UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

ISAAC WINEHOUSE on behalf of himself and all other similarly situated consumers

Plaintiff,

-against-

LTD FINANCIAL SERVICES, LP

Defendant.

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

Introduction

1. Plaintiff Isaac Winehouse seeks redress for the illegal practices of LTD Financial Services, LP concerning the collection of debts, in violation of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et *seq.* ("FDCPA").

Parties

- 2. Plaintiff is a citizen of the State of New York who resides within this District.
- 3. Plaintiff is a consumer as that term is defined by Section 1692(a)(3) of the FDCPA, in that the alleged debt that Defendant sought to collect from Plaintiff a consumer debt.
- Upon information and belief, Defendant's principal place of business is located in Houston, Texas.
- 5. Defendant is regularly engaged, for profit, in the collection of debts allegedly owed by consumers.

Case 1:17-cv-02038 Document 1 Filed 04/07/17 Page 2 of 12 PageID #: 2

- 6. Defendant is a "debt collector" as that term is defined by the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692(a)(6).
- 7. This is an action for, statutory damages, injunctive relief, declaratory judgment, attorney fees and costs brought by an individual consumer for Defendant's violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq. ("FDCPA") which prohibits debt collectors from engaging in abusive deceptive and unfair practices.
- 8. According to 15 U.S.C. § 1692:
 - a) There is abundant evidence of the use of abusive, deceptive, and unfair debt collection practices by many debt collectors. Abusive debt collection practices contribute to the number of personal bankruptcies, to marital instability, to the loss of jobs, and to invasions of individual privacy;
 - b) Means other than misrepresentation or other abusive debt collection practices are available for the effective collection of debts;
 - c) Abusive debt collection practices are carried on to a substantial extent in interstate commerce and through means and instrumentalities of such commerce.
 Even where abusive debt collection practices are purely intrastate in character, they nevertheless directly affect interstate commerce;
 - d) The FDCPA requires debt collectors identify themselves as such in all messages to prevent consumers from being tricked into communicating with debt collectors regarding a debt.
- 9. Plaintiff also seeks injunctive relief and declaratory relief. Defendant has acted or refused to act on grounds generally applicable to the class, thereby making appropriate

Case 1:17-cv-02038 Document 1 Filed 04/07/17 Page 3 of 12 PageID #: 3

final injunctive relief or corresponding declaratory relief with respect to the class as a whole.

10. As a result of the violations of the FDCPA, Defendant is liable to Plaintiff and the class for declaratory judgment that Defendant's conduct violated the FDCPA, and actual damages, statutory damages, and costs and attorney's fees.

Jurisdiction and Venue

- 11. This Court has federal question jurisdiction under 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331.
- 12. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), as the acts and transactions that give rise to this action occurred, in substantial part, in this district.

Allegations Particular to Isaac Winehouse

- 13. Upon information and belief, on a date better known by Defendant, Defendant began to attempt to collect an alleged consumer debt from the Plaintiff.
- 14. The Plaintiff alleges that Defendant's collection practices violate the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et seq. ("FDCPA"). Such collection practices include, inter alia:
 - (a) Leaving messages for consumers, which fail to provide meaningful disclosure of Defendant's identity;
 - (b) Leaving messages for consumers, which fail to disclose that the call is from a debt collector; and
 - (c) Leaving messages for consumers, which fail to disclose the purpose or nature of the communication (i.e. an attempt to collect a debt).

15. The FDCPA requires debt collectors identify themselves as such in all messages to prevent consumers from being tricked into communicating with debt collectors regarding a debt. The United States and Congress have found that:

