UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN MILWAUKEE DIVISION

THOMAS WHALEN, Individually and on Behalf)	Case No.: 18-cv-1914
of All Others Similarly Situated,	CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT
Plaintiff,	
v.	
CREDIT SYSTEMS OF THE FOX VALLEY, INC.	Jury Trial Demanded
Defendant.	

INTRODUCTION

1. This class action seeks redress for collection practices that violate the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 *et seq*. (the "FDCPA") and the Wisconsin Consumer Act, Ch. 421-427, Wis. Stats. (the "WCA").

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

2. The court has jurisdiction to grant the relief sought by the Plaintiff pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k and 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1337, and 1367. Venue in this District is proper in that Defendant directed its collection efforts into the District.

PARTIES

- 3. Plaintiff Thomas Whalen is an individual who resides in the Eastern District of Wisconsin (Milwaukee County).
- 4. Plaintiff is a "consumer" as defined in the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3), in that Defendant sought to collect from him a debt allegedly incurred for personal, family, or household purposes.
- 5. Plaintiff is a "customer" as defined in the WCA, Wis. Stat. § 421.301(17), in that the alleged debt allegedly arose from consumer credit transaction.

- 6. Defendant Credit Systems of the Fox Valley, Inc. ("CSFV") is a domestic corporation with its principal place of business located at 630 South Green Bay Road, Neenah, WI 53956.
- 7. CSFV is engaged in the business of a collection agency, using the mails and telephone to collect consumer debts originally owed to others.
- 8. CSFV is engaged in the business of collecting debts owed to others and incurred for personal, family, or household purposes.
 - 9. CSFV is a debt collector as defined in 15 U.S.C. § 1692a.
- 10. CSFV is also a "debt collector" as defined by Wis. Stat. § 427.103(3), in that CSFV is directly engaged in the business of a collection agency, soliciting claims for collection and collecting such claims alleged to be owed or due a merchant by a customer.
- 11. Wis. Stat. § 427.103(3) defines debt collector as: "any person engaging, directly or indirectly, in debt collection, and includes any person who sells, or offers to sell, forms represented to be a collection system, device or scheme, intended or calculated to be used to collect claims. The term does not include a printing company engaging in the printing and sale of forms." (emphasis added).
- 12. Wis. Stat § 427.103(2) states: "Debt collection" means any action, conduct or practice of soliciting claims for collection or in the collection of claims owed or due or alleged to be owed or due a merchant by a customer."
- 13. CSFV is licensed as a "Collection Agency" by the Division of Banking in the Wisconsin Department of Financial Institutions pursuant to Wis. Stat. § 218.04 and Wis. Admin. Code Ch. DFI-Bkg 74.
 - 14. CSFV is a debt collector as defined in Wis. Stat. § 427.103(3).

FACTS

- 15. On or about October 2, 2018, CSFV mailed a debt collection letter to Plaintiff regarding an alleged debt owed to "LANDMARK CREDIT UNION." A copy of this letter is attached to this Complaint as Exhibit A.
- 16. Upon information and belief, the alleged debt referenced in Exhibit A was incurred as the result of an automobile loan, which was incurred and used only for personal, family, and household purposes.
- 17. The alleged debt is the deficiency amount remaining on the automobile loan after Plaintiff's insurer paid Landmark the value of the vehicle after it was damaged in a single-car accident. The debt thus arises from the loan contract between Landmark and Plaintiff.
- 18. Upon information and belief, <u>Exhibit A</u> is a form letter, generated by computer, and with the information specific to Plaintiff inserted by computer.
- 19. Upon information and belief, <u>Exhibit A</u> is a form debt collection letter used by Defendant to attempt to collect alleged debts.
- 20. Upon information and belief, <u>Exhibit A</u> was the first written communication Plaintiff received from CSFV regarding the alleged debt referenced in <u>Exhibit A</u>.
- 21. <u>Exhibit A</u> contains the validation notice that the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692g, requires debt collectors to provide along with their initial communications:

Unless you notify this office within 30 days after receiving this notice that you dispute the validity of this debt or any portion thereof, this office will assume this debt is valid. If you notify this office in writing within 30 days from receiving this notice, that you dispute the validity of this debt or any portion thereof this office will obtain verification of the debt or obtain a copy of a judgment and mail you a copy of such judgment or verification. If you request this office in writing within 30 days after receiving this notice, this office will provide you with the name and address of the original creditor, if different from the current creditor.

