UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

ALFREDO WILLIAMS on behalf of himself and all other similarly situated consumers

Case No.

Plaintiff,

-against-

CONSTAR FINANCIAL SERVICES, LLC

Defendant.

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

Introduction

1. Plaintiff, Alfredo Williams, brings this action against Constart Financial Services, LLC for violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et *seq*. ("FDCPA"). The FDCPA prohibits debt collectors from engaging in abusive, deceptive and unfair collection practices while attempting to collect on debts.

Parties

- 2. Plaintiff is a citizen of the State of New York who reside within this District.
- 3. Plaintiff is a consumer as that term is defined by Section 1692(a)(3) of the FDCPA, in that the alleged debt that Defendant sought to collect from Plaintiff is a consumer debt.
- 4. Upon information and belief, Defendant's principal place of business is located in Phoenix, AZ.
- 5. Defendant is regularly engaged, for profit, in the collection of debts allegedly owed by consumers.
- 6. Defendant is a "debt collector" as that term is defined by the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692(a)(6).

Jurisdiction and Venue

- 7. This Court has federal question jurisdiction under 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331.
- 8. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), as the acts and transactions that give rise to this action occurred, in substantial part, in this district.

Allegations Particular to Alfredo Williams

- 9. Upon information and belief, on a date better known by Defendant, Defendant began to attempt to collect an alleged consumer debt from the Plaintiff.
- 10. On or about February 28th, 2017 Defendant sent Plaintiff Williams a collection letter.
- 11. The said February 28th, 2017 collection letter stated a "Total Due" of \$2,862.01.
- 12. The said letter was sent in an effort to collect on a defaulted consumer debt and, upon affirmation and belief, was Defendant's initial communication with the Plaintiff.
- 13. Such a collection letter is open to one of multiple interpretations and would likely be misunderstood by an unsophisticated consumer.
- 14. § 1692e requires debt collectors, when informing debtors of their account balance, to disclose whether the balance may increase due to interest and fees. Avila v. Riexinger

 Associates, LLC, 817 F.3d 72, 76 (2d Cir. 2016).
- Defendant's collection letter fail to include the safe harbor language set out in <u>Avila v.</u>
 <u>Riexinger Associates, LLC, 817 F.3d 72, 76 (2d Cir. 2016)</u>.
- 16. An unsophisticated consumer would be left uncertain by the said letter as to whether the accounts were accruing interest or not.
- 17. The "Total Due" in the case at hand was for an amount that included original principal, fees, and contractual interest.
- 18. The said letter failed to correctly state the in full the amount of the debt allegedly owed.

- 19. Though the collection letter to Plaintiff Williams stated an "Total Due," the letter did not state on which date the "Total Due" was calculated, and did not explain that contractual interest and/or legal fees would continue to accrue on the unpaid principal; thus, the Plaintiff's Williams total balance might be greater on the date he makes a payment.
- 20. A reasonable consumer could read the notices and be misled into believing that he or she could pay the debt in full by paying the amount listed on the notice.
- 21. However, since contractual interest is automatically accruing daily, and since there are undisclosed legal fees that will accrue, a consumer who pays the "Total Due" stated on the notices will be unaware as to whether or not the debt has been paid in full.
- 22. The debt collector could still seek the automatically accrued contractual interest that accumulated after the notices were sent but before the balance was paid, or sell the consumer's debt to a third party, which itself could seek the interest and fees from the consumer.
- 23. A letter that states "Total Due" without notice that the amount is already increasing due to automatically accruing contractual interest or other charges, would mislead the unsophisticated consumer into believing that payment of the amount stated will clear his or her account.
- 24. The FDCPA requires debt collectors, when notifying consumers of their account balance, to disclose that the balance may increase due to interest and fees; failure to include such disclosures would harm consumers such as the Plaintiff who may hold the reasonable but mistaken belief, that timely payment will satisfy their debts and it would abrogate the Congressional purpose of full and fair disclosure to consumers that is embodied in Section 1692e.
- 25. The amount of the contractual interest, automatically increases each day that the

