Hearing Date: 1/10/2024 96:30-1406 Document #: 1-1 Filed: 10/13/23 Page 7 of 17 PageID #:19/11/2023 9:32 PM -ocation: Court Room 2102

Judge: Atkins, David B.

こしたび じろっしょ ぎょこくひんり きょうちょうし ようとうしょうじゅうじ

IRIS Y. MARTINEZ CIRCUIT CLERK COOK COUNTY, IL 2023CH08089 Calendar, 16 24324888

STATE OF ILLINOIS CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY CHANCERY DIVISION

Candice Wilhelm individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,)	Case No. 2023CH08089
)	Judge:
Plaintiff,)	
-V\$-)	•
- 45-) }	
BAM Trading Services, Inc.)	
)	
Defendant.)	

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

Now comes Plaintiff, Candice Wilhelm ("Plaintiff"), on behalf of herself and all other similarly situated, through Counsel, and pursuant to 735 ILCS §§ 5/2-801 and 2-802, and 740 ILCS § 740/14/15, against Defendant, BAM Trading Services, Inc. ("Defendant"), its subsidiaries and affiliates, to redress and curtail Defendant's unlawful collections, obtainments, use, storage, and disclosure of Plaintiff's sensitive and proprietary biometric identifiers and/or biometric information (collectively referred to herein as "biometric data" and/or "biometrics"). Plaintiff alleges as follows upon personal knowledge as to herself, her own acts and experiences and, as to all other matters, upon information and belief including investigation conducted by her attorneys.

NATURE OF THE ACTION

- 1. Binance.US is a platform owned and operated by BAM Trading Services, Inc. which markets itself as a virtual crypto currency exchange platform where users may trade, purchase, borrow and transfer crypto currency.
- 2. Plaintiff opened an account on Binance.US within the five (5) years immediately preceding the filing of this Complaint.
 - 3. As part of signing up, and/or gaining access to her Binance.US account, Plaintiff was

prompted to allow Defendant to collect her facial geometry, *i.e.*, her biometric information, in order to verify her identity and ensure that users can meet the standards required by Defendant in order to have access to the application.

- 4. Binance.US recommends users to utilize the facial screening software on their cellular devices in order to ensure a more secure login experience.
- 5. Facial geometry scans are unique, permanent biometric identifiers associated with each user that cannot be changed or replaced if stolen or compromised.
- 6. Defendant collects, stores, possesses, otherwise obtains, uses, and disseminates its users' biometric data.
- 7. The Illinois legislature enacted BIPA to protect residents' privacy interests in their biometric data. See Heard v. Becton, Dickinson & Co., 440 F. Supp. 3d 960, 963 (N.D. Ill. 2020), citing Rosenbach v. Six Flags Entm't Corp., 2019 IL 123186, 432 Ill. Dec. 654, 129 N.E.3d 1197, 1199 (2019).
- 8. Courts analogize an individual's privacy interest in their unique biometric data to their interest in protecting their private domain from invasion, such as from trespass. *See Bryant v. Compass Group USA, Inc.*, 958 F.3d 617, 624 (7th Cir. 2020), as amended on denial of reh'g and reh'g en banc, (June 30, 2020) and opinion amended on denial of reh'g *en banc*, 2020 U.S. App. LEXIS 20468, 2020 WL 6534581 (7th Cir. 2020).
- 9. In recognition of these concerns over the security of individuals' biometrics particularly in the City of Chicago, which has been selected by major national corporations as a "pilot testing site[] for new applications of biometric-facilitated financial transactions, including finger-scan technologies at grocery stores, gas stations, and school cafeterias" (740 ILCS 14/5(b)) the Illinois Legislature enacted the BIPA, which provides, inter alia, that a private entity like

BAM Trading Services, Inc. may not obtain and/or possess an individual's biometrics unless it: (1) informs that person in writing that biometric identifiers or information will be collected or stored; (2) informs that person in writing of the specific purpose and length of term for which such biometric identifiers or biometric information is being collected, stored and used; (3) receives a written release from the person for the collection of his or her biometric identifiers or information; and (4) publishes publicly-available written retention schedules and guidelines for permanently destroying biometric identifiers and biometric information. 740 ILCS 14/15(a)-(b).