22. Additionally, Exhibit A includes the following statement:

As required by law you are hereby notified that a negative credit report reflecting on your credit record may be submitted to a credit reporting agency if you fail to fulfill the terms of your credit obligation. But we will not submit a negative credit report to a credit reporting agency about this credit obligation until the expiration of the time period described above.

23. Similarly, Exhibit A states:

This account has been listed with our office for COLLECTION

The ENTIRE BALANCE is due and payable to our office. Avoid errors and protect your CREDIT RECORD.

- 24. The unsophisticated consumer would understand the representation that "a negative credit report reflecting on your credit record may be submitted to a credit reporting agency if you fail to fulfill the terms of your credit obligation" to be a representation that CSFV and/or the creditor would in fact report their alleged debt as delinquent to a credit reporting agency ("CRA") if they failed to tender payment as demanded by the collection letter, Exhibit A. See, e.g., Lox v. CDA, Ltd., 689 F.3d 818, 825 (7th Cir. 2012) (citing Gonzales v. Arrow Fin. Servs., LLC, 660 F.3d 1055, 1063 (9th Cir. 2011)); Cooper v. Retrieval-Masters Credit Bureau, Inc., 2017 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 84693, *7, 2017 WL 2404952 (N.D. Ill. June 2, 2017).
- 25. Similarly, the unsophisticated consumer would understand the statement "a negative credit report reflecting on your credit record may be submitted to a credit reporting agency if you fail to fulfill the terms of your credit obligations" be a representation that CSFV and/or the creditor had not yet reported their alleged debt as delinquent to any CRA and that such a negative credit report could be avoided if they tendered payment as demanded by the collection letter, Exhibit A. See, e.g., Johnson v. Enhanced Recovery Co., LLC, 228 F. Supp. 3d 870 (N.D. Ind. 2017).
- 26. The implication in <u>Exhibit A</u> that the debt is not currently being reported is underscored by the statement that "we will not submit a negative credit report to a credit

reporting agency about this credit obligation until the expiration of the time period described above."

- 27. Upon information and belief, the creditor of the debt already reported it as delinquent to one or more CRAs prior to October 2, 2018.
- 28. Thus, the representations that the consumer can pay the debt to "protect [his] CREDIT RECORD" because "a negative credit report reflecting on your credit record may be submitted to a credit reporting agency if you fail to fulfill the terms of your credit obligations" is false, deceptive, and misleading because it misrepresents to the consumer that his credit report did not reflect the debt as delinquent when Exhibit A was mailed, and further represents that he can "protect [his] CREDIT RECORD" from reflecting the delinquent debt by paying the debt before CSFV reports it.
- 29. False, deceptive, and misleading representations regarding credit reporting are material misrepresentations:

Credit reports matter, and damage to one's report can occur in a moment but take a decade or more to repair. [...] Consumers with debts in collection are generally people doing their best in the face of a tough situation that requires them to make difficult choices. "[T]here is universal agreement among scholars, law enforcement officials, and even debt collectors that the number of persons who willfully refuse to pay just debts is minuscule. The vast majority of consumers who obtain credit fully intend to repay their debts." By offering (or appearing to offer) a consumer a way to keep one delinquency off her credit report, a debt collector might get itself bumped to the top of her list of payments to make. That makes the offer material...

Johnson, 228 F. Supp. 3d at 878 (internal citations omitted).

