

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA**

WADE GLENN WHITWORTH, JR.,  
individually and on behalf of all  
others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

**CLASS ACTION**

**JURY TRIAL DEMANDED**

v.

HH-ENTERTAINMENT, INC.  
d/b/a HUSTLER HOLLYWOOD,  
a foreign corporation,

Defendant.

---

**CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT**

Plaintiff, Wade Glenn Whitworth, Jr., brings this class action against Defendant, HH-Entertainment, Inc. d/b/a Hustler Hollywood, and alleges as follows upon personal knowledge as to himself and his own acts and experiences, and, as to all other matters, upon information and belief, including investigation conducted by his attorneys.

**NATURE OF THE ACTION**

1. This is a putative class action under the Fair and Accurate Credit Transactions Act (“FACTA”) amendment to the Fair Credit Reporting Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1681 *et seq.*, (“FCRA”).

2. Plaintiff seeks to put an end to Defendant’s conduct of willfully, knowingly, and/or recklessly disclosing the personal and private financial information of thousands of consumers throughout the country.

3. Defendant is a retail apparel and accessories company with over twenty locations around the country. Defendant’s annual revenue typically exceeds \$20 million. Defendant’s president, Larry Flynt, is a well-known publisher, and privacy and free speech activist.

4. Despite its size and sophistication, and its president's claimed commitment to individual privacy rights, Defendant routinely violates FACTA – a federal privacy statute that unambiguously states: “no person that accepts credit cards or debit cards for the transaction of business shall print more than the last 5 digits of the card number or the expiration date upon any receipt provided to the cardholder at the point of the sale or transaction” 15 U.S.C. § 1681c(g)(1) – by willfully, knowingly, and/or recklessly issuing electronically printed receipts to its customers for payment card transactions that include the expiration date of consumers' credit and debit cards.

5. Defendant's willful, knowing, and/or reckless conduct has damaged Plaintiff and members of the putative class by invading their privacy, and by exposing them to a heightened risk of identity theft and payment card fraud.

6. Plaintiff hereby seeks redress for himself and all others who have been injured by Defendant's conduct including, but not limited to, injunctive relief, statutory damages, costs, and reasonable attorneys' fees.

### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

7. Jurisdiction is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1331 as Plaintiff alleges violations of a federal statute. Jurisdiction is also proper pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681p.

8. Defendant's tortious conduct occurred within the State of Florida, subjecting Defendant to jurisdiction in the State of Florida. Further, this Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because it continuously and systematically operates, conducts, engages in, and carries on business in Florida, and Defendant purposefully avails itself of Florida's consumer market. Pursuant to Florida's long-arm statute, Fla. Stat. § 48.193, this Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant.

9. Venue is proper in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) and (c).

### **PARTIES**

10. Plaintiff, Wade Glenn Whitworth, Jr., is a natural person who, at all times relevant to this action, was a resident of Palm Beach County, Florida.

11. Defendant, HH-Entertainment, Inc. d/b/a Hustler Hollywood, is a California corporation with its principal place of business located at 8484 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 900, Beverly Hills, California 90211. Defendant's registered agent for the service process in the state of Florida is Paracorp, Inc., located at 155 Office Plaza Drive, 1<sup>st</sup> Floor, Tallahassee, Florida 32301. Defendant conducts business regularly throughout the United States, including the state of Florida.

### **THE FAIR AND ACCURATE CREDIT TRANSACTIONS ACT**

12. In 2003, Congress enacted FACTA to "restrict the amount of information available to identity thieves." 149 Cong. Rec. 26,891 (2003) (statement of Sen. Shelby).

13. "[I]dentity theft is a serious problem, and FACTA is a serious congressional effort to combat it...the less information the receipt contains the less likely is an identity thief who happens to come upon the receipt to be able to figure out the cardholder's full account information." *Redman v. Radioshack Corp.*, 768 F.3d 622, 626 (7th Cir. 2014).