- defaulted debt remains unpaid due to the automatically accrued interest.
- 26. Collection notices that state only the "Total Due" but fail to disclose that the balance might increase due to interest and fees, are "misleading" within the meaning of Section 1692e.
- 27. To the extent that the Creditor or the Defendant intended to waive the automatically accrued and accruing interest, it was required to disclose that in the most conspicuous of terms.
- 28. Constar Financial Services, LLC was required to include a disclosure that the automatically accrued interest was accruing, or in the alternative, Sunrise Credit Services Inc was required to disclose that the creditor has made an intentional decision to waive the automatically accruing interest and that Constar Financial Services, LLC will always accept stated "Total Due" in full satisfaction of the debt; yet Constar Financial Services, LLC Inc failed to make any such disclosures, violating Section 1692e of the FDCPA.
- 29. Failure to disclose such a waiver of the automatically accruing interest is in of itself deceptive and "misleading" within the meaning of Section 1692e of the FDCPA.
- 30. Constar Financial Services, LLC knew that balance would increase due to interest, fees and/or disbursements.
- 31. The Second Circuit adopted a safe harbor disclaimer stating "that requiring such disclosure best achieves the Congressional purpose of full and fair disclosure to consumers that is embodied in Section 1692e. It also protects consumers such as the Plaintiff, who may hold the reasonable but mistaken belief that timely payment will satisfy their debts." Avila v. Riexinger & Assocs., LLC, 817 F.3d 72, 76 (2d Cir. 2016)
- 32. Because the "Total Due" included original principal, fees, and contractual interest, without notice that the accruing interest was expressly waived and without notice that the

- debt collector and/or the creditor is always willing to accept "Total Due" in full satisfaction of the debt, irrespective when the payment was made, Defendant's collection notice can mislead the least sophisticated consumers into believing that payment of the amount stated will clear his or her account.
- 33. According to the Second Circuit's finding that the "Amount Due" must contain a full and fair disclosure, if a credit card account was being charged interest, pursuant to a contract and the interest was intended to be waived, disclosure of such a waiver is necessary or the consumer would not know what the balance is. "[i]n fact, however, if interest is accruing daily, [or was not expressly waived] a consumer who pays the 'current balance' stated on the notice will not know whether the debt has been paid in full. The debt collector could still seek the [accruing or un-waived] interest and fees that accumulated after the notice was sent but before the balance was paid, or sell the consumer's debt to a third party, which itself could seek the interest and fees from the consumer." Avila v. Riexinger & Assocs., LLC, 817 F.3d 72, 76 (2d Cir. 2016)
- 34. The 8th Circuit in <u>Haney v. Portfolio Recovery Assocs.</u>, No. 15-1932, 2016 U.S. App. <u>LEXIS 17287 (8th Cir. Sep. 21, 2016)</u> clearly explains that merely not including interest in post charge off statements is not express waiver of interest, and the debt collector or creditor can seek the interest in the future.
- 35. In fact, in this case the Plaintiff is still not sure whether there was any intent to waive the interest. There was definitely no express waiver and disclosure of waiver is mandatory if interest was originally accruing per the contract. The consumers could not know what the real balance is.
- 36. The intent to waive a contractual right must be unmistakably manifested and may not be inferred from doubtful or equivocal acts. Navillus Tile, Inc. v. Turner Const. Co., 2