- 30. Plaintiff was confused and misled by Exhibit A.
- 31. The unsophisticated consumer would be confused and misled by Exhibit A.

32. Plaintiff had to spend time and money investigating <u>Exhibit A</u>, and the consequences of any potential responses to <u>Exhibit A</u>.

The FDCPA

33. Derosia v. Credit Corp Solutions, 2018 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 50016, at *12 (E.D. Wis. Mar. 27, 2018) ("a plaintiff who receives misinformation form a debt collector has suffered the type of injury the FDCPA was intended to protect against' and 'satisfies the concrete injury in fact requirement of Article III.""); quoting Pogorzelski v. Patenaude & Felix APC, 2017 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 89678, 2017 WL 2539782, at *3 (E.D. Wis. June 12, 2017); Spuhler v. State Collection Servs., No. 16-CV-1149, 2017 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 177631 (E.D. Wis. Oct. 26, 2017) ("As in Pogorzelski, the Spuhlers' allegations that the debt collection letters sent by State Collection contained false representations of the character, amount, or legal status of a debt in violation of their rights under the FDCPA sufficiently pleads a concrete injury-in-fact for purposes of standing."); Lorang v. Ditech Fin. LLC, 2017 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 169286, at *6 (W.D. Wis. Oct. 13, 2017) ("the weight of authority in this circuit is that a misrepresentation about a debt is a sufficient injury for standing because a primary purpose of the FDCPA is to protect consumers from receiving false and misleading information."); Qualls v. T-H Prof'l & Med. Collections, Ltd., 2017 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 113037, at *8 (C.D. Ill. July 20, 2017) ("Courts in this Circuit, both before and after Spokeo, have rejected similar challenges to standing in FDCPA cases.") (citing "Hayes v. Convergent Healthcare Recoveries, Inc., 2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 139743 (C.D. Ill. 2016)); Long v. Fenton & McGarvey Law Firm P.S.C., 223 F. Supp. 3d 773, 777 (S.D. Ind. Dec. 9, 2016) ("While courts have found that violations of other statutes . . . do not create concrete injuries in fact, violations of the FDCPA are distinguishable from these other statutes and have been repeatedly found to establish concrete injuries."); Quinn v. Specialized Loan Servicing, LLC, No. 16 C 2021, 2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 107299 *8-13 (N.D. III. Aug. 11, 2016) (rejecting challenge to Plaintiff's standing based upon alleged FDCPA statutory violation); Lane v. Bayview Loan Servicing, LLC, No. 15 C 10446, 2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 89258 *9-10 (N.D. III. July 11, 2016) ("When a federal statute is violated, and especially when Congress has created a cause of action for its violation, by definition Congress has created a legally protected interest that it deems important enough for a lawsuit."); Church v. Accretive Health, Inc., No. 15-15708, 2016 U.S. App. LEXIS 12414 *7-11 (11th Cir. July 6, 2016) (same); see also Mogg v. Jacobs, No. 15-CV-1142-JPG-DGW, 2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 33229, 2016 WL 1029396, at *5 (S.D. III. Mar. 15, 2016) ("Congress does have the power to enact statutes creating legal rights, the invasion of which creates standing, even though no injury would exist without the statute," (quoting Sterk v. Redbox Automated Retail, LLC, 770 F.3d 618, 623 (7th Cir. 2014)). For this reason, and to encourage consumers to bring FDCPA actions, Congress authorized an award of statutory damages for violations. 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a).

- 34. Moreover, Congress has explicitly described the FDCPA as regulating "abusive practices" in debt collection. 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692(a) 1692(e). Any person who receives a debt collection letter containing a violation of the FDCPA is a victim of abusive practices. *See* 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692(e) ("It is the purpose of this subchapter to eliminate abusive debt collection practices by debt collectors, to insure that those debt collectors who refrain from using abusive debt collection practices are not competitively disadvantaged, and to promote consistent State action to protect consumers against debt collection abuses").
- 35. 15 U.S.C. § 1692e generally prohibits "any false, deceptive, or misleading representation or means in connection with the collection of any debt."