[T]his regulation directly advances the governmental interest of preventing abusive or deceptive debt collection practices such as anonymous telephone messages. Congress has specifically declared the prohibited activity of failing to make the necessary disclosures as inherently misleading. "The argument is that prohibiting debt collectors from leaving anonymous messages directly advances the governmental interests because allowing a debt collector to leave such messages could result in consumers being tricked into calling back and being forced to communicate with the debt collector, which could be an abusive practice since some consumers prefer written contact or to have an attorney or other representative engage in discussions with the debt collector on the consumer's behalf."..."Requiring a debt collector to identify itself as such appears to be a direct and narrow method of preventing consumers from being tricked into communicating with debt collectors regarding a debt. Furthermore, debt collectors have several forms of communication available to them in their efforts to collect a debt, including live conversation over the telephone, in person communication, and the mail. The FDCPA is no more extensive than necessary to achieve the asserted governmental interests of preventing abusive or deceptive debt collection practices such as anonymous telephone calls." Mark v. J.C. Christensen & Assocs., 2009 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 67724, 26-27, 2009 WL 2407700 (D. Minn. Aug. 4, 2009).

16. To prohibit deceptive practices, the FDCPA, at 15 U.S.C. § 1692e, outlaws the use of false, deceptive, and misleading collection practices and names a non-exhaustive list of certain per se violations of false and deceptive collection conduct. 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(1) (16). Among the per se violations prohibited by that section are: using any false representation or deceptive means to collect or attempt to collect any debt or to obtain information concerning a consumer, 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(10); the failure by debt collectors to disclose in initial oral communications that the debt collector is attempting to collect a debt and that any information obtained will be used for that purpose, 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(11); and the failure by debt collectors to disclose in subsequent oral

Case 1:17-cv-02038 Document 1 Filed 04/07/17 Page 5 of 12 PageID #: 5

communications with consumers that the communication is from a debt collector, 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(11).

17. By way of limited example only, on or about April 7, 2016, Defendant LTD Financial Services, LP, a debt collector, attempted to contact Plaintiff by telephone in an effort to collect a debt; this was a "communication" in an attempt to collect a debt as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(2). Defendant, a representative of LTD Financial Services, LP by the name of Edwin Raglin left a message for the Plaintiff requesting a call back to the Defendant stating:

Name Edwin Raglin, calling from LTD Financial Services, callback number is (866) 310-9843, Ext. 2370.

18. By way of another limited example only, on or about April 11, 2016, Defendant, attempted to contact Plaintiff by telephone in an effort to collect a debt; this was a "communication" in an attempt to collect a debt as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(2). Defendant, a representative of LTD Financial Services, LP by the name of Edwin Raglin left a message for the Plaintiff requesting a call back to the Defendant stating:

Name Edwin Raglin, with LTD Financial Services, callback number is (866) 310-9843, Ext. 2370.

19. By way of another limited example only, on or about June 22, 2016, Defendant, attempted to contact Plaintiff by telephone in an effort to collect a debt; this was a "communication" in an attempt to collect a debt as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(2). Defendant, a representative of LTD Financial Services, LP by the name of

Case 1:17-cv-02038 Document 1 Filed 04/07/17 Page 6 of 12 PageID #: 6

Edwin Raglin left a message for the Plaintiff requesting a call back to the Defendant stating:

Name Edwin Raglin, with LTD Financial Services, callback number is (866) 310-9843, Ext. 2370.

- 20. At the time Plaintiff received the said messages, he did not know the identity of the caller.
- 21. At the time Plaintiff received the said messages, he did not know that the caller was a debt collector.
- 22. At the time Plaintiff received the said messages, he did not know that the call concerned the collection of a debt.
- 23. Each of the messages is a "communication" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(2).
- 24. Defendant LTD Financial Services, LP as a matter of pattern and practice, leaves anonymous telephone messages for consumers which in effect trick consumers into calling back and being forced to communicate with the debt collector.
- 25. Defendant LTD Financial Services, LP's anonymous telephone messages mislead consumers into thinking that the message could reasonably pertain to a host of issues including family or medical matters which may be viewed by consumers as much more pressing, than a debt owed. The apparent purpose of these messages is to be vague enough to provoke the recipient to return the calls in haste. Leaving a message that deceptively entices a consumer to communicate with a debt collector when he is caught off guard is precisely the kind of abuse the FDCPA intended to prevent.

