Case: 1:22-cv-00690 Document #: 1 Filed: 02/08/22 Page 1 of 11 PageID #:1

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

ROBERT WILHELM, Individually and on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated

PLAINTIFF

VS.

No. 1:22-cv-690

AMERICAN AIRLINES, INC.

DEFENDANT

ORIGINAL COMPLAINT—COLLECTIVE ACTION

Plaintiff Robert Wilhelm ("Plaintiff"), individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, by and through his attorneys Colby Qualls and Josh Sanford of Sanford Law Firm, PLLC, for his Original Complaint—Collective Action ("Complaint") against Defendant American Airlines, Inc. ("Defendant"), states and alleges as follows:

I. PRELIMINARY STATEMENTS

- 1. This is a collective action brought by Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, against Defendant for violations of the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 201, et seq. (the "FLSA"), and overtime provisions of the Illinois Minimum Wage Law, 820 ILCS 105/1, et seq. ("IMWL").
- 2. Plaintiff seeks declaratory judgment, monetary damages, liquidated damages, costs, and a reasonable attorneys' fee, as a result of Defendant's policy and practice of failing to pay Plaintiff and others similarly situated sufficient overtime wages under the FLSA and the IMWL within the applicable statutory limitations period.

3. Upon information and belief, within the three years prior to the filing of the Complaint, Defendant has willfully and intentionally committed violations of the FLSA and the IMWL as described, *infra*.

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 4. The United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois has subject matter jurisdiction over this suit under the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 1331 because this suit raises federal questions under the FLSA.
- 5. This Complaint also alleges IMWL violations, which arise out of the same set of operative facts as the federal cause of action; accordingly, this Court has supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's IMWL claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a).
 - 6. Defendant conducts business within the State of Illinois.
- 7. Venue lies properly within this Court under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1) and (c)(2), because the State of Illinois has personal jurisdiction over Defendant, and Defendant therefore "resides" in Illinois.
- 8. The acts complained of herein were committed and had their principal effect against Plaintiff within the Eastern Division of the Northern District of Illinois. Therefore, venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391.

III. THE PARTIES

- 10. Plaintiff is an individual and resident of Cook County.
- 11. Defendant is a foreign, for-profit corporation.
- 12. Defendant's registered agent for service of process is C T Corporation System, 208 SO Lasalle Street, Suite 814, Chicago, Illinois 60604.

Case: 1:22-cv-00690 Document #: 1 Filed: 02/08/22 Page 3 of 11 PageID #:3

13. Defendant, in the course of its business, maintains a website at

https://www.aa.com/homePage.do.

IV. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

14. Plaintiff repeats and realleges all previous paragraphs of this Complaint as

though fully incorporated in this section.

15. During the relevant time, Defendant had at least two employees who

engaged in interstate commerce or in the production of goods for interstate commerce,

or who handled, sold, or otherwise worked on goods or materials that had been moved

in or produced for interstate commerce, such as vehicles and fuel.

16. Defendant's annual gross volume of sales made or business done was not

less than \$500,000.00 (exclusive of excise taxes at the retail level that are separately

stated) during each of the three calendar years preceding the filing of this Original

Complaint.

17. Defendant was, at all times relevant hereto, Plaintiff's employer and is and

has been engaged in interstate commerce as that term is defined under the FLSA.

18. Plaintiff was employed by Defendant within the three years preceding the

filing of this lawsuit.

19. Specifically, Defendant employed Plaintiff as a Fleet Service Clerk from

1986 until the present.

Defendant classified Plaintiff as an hourly employee, nonexempt from the

overtime provisions of the FLSA.

21. At all times material herein, Plaintiff has been entitled to the rights,

protections, and benefits provided under the FLSA.

Page 3 of 11 Robert Wilhelm, et al. v. American Airlines, Inc. U.S.D.C. (N.D. III.) Case No. 1:22-cv-690 Case: 1:22-cv-00690 Document #: 1 Filed: 02/08/22 Page 4 of 11 PageID #:4

22. At all relevant times herein, Defendant directly hired Plaintiff and other

Hourly Employees to work on its behalf, paid them wages and benefits, controlled their

work schedules, duties, protocols, applications, assignments and employment

conditions, and kept at least some records regarding their employment.

23. Defendant requires Plaintiff to complete quarterly training modules.

24. Defendant also requires other employees, such as other Fleet Service

Clerks, Mechanics, Gate Agents and Cargo Workers (collectively, "Hourly Employees")

to complete the quarterly training modules.

25. The training modules last approximately 4 to 5 hours each.

Plaintiff and other Hourly Employees are regularly required to complete

the training after their shift is over, while they are no longer clocked in.

27. Defendant regularly fails to pay Plaintiff and other Hourly Employees for

the time they spend completing the quarterly training, or pays them their regular hourly

pay rate rather than an overtime pay rate, even if Plaintiff or other Hourly Employees

have already worked over 40 hours that week.

28. If Plaintiff or other Hourly Employees refuse to complete the training, they

are disciplined, up to and including termination of their employment.

29. Plaintiff regularly worked more than 40 hours per week.

30. In each week in which Plaintiff was required to complete the quarterly

training modules without clocking in, Plaintiff has incurred damages.

