable for unpaid back wages due to Plaintiff (and those who may join in the suit) and for liquidated damages equal in amount to the unpaid compensation found due to Plaintiff (and those who may join the suit); and

b. For an Order awarding Plaintiff (and those who may join in the suit) the costs of this action;

c. For an Order awarding Plaintiff (and those who may join in the suit) attorneys' fees;

#### PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL COMPLAINT

#### Case 2:18-cv-00141 Document 1 Filed 04/11/18 Page 7 of 7 PageID #: 7

d. For and Order awarding Plaintiff (and those who may join in the suit) prejudgment and post-judgment interest at the highest rates allowed by law; and

e. For an Order granting such other and further relief as may be necessary and appropriate.

Respectfully submitted,

s/ J. Derek Braziel

J. DEREK BRAZIEL Texas Bar No. 00793380 jdbraziel@l-b-law.com TRAVIS GASPER Texas Bar No. 24096881 gasper@l-b-law.com LEE & BRAZIEL, L.L.P. 1801 N. Lamar Street, Suite 325 Dallas, Texas 75202 (214) 749-1400 phone (214) 749-1010 fax

GREGORY S. PORTER Texas Bar No. 24002785 PORTER LAW FIRM, P.C. 3311 Woods Blvd. Tyler, Texas 75707 (903) 561-5144 (903) 705-6253 Fax greg@gregporterlaw.com

### **ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF**

# JS 44 (Rev. 06/17) Case 2:18-cv-00141 Document Coverset C

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. *(SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)* 

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS William Wingo, individual	ly and on behalf of oth	er similarly situate	d, <b>DEFENDA</b> Martin Transp	NTS ort, Inc.		
<ul> <li>(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)</li> <li>(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Lee &amp; Braziel, LLP 1801 N. Lamar St., Ste. 325, Dallas, TX 75202 214.749.1400</li> </ul>			County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED. Attorneys (If Known)			
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)	III. CITIZENSHIP C	OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff	
□ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	Ճ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)		(For Diversity Cases ( Citizen of This State	Only) PTF DEF 1 1 Incorporated or Pr of Business In 1		
2 U.S. Government Defendant	I 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		Citizen of Another State	2   2   Incorporated and I of Business In J	Another State	
			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	□ 3 □ 3 Foreign Nation		
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		ly) RTS	EODEELTUDE/DENAL		of Suit Code Descriptions.	
CONTRACT     Ito Insurance     120 Marine     130 Miller Act     130 Miller Act     140 Negotiable Instrument     150 Recovery of Overpayment     & Enforcement of Judgment     151 Medicare Act     152 Recovery of Defaulted     Student Loans     (Excludes Veterans)     153 Recovery of Overpayment     of Veteran's Benefits     160 Stockholders' Suits     190 Other Contract     195 Contract Product Liability     196 Franchise     REAL PROPERTY     210 Land Condemnation     220 Foreelosure     230 Rent Lease & Ejectment     240 Torts to Land     245 Tort Product Liability     290 All Other Real Property	10         PERSONAL INJURY         310 Airplane         315 Airplane Product         Liability         320 Assault, Libel &         Slander         330 Federal Employers'         Liability         340 Marine         345 Marine Product         Liability         350 Motor Vehicle         355 Motor Vehicle         Product Liability         360 Other Personal         Injury         362 Personal Injury -         Medical Malpractice         CIVIL RIGHTS         440 Other Civil Rights         441 Voting         442 Employment         443 Housing/         Accommodations         445 Amer. w/Disabilities -         Employment         446 Amer. w/Disabilities -         Other         448 Education	PERSONAL INJUR  PERSONAL INJUR  Sofo Personal Injury Product Liability  Personal Injury Product Liability  PERSONAL PROPEI  370 Other Fraud 370 Other Fraud 370 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Property Damage 530 General 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Oth 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Conditions of Confinement	of Property 21 USC of Property 21 USC 690 Other 710 Fair Labor Standard Act 720 Labor/Management Relations 740 Railway Labor Act 751 Family and Medical Leave Act NS 790 Other Labor Litigati 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	re   422 Appeal 28 USC 158 2 881 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS 830 Patent 835 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY 861 HIA (1395ff) 862 Black Lung (923) 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) 864 SSID Title XVI 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS nt 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	OTHER STATUTES         375 False Claims Act         376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a))         400 State Reapportionment         410 Antitrust         430 Banks and Banking         450 Commerce         460 Deportation         470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations         480 Consumer Credit         490 Cable/Sat TV         800 Other Statutory Actions         891 Agricultural Acts         893 Environmental Matters         895 Freedom of Information Act         896 Arbitration         9950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in						
	te Court Cite the U.S. Civil Sta	Appellate Court tute under which you a	Reopened A	Transferred from nother District specify) <b>G</b> 6 Multidistry Litigation Transfer        ransferred from specify) <b>G</b> 6       Multidistry        al statutes unless diversity):		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	Brief description of ca		Section 201, et seq.			
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:       CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION       DEMAND \$       CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: JUNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.         JURY DEMAND:       Yes       No						
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	<b>E(S)</b> (See instructions):	JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER		
DATE SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD 04/11/2018 /s/ J. Derek Braziel FOR OFFICE USE ONLY						
	IOUNT	APPLYING IFP	JUD	GE MAG. JUI	DGE	

# NOTICE OF CONSENT

I hereby consent to become a party plaintiff in the overtime lawsuit in which this consent is

filed.

DocuSigned by:

William Wingo

Signature

4/10/2018

Date

William Wingo

Printed Name

# **ClassAction.org**

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Class Action Takes Issue with Martin Transport's Alleged Refusal to Pay Dispatchers Overtime</u>