- A.D.3d 209, 770 N.Y.S.2d 3 (1st Dep't 2003). A waiver of a contract right does not occur by negligence, oversight or thoughtlessness and cannot be inferred from mere silence. Acumen Re Management Corp. v. General Sec. Nat. Ins. Co., 2012 WL 3890128, at *6 (S.D. N.Y. 2012), reconsideration denied, motion to certify appeal granted, 2012 WL 6053936 (S.D. N.Y. 2012).
- 37. The 8th Circuit Court of Appeals found that the fact that the debt was charged off and that the creditor or debt buyer did not charge statutory or prejudgment interest in its statements post charge off does not constitute an express waiver and statutory or prejudgment interest is still continuing to accrue and may be charged at a future time.
- 38. According to the Second Circuit in *Avila*, any such a debt would need full and complete disclosure. See Haney v. Portfolio Recovery Assocs., No. 15-1932, 2016 U.S. App. LEXIS 17287 (8th Cir. Sep. 21, 2016) ("Nothing inherent in the process of charging off a debt precludes a claim for statutory interest, and [the states] prejudgment interest statute does not expressly preclude statutory prejudgment interest following a waiver of contractual interest...[The debtor] received monthly periodic statements from the original creditors prior to charge-off, and at least as to the *Wal-Mart* account, the charge-off statement itself is attached to the pleadings. [The debtor] received a demand for payment of his accounts when due. We conclude any demand requirement that exists as a precondition to the accrual of statutory prejudgment interest was satisfied by the original creditors' demands upon [the debtor].")
- 39. Failure to disclose such a waiver of the automatically accruing interest is in of itself deceptive and "misleading" within the meaning of Section 1692e. The Defendant knew that the balance would increase due to interest, fees and/or disbursements.
- 40. Since the "Amount Due" is for an amount that includes original principal, fees, and

contractual interest, the collection notice must accurately inform the consumer that interest is accruing daily or that interest has stopped accruing. "Applying these principles, we hold that Plaintiffs have stated a claim that the collection notices at issue here are misleading within the meaning of Section 1692e... a consumer who pays the "current balance" stated on the notice will not know whether the debt has been paid in full." <u>Avila v. Riexinger & Assocs., LLC, Nos. 15-1584(L), 15-1597(Con), 2016 U.S. App. LEXIS 5327, at *10-11 (2d Cir. Mar. 22, 2016)</u>

- 41. Although Defendant's collection letter stated an "Total Due" the letters failed to disclose to the Plaintiff that the Defendant may collect the additional accruing interest at a later date.
- 42. "The Court therefore finds that [the debt collectors] letters to [the debtor] are subject to two different interpretations as to the accumulation of interest, rendering them deceptive under § 1692e(10) ... The logic [applies] to stated outstanding debt and the need for consumers to be aware that this debt may be dynamic or static. They are concerned with a consumer's inability to discern whether an amount owed may grow with time, regardless of whether offers to settle are on the table or not. As [plaintiff] states, this information is relevant in a consumer's payment calculus, especially when some debts must be paid at the expense of others. And, of course, the existence of settlement offers would be entirely irrelevant to these considerations for the many consumers who are unable to take advantage of them...Plaintiff's claim is not that the stated balance was not itemized, but that it was unclear whether it was subject to future interest." Michalek v. ARS Nat'l Sys., No. 3:11-CV-1374, 2011 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 142976, at *16-17 (M.D. Pa. Dec. 13, 2011)
- 43. Absent a disclosure by the holder of the debt that the automatic interest is waived, even if the debtors pays the "Balance" the Defendant and or the creditor **could** still seek the

automatic interest that accumulated after the breach of contract, or sell the consumer's debt to a third party, which itself could seek the automatic interest and from the consumer. *Avila*, at *10-11.

- 44. A waiver of interest, even when made explicitly, has not prevented debt collection agencies from continuing to illegally charge the waived interest. At the bare minimum a debt collection agency must clearly convey, even to the unsophisticated consumer that it intends to waive the automatically accruing interest, or that the debt has stopped accruing interest and that the Debt Collector and/or the Creditor will always accept the "Total Due" in full satisfaction of the debt.
- 45. Upon receiving Defendant's collection letter, the Plaintiff was uncertain whether the "Total Due" was accruing interest as there was no disclosure or admonition indicating otherwise.
- 46. Defendant's letter would cause an unsophisticated consumer uncertainty and force him or her to guess how much money is allegedly owed to the Defendant, how much money would accrue daily on the alleged debt, how much additional money would be owed if the "Total Due" stated in the collection letter was paid, and if or when the Defendant's collection efforts would actually discontinue if the "Total Due" was remitted that the Defendant.
- 47. Section 1692e of the FDCPA prohibits a debt collector from using any false, or any deceptive or misleading representation or means in connection with the collection of a debt, including the false representation of the character, amount or legal status of any debt, see, 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(2)(A) and § 1692e(10).
- 48. The statement in the said letter is false and misleading, in violation of 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e, 1692e(2), and 1692e(10).