- 36. 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(2)(a) specifically prohibits "The false representation of— the character, amount, or legal status of any debt.
- 37. 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(5) specifically prohibits "the threat to take any action that cannot legally be taken or that is not intended to be taken."
- 38. 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(10) specifically prohibits the "use of any false representation or deceptive means to collect or attempt to collect any debt."
- 39. 15 U.S.C. § 1692f generally prohibits "unfair or unconscionable means to collect or attempt to collect any debt."

The WCA

- 40. The Wisconsin Consumer Act ("WCA") was enacted to protect consumers against unfair, deceptive, and unconscionable business practices and to encourage development of fair and economically sound practices in consumer transactions. Wis. Stat. § 421.102(2).
- 41. The Wisconsin Supreme Court has favorably cited authority finding that the WCA "goes further to protect consumer interests than any other such legislation in the country," and is "probably the most sweeping consumer credit legislation yet enacted in any state." *Kett* v. *Community Credit Plan, Inc.*, 228 Wis. 2d 1, 18 n.15, 596 N.W.2d 786 (1999) (citations omitted).
- 42. To further these goals, the Act's protections must be "liberally construed and applied." Wis. Stat. § 421.102(1); see also § 425.301.
- 43. "The basic purpose of the remedies set forth in Chapter 425, Stats., is to induce compliance with the WCA and thereby promote its underlying objectives." *First Wisconsin Nat'l Bank v. Nicolaou*, 113 Wis. 2d 524, 533, 335 N.W.2d 390 (1983). Thus, private actions under the WCA are designed to both benefit consumers whose rights have been violated and also

competitors of the violators, whose competitive advantage should not be diminished because of their compliance with the law.

- 44. To carry out this intent, the WCA provides Wisconsin consumers with an array of protections and legal remedies. The Act contains significant and sweeping restrictions on the activities of those attempting to collect debts. *See* Wis. Stats. § 427.104.
- 45. The Act limits the amounts and types of additional fees that may be charged to consumers in conjunction with transactions. Wis. Stats. § 422.202(1). The Act also provides injured consumers with causes of action for class-wide statutory and actual damages and injunctive remedies against defendants on behalf of all customers who suffer similar injuries. *See* Wis. Stats. §§ 426.110(1); § 426.110(4)(e). Finally, "a customer may not waive or agree to forego rights or benefits under [the Act]." Wis. Stat. § 421.106(1).
- 46. Consumers' WCA claims under Wis. Stat. § 427.104(1) are analyzed using the same methods as claims under the FDCPA. Indeed, the WCA itself requires that the court analyze the WCA "in accordance with the policies underlying a federal consumer credit protection act," including the FDCPA. Wis. Stat. § 421.102(1).
- 47. Further, the Wisconsin Supreme Court has held that WCA claims relating to debt collection are to be analyzed under the "unsophisticated consumer" standard. *Brunton v. Nuvell Credit Corp.*, 785 N.W.2d 302, 314-15. In *Brunton*, the Wisconsin Supreme Court explicitly adopted and followed the "unsophisticated consumer" standard, citing and discussing *Gammon v. GC Servs. Ltd. P'ship*, 27 F.3d 1254, 1257 (7th Cir. 1994). *Id.*
- 48. Wis. Stat. § 427.104(1)(g) states that a debt collector may not: "Communicate with the customer or a person related to the customer with such frequency of at such unusual hours or in such a manner as can reasonably be expected to threaten or harass the customer."

- 49. Wis. Stat. § 427.104(1)(h) states that a debt collector may not: "Engage in other conduct . . . in such a manner as can reasonably be expected to threaten or harass the customer."
- 50. Wis. Stat. § 427.104(1)(L) states that a debt collector may not: "Threaten action against the customer unless like action is taken in regular course or is intended with respect to the particular debt."
- 51. The Wisconsin Department of Financial Institutions, which is tasked with regulating licensed collection agencies, has found that "conduct which violates the Federal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act" can reasonably be expected to threaten or harass the customer. *See* Wis. Admin. Code DFI-Bkg 74.16(9) ("Oppressive and deceptive practices prohibited.").