- 26. A message leaving any information concerning a debt is a "communication." 15 U.S.C.
 § 1692a(2). "Any information" is construed broadly in favor of consumers and includes a callback number or a reference number.¹
- 27. Defendant LTD Financial Services, LP, failed to provide Plaintiff with the notices required by 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(11), namely, by failing to advise Plaintiff that the communication was from a debt collector or that the Defendant was attempting to collect a debt.²
- 28. The only way for Plaintiff and/or any least sophisticated consumer to obtain the identity of the caller leaving the messages, and to ascertain the purpose underlying the messages, was to place a return call to the telephone number provided in the messages and speak with a debt collector employed by LTD Financial Services, LP, and to provide the debt collector with personal information.
- 29. Defendant has engaged in a pattern of leaving messages without disclosing that the communication is from a debt collector.
- 30. All of the above-described collection communications made to Plaintiff by Defendant LTD Financial Services, LP and other collection employees employed by the Defendant, were made in violation of numerous and multiple provisions of the FDCPA, including

¹ <u>Halberstam v. Global Credit & Collection Corp.</u>, 2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 3567 (E.D.N.Y. Jan. 11, 2016) (Nothing permits the debt collector to leave a response with the third party that will induce the debtor to call him back. With the very limited authorized contact with third parties allowed by the statute, it is hard for me to see why [Defendant's representative] thought he could leave a call back number. The arguments defendant has advanced seem quite transparent.), <u>Edwards v. Niagara Credit Solutions, Inc.</u>, 586 F. Supp. 2d 1346 (N.D. Ga. 2008). aff'd by <u>Edwards v. Niagara Credit Solutions, Inc.</u>, 584 F.3d 1350, 2009 U.S. App. LEXIS 22500, 22 Fla. L. Weekly Fed. C 179 (11th Cir. Ga. 2009). (It is a communication whether it is from a conversation directly between a consumer and a debt collector or indirectly, such as by a message left on a telephone answering device, or with a third party.), <u>Foti v. NCO Financial Systems, Inc.</u>, 424 F.Supp.2d 643 (S.D.N.Y. 2006).(infra), Wideman v. Monterey Fin. Srvs., Inc., 2009_U.S. Dist. LEXIS 38824 (W.D.Pa May 7, 2009) (Same), <u>West v. Nationwide Credit, Inc.</u>, 998 F. Supp. 642, 643 (W.D. N.C. 1998) (Same), <u>Belin v. Litton Loan Servicing, LP</u>, 2006 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 47953, 2006 WL 1992410, 5 (M.D.Fla., 2006) (Same).

² See <u>Sclafani v. BC Servs., Inc.</u>, No. 10-61360-CIV, 2010 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 115330, 2010 WL 4116471, at *3 (S.D. Fla. Oct. 18, 2010.) ("If [the defendant] could not leave voice messages that simultaneously complied with the multiple applicable provisions of FDCPA, it should not have left the offending voice messages."), <u>Edwards v. Niagara Credit Solutions, Inc.</u>, 584 F.3d 1350, 2009 U.S. App. LEXIS 22500, 22 Fla. L. Weekly Fed. C 179 (11th Cir. Ga. 2009.) (The United States Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit stated "In an oft-repeated statement from the Vietnam War, an unidentified American military officer reputedly said that "we had to destroy the village to save it." That oxymoronic explanation may be apocryphal, but the debt collection agency in this case offers up much the same logic to explain why it violated the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act: it was necessary to violate the Act in order to comply with the Act..."[I]f [the debt collector's] assumption is correct, the answer is that the [FDCPA] does not guarantee a debt collector the right to leave messages.")

Case 1:17-cv-02038 Document 1 Filed 04/07/17 Page 8 of 12 PageID #: 8

but not limited to 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692d, 1692e, 1692e(10), 1692e(11), and 1692f, amongst others.