- 10. The Illinois Legislature has found that "[b]iometrics are unlike other unique identifiers that are used to access finances or other sensitive information." 740 ILCS 14/5(c). "For example, social security numbers, when compromised, can be changed. Biometrics, however, are biologically unique to the individual; therefore, once compromised, the individual has no recourse, is at heightened risk for identity theft, and is likely to withdraw from biometric-facilitated transactions." *Id*.
- 11. BAM Trading Services, Inc. is a "private entity" as that term is broadly defined by BIPA and is subject to all requirements of BIPA. See 740 ILCS § 14/10.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 12. This is a Class Action Complaint for violations of the Illinois Biometric Information Privacy Act (740 ILCS § 14/1 *et seq.*) brought pursuant to 735 ILCS §§ 5/2-801, 2-802 seeking statutory damages.
 - 13. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 735 ILCS 5/2-101.
- 14. At all relevant times, Plaintiff is a resident of the state of Illinois and the violations of BIPA as detailed herein occurred while Plaintiff was located in Illinois.
 - 15. BAM Trading Services, Inc. is a corporation that operates as a crypto currency

exchange which markets itself and does substantial business with users located in and residing within the State of Illinois.

- 16. BAM Trading Services, Inc. engages in pay-per-click advertising, Facebook and Instagram marketing campaigns directed specifically at Illinois users.
- 17. BAM Trading Services, Inc.'s marketing efforts directed towards Illinois were a factor in Plaintiff's decision to open an account on Binance.US.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS COMMON TO ALL CLAIMS

- 18. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.
- 19. Plaintiff opened an account on Binance.US within the five years immediately preceding the filing of this Complaint.
- 20. As part of signing up, and/or gaining access to her Binance.US account, Plaintiff was prompted to allow Defendant to collect her facial geometry, *i.e.*, her biometric information, in order to verify her identity and ensure that users can meet the standards required by Defendant in order to have access to the application.
- 21. Binance.US recommends users to utilize the facial screening software on their cellular devices in order to ensure a more secure login experience.
- 22. At the time of collecting and retaining Plaintiff's biometric information, Defendant had no written policy, made available to the public, establishing a retention schedule and guidelines for permanently destroying biometric information when the initial purpose for collecting or obtaining such biometric information has been satisfied or within 3 years of the individual's last interaction with Defendant, whichever occurs first.
 - 23. Defendant did not inform Plaintiff in writing that Defendant was collecting or storing

his biometric information.

- 24. In fact, Defendant made no mention of biometric information, collection of biometric information, or storage of biometric information.
- 25. Moreover, Defendant did not inform Plaintiff in writing of the specific purpose and length of term for which her biometric information was being collected, stored, and used.
- 26. Defendant collected, stored, and used Plaintiff's biometric information without ever receiving a written release executed by Plaintiff which would consent to or authorize Defendant to do the same.
- 27. Additionally, Defendant disclosed, redisclosed, or otherwise disseminated a Plaintiff's information (1) without Plaintiff's consent; (2) without Plaintiff's authorization to complete a financial transaction requested or authorized by; (3) without being required by State or federal law or municipal ordinance; or (4) without being required pursuant to a valid warrant or subpoena issued by a court of competent jurisdiction.
- 28. Defendant's collection and retention of biometric information as described herein is not unique to Plaintiff and is instead part of Defendant's policy and procedures which Defendant applies to all of its users, including the Class Members.