14. In enacting FACTA, Congress sought to address a very real harm to consumers. Per the Federal Trade Commission's 2015 Consumer Sentinel Network Data Book, Florida ranks No. 3 in the country for identity theft, with a total of 44,063 complaints. Also, eight of the top 20 metro areas for identity theft are in Florida.<sup>1</sup>

15. So problematic is the crime of identity theft that the three main credit reporting

---

<sup>1</sup> *Consumer Sentinel Network Data Book for January-December 2015*, Federal Trade Commission (February 2016), <https://www.ftc.gov/system/files/documents/reports/consumer-sentinel-network-data-book-january-december-2015/160229csn-2015databook.pdf>.

agencies, Experian, Equifax, and Transunion, have jointly created a free website in order to comply with FACTA requirements and to provide the citizens of this country with a means of monitoring their credit reports for possible identity theft.

16. In pertinent part, FACTA provides that “no person that accepts credit cards or debit cards for the transaction of business shall print more than the last 5 digits of the card number or the expiration date upon any receipt provided to the card-holder at the point of the sale or transaction.” 15 U.S.C. § 1681c(g)(1).

17. FACTA does not define the term “receipt” and, together with the use of the word “any,” and the principal goal of protecting consumers, demonstrates Congress’ intent for the broad application of the term “receipt.” Indeed, FACTA is remedial in nature and must be construed broadly. *See Travelers Prop. Cas. Co. of Am. v. Kan. City Landsmen, L.L.C.*, 592 Fed. Appx. 876 (11th Cir. 2015).

18. Courts analyzing the term “receipt” have turned to Black’s Law dictionary, which defines the word broadly as any “written acknowledgment that something has been received.” *Black’s Law Dictionary* (10th ed. 2014).

19. Similarly, the term “transaction” is not defined under the statute. Black’s Law Dictionary defines a transaction as “[t]he act or an instance of conducting business or other dealings; esp., the formation, performance, or discharge of a contract.” *Black’s Law Dictionary* (10th ed. 2014).

20. After it was enacted, FACTA provided three (3) years in which to comply with its requirements, mandating full compliance with its provisions no later than December 4, 2006, a requirement that was widely publicized among retailers and by the FTC.

21. Shortly after enactment, all three major card issuing organizations, Visa, Mastercard, and American Express, issued new contractual compliance requirements in advance of

FACTA's mandatory compliance date.

22. For example, the August 12, 2006 edition of "Rules for Visa Merchants," which is distributed to and binding upon all merchants that accept Visa cards, expressly requires that "only the last four digits of an account number should be printed on the customer's copy of the receipt" and "the expiration date should not appear at all." Visa required complete compliance by July 1, 2006, five months ahead of the statutory deadline.

23. Due to the failure by a handful of large retailers to timely comply with the requirements of FACTA, Congress passed a law absolving all *past* violations of FACTA. *See* The Credit and Debit Card Receipt Clarification Act of 2007, Pub. L. No. 110-241, 122 Stat. 1565 (2008). The Clarification Act did not substantively amend FACTA, but simply provided amnesty for past violators up to June 3, 2008.

24. Since the enactment of FACTA, card processing companies have continued to alert merchants, including Defendant, of FACTA's requirements. For example, the 2010 Visa Best Practice Alert stated:

Some countries already have laws mandating PAN truncation and the suppression of expiration dates on cardholder receipts. For example, the United States Fair and Accurate Credit Transactions Act (FACTA) of 2006 prohibits merchants from printing more than the last five digits of the PAN or the card expiration date on any cardholder receipt. (Please visit <http://www.ftc.gov/os/statutes/fcrajump.shtm> for more information on the FACTA.)

To reinforce its commitment to protecting consumers, merchants, and the overall payment system, Visa is pursuing a global security objective that will enable merchants to eliminate the storage of full PAN and expiration date information from their payment systems when not needed for specific business reasons. To ensure consistency in PAN truncation methods, Visa has developed a list of best practices to be used until any new global rules go into effect.