COUNT I – FDCPA

- 52. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference as if fully set forth herein the allegations contained in the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint.
- 53. By stating "a negative credit report reflecting on your credit record may be submitted to a credit reporting agency if you fail to fulfill the terms of your credit obligation" <u>Exhibit A</u> is false, deceptive, and misleading.
- 54. The language implies that the consumer can avoid "a negative credit report" if the consumer pays CSFV promptly.
- 55. In fact, the consumer cannot avoid a "negative credit report" because the creditor had already reported the account as delinquent to the credit reporting agencies.
- 56. The "negative credit report" language creates a false sense of urgency in the unsophisticated consumer.
- 57. Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e, 1692e(2)(a), 1692e(5), 1692e(10), and 1692f.

COUNT II - WCA

- 58. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference as if fully set forth herein the allegations contained in the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint.
- 59. By stating "a negative credit report reflecting on your credit record may be submitted to a credit reporting agency if you fail to fulfill the terms of your credit obligations" Exhibit A falsely threatens to take an action which CSFV did not intend to take and does not impose in the ordinary course of business.
- 60. Defendant violated Wis. Stat. §§ 427.104(1)(g), 427.104(1)(h), and 427.104(1)(L).

CLASS ALLEGATIONS

- 61. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of a Class, consisting of (a) all natural persons in the State of Wisconsin, (b) who were sent collection letters in the form of Exhibit A, (c) seeking to collect an alleged debt which was incurred for personal, family, or household purposes (d) between December 5, 2017 and December 5, 2018, inclusive, and (e) not returned by the postal service.
- 62. The Class is so numerous that joinder is impracticable. Upon information and belief, there are more than 50 members of the Class.
- 63. There are questions of law and fact common to the members of the Class, which common questions predominate over any questions that affect only individual class members. The predominant common question is whether Exhibit A violates the FDCPA and/or WCA.
- 64. Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the Class members. All are based on the same factual and legal theories.

- 65. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately represent the interests of the Class members. Plaintiff has retained counsel experienced in consumer credit and debt collection abuse cases.
- 66. A class action is superior to other alternative methods of adjudicating this dispute. Individual cases are not economically feasible.

JURY DEMAND

67. Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff requests that the Court enter judgment in favor of Plaintiff and the Classes and against Defendants for:

- (a) actual damages;
- (b) statutory damages;
- (c) attorneys' fees, litigation expenses and costs of suit; and
- (d) such other or further relief as the Court deems proper.

Dated: December 5, 2018

ADEMI & O'REILLY, LLP

By: /s/ Mark A. Eldridge
John D. Blythin (SBN 1046105)
Mark A. Eldridge (SBN 1089944)
Jesse Fruchter (SBN 1097673)
Ben J. Slatky (SBN 1106892)
3620 East Layton Avenue
Cudahy, WI 53110
(414) 482-8000
(414) 482-8001 (fax)
jblythin@ademilaw.com
meldridge@ademilaw.com
jfruchter@ademilaw.com
bslatky@ademilaw.com

EXHIBIT A

Linden MI 48451-0505 ADDRESS SERVICE REQUESTED

October 2, 2018



CREDIT SYSTEMS OF THE FOX VALLEY, INC.

630 S. Green Bay Rd. Neenah, WI 54956 (920) 722-4941 www.csfv.net

երլիեցիրեցրրեցելինյեններենացիկյինիկիրիիննաբիկայլի

0008120024008978018153220117301-1YA1-Y218759FE3 28 PERSONAL & CONFIDENTIAL VAL2 - 28 THOMAS WHALEN 7631 W Morgan Ave Apt 1 Milwaukee WI 53220-1173



ACCOUNT IDENTIFICATION

Account #: 3308 Creditor: LANDMARK CREDIT UNION Amount Due: \$2,531.97

This account has been listed with our office for COLLECTION The ENTIRE BALANCE is due and payable to our office. Avoid errors and protect your CREDIT RECORD.