- 31. Leaving "Anonymous Telephone Messages" in any form, violates the FDCPA, whether the anonymous telephone message is left during a conversation directly between a consumer and a debt collector or indirectly, such as an Anonymous Telephone Message left on a telephone answering device, or with a third party.³
- Plaintiff suffered injury in fact by being subjected to unfair and abusive practices of the Defendant.
- 33. Plaintiff suffered actual harm by being the target of the Defendant's misleading debt collection communications.
- 34. Defendant violated the Plaintiff's right not to be the target of misleading debt collection communications.
- 35. Defendant violated the Plaintiff's right to a truthful and fair debt collection process.
- 36. Defendant used materially false, deceptive, misleading representations and means in its attempted collection of Plaintiff's alleged debt.
- 37. Defendant's communications were designed to cause the debtor to suffer a harmful disadvantage in charting a course of action in response to the Defendant's collection efforts.
- 38. The FDCPA ensures that consumers are fully and truthfully apprised of the facts and of their rights, the act enables them to understand, make informed decisions about, and participate fully and meaningfully in the debt collection process. The purpose of the

 $^{^{3}}$ See <u>Leyse v. Corporate Collection Servs.</u>, (2006 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 67719 (S.D.N.Y. Sept. 18, 2006) ("The FDCPA requires debt collectors identify themselves as such in all messages to prevent consumers from being tricked into communicating with debt collectors regarding a debt. Anonymous telephone messages mislead consumers in to thinking that the message could reasonably pertain to a host of issues - including family or medical matters - which may be viewed by consumers as much more pressing, than a debt owed. The apparent purpose of these messages is to be vague enough to provoke the recipient to return the calls in haste. Leaving a message that deceptively entices a consumer to communicate with a debt collector when he is caught off guard is precisely the kind of abuse the FDCPA intended to prevent.")

Case 1:17-cv-02038 Document 1 Filed 04/07/17 Page 9 of 12 PageID #: 9

FDCPA is to provide information that helps consumers to choose intelligently. The Defendant's false representations misled the Plaintiff in a manner that deprived him of his right to enjoy these benefits, these materially misleading statements trigger liability under section 1692e of the Act.

- 39. These deceptive communications additionally violated the FDCPA since they frustrate the consumer's ability to intelligently choose his or her response.
- 40. As an actual and proximate result of the acts and omissions of LTD Financial Services,
 LP, Plaintiff has suffered including but not limited to, fear, stress, mental anguish,
 emotional stress and acute embarrassment for which he should be compensated in an
 amount to be established by a jury at trial.

AS AND FOR A FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

Violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act brought by Plaintiff on behalf of himself and the members of a class, as against the Defendant.

- 41. Plaintiff re-states, re-alleges, and incorporates herein by reference, paragraphs one (1) through forty (40) as if set forth fully in this cause of action.
- 42. This cause of action is brought on behalf of Plaintiff and the members of a class.
- 43. The class consists of all persons whom Defendant's records reflect resided in New York who received telephonic messages from Defendant within one year prior to the date of the within complaint up to the date of the filing of the complaint; (a) the telephone call was placed to a the consumer's home or similar party seeking payment of a consumer debt by leaving a message for the Plaintiff; and (b) the Plaintiff asserts that the telephone message was in violation 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692d, 1692e, 1692e(10), 1692e(11), and 1692f.
- 44. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23, a class action is appropriate and preferable in this case because:

- A. Based on the fact that form telephonic messages are at the heart of this litigation, the class is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable.
- B. There are questions of law and fact common to the class and these questions predominate over any questions affecting only individual class members. The principal question presented by this claim is whether the Defendant violated the FDCPA.
- C. The only individual issue is the identification of the consumers who received such telephonic messages, (*i.e.* the class members), a matter capable of ministerial determination from the records of the Defendant.
- D. The claims of the Plaintiff are typical of those of the class members. All are based on the same facts and legal theories.
- E. The Plaintiff will fairly and adequately represent the class members' interests. The Plaintiff has retained counsel experienced in bringing class actions and collection-abuse claims. The Plaintiff's interests are consistent with those of the members of the class.
- 45. A class action is superior for the fair and efficient adjudication of the class members' claims. Congress specifically envisions class actions as a principal means of enforcing the FDCPA. 15 U.S.C. § 1692(k). The members of the class are generally unsophisticated individuals, whose rights will not be vindicated in the absence of a class action. Prosecution of separate actions by individual members of the classes would create the risk of inconsistent or varying adjudications resulting in the establishment of

Case 1:17-cv-02038 Document 1 Filed 04/07/17 Page 11 of 12 PageID #: 11

inconsistent or varying standards for the parties and would not be in the interest of judicial economy.