25. Similarly, MasterCard required in a section titled Primary Account Number (PAN)

truncation and Expiration Date Omission:

A Transaction receipt generated by an electronic POI Terminal, whether attended or unattended, must not include the Card expiration date. In addition, a Transaction receipt generated for a Cardholder by an electronic POI Terminal, whether attended or unattended, must reflect only the last four digits of the primary account number (PAN). All preceding digits of the PAN must be replaced with fill characters, such as "X," "\*", or "#," that are neither blank spaces nor numeric characters.

26. American Express has a similar requirement:

Pursuant to Applicable Law, truncate the Card Number and do not print the Card's Expiration Date on the copies of Charge Records delivered to Card Members. Truncated Card Number digits must be masked with replacement characters such as "X," "\*", or "#," and not blank spaces or numbers.

27. The idea behind FACTA's requirements is simple:

should the cardholder happen to lose the receipt of a transaction, the less information the receipt contains the less likely is an identity thief who happens to come upon the receipt to be able to figure out the cardholder's full account information and thus be able to make purchases that the seller will think were made by the legitimate cardholder.

*Redman v. Radioshack Corp.*, 768 F.3d 622, 626 (7th Cir. 2014)

28. A card's expiration date is particularly significant because "[e]ven if the identity thief has all 16 digits, without the expiration date he may be unable to use the card." *Id.* Further, it is "common in telephone and internet transactions for the consumer to be asked for an expiration date, and most systems will not allow the would-be customer to keep guessing at the date, as the guessing suggests that he may be an identity thief." *Id.*

29. Other significant reasons for requiring the deletion of expiration dates include:

"expiration dates combined with the last four or five digits of an account number can be used to bolster the credibility of a criminal who is making pretext calls to a card holder in order to learn other personal

confidential financial information. Expiration dates are solicited by criminals in many e-mail phishing scams ..., are one of the personal confidential financial information items trafficked in by criminals ..., are described by Visa as a special security feature ..., [and] are one of the items contained in the magnetic stripe of a credit card, so it is useful to a criminal when creating a phony duplicate card."

Id. at 626-27 (quoting Don Coker, "Credit Card Expiration Dates and FACTA," *HGExperts.com*, [www.hgexperts.com/article.asp?id=6665](http://www.hgexperts.com/article.asp?id=6665)).

30. FACTA incorporates the FCRA statutory damages provision, which allows a consumer to recover damages between \$100 and \$ 1,000 for each willful violation. *See* 15 U.S.C. § 1681n.

31. To recover statutory damages, a plaintiff must allege that the FACTA violation was "willful." *See* 15 U.S.C. § 1681n(a)(1)(A).

32. A FACTA violation is "willful" if it is either knowing or reckless. *See Safeco Ins. Co. of Am. v. Burr*, 551 U.S. 47, 57 (2007).

33. "[I]n enacting the FACTA, Congress created a substantive right for consumers to have their personal credit card information truncated on printed receipts..." *Guarisma v. Microsoft Corp.*, No. 15-24326-CIV-ALTONAGA, 2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 97729, at \*10 (S.D. Fla. July 26, 2016); *see also Hammer v. Sam's E., Inc.*, 754 F.3d 492, 498-99 (8th Cir. 2014) ("By enacting 15 U.S.C. § 1681c(g)(1), Congress gave consumers the legal right to obtain a receipt at the point of sale showing no more than the last five digits of the consumer's credit or debit card number. Appellants contend that Sam's Club invaded this right. . . . Thus, we conclude that appellants have alleged an injury-in-fact sufficient to confer Article III standing."); *Flaum v. Doctor's Assocs.*, No. 16-61198-CIV, 2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 169130 (S.D. Fla. Aug. 29, 2016) ("FACTA created a substantive legal right for [plaintiff] and other consumers to receive printed receipts that do not disclose 'more than the last 5 digits of the card number,' ...and [plaintiff] personally suffered a concrete harm in receiving a receipt