> This is an attempt to collect a debt. Any information obtained will be used for that purpose. Credit Systems of the Fox Valley, Inc. is a debt collection company.

THIS COLLECTION AGENCY IS LICENSED BY THE DIVISION OF BANKING IN THE WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS, www.wdfi.org.

AS REQUIRED BY LAW YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED OF THE FOLLOWING

Unless you notify this office within 30 days after receiving this notice that you dispute the validity of this debt or any portion thereof, this office will assume this debt is valid. If you notify this office in writing within 30 days from receiving this notice, that you dispute the validity of this debt or any portion thereof this office will obtain verification of the debt or obtain a copy of a judgment and mail you a copy of such judgment or verification. If you request this office in writing within 30 days after receiving this notice, this office will provide you with the name and address of the original creditor, if different from the current creditor.

As required by law you are hereby notified that a negative credit report reflecting on your credit record may be submitted to a credit reporting agency if you fail to fulfill the terms of your credit obligation. But we will not submit a negative credit report to a credit reporting agency about this credit obligation until the expiration of the time period described above.

*** Detach Lower	Portion And Re
Work	

28-RDCSFV10-VAL2-03/18/14 CREDIT CARD YOU WISH TO USE
DISC VER
EXP. DATE
cvv
AMOUNT PAID
(

PLEASE SEND PAYMENT DIRECTLY TO CREDIT SYSTEMS

Credit Systems Of The Fox Valley, Inc. P.O. Box 528 Neenah WI 54957-0528



12345678-000028-01-1-AA

Amount Due: \$2,531.97

Creditor: LANDMARK CREDIV-01904 Filed 12/05/18 Page 2 of 2 Document 1-1

Account # 3308 Filed 12/05/18 Page 2 of 2 Document uil expedite credit to your account. Account #: 3308

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

Place an X in the appropriate	Box: Green Bay Di	ivision	V	Milwaukee Division	
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS		
THOMAS W	HALEN		CREDIT SYS	TEMS OF THE FO	X VALLEY, INC.
•	of First Listed Plaintiff Milway XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)	ukee	NOTE: IN LAND	f First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES OF CONDEMNATION CASES, US	
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name	, Address, and Telephone Number)		Attorneys (If Known)		
Ademi & O'Reilly, LLP,	3620 E. Layton Ave., Cudahy, WI 53110 te (414) 482-8001-Facsimile				
II. BASIS OF JURISE	OICTION (Place an "X" in One Bo	ox Only) III. CI	TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PARTIES	Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff
U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Par	ty) Citizo	(For Diversity Cases Only) en of This State	TF DEF 1	
2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parti		en of Another State	2	—
			en or Subject of a reign Country	3 Groeign Nation	6 6 6
IV. NATURE OF SUI	T (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	10	reigh Country		
CONTRACT	TORTS		ORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability	310 Airplane	2 Personal Injury -	10 Agriculture 20 Other Food & Drug 25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 30 Liquor Laws 40 R.R. & Truck 50 Airline Regs. 50 Occupational Safety/Health 50 Other LABOR 10 Fair Labor Standards Act 50 Labor/Mgmt. Relations 51 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting & Disclosure Act 510 Railway Labor Act 510 Other Labor Litigation 511 Empl. Ret. Inc. 52 Security Act IMMIGRATION 52 Naturalization Application 53 Habeas Corpus - Alien Detainee 55 Other Immigration Actions	422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS 820 Copyrights 830 Patent 840 Trademark 840 Trademark 862 Black Lung (923) 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) 864 SSID Title XVI 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 810 Selective Service 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 892 Economic Stabilization Act 893 Environmental Matters 894 Energy Allocation Act 895 Freedom of Information Act 900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
☑ 1 Original ☐ 2 R	ate Court Appella	te Court Reo	pened anothe (specif		Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judgment
VI. CAUSE OF ACTI	ON Cite the U.S. Civil Statute und 15 U.S.C. 1692 et seq Brief description of cause: Violation of Fair Debt Collectio		-	ai statutes uniess diversity):	
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:		_	EMAND \$	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint: ☑ Yes ☐ No
VIII. RELATED CAS IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions): JUDGH	E		DOCKET NUMBER	
DATE		NATURE OF ATTORNEY			
December 5, 201	8 /s	/ Mark A. Eldri	dge		
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY					