31. Upon information and belief, Plaintiff completed the training modules

during the week of January 31, 2021, and was not paid for this time, thereby incurring

damages in that week.

Page 4 of 11
Robert Wilhelm, et al. v. American Airlines, Inc.
U.S.D.C. (N.D. III.) Case No. 1:22-cv-690
Original Complaint—Collective Action

32. Defendant did not pay Plaintiff or other Hourly Employees 1.5x their

regular rate for all hours worked over 40 each week, specifically in the weeks in which

they completed quarterly training modules.

33. Defendant knew or should have known that Plaintiff and other Hourly

Employees were working hours over forty in weeks in which they also completed the

quarterly training modules.

34. At all relevant times herein, Defendant has deprived Plaintiff and other

Hourly Employees of regular wages and overtime compensation for all hours worked.

35. Defendant knew or showed reckless disregard for whether its actions

violated the FLSA and the IMWL.

V. REPRESENTATIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS

36. Plaintiff repeats and realleges all previous paragraphs of this Complaint as

though fully incorporated in this section.

37. Plaintiff brings this claim for relief for violation of the FLSA as a collective

action pursuant to Section 16(b) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), on behalf of all

persons similarly situated who were, are, or will be employed by Defendant within the

applicable statute of limitations period, who are entitled to payment of the following

types of damages:

A. Overtime premiums for all hours worked over forty in any week;

B. Liquidated damages; and

C. Attorney's fees and costs.

38. Plaintiff proposes the following collective under the FLSA:

All hourly employees who were required to complete

quarterly training modules within in the past three years.

Case: 1:22-cv-00690 Document #: 1 Filed: 02/08/22 Page 6 of 11 PageID #:6

39. In conformity with the requirements of FLSA Section 16(b), Plaintiff has

filed or will soon file a written Consent to Join this lawsuit.

40. The relevant time period dates back three years from the date on which

Plaintiff's Original Complaint—Collective Action was filed herein and continues forward

through the date of judgment pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 255(a), except as set forth herein

below.

41. The members of the proposed FLSA collective are similarly situated in that

they share these traits:

A. They were paid an hourly wage;

B. They were classified as nonexempt from the overtime requirements of the

FLSA;

C. They were required to complete quarterly training modules per

Defendant's policies; and

D. They were not paid for the time they spent completing the quarterly

training modules or were paid at a straight-time rate.

42. Plaintiff is unable to state the exact number of the collective but believes

that the collective exceeds 100 persons.

43. Defendant can readily identify the members of the collective, who are a

certain portion of the current and former employees of Defendant.

44. The names and physical and mailing addresses of the probable FLSA

collective action plaintiffs are available from Defendant.

45. The email addresses of many of the probable FLSA collective action

plaintiffs are available from Defendant.

Page 6 of 11
Robert Wilhelm, et al. v. American Airlines, Inc.
U.S.D.C. (N.D. III.) Case No. 1:22-cv-690
Original Complaint—Collective Action

VI. FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION (Individual Claim for Violation of the FLSA)

46. Plaintiff repeats and realleges all previous paragraphs of this Complaint as

though fully incorporated in this section.

47. Plaintiff asserts this claim for damages and declaratory relief pursuant to

the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 201, et seg.

48. At all relevant times, Defendant was Plaintiff's "employer" within the

meaning of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203.

49. At all relevant times, Defendant has been, and continues to be, an

enterprise engaged in commerce within the meaning of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203.

50. 29 U.S.C. § 207 requires employers to pay employees overtime wages of

1.5x the regular rate of pay for all hours worked over 40 each week, unless the

employee meets certain exemption requirements of 29 U.S.C. § 213 and all

accompanying Department of Labor regulations.

51. During the period relevant to this lawsuit, Defendant classified Plaintiff as

nonexempt from the overtime requirements of the FLSA.

52. Despite the entitlement of Plaintiff to lawful overtime wages under the

FLSA, Defendant failed to pay Plaintiff lawful overtime wages for all hours worked over

forty each week.

53. Defendant failed to pay Plaintiff for all hours worked.

54. Defendant's conduct and practices, as described above, were willful,

intentional, unreasonable, arbitrary, and in bad faith.

55. By reason of the unlawful acts alleged herein, Defendant is liable to

Plaintiff for monetary damages, liquidated damages, and costs, including reasonable

attorneys' fees, for all violations that occurred within the three years prior to the filing of this Complaint.