CLASS DEFINITIONS AND ALLEGATIONS

- 29. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.
- 30. Plaintiff brings Claims for Relief in violation of BIPA as a class action on behalf of herself and all members of the following Class:

All Illinois residents who had their biometric information collected by BAM Trading Services, Inc. at any point in the five (5) years preceding the filing of this Complaint.

- 31. In the alternative, and for the convenience of this Court and the parties, Plaintiff may seek to certify other subclasses at the time the motion for class certification is filed.
- 32. Numerosity. The Class Members are so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable. Plaintiff is informed and believes that there are more than 1,000 people who satisfy the definition of the Class.
- 33. Existence of Common Questions of Law and Fact. Common questions of law and fact exist as to Plaintiff and the Class Members including, but not limited to, the following:
- a. Whether Defendant possessed Plaintiff's and the Class Members' biometric identifiers or biometric information without first developing a written policy, made available to the public, establishing a retention schedule and guidelines for permanently destroying biometric identifiers and biometric information when the initial purpose for collecting or obtaining such identifiers or information has been satisfied or within 3 years of the individual's last interaction with Defendant, whichever occurs first.
- b. Whether Defendant collected, captured, purchased, received through trade, or otherwise obtained Plaintiff's and the Class Members' biometric identifier or biometric information, without first: (1) informing Plaintiff and the Class Members in writing that a biometric identifier or biometric information is being collected or stored; (2) informing Plaintiff and the Class Members in writing of the specific purpose and length of term for which their biometric identifier or biometric information was being collected, stored, and used; and (3) receiving a written release executed by Plaintiff and the Class Members
- c. Whether Defendant disclosed, redisclosed, or otherwise disseminated Plaintiff's and the Class Members' biometric identifiers or biometric information (1) without Plaintiff's and the Class Members' consent; (2) without Plaintiff's and the Class Members' authorization to complete a

financial transaction requested or authorized by Plaintiff and the Class Members; (3) without being required by State or Federal law or municipal ordinance; or (4) without being required pursuant to a valid warrant or subpoena issued by a court of competent jurisdiction.

- d. The damages sustained and the proper monetary amounts recoverable by Plaintiff and the Class Members.
- 34. Adequacy. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately represent and protect the interests of the Class Members. Plaintiff has retained counsel competent and experienced in complex class actions.
- 35. Appropriateness and Efficiency. Class certification is also appropriate and efficient because questions of law and fact common to the Class Members predominate over questions affecting only individual members of the classes, and because a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this litigation. Defendant's common and uniform policies and practices illegally deprived Plaintiff and the Class Members of the privacy protections which BIPA seeks to ensure; thus, making the question of liability and damages much more manageable and efficient to resolve in a class action, compared to hundreds of individual trials. The damages suffered by individual Class Members are small compared to the expense and burden of individual prosecution. In addition, class certification will obviate the need for unduly duplicative litigation that might result in inconsistent judgments about Defendant's practices.

COUNT ONE: VIOLATION OF 740 ILCS § 14/15(a)

36. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.

- 37. A private entity in possession of biometric identifiers or biometric information must develop a written policy, made available to the public, establishing a retention schedule and guidelines for permanently destroying biometric identifiers and biometric information when the initial purpose for collecting or obtaining such identifiers or information has been satisfied or within 3 years of the individual's last interaction with the private entity, whichever occurs first. Absent a valid warrant or subpoena issued by a court of competent jurisdiction, a private entity in possession of biometric identifiers or biometric information must comply with its established retention schedule and destruction guidelines. 740 ILCS § 14/15(a).
- 38. As part of signing up, and/or gaining access to their Binance.US account, Plaintiff and the Class Members were prompted to allow Defendant to collect their facial geometry, *i.e.*, their biometric information.
- 39. At the time of collecting and retaining Plaintiff's and the Class Members' biometric information, Defendant had no written policy, made available to the public, establishing a retention schedule and guidelines for permanently destroying biometric information when the initial purpose for collecting or obtaining such biometric information has been satisfied or within 3 years of the individual's last interaction with Defendant, whichever occurs first.
- 40. As such, Defendant's retention of Plaintiff's and the Class Members' biometric information was unlawful and in violation of 740 ILCS § 14/15(a).