- MAG JUDGE - Case 2:18-cy-01914 Filed 12/05/18 Page 1 of 2 Document 1-2

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- I. (a) Plaintiffs-Defendants. Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- **II. Jurisdiction**. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.C.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.

United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here.

United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.

Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.)

- III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties. This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If the nature of suit cannot be determined, be sure the cause of action, in Section VI below, is sufficient to enable the deputy clerk or the statistical clerks in the Administrative Office to determine the nature of suit. If the cause fits more than one nature of suit, select the most definitive.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.

Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.

Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date.

Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407. When this box is checked, do not check (5) above.

Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judgment. (7) Check this box for an appeal from a magistrate judge's decision.

VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity.

Example:
U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553
Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service

VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.

Demand. In this space enter the dollar amount (in thousands of dollars) being demanded or indicate other demand such as a preliminary injunction.

Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.

VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the Eastern District of Wisconsin

)
THOMAS W	HAI EN))
Plaintiff		
V.	(3)) Civil Action No. 18-cv-1914
٧.)
)
CREDIT SYSTEMS OF TH	E FOX VALLEY, INC.)
Defendar	nt(s)	- <i>'</i>)
	SUMMONS	IN A CIVIL ACTION
To: (Defendant's name and address)		THE FOX VALLEY, INC. ER
A lawsuit has been file	ed against you.	
the United States or a United 12(a)(2) or (3) – you must se	States agency, or an offi rve on the plaintiff an an	on you (not counting the day you receive it) – or 60 days if you are cer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. aswer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the on must be served on the plaintiff or the plaintiff's attorney, whose
If you fail to respond, You also must file your answe		l be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. t.
		STEPHEN C. DRIES, CLERK OF COURT
Date:		
· -		Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

Civil Action No. 18-cv-1914

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(l))

ceived by me on (date)	·		
\square I personally served	the summons and the attached con	plaint on the individual at (place):	
		On (date)	; or
☐ I left the summons a	and the attached complaint at the in	dividual's residence or usual place of a	abode with
	, a ŗ	erson of suitable age and discretion wh	o resides t
on (date)	, and mailed a copy	to the individual's last known address;	or
☐ I served the summo	ns and the attached complaint on (ame of individual)	
who is designated by la	w to accept service of process on b	ehalf of (name of organization)	
who is designated by la	w to accept service of process on l	ehalf of (name of organization) on (date)	; or
			; or
☐ I returned the summ	nons unexecuted because	on (date)	; or
☐ I returned the summ		on (date)	; or
☐ I returned the summ ☐ Other (specify):	nons unexecuted because	on (date)	; or
☐ I returned the summ ☐ Other (specify): My fees are \$	nons unexecuted because	on (date) for services, for a total of \$; or
☐ I returned the summ ☐ Other (specify): My fees are \$	for travel and \$	on (date) for services, for a total of \$; or
☐ I returned the summ ☐ Other (specify): My fees are \$	for travel and \$	on (date) for services, for a total of \$; or
☐ I returned the summ ☐ Other (specify): My fees are \$ I declare under penalty	for travel and \$	on (date) for services, for a total of \$ rue.	; or

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc.:

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Credit Systems of the Fox Valley Sued Over Allegedly False Threats in Letter</u>