- 49. Upon information and belief, such actions are part of a scheme or business of the Defendant when attempting to collect alleged debts from consumers in the State of New York.
- 50. Upon information and belief, the Defendant's collection letter, such as the said February 28th, 2017 collection letter, number in at least the hundreds.
- 51. Plaintiff suffered injury in fact by being subjected to unfair and abusive practices of the Defendant.
- 52. Plaintiff suffered actual harm by being the target of the Defendant's misleading debt collection communication.
- 53. Defendant violated the Plaintiff's right not to be the target of misleading debt collection communications.
- 54. Defendant violated the Plaintiff's right to a truthful and fair debt collection process.
- 55. Defendant used materially false, deceptive, misleading representations and means in its attempted collection of Plaintiff's alleged debt.
- 56. Defendant's communications were designed to cause debtors to suffer a harmful disadvantage in charting a course of action in response to the Defendant's collection efforts.
- 57. The FDCPA ensures that consumers are fully and truthfully apprised of the facts and of their rights, the act enables them to understand, make informed decisions about, and participate fully and meaningfully in the debt collection process. The purpose of the FDCPA is to provide information that helps consumers to choose intelligently. The Defendant's false representations misled the Plaintiff in a manner that deprived them of their right to enjoy these benefits, these materially misleading statements trigger liability under section 1692e of the Act.

- 58. These deceptive communications additionally violated the FDCPA since they frustrate the consumers' ability to intelligently choose his or her response.
- 59. Plaintiff seeks to end these violations of the FDCPA. Plaintiff has suffered damages including but not limited to, fear, stress, mental anguish, emotional stress and acute embarrassment. Plaintiff and putative class members are entitled to preliminary and permanent injunctive relief, including, declaratory relief, and damages.

CLASS ALLEGATIONS

- 60. This action is brought as a class action. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of herself and on behalf of all other persons similarly situated pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
- 61. The identities of all class members are readily ascertainable from the records Constar Financial Services, LLC and those business and governmental entities on whose behalf it attempts to collect debts.
- 62. Excluded from the Plaintiff's class is the Defendant and all officers, members, partners, managers, directors, and employees of Constar Financial Services, LLC and all of their respective immediate families, and legal counsel for all parties to this action and all members of their immediate families.
- 63. There are questions of law and fact common to the Plaintiff's Class, which common issues predominate over any issues involving only individual class members. The principal issues are whether the Defendant's communications with the Plaintiff, such as the above stated claims, violate provisions of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act.
- 64. The Plaintiff's claims are typical of the class members, as all are based upon the same facts and legal theories.

- 65. The Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Plaintiff's Class defined in this complaint. The Plaintiff has retained counsel with experience in handling consumer lawsuits, complex legal issues, and class actions, and neither the Plaintiff nor their attorneys have any interests, which might cause them not to vigorously pursue this action.
- 66. This action has been brought, and may properly be maintained, as a class action pursuant to the provisions of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure because there is a well-defined community interest in the litigation:
 - (a) <u>Numerosity:</u> The Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis allege, that the Plaintiff's Class defined above is so numerous that joinder of all members would be impractical.
 - (b) <u>Common Questions Predominate:</u> Common questions of law and fact exist as to all members of the Plaintiff's Class and those questions predominate over any questions or issues involving only individual class members. The principal issues are whether the Defendant's communications with the Plaintiff, such as the above stated claims, violate provisions of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act.
 - (c) **Typicality:** The Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the class members. Plaintiff and all members of the Plaintiff's Class defined in this complaint have claims arising out of the Defendant's common uniform course of conduct complained of herein.
 - (d) Adequacy: The Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the class members insofar as Plaintiff has no interests that are adverse to the absent class members. The Plaintiff is committed to vigorously litigating

this matter. Plaintiff has also retained counsel experienced in handling consumer lawsuits, complex legal issues, and class actions. Neither the Plaintiff nor their counsel have any interests, which might cause them not to vigorously pursue the instant class action lawsuit.