COUNT TWO: VIOLATION OF 740 ILCS § 14/15(b)

- 41. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.
- 42. No private entity may collect, capture, purchase, receive through trade, or otherwise obtain a person's or a customer's biometric identifier or biometric information, unless it first:

- (1) informs the subject or the subject's legally authorized representative in writing that a biometric identifier or biometric information is being collected or stored;
- (2) informs the subject or the subject's legally authorized representative in writing of the specific purpose and length of term for which a biometric identifier or biometric information is being collected, stored, and used; and
- (3) receives a written release executed by the subject of the biometric identifier or biometric information or the subject's legally authorized representative. 740 ILCS § 14/15(b).
- 43. Defendant did not inform Plaintiff and the Class Members in writing that Defendant was collecting or storing his biometric information.
- 44. In fact, Defendant made no mention of biometric information, collection of biometric information, or storage of biometric information.
- 45. Moreover, Defendant did not inform Plaintiff and the Class Members in writing of the specific purpose and length of term for which their biometric information was being collected, stored, and used.
- 46. Defendant collected, stored, and used Plaintiff's and the Class Members' biometric information without ever receiving a written release executed by Plaintiff or the Class Members which would consent to or authorize Defendant to do the same.
- 47. As such, Defendant's collection of Plaintiff's and the Class Members' biometric information was unlawful and in violation of 740 ILCS § 14/15(c).

COUNT THREE: VIOLATION OF 740 ILCS § 14/15(d)

- 48. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.
- 49. No private entity in possession of a biometric identifier or biometric information may disclose, redisclose, or otherwise disseminate a person's or a customer's biometric identifier or biometric information unless:

(1) the subject of the biometric identifier or biometric information or the subject's legally authorized representative consents to the disclosure or redisclosure;

(2) the disclosure or redisclosure completes a financial transaction requested or authorized by the subject of the biometric identifier or the biometric information

or the subject's legally authorized representative;

(3) the disclosure or redisclosure is required by State or federal law or municipal

ordinance; or

(4) the disclosure is required pursuant to a valid warrant or subpoena issued by a

court of competent jurisdiction. 740 ILCS § 14/15(d).

50. Defendant's disclosures, redisclosures, or otherwise disseminating of Plaintiff's and

the Class Members' biometric information was unlawful and in violation of 740 ILCS §

14/15(d).

WHEREFORE, individually, and on behalf of the Class Members, the Plaintiff prays

for: (1) certification of this case as a class action appointing the undersigned counsel as class

counsel; (2) a declaration that Defendant has violated BIPA, 740 ILCS 14/1 et seq.; (3) statutory

damages of \$5,000,00 per violation for the intentional and reckless violation of BIPA pursuant to

740 ILCS 14/20(2), or alternatively, statutory damages of \$1,000.00 per violation pursuant to

740 ILCS 14/20(1) in the event the court finds that Defendant's violations of BIPA were not

willful; (4) reasonable attorneys' fees and costs and other litigation expense pursuant to 740

ILCS 14/20(3); (5) actual damages; and (6) for any other relief deemed appropriate in the

premises.

Dated: September 11th, 2023

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Michael L. Fradin

Michael L. Fradin, Esq.

8 N. Court St. Suite 403

Athens, Ohio 45701

Telephone: 847-986-5889 Facsimile: 847-673-1228 Email: mike@fradinlaw.com

By: /s/ James L. Simon_

James L. Simon (pro hac vice forthcoming)

Simon Law Co.

11 ½ N. Franklin Street Chagrin Falls, Ohio 44022 Telephone: (216) 816-8696

Email: james@simonsayspay.com

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Crypto Exchange Platform Binance.US Hit with Class Action Over Alleged Biometric Privacy Violations</u>