that violated the statute..."); *Altman v. White House Black Market, Inc.*, Case No. 1:15-cv-02451-SCJ, 2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 92761 (N.D. Ga. Jul. 13, 2016) (finding Congress, in enacting the FACTA, created a substantive right to receive a truncated credit card receipt, the invasion of which constitutes a concrete injury); *Amason v. Kangaroo Exp.*, No. 7:09-CV-2117-RDP, 2013 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 32980, 2013 WL 987935, at \*4 (N.D. Ala. Mar. 11, 2013) ("[T]he statutory provisions invoked by Plaintiffs, 15 U.S.C. §§ 1681c(g) and 1681n, create a substantive right to have one's financial information protected through truncation and also provide a procedural right to enforce that truncation.").

### **FACTS**

34. On April 8, 2017, Plaintiff purchased certain items from one of Defendant's stores located at 2041 Zip Code Place, West Palm Beach, Florida 33409.

35. To pay for these items, Plaintiff provided Defendant with his debit card and the amount of \$153.69 was charged to Plaintiff's bank account.

36. Plaintiff was provided an electronically printed paper receipt bearing Defendant's name and logo, the amount of the transaction (\$153.69), the type of card used (debit), the date of the transaction (4/8/17), and *the entire expiration date* of Plaintiff's debit card.

37. The receipt provided to Plaintiff was the only document electronically printed at the time of the transaction.

38. The receipt provided to Plaintiff was generated by an electronic or point of sale terminal.

39. Upon information and belief, similar violations have taken place at other of Defendant's locations around the country.

40. Upon information and belief, at all times relevant, Defendant was aware of its obligations under FACTA. Not only was Defendant aware that it could not print the expiration date of



a card, but it was contractually prohibited from doing so by every major credit card company. Defendant accepts credit card from all major issuers; these companies set forth requirements that merchants, including Defendant, must follow, including FACTA's redaction and truncation requirements.

41. Additionally, upon information and belief, Defendant would have been alerted by its third-party payment processing company about its obligations under FACTA. Specifically, in 2010, many payment processors sent email alerts directly to all merchants regarding FACTA's truncation requirement.

42. Further, upon information and belief, most of Defendants' business peers and competitors readily brought their credit card and debit card receipt printing process into compliance with FACTA by programming their card machines and devices to comply with the redaction and truncation requirement. Defendant could have readily done the same, but failed to do so.

43. Upon information and belief, Defendant violated FACTA because it did not wish to incur the additional expense of reprogramming or updating its point-of-sale equipment to comply with new EMV chip card requirements.

44. At all times relevant, Defendant was acting by and through its agents, servants and/or employees, each of which were acting within the course and scope of their agency or employment, and under the direct supervision and control of Defendant.

45. The conduct of Defendant, as well as that of its agents, servants and/or employees, was in willful and reckless disregard for federal law and the rights of Plaintiff.

46. The expiration date appearing on Plaintiff's receipt was not printed accidentally; the equipment and software used to print the receipt must be programmed to display certain information, and likewise, programmed not to display certain information.

47. Notwithstanding the fact that it has had years to comply, Defendant continues to

issue point of sale receipts, which contain the expiration date of the credit or debit card, in direct violation of FACTA.

48. Defendant continues to deliberately, willfully, intentionally, and/or recklessly violate FACTA by issuing receipts which do not comply with the FCRA.

49. Upon information and belief, at the time Defendant printed the subject receipt, Defendant knew it was violating FACTA by providing Plaintiff a receipt that contained Plaintiff's debit card expiration date.

50. Defendant willfully, knowingly, and/or recklessly violated Plaintiff's substantive rights under FACTA.

51. Defendant's willful, knowing, and/or reckless conduct also unnecessarily exposed Plaintiff to an unusually elevated risk of identity theft and credit card/debit card fraud. The uncertainty of whether his private information may have been viewed by one or more identity thieves has caused Plaintiff aggravation and stress.