- (e) Superiority: A class action is superior to the other available means for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy because individual joinder of all members would be impracticable. Class action treatment will permit a large number of similarly situated persons to prosecute their common claims in a single forum efficiently and without unnecessary duplication of effort and expense that individual actions would engender. Certification of a class under Rule 23(b)(l)(A) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure is appropriate because adjudications with respect to individual members create a risk of inconsistent or varying adjudications which could establish incompatible standards of conduct for Defendant who, on information and belief, collects debts throughout the United States of America.
- 67. Certification of a class under Rule 23(b)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure is also appropriate in that a determination that the above stated claims, violate provisions of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, and is tantamount to declaratory relief and any monetary relief under the FDCPA would be merely incidental to that determination.
- 68. Certification of a class under Rule 23(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure is also appropriate in that the questions of law and fact common to members of the Plaintiff's Class predominate over any questions affecting an individual member, and a

- class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy.
- 69. Further, Defendant has acted, or failed to act, on grounds generally applicable to the Rule (b)(l)(A) and (b)(2) Class, thereby making appropriate final injunctive relief with respect to the Class as a whole.
- 70. Depending on the outcome of further investigation and discovery, Plaintiff may, at the time of class certification motion, seek to certify one or more classes only as to particular issues pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(c)(4).

AS AND FOR A FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION Violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act brought by Plaintiff on behalf of herself and the members of a class, as against the Defendant.

- 71. Plaintiff Williams repeats, reiterates, and incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs numbered one (1) through seventy (70) herein with the same force and effect is if the same were set forth at length herein.
- 72. This cause of action is brought on behalf of Plaintiff Williams and the members of a class.
- 73. The class involves all individuals whom Defendant's records reflect resided in the State of New York and who were sent a collection letter in substantially the same form letter as the letter sent to the Plaintiff Williams on or about February 28th, 2017; and (a) the collection letter was sent to a consumer seeking payment of a personal debt; and (b) the collection letter was not returned by the postal service as undelivered; and (c) the Plaintiff Williams asserts that the letter contained violations of 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e, 1692e(2)(A) and 1692e(10) of the FDCPA for the use of any false representation or deceptive means to collect or attempt to collect any debt and for misrepresenting the amount of the debt owed by the Plaintiff.

Violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act

74. The Defendant's actions as set forth above in the within complaint violates the Fair Debt

Collection Practices Act.

75. Because the Defendant violated the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, the Plaintiff and

the members of the class are entitled to damages in accordance with the Fair Debt

Collection Practices Act.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, respectfully requests preliminary and permanent injunctive relief, and that this

Court enter judgment in Plaintiff's favor and against the Defendant and award damages as follows:

(a) Statutory damages provided under the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692(k);

(b) Attorney fees, litigation expenses and costs incurred in bringing this action; and

(c) Any other relief that this Court deems appropriate and just under the

circumstances.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York April 14th, 2017

/s/ Igor Litvak____

Igor Litvak, Esq.

Attorneys for the Plaintiff

The Litvak Law Firm, PLLC

1701 Avenue P

Brooklyn, New York 11229

Office: (718) 989-2908

Facsimile: (718) 989-2908

E-mail: Igor@LitvakLawNY.com

Plaintiff requests trial by jury on all issues so triable.

/s/ Igor Litvak____

Igor Litvak, Esq.

