### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA TAMPA DIVISION

MEAGHAN WILLIAMS, individually and on	Case No.:
behalf of all others similarly situated,	FLSA Collective Action
, ,	
v.	
ADVANCED RETAIL MERCHANDISING, INC.,	

#### PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL COMPLAINT

#### **SUMMARY**

1. Defendant Advanced Retail Merchandising, Inc. ("ARM") failed to pay overtime to their merchandisers, including Plaintiff Meaghan Williams, as required by the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA"). ARM employed merchandisers like Williams to install shelves in stores and stock them with merchandise. Although merchandisers regularly worked many hours in excess of forty per week, ARM paid them a fixed weekly amount without any overtime pay in violation of the FLSA. Accordingly, Williams brings this action on behalf of herself and other similarly situated workers to recover unpaid overtime pay and other damages.

#### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 2. This Court has jurisdiction because Williams' claims arise under federal law.
- 3. Venue is proper because ARM resides in this District and a substantial part of the acts and omissions giving rise to Williams' claims occurred in this District.

#### THE PARTIES

4. Williams was employed by ARM within the meaning of the FLSA as a merchandiser. Williams regularly worked over forty hours per week, but ARM did not pay her overtime pay. Williams's consent to this action is attached as Exhibit A.

- 5. The putative class members are other merchandisers employed by ARM who were also paid a fixed weekly amount with no overtime pay. The putative class members performed job duties similar to Williams, like installing and loading shelves.
- 6. ARM employed Williams and the putative class members within the meaning of the FLSA.

#### **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

- 7. ARM is in the retail merchandising business. It employs merchandisers like Williams to set up shelves and stock them in stores that are ARM's clients.
- 8. ARM merchandises for retail stores throughout the Southeastern United States, including Florida, South Carolina, North Carolina, Virginia, Georgia, and Tennessee.
- 9. ARM employed Williams as a merchandiser from June 1, 2014 to October 1, 2017.
- 10. ARM paid Williams \$500 per week from the start of the statutory period until the last year of her employment, during which ARM paid her \$525 per week.
- 11. Williams' and the putative class members' main job duty was to install shelves and load them with merchandise in stores.
- 12. ARM knew that Williams' and the putative class members' main job duty was to install shelves and load them with merchandise.
- 13. ARM's gross annual revenue for at least the last three years was in excess of \$500,000 during at least the last three years.
- 14. ARM had two or more employees who handled, sold, or worked on goods or materials that traveled in or were produced for interstate commerce, including tools, hardware, shelves, gloves, office equipment, computers, store merchandise, and phones during at least the last three years.
- 15. ARM is an enterprise engaged in interstate commerce under the FLSA and is subject to its overtime provisions.

- 16. Williams and the putative class members regularly worked in excess of 40 hours in a workweek during their employment with ARM.
- 17. Williams and the putative class members typically worked 6 to 7 days per week.
- 18. ARM recorded the hours Williams and the putative class members worked.
- 19. ARM recorded Williams and the putative class members regularly working more than 40 hours in a workweek.
- 20. For example, ARM's time records show Williams worked 58 hours in the week ending August 26, 2016.
- 21. ARM knows William and the putative class members worked more than 40 hours per week.
- 22. ARM did not pay hours Williams and the potential class members worked over forty in any workweek at 1.5 times their regular rates of pay.
- 23. ARM knew Williams and the potential class members were not paid overtime at 1.5 times their regular rates of pay.
- 24. ARM knows the FLSA requires overtime pay to workers who primarily perform manual labor when they work in excess of forty hours in a workweek, even if those workers are paid a flat amount per week.
- 25. ARM knew or showed reckless disregard for whether its payroll practices violated the overtime provisions of the FLSA. Accordingly, ARM willfully violated the FLSA's overtime provisions.

#### **COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS**

ARM also employed other merchandisers similarly situated to Williams. These potential class members are similarly situated to Williams because they also primarily performed manual labor related to installing shelves and stocking them with merchandise. They were also denied overtime pay under the same weekly flat-rate payroll policy that applied to Williams as described above.

- 27. The putative class members, like Williams, generally worked 6 to 7 days per week and recorded the hours they worked. They also regularly worked in excess of 40 hours per week without receiving overtime pay.
- 28. Notice of this collective action is, therefore, properly sent to:

All merchandisers employed by ARM who were paid a flat amount per week and who worked over 40 hours in any workweek during the last three years.

#### **CAUSES OF ACTION**

- 29. Williams and the putative class members incorporate the allegations in the preceding paragraphs.
- 30. As set forth above, ARM violated the FLSA by failing to pay Williams and the putative class members overtime compensation. Accordingly, Williams and the putative class members are entitled to recover their unpaid overtime.
- 31. Under the FLSA, Williams and the putative class members are entitled to an amount equal to their unpaid overtime wages as liquidated damages, as well as reasonable attorney's fees and costs.

#### **PRAYER**

- 32. Wherefore, Williams and the putative class members request that this Court award them judgment against ARM for:
  - (a) their unpaid overtime pay;
  - (b) an equal amount as liquidated damages;
  - (c) reasonable attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses of this action;
  - (d) post-judgment interest at the highest rate allowed by law; and
  - (e) such other and further relief as may be allowed by law.