### **CLASS ALLEGATIONS**

#### **PROPOSED CLASS**

52. Plaintiff brings this case as a class action pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated.

53. Plaintiff brings this case on behalf of a Class defined as follows:

**All persons within the United States who (1) used a credit or debit card; (2) for a transaction at one of Defendant's retail locations; and (3) were provided a point of sale receipt that displayed more than the last 5 digits of the card's account number and/or the expiration date of the card; (4) during the two years prior to the filing of this Complaint through the date of the order on certification.**

54. Defendant and its employees or agents are excluded from the Class. Plaintiff does not know the number of members in the Class, but believes the Class members number in the several thousands, if not more.

**NUMEROSITY**

55. Upon information and belief, the members of the Class are believed to be so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable.

56. The exact number and identities of the Class members are unknown at this time and can only be ascertained through discovery. Identification of the Class members is a matter capable of ministerial determination from Defendant's records.

**COMMON QUESTIONS OF LAW AND FACT**

57. There are numerous questions of law and fact common to the Class which predominate over any questions affecting only individual members of the Class. Among the questions of law and fact common to the Class are:

- (1) Whether Defendant provided electronically printed point-of-sale receipts containing the expiration date and/or more than the last 5 digits of the card number;
- (2) Whether Defendant's conduct violated FACTA;
- (3) Whether Defendant's conduct was knowing, willful, and/or reckless;
- (4) Whether Defendant's conduct created a risk of violating FACTA that was willful, known, or so obvious that it should have been known; and
- (5) Whether Plaintiff and members of the class are entitled to statutory damages.

58. The common questions in this case are capable of having common answers. If Plaintiff's claim that Defendant routinely prints receipts that contain payment card expiration dates is

accurate, Plaintiff and the Class members will have identical claims capable of being efficiently adjudicated and administered in this case.

**TYPICALITY**

59. Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the Class members, as they are all based on the same factual and legal theories.

**PROTECTING THE INTERESTS OF THE CLASS MEMBERS**

60. Plaintiff is a representative who will fully and adequately assert and protect the interests of the Class, and has retained competent counsel. Accordingly, Plaintiff is an adequate representative and will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Class.

**PROCEEDING VIA CLASS ACTION IS SUPERIOR AND ADVISABLE**

61. A class action is superior to all other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this lawsuit, because individual litigation of the claims of all members of the Class is economically unfeasible and procedurally impracticable. While the aggregate damages sustained by the Class are in the millions of dollars, the individual damages incurred by each member of the Class resulting from Defendant's wrongful conduct are too small to warrant the expense of individual lawsuits. The likelihood of individual Class members prosecuting their own separate claims is remote, and, even if every member of the Class could afford individual litigation, the court system would be unduly burdened by individual litigation of such cases.

62. The prosecution of separate actions by members of the Class would create a risk of establishing inconsistent rulings and/or incompatible standards of conduct for Defendant. For example, one court might enjoin Defendant from performing the challenged acts, whereas another may not. Additionally, individual actions may be dispositive of the interests of the Class, although certain class members are not parties to such actions.

**COUNT I**  
**Violations of 15 U.S.C. § 1681c(g)(1)**  
**(On Behalf of Plaintiff and the Class)**

31. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates the foregoing allegations as if fully set forth herein.

32. 15 U.S.C. §1681c(g) states as follows:

*Except as otherwise provided in this subsection, no person that accepts credit cards or debit cards for the transaction of business shall print more than the last 5 digits of the card number or the expiration date upon any receipt provided to the cardholder at the point of sale or transaction.*

33. This section applies to any “device that electronically prints receipts” (hereafter “Devices”) for point of sale transactions. 15 U.S.C. §1681c(g)(3).

34. Defendant employs the use of said Devices for point of sale transactions at thousands of locations nationwide.

35. On or before the date on which this Complaint was filed, Plaintiff and members of the class were provided receipts by Defendant that failed to comply with the requirements of FACTA.