Personal & Confidential

ռմիդդիրիիիիիին անհիդներիին հիրիիիիիիի հերթեր A WILLIAMS 12144 235TH ST JAMAICA NY 11422-1031

REMIT TO:

3561 W BELL RD PHOENIX AZ 85053

Please Check Here If Address or Phone Number Has Changed And Noted On Back

Constar # : Total Due : \$ 2862.01

Creditor : NAVIENT ED CREDIT FINANCE CORP

Detach Upper Portion And Return With Payment

February 28, 2017

COLLECTION ALERT

Creditor: NAVIENT ED CREDIT FINANCE CORP

Account # : Constar # :

Total Due: \$ 2862.01

NAVIENT ED CREDIT FINANCE CORP has placed your account with this agency for collection.

Your account is past due and must be paid in full. Please remit the entire balance due to our office using the return envelope provided. If you have any questions or wish to discuss your account with one of our representatives please call us at the toll free number listed below.

Unless you notify this office within 30 days after receiving this notice that you dispute the validity of this debt, or any portion thereof, this office will assume this debt is valid. If you notify this office in writing within 30 days from receiving this notice that you dispute the validity of this debt or any portion thereof, this office will obtain verification of the debt or obtain a copy of a judgment and mail you a copy of such judgment or verification. If you request in writing within 30 days after receiving this notice, this office will provide you the name and address of the original creditor, if different from the current creditor.

If payment in full is received in our office, all collection activity will cease.

OFFICE HOURS: 6:00AM - 6:00PM MONDAY-FRIDAY 6:00AM - 10:00AM SATURDAY Your representative: Navient Toll Free (877) 889-0250 ext 609

Please write your Constar # on all payments and correspondence.

Make your payments payable to CONSTAR FINANCIAL SERVICES LLC:

Mail your Payment to: Constar Financial Services, LLC 3561 W Bell Rd Phoenix, AZ 85053

Money Gram Instructions: Constar Financial Services Phoenix AZ Receive Code: 6326

NOTICE: SEE REVERSE SIDE FOR IMPORTANT INFORMATION

THIS COMMUNICATION IS FROM A DEBT COLLECTOR. THIS IS AN ATTEMPT TO COLLECT A DEBT AND ANY INFORMATION OBTAINED WILL BE USED FOR THAT PURPOSE.

S-ONCFSL10 L-100-001 P6P2GM00I01482 -511310292 I02027

Case 1:17-cv-02270 Document 1-1 Filed 04/14/17 Page 2 of 2 PageID #: 16

Change of Address Notification

Address		Apt #	
City	State	Zip	_
() Home Phone .	() Business Phone	Other Phone	

NOTE: The below information is not intended to be a complete list of rights consumers may have under State and Federal law.

Please be advised that the following state and municipal disclaimers are only applicable to consumers living in those states or municipalities.

California Residents:

The state Rosenthal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act and the federal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act require that, except under unusual circumstances, collectors may not contact you before 8 a.m. or after 9 p.m. They may not harass you by using threats of violence or arrest or by using obscene language. Collectors may not use false or misleading statements or call you at work if they know or have reason to know that you may not receive personal calls at work. For the most part, collectors may not tell another person other than your attorney or spouse, about your debt. Collectors may contact another person to confirm your location or enforce a judgment. For more information about debt collection activities, you may contact the Federal Trade Commission at 1-877-FTC-HELP or www.ftc.gov

A consumer has the right to request in writing that a debt collector or collection agency cease further communication with the consumer. A written request to cease communication will not prohibit the debt collector or collection agency from taking any other action authorized by law to collect the debt. FOR INFORMATION ABOUT THE COLORADO FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT, SEE WWW.COAG.GOV/CAR.

Constar Colorado Office: 2953 S Peoria St Suite 200 Aurora, CO 80014 303-500-5537 Hours; 9am - 5pm Monday through Friday

Massachusetts Residents:

You have the right to make a written or oral request that telephone calls regarding your debt not be made to you at your place of employment. Any such oral request will be valid for only ten (10) days unless you provide written confirmation of the request postmarked or delivered within seven (7) days of such request. You may terminate this request by writing to this agency.