VII. SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION (Collective Action Claim for Violation of the FLSA)

- 56. Plaintiff repeats and realleges all previous paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 57. Plaintiff asserts this claim for damages and declaratory relief on behalf of all similarly situated employees pursuant to the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 201, et seq.
- 58. At all relevant times, Defendant has been, and continues to be, an enterprise engaged in commerce within the meaning of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203.
- 59. 29 U.S.C. §§ 206 and 207 require any enterprise engaged in commerce to pay all employees a minimum wage for all hours worked up to 40 each week and to pay 1.5x their regular wages for all hours worked over 40, unless an employee meets certain exemption requirements of 29 U.S.C. § 213 and accompanying DOL regulations.
- 60. Defendant classified Plaintiff and other similarly situated employees as nonexempt from the overtime provisions of the FLSA.
- 61. Defendant failed to pay Plaintiff and similarly situated employees 1.5x their regular rate for all hours worked in excess of 40 per week, despite their entitlement thereto.
- 62. Defendant deprived Plaintiff and similarly situated employees of compensation for all of the hours worked over forty per week, in violation of the FLSA.
 - 63. Defendant knew or should have known that its actions violated the FLSA.
 - 64. Defendant's conduct and practices, as described above, were willful.
 - 65. By reason of the unlawful acts alleged herein, Defendant is liable to

Case: 1:22-cv-00690 Document #: 1 Filed: 02/08/22 Page 9 of 11 PageID #:9

Plaintiff and all similarly situated employees for monetary damages, liquidated damages

and costs, including reasonable attorney's fees provided by the FLSA for all violations

which occurred beginning at least three years preceding the filing of Plaintiff's initial

complaint, plus periods of equitable tolling.

66. Defendant has not acted in good faith nor with reasonable grounds to

believe its actions and omissions were not a violation of the FLSA, and, as a result

thereof, Plaintiff and similarly situated employees are entitled to recover an award of

liquidated damages in an amount equal to the amount of unpaid overtime pay described

above pursuant to Section 16(b) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

67. Alternatively, should the Court find that Defendant acted in good faith in

failing to pay Plaintiff and the collective members as provided by the FLSA, they are

entitled to an award of prejudgment interest at the applicable legal rate.

VIII. THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Individual Claim for Violation of the IMWL)

68. Plaintiff repeats and realleges all previous paragraphs of this Complaint as

though fully set forth herein.

69. Plaintiff asserts this claim for damages and declaratory relief pursuant to

the IMWL, 820 ILCS 105/1, et seg.

70. At all relevant times, Defendant was Plaintiff's "employer" within the

meaning of the IMWL, 820 ILCS 105/3.

71. IMWL, 820 ILCS 105/4a(1) requires employers to pay all employees 1.5x

regular wages for all hours worked over 40 hours in a week, unless an employee meets

the exemption requirements of 820 ILCS 104/4a(2).

Page 9 of 11 Robert Wilhelm, et al. v. American Airlines, Inc. U.S.D.C. (N.D. III.) Case No. 1:22-cv-690 Original Complaint—Collective Action Case: 1:22-cv-00690 Document #: 1 Filed: 02/08/22 Page 10 of 11 PageID #:10

72. Defendant failed to pay Plaintiff a sufficient overtime premium for all hours

worked over forty each week.

73. Defendant's conduct and practices, as described above, were willful,

intentional, unreasonable, arbitrary and in bad faith.

74. By reason of the unlawful acts alleged in this Complaint, Defendant is

liable to Plaintiff for monetary damages, liquidated damages, damages of 5% of the

amount of underpayment for each month following the date of payment during which

such underpayments remain unpaid, costs, and a reasonable attorney's fee provided by

the IMWL for all violations which occurred within the three years preceding the filing of

Plaintiffs' initial complaint, plus periods of equitable tolling. 820 ILCS 105/12.

75. Alternatively, should the Court find that Defendant acted in good faith in

failing to pay Plaintiff as provided by the IMWL, Plaintiff is entitled to an award of

prejudgment interest at the applicable legal rate.

IX. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, premises considered, Plaintiff Robert Wilhelm, individually and

on behalf of all others similarly situated, respectfully prays that Defendant be

summoned to appear and to answer herein and for declaratory relief and damages as

follows:

A. Declaratory judgment that Defendant's practices alleged in this Complaint

violate the FLSA, the IMWL and their related regulations;

B. Certification of a collective under Section 216 of the FLSA of all individuals

similarly situated, as further defined in any motion for the same;

Page 10 of 11
Robert Wilhelm, et al. v. American Airlines, Inc.
U.S.D.C. (N.D. III.) Case No. 1:22-cv-690
Original Complaint—Collective Action

- C. Judgment for damages suffered by Plaintiff and others similarly situated for all unpaid overtime wages under the FLSA, the IMWL and their related regulations;
- D. Judgment for liquidated damages owed to Plaintiff and all others similarly situated pursuant to the FLSA, the IMWL and their related regulations;
- E. An order directing Defendant to pay Plaintiff and all others similarly situated interest, a reasonable attorney's fee and all costs connected with this action; and
 - F. Such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

ROBERT WILHELM, Individually and on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated, PLAINTIFF

SANFORD LAW FIRM, PLLC Kirkpatrick Plaza 10800 Financial Centre Pkwy, Suite 510 Little Rock, Arkansas 72211 Telephone: (501) 221-0088 Facsimile: (888) 787-2040

<u>/s/ Colby Qualls</u>
Colby Qualls
Ark. Bar No. 2019246
colby@sanfordlawfirm.com

/s/ Josh Sanford
Josh Sanford
Ark. Bar No. 2001037
josh@sanfordlawfirm.com

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>American Airlines Failed to Properly Pay Hourly Workers for Off-The-Clock Training, Lawsuit Alleges</u>