36. At all times relevant to this action, Defendant was aware, or should have been aware, that it was obligated to fully redact the expiration date of Plaintiff’s debit card.

37. Notwithstanding the three-year period to comply with FACTA and its accompanying provisions, nor the subsequent years since FACTA became effective; and having knowledge of FACTA as a whole; Defendant knowingly, willfully, intentionally, and/or recklessly violated and continues to violate FACTA.

38. By printing the expiration date of Plaintiff’s debit card number, Defendant caused Plaintiff to suffer a heightened risk of identity theft, especially as the receipt has the full name of

the card holder on the it together with other sensitive information; exposed Plaintiff's private information to those of Defendant's employees who handled the receipt; and forced Plaintiff to take action to secure or destroy the receipts.

39. As a result of Defendant's willful, intentional, and/or reckless violations, Plaintiff and members of the class continue to be exposed to an elevated risk of identity theft.

40. Defendant is liable to Plaintiff and members of the class pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n for statutory damages, punitive damages, attorney's fees and costs.

**WHEREFORE**, Plaintiff, Wade Glenn Whitworth, Jr., on behalf of himself and the other members of the Class, pray for the following relief:

- a. An Order granting certification of the Class;
- b. Statutory damages;
- c. Punitive damages;
- d. Injunctive relief;
- e. Attorneys' fees, litigation expenses and costs of suit; and
- f. Such other and further relief as the Court deems proper under the circumstances

**JURY DEMAND**

Plaintiff and Class Members hereby demand a trial by jury.

Date: April 18, 2017

Respectfully submitted,

<b>HIRALDO P.A.</b>  <u>/s/ Manuel S. Hiraldo</u> Manuel S. Hiraldo Florida Bar No. 030380 401 E. Las Olas Boulevard Suite 1400 Ft. Lauderdale, Florida 33301 Email: mhiraldo@hiral dolaw.com Telephone: 954.400.4713  <i>Counsel for Plaintiff</i>	<b>LUDWIN LAW GROUP, P.A.</b>  <u>/s/ Adam M. Ludwin</u> Adam M. Ludwin Florida Bar No. 101742 1732 S. Congress Ave Suite 326 Lake Worth, Florida 33461 Email: adam@ludwinlaw.com Telephone: 561-613-7392  <i>Counsel for Plaintiff</i>
<b>SHAMIS &amp; GENTILE, P.A.</b>  <u>/s/ Andrew J. Shamis</u> Andrew J. Shamis Florida Bar No. 101754 efilings@shamisgentile.com 14 NE 1 <sup>st</sup> Avenue, Suite 400 Miami, Florida 33132 (t) (305) 479-2299 (f) (786) 623-0915  <i>Counsel for Plaintiff</i>	

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.) **NOTICE: Attorneys MUST Indicate All Re-filed Cases Below.**

**I. (a) PLAINTIFFS**

WADE GLENN WHITWORTH, JR., individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated

(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Palm Beach County  
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)

(c) Attorney's (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)

Hiraldo P.A., 401 E. Las Olas Blvd., Ste. 1400, Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301, 954-400-4713

**DEFENDANTS**

HH-ENTERTAINMENT, INC. d/b/a HUSTLER HOLLYWOOD

County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Los Angeles County  
(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)

NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT LAND INVOLVED.