Minnesota Residents:

This collection agency is licensed by the Minnesota Department of Commerce.

This collection agency is licensed in New York City, License # 1223510.

Debt collectors, in accordance with the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq., are prohibited from engaging in abusive, deceptive, and unfair debt collection efforts, including but not limited to: a) the use or threat of violence; b) the use of obscene or profane language; and c) repeated phone calls made with the intent to annoy, abuse, or harass.

If a creditor or debt collector receives a money judgment against you in court, state and federal laws may prevent the following types of income from being taken to pay the debt: 1. Supplemental security income, (SSI); 2. Social security; 3. Public assistance (welfare); 4. Spousal support, maintenance (alimony) or child support; 5. Unemployment benefits; 6. Disability benefits; 7. Workers' compensation benefits; 8. Public or private pensions; 9. Veterans' benefits; 10. Federal student loans, federal student grants, and federal work study funds; and 11. Ninety percent of your wages or salary earned in the last sixty days.

City of Buffalo Residents:

This collection agency is licensed by the City of Buffalo, New York License # CAG11-549716.

North Carolina:

North Carolina Department of Insurance Permit # 102557.

This collection agency is licensed by the Collection Service Board, State Department of Commerce and Insurance, James Robertson Parkway, Nashville, Tennessee 37243.

The activities of collection agencies in West Virginia are regulated by the Attorney General's Consumer Protection Division, 812 Quarrier St., Charleston, WV 25301. Federal law prohibits agencies from contacting you about your debt if you send a letter requesting that all contacts stop.



006450101V0000

Case 1:17-cv-02270 Document 2-3 Villed 04/14/17 Page 1 of 2 PageID #: 17

provided by local rules of cour purpose of initiating the civil d			HIS FORM.)	•	the Clerk of Court for the
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS		
ALFREDO WILLIAMS			CONSTAR FINAN	ICIAL SERVICES, LLC	
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff KINGS (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)			NOTE: IN LAND CO	of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES CONDEMNATION CASES, USE TO FLAND INVOLVED.	'
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, IGOR B. LITVAK, ESQ. 1701 AVENUE P BROOKLYN, NEW YOR	THE LITVAK PHONE / FA	LAW FIRM, PLLC X: (718) 989-2908	Attorneys (If Known)		
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	ICTION (Place an "X" in O	One Box Only)		RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintig
☐ 1 U.S. Government		Not a Party)		TF DEF 1 □ 1 Incorporated or Pr of Business In T	
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	2	
			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3	□ 6 □ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT				D A NIZDYIDECIV	OTHER CTATUTES
CONTRACT		ORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	□ 330 Federal Employers' Liability □ 340 Marine □ 345 Marine Product Liability □ 350 Motor Vehicle □ 355 Motor Vehicle □ 7000 The Personal Injury □ 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS □ 440 Other Civil Rights □ 441 Voting □ 442 Employment □ 443 Housing/ Accommodations □ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment □ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other □ 448 Education	PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 385 Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITIONS Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Other 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	□ 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 □ 690 Other □ 710 Fair Labor Standards Act □ 720 Labor/Management Relations □ 740 Railway Labor Act □ 751 Family and Medical Leave Act □ 790 Other Labor Litigation □ 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act □ MMIGRATION □ 462 Naturalization Application □ 465 Other Immigration Actions	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS □ 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent □ 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	□ 375 False Claims Act □ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) □ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	moved from 3 the Court Cite the U.S. Civil Sta 15 U.S.C. SECT Brief description of ca UNLAWFUL AN	Appellate Court tute under which you are fi ION 1692 FAIR DEI tuse: D DECEITFUL DEBT	Reinstated or Reopened 5 Transfr Reopened 5 Transfr Anothe (specify Iling (Do not cite jurisdictional state) BT COLLECTION BUSINES	er District Litigation Transfer (utes unless diversity): FICES ACT (FDCPA) S PRACTICES	- Litigation - Direct File
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2	IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv.P.	DEMAND \$	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND :	if demanded in complaint: X Yes No
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER	
DATE 04/14/2017		SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD /S/ IGOR B. LITVAK, ESQ.			
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY RECEIPT # A1	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JUI	DGE

Print Save As...