- 46. If the facts are discovered to be appropriate, the Plaintiff will seek to certify a class pursuant to Rule 23(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
- 47. Collection attempts, such as those made by the Defendant are to be evaluated by the objective standard of the hypothetical "least sophisticated consumer."

Violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act

- The Defendant's actions as set forth above in the within complaint violates the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act.
- 49. Because the Defendant violated the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, the Plaintiff and the members of the class are entitled to damages in accordance with the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, respectfully requests that this Court enter judgment in Plaintiff's favor and against the Defendant and award damages as follows:

- A. Statutory and actual damages provided under the FDCPA 15 U.S.C. § 1692(k);
- B. Attorney fees, litigation expenses and costs incurred in bringing this action; and
- C. Any other relief that this Court deems appropriate and just under the circumstances.

Dated: Woodmere, New York November 25, 2016

/s/ Adam J. Fishbein_

Adam J. Fishbein, P.C. (AF-9508) Attorney At Law **Attorney for the Plaintiff** 735 Central Avenue Woodmere, New York 11598 Telephone: (516) 668-6945 Email: fishbeinadamj@gmail.com

Plaintiff requests trial by jury on all issues so triable.

/s/ Adam J. Fishbein Adam J. Fishbein (AF-9508) Case 1:17-cv-02038 Document 1-1 Filed 04/07/17 Page 1 of 2 PageID #: 13

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of New York

ISAAC WINEHOUSE)))
Plaintiff(s) V.)))
LTD FINANCIAL SERVICES, LP)))
Defendant(s))

Civil Action No.

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address) LTD FINANCIAL SERVICES, LP C/O CORPORATION SERVICE COMPANY **80 STATE STREET** ALBANY, NEW YORK, 12207-2543

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: Adam J. Fishbein, P.C. 735 Central Avenue

Woodmere NY 11598

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

CLERK OF COURT

Date:

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

Case 1:17-cv-02038 Document 1-1 Filed 04/07/17 Page 2 of 2 PageID #: 14

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

	This summons for (nam	ne of individual and title, if any)					
was ree	ceived by me on (date)	·					
	□ I personally served	the summons on the individual a	at (place)				
	on (date)						
	☐ I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with (<i>name</i>) , a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,						
	on (date) , and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or I served the summons on (name of individual) , who						
	designated by law to a	accept service of process on beha	alf of (name of organization)				
			on (date)				
	\Box I returned the summ	nons unexecuted because			; or		
	Other (<i>specify</i>):						
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00			
	I declare under penalty	of perjury that this information	is true.				
Date:							
			Server's signature				
			Printed name and title				

Server's address

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

JS 44 (Rev. 1/2013) Case 1:17-cv-02038 Document Coveres Siled 04/07/17 Page 1 of 2 PageID #: 15

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. *(SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)*