Attorneys (If Known)

(d) Check County Where Action Arose: ☐ MIAMI-DADE ☐ MONROE ☐ BROWARD ☒ PALM BEACH ☐ MARTIN ☐ ST. LUCIE ☐ INDIAN RIVER ☐ OKEECHOBEE HIGHLANDS

**II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION** (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

- ☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff ☒ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)
- ☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant ☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)

**III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES** (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)

	PTF	DEF		PTF	DEF
Citizen of This State	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 4
Citizen of Another State	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	Incorporated and Principal Place of Business In Another State	<input type="checkbox"/> 5	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	Foreign Nation	<input type="checkbox"/> 6	<input type="checkbox"/> 6

**IV. NATURE OF SUIT** (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

CONTRACT	TORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
<input type="checkbox"/> 110 Insurance <input type="checkbox"/> 120 Marine <input type="checkbox"/> 130 Miller Act <input type="checkbox"/> 140 Negotiable Instrument <input type="checkbox"/> 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment <input type="checkbox"/> 151 Medicare Act <input type="checkbox"/> 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) <input type="checkbox"/> 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits <input type="checkbox"/> 160 Stockholders' Suits <input type="checkbox"/> 190 Other Contract <input type="checkbox"/> 195 Contract Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 196 Franchise	<b>PERSONAL INJURY</b> <input type="checkbox"/> 310 Airplane <input type="checkbox"/> 315 Airplane Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 320 Assault, Libel & Slander <input type="checkbox"/> 330 Federal Employers' Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 340 Marine <input type="checkbox"/> 345 Marine Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 350 Motor Vehicle <input type="checkbox"/> 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 360 Other Personal Injury <b>PERSONAL INJURY - Med. Malpractice</b> <input type="checkbox"/> 362 Personal Injury - Med. Malpractice <input type="checkbox"/> 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability <b>PERSONAL PROPERTY</b> <input type="checkbox"/> 370 Other Fraud <input type="checkbox"/> 371 Truth in Lending <input type="checkbox"/> 380 Other Personal Property Damage <input type="checkbox"/> 385 Property Damage Product Liability	<input type="checkbox"/> 610 Agriculture <input type="checkbox"/> 620 Other Food & Drug <input type="checkbox"/> 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 <input type="checkbox"/> 630 Liquor Laws <input type="checkbox"/> 640 R.R. & Truck <input type="checkbox"/> 650 Airline Regs. <input type="checkbox"/> 660 Occupational Safety/Health <input type="checkbox"/> 690 Other	<input type="checkbox"/> 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 <input type="checkbox"/> 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 <b>PROPERTY RIGHTS</b> <input type="checkbox"/> 820 Copyrights <input type="checkbox"/> 830 Patent <input type="checkbox"/> 840 Trademark <b>SOCIAL SECURITY</b> <input type="checkbox"/> 861 HIA (1395ff) <input type="checkbox"/> 862 Black Lung (923) <input type="checkbox"/> 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) <input type="checkbox"/> 864 SSID Title XVI <input type="checkbox"/> 865 RSI (405(g)) <b>FEDERAL TAX SUITS</b> <input type="checkbox"/> 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) <input type="checkbox"/> 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	<input type="checkbox"/> 400 State Reapportionment <input type="checkbox"/> 410 Antitrust <input type="checkbox"/> 430 Banks and Banking <input type="checkbox"/> 450 Commerce <input type="checkbox"/> 460 Deportation <input type="checkbox"/> 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations <input type="checkbox"/> 480 Consumer Credit <input type="checkbox"/> 490 Cable/Sat TV <input type="checkbox"/> 810 Selective Service <input type="checkbox"/> 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange <input type="checkbox"/> 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 890 Other Statutory Actions <input type="checkbox"/> 891 Agricultural Acts <input type="checkbox"/> 892 Economic Stabilization Act <input type="checkbox"/> 893 Environmental Matters <input type="checkbox"/> 894 Energy Allocation Act <input type="checkbox"/> 895 Freedom of Information Act <input type="checkbox"/> 900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice <input type="checkbox"/> 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
<b>REAL PROPERTY</b> <input type="checkbox"/> 210 Land Condemnation <input type="checkbox"/> 220 Foreclosure <input type="checkbox"/> 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment <input type="checkbox"/> 240 Torts to Land <input type="checkbox"/> 245 Tort Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 290 All Other Real Property	<b>CIVIL RIGHTS</b> <input type="checkbox"/> 441 Voting <input type="checkbox"/> 442 Employment <input type="checkbox"/> 443 Housing/Accommodations <input type="checkbox"/> 444 Welfare <input type="checkbox"/> 445 Amer. w/Disabilities Employment <input type="checkbox"/> 446 Amer. w/Disabilities Other <input type="checkbox"/> 440 Other Civil Rights	<b>PRISONER PETITIONS</b> <input type="checkbox"/> 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence <input type="checkbox"/> 530 General <input type="checkbox"/> 535 Death Penalty <input type="checkbox"/> 540 Mandamus & Other <input type="checkbox"/> 550 Civil Rights <input type="checkbox"/> 555 Prison Condition		