Case 1:17-cv-02270 Document 1-2 Filed 04/14/17 Page 2 of 2 PageID #: 18

CERTIFICATION OF ARBITRATION ELIGIBILITY

Local Arbitration Rule 83.10 provides that with certain exceptions, actions seeking money damages only in an amount not in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs, are eligible for compulsory arbitration. The amount of damages is presumed to be below the threshold amount unless a certification to the contrary is filed.

I, N/A		, counsel for, do hereby certify that the above captioned civil action is
inelig	ible for	compulsory arbitration for the following reason(s):
		monetary damages sought are in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs,
		the complaint seeks injunctive relief,
		the matter is otherwise ineligible for the following reason
		DISCLOSURE STATEMENT - FEDERAL RULES CIVIL PROCEDURE 7.1
N/A		Identify any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation that owns 10% or more or its stocks:
		RELATED CASE STATEMENT (Section VIII on the Front of this Form)
provide because same ju case: (A	es that "A e the cases idge and r A) involve	tes that are arguably related pursuant to Division of Business Rule 50.3.1 in Section VIII on the front of this form. Rule 50.3.1 (a) civil case is "related" to another civil case for purposes of this guideline when, because of the similarity of facts and legal issues or a raise from the same transactions or events, a substantial saving of judicial resources is likely to result from assigning both cases to the magistrate judge." Rule 50.3.1 (b) provides that "A civil case shall not be deemed "related" to another civil case merely because the civil is identical legal issues, or (B) involves the same parties." Rule 50.3.1 (c) further provides that "Presumptively, and subject to the power termine otherwise pursuant to paragraph (d), civil cases shall not be deemed to be "related" unless both cases are still pending before the
		NY-E DIVISION OF BUSINESS RULE 50.1(d)(2)
1.)	Is the Count	civil action being filed in the Eastern District removed from a New York State Court located in Nassau or Suffolk y: No
2.)		answered "no" above: the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in Nassau or Suffolk y? No
	b) Did Distric	the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in the Eastern ot? Yes
Suffoll	k County folk Cou	to question 2 (b) is "No," does the defendant (or a majority of the defendants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or or, or, in an interpleader action, does the claimant (or a majority of the claimants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau onty?
	(.	
		BAR ADMISSION
I am c	urrently a	admitted in the Eastern District of New York and currently a member in good standing of the bar of this court. No No
Are yo	ou curren	tly the subject of any disciplinary action (s) in this or any other state or federal court? Yes (If yes, please explain) No
I certif	the acc	guracy of all information provided above

Signature: /S/ IGOR B. LITVAK, ESQ.

EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YOR			
ALFREDO WILLIAMS on behalf of hall other similarly situated consumers	Case No.		
Plaintiff,	,		
-against-			
CONSTAR FINANCIAL SERVICES,	LLC		
Defenda	nt.		
SUMMO	ONS IN A CIVIL ACTION		
O: CONSTAR FINANCIAL SERVICES, LLC 3561 WEST BELL ROAD PHOENIX AZ 85053			
YOU ARE HEREBY SUMM and serve upon PLAINTIFF'S ATTOR	CONED and required to file with the Clerk of this Court RNEY:		
IGOR B. LITVAK, ESQ. THE LITVAK LAW FIRM, PL 1701 AVENUE P BROOKLYN, NEW YORK 11			
	erewith served upon you, with 21 days after service of this ay of service. If you fail to do so, judgment by default wil anded in the complaint.		
CLERK	DATE		
BY DEPUTY CLERK	<u> </u>		

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Constar Financial Services Hit with Debt Collection Class Action</u>