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS			
ISAAC WINEHOUSE				LTD FINANCIAL SERVICES, LP			
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Kings (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant <u>Texas</u> (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.			
 (c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Adam J. Fishbein 735 Central Avenue Woodmere NY 11598 516 668-6945 fishbeinadamj@gmail.com 				Attorneys (If Known)			
II. BASIS OF JURISDI			III. CI	TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff	
□ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	S Federal Question (U.S. Government)		((For Diversity Cases Only) PI on of This State	IF DEF	and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF incipal Place	
□ 2 U.S. Government Defendant				n of Another State	of Business In A	Another State	
				en or Subject of a reign Country	3 3 Foreign Nation		
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		aly) RTS	FO	DRFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
□ 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJURY		5 Drug Related Seizure	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	375 False Claims Act	
 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted 	330 Federal Employers' Liability	 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal 	□ 69	of Property 21 USC 881 0 Other	 ☐ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS ☐ 820 Copyrights ☐ 830 Patent ☐ 840 Trademark 	 400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 	
Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise	 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice 	Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER1 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Property Damage Product Liability	□ 72 □ 74 □ 75	LABOR 0 Fair Labor Standards Act 0 Labor/Management Relations 0 Railway Labor Act 1 Family and Medical Leave Act 0 Other Labor Litigation	SOCIAL SECURITY □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g))	 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 893 Environmental Matters 895 Freedom of Information Act 896 Arbitration 	
REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability	CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations	PRISONER PETITIONS Habeas Corpus: □ 463 Alien Detainee □ 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence □ 530 General	<u>8</u> 🗇 79	1 Employee Retirement Income Security Act	FEDERAL TAX SUITS □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	 \$99 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes 	
290 All Other Real Property	 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education 	 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Other 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement 		IMMIGRATION 2 Naturalization Application 5 Other Immigration Actions			
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" is	n One Box Only)						
	te Court	Appellate Court	Reop	(specify)	r District Litigation		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	15 USC 1692 FA		e filing (D ION PR	Do not cite jurisdictional stat ACTICES ACT	utes unless diversity):		
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2	IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv.P.	DI	EMAND \$	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint: ★ Yes □ No	
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKET NUMBER		
DATE 04/07/2017 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		SIGNATURE OF ATTO /s/ Adam J. Fish		OF RECORD			
	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE	MAG. JUI	DGE	

Case 1:17-cv-02038 Document 1-2 Filed 04/07/17 Page 2 of 2 PageID #: 16 CERTIFICATION OF ARBITRATION ELIGIBILITY

Local Arbitration Rule 83.10 provides that with certain exceptions, actions seeking money damages only in an amount not in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs, are eligible for compulsory arbitration. The amount of damages is presumed to be below the threshold amount unless a certification to the contrary is filed.

I, <u>Adam J. Fishbein</u>, counsel for <u>Plaintiff</u>, do hereby certify that the above captioned civil action is ineligible for compulsory arbitration for the following reason(s):

- monetary damages sought are in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs,
- the complaint seeks injunctive relief,

Class Action

 \mathbf{X} the matter is otherwise ineligible for the following reason

DISCLOSURE STATEMENT - FEDERAL RULES CIVIL PROCEDURE 7.1

Identify any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation that owns 10% or more or its stocks:

None

RELATED CASE STATEMENT (Section VIII on the Front of this Form)

Please list all cases that are arguably related pursuant to Division of Business Rule 50.3.1 in Section VIII on the front of this form. Rule 50.3.1 (a) provides that "A civil case is "related" to another civil case for purposes of this guideline when, because of the similarity of facts and legal issues or because the cases arise from the same transactions or events, a substantial saving of judicial resources is likely to result from assigning both cases to the same judge and magistrate judge." Rule 50.3.1 (b) provides that "A civil case shall not be deemed "related" to another civil case merely because the civil case: (A) involves identical legal issues, or (B) involves the same parties." Rule 50.3.1 (c) further provides that "Presumptively, and subject to the power of a judge to determine otherwise pursuant to paragraph (d), civil cases shall not be deemed to be "related" unless both cases are still pending before the court."

NY-E DIVISION OF BUSINESS RULE 50.1(d)(2)

- Is the civil action being filed in the Eastern District removed from a New York State Court located in Nassau or Suffolk 1.) County: No
- If you answered "no" above: 2.) a) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in Nassau or Suffolk County? No

b) Did the events of omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in the Eastern District? Yes

If your answer to question 2 (b) is "No," does the defendant (or a majority of the defendants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County, or, in an interpleader action, does the claimant (or a majority of the claimants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County?

(Note: A corporation shall be considered a resident of the County in which it has the most significant contacts).

BAR ADMISSION

No

I am currently admitted in the Eastern District of New York and currently a member in good standing of the bar of this court. X Yes No

Are you currently the subject of any disciplinary action (s) in this or any other state or federal court? (If yes, please explain)

\mathbf{X}	

I certify the accuracy of all information provided above.

Yes

Signature: /s/ Adam J. Fishbein

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>FDCPA Lawsuit Filed Against LTD Financial Services</u>