Dated: August 20, 2018

Respectfully submitted,

C. Ryan Morgan FBN 0015527

Morgan & Morgan, P.A.

20 N. Orange Ave., 16th Floor

P.O. Box 4979

Orlando, Florida 32802-4979

Telephone:

(407) 420-1414

Facsimile:

(407) 425-8171

Email: RMorgan@forthepeople.com

David I. Moulton (pro hac vice forthcoming)

Texas Bar No. 24051093

BRUCKNER BURCH PLLC

8 Greenway Plaza, Suite 1500

Houston, Texas 77046

Telephone: (713) 877-8788

Telecopier: (713) 877-8065

dmoulton@brucknerburch.com

Andrew Dunlap (pro hac vice forthcoming)

Texas Bar No. 24078444

JOSEPHSON DUNLAP LAW FIRM

11 Greenway Plaza, Ste. 3050

Houston, Texas 77046

Telephone:

(713) 352-1100

Telecopier:

(713) 352-3300

adunlap@mybackwages.com

**Attorneys for Plaintiff** 

JS 44 (Rev. 08/18)

## **CIVIL COVER SHEET**

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I (a) DI AINTIEES	·			DEFENDA	NTC			<del></del>				
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS  Advanced Batell Masshandining Inc.								
Meaghan Williams				Advanced Retail Merchandising, Inc.,								
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Oconee  (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)  (c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant  (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)  NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.								
				Attorneys (If Known)								
Ryan Morgan, Morgan & P.O. Box 4979, Orlando,	Morgan, P.A., 20 N. 0		Floor,						•			
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)				TIZENSHIP C (For Diversity Cases (		RINCI	[PA]	L PARTIES	(Place an "X" in and One Box )			
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)			en of This State	PT			Incorporated or Pr of Business In T	PTF I		DEF □ 4	
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	ent			en of Another State	Incorporated and F of Business In A		<b>□</b> 5	<b>5</b>				
			Citize For	0	3 🗖	3	Foreign Nation		<b>1</b> 6	<b>D</b> 6		
IV. NATURE OF SUIT			***	11111111111111111111111111111111111111	700			ere for: Nature of				
CONTRACT  110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise    REAL PROPERTY   210 Land Condemnation   220 Foreclosure   230 Rent Lease & Ejectment   240 Torts to Land   245 Tort Product Liability   290 All Other Real Property	330 Federal Employers'	PERSONAL INJUR    365 Personal Injury - Product Liability     367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability     368 Asbestos Persona Injury Product Liability     368 Asbestos Persona Injury Product Liability     370 Other Fraud     371 Truth in Lending     380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability     463 Alien Detainee     510 Motions to Vacate Sentence     530 General     535 Death Penalty Other:     540 Mandamus & Others     555 Prison Condition     560 Civil Rights     555 Prison Condition     560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	1	DRFEITURE/PENAI  5 Drug Related Seizur of Property 21 USC  6 Other  LABOR  6 Fair Labor Standard Act 10 Labor/Management Relations 10 Railway Labor Act 11 Family and Medical Leave Act 10 Other Labor Litigati 11 Employee Retirement Income Security Act  12 Imployee Retirement Income Security Act  13 MANIGRATION 14 Naturalization Appl 15 Other Immigration Actions	re E 881	422 / 423 \\   423 \\   820 (  830   1   835   1   1     840 (  840   1   862   1     863   865   1     FED   870 (  871   1   871   1	Appear Ap	C 157  FY RIGHTS ghts  - Abbreviated orug Application nark ECURITY 395ff) Lung (923) //DIWW (405(g)) Fitle XVI	480 Consum   485 Telepht   485 Telepht   490 Cable/S   850 Securiti   Exchar   890 Other S   891 Agricul   895 Freedor   Act   896 Arbitral   899 Admini   Act/Rev	laims Accommendation (31 US))) seapportion (31 US))) seapportion (31 US))) seapportion (31 US) seapportion (31 US) ser Influe Organization (31 US) ser Influe Stat TV ses/Commentation (31 US) ser Influe Stat TV ser Influe S	onment cing cnccd and cations it sumer modities/ Actions ts Matters ormation Procedure Appeal of n	
	Cite the U.S. Civil Str. 29 U.S.C. Sec. 2 Brief description of overtim  CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2	Appellate Court  atute under which you a 01, et seq (Fair Lat ause: e compensation IS A CLASS ACTION	Reop re filing (I por Stan	(s Do not cite jurisdiction	nother pecify)	Distric	t ss dive CH JU	G 6 Multidistr Litigation Transfer ersity): IECK YES only IRY DEMAND:	if demanded in	Multid Litigat Direct I	ion - File	
DATE 08/21/2018		SIGNATURE OF AT	TORNEY	OF RECORD								
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	AOI INT	10		11.10				<u> </u>				

# **ClassAction.org**

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Lawsuit Filed Against Advanced Retail Merchandising by Ex-Employee Seeking Allegedly Unpaid OT</u>