**V. ORIGIN**

(Place an "X" in One Box Only)

- ☒ 1 Original Proceeding ☐ 2 Removed from State Court ☐ 3 Re-filed- (see VI below) ☐ 4 Reinstated or Reopened ☐ 5 Transferred from another district (specify) ☐ 6 Multidistrict Litigation ☐ 7 Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judgment

**VI. RELATED/RE-FILED CASE(S).**

a) Re-filed Case ☐ YES ☐ NO

b) Related Cases ☐ YES ☐ NO

(See instructions second page):

JUDGE

DOCKET NUMBER

**VII. CAUSE OF ACTION**

Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing and Write a Brief Statement of Cause (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity):

Fair and Accurate Credit Transactions Act amendment to the Fair Credit Reporting Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1681 et seq.

LENGTH OF TRIAL via 7 days estimated (for both sides to try entire case)

**VIII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:**

☒ CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.C.P. 23

DEMAND \$

CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint:

JURY DEMAND: ☒ Yes ☐ No

ABOVE INFORMATION IS TRUE & CORRECT TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE

SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD

*Manuel S. Hiraldo*

DATE

April 18, 2017

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

AMOUNT

RECEIPT #

IFP



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

## Southern District of Florida

WADE GLENN WHITWORTH, JR.,  
individually and on behalf of all  
others similarly situated,

Plaintiff(s)

individually and on behalf of all  
others similarly situated,

others similarly situated,

V.

V.

Civil Action No.

HH-ENTERTAINMENT, INC.  
d/b/a HUSTLER HOLLYWOOD,  
a foreign corporation,

d/b/a HUSTLER HOLLYWOOD,  
a foreign corporation,

a foreign corporation,

Defendant(s)

## SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address) HH-ENTERTAINMENT, INC. d/b/a HUSTLER HOLLYWOOD

Registered Agent:  
Paracorp, Inc.  
155 Office Plaza Drive, 1st Floor  
Tallahassee, Florida  
32301

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: Hiraldo P.A.

Hirald P.A.  
Manuel S. Hirald, Esq.  
401 E. Las Olas, Blvd. 1400  
Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301  
954-400-4713

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

CLERK OF COURT

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

*Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk*

Civil Action No. \_\_\_\_\_

**PROOF OF SERVICE***(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))*

This summons for *(name of individual and title, if any)* \_\_\_\_\_  
 was received by me on *(date)* \_\_\_\_\_ .

☐ I personally served the summons on the individual at *(place)* \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_ on *(date)* \_\_\_\_\_ ; or

☐ I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with *(name)* \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,  
 on *(date)* \_\_\_\_\_, and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or

☐ I served the summons on *(name of individual)* \_\_\_\_\_, who is  
 designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of *(name of organization)* \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_ on *(date)* \_\_\_\_\_ ; or

☐ I returned the summons unexecuted because \_\_\_\_\_ ; or

☐ Other *(specify)*: \_\_\_\_\_

My fees are \$ \_\_\_\_\_ for travel and \$ \_\_\_\_\_ for services, for a total of \$ 0.00 .

I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
*Server's signature*

\_\_\_\_\_  
*Printed name and title*

\_\_\_\_\_  
*Server's address*

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

# ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: [Customer Claims Hustler Hollywood Disclosed Private Financial Info](#)

---