UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

ANGELICA WEINTRAUB on behalf of herself and all other similarly situated consumers

Plaintiff,

-against-

PERFORMANT RECOVERY, INC.

Defendant.

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

Introduction

 Plaintiff, Angelica Weintraub, brings this action against Performant Recovery, Inc. for violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et *seq.* ("FDCPA"). The FDCPA prohibits debt collectors from engaging in abusive, deceptive and unfair collection practices while attempting to collect on debts.

Parties

- 2. Plaintiff is a citizen of the State of New York who resides within this District.
- 3. Plaintiff is a consumer as that term is defined by Section 1692(a)(3) of the FDCPA, in that the alleged debt that Defendant sought to collect from Plaintiff a consumer debt.
- Upon information and belief, Defendant's principal place of business is located in Livermore, California.
- 5. Defendant is regularly engaged, for profit, in the collection of debts allegedly owed by consumers.

6. Defendant is a "debt collector" as that term is defined by the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692(a)(6).

Jurisdiction and Venue

- This Court has federal question jurisdiction under 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331.
- 8. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), as the acts and transactions that give rise to this action occurred, in substantial part, in this district.

Allegations Particular to Angelica Weintraub

- 9. Upon information and belief, on a date better known by Defendant, Defendant began to attempt to collect an alleged consumer debt from the Plaintiff.
- 10. On or about March 1, 2018, Defendant sent the Plaintiff a collection letter.
- 11. The letter fails to adequately convey the "amount of the debt".
- 12. The March 1, 2018 letter stated in part: "As of the date of this letter, you owe the balance reflected. Because of interest and other charges that may vary from day to day, the amount due on the day you pay may be greater."
- 13. This language violates § 1692g(a)(1), which requires debt collectors to inform consumers of the amount of the debt, and § 1692e, which prohibits the use of false, deceptive, or misleading representations in connection with the collection of a debt.
- 14. The language stated above violates these provisions because it fails to inform Plaintiff whether the amount listed is the actual amount of the debt due, what other interest or charges might apply.
- 15. The letter does not provide any explanation or information about the claimed accruing interest and charges.

Case 1:18-cv-03301 Document 1 Filed 06/05/18 Page 3 of 15 PageID #: 3

- 16. In order to comply with the FDCPA's provision which mandates that a collector inform the consumer in its initial communication of "the amount of the debt" the collector must give the consumer the tools in which the consumer could easily calculate the amount due on the date, he or she receives the letter.
- 17. In order to comply with the FDCPA's provision which mandates that a collector inform the consumer in its initial communication of "the amount of the debt" the collector must give the consumer the tools in which the consumer could easily calculate what he or she will need to pay to resolve the debt at any given moment in the future.
- 18. The failure to provide this information or tools to ascertain "the amount of the debt" on the date of receipt of the letter or at any give other time in the future does not comply with 1692g as it fails to <u>meaningfully</u> provide "the amount of the debt".
- 19. It is not enough that the collector provide "the amount of the debt" on the date of the letter. The consumer must be able to know the interest rate and be able to discern the amount of the debt at any given time in the future. See <u>Taylor v. Fin. Recovery Servs.</u>, <u>Inc., No. 17-1650-cv, 2018 BL 109391 (2d Cir. Mar. 29, 2018)</u> ("In Carlin, we explained that a collection notice fails to satisfy Section 1692g if "it omits information allowing the least sophisticated consumer to determine the minimum amount she owes at the time of the notice, what she will need to pay to resolve the debt at any given moment in the future, and an explanation of any fees and interest that will cause the balance to increase.")
- 20. In <u>Carlin v. Davidson Fink LLP</u>, 852 F.3d 207, 216 (2d Cir. 2017), the Second Circuit clarified its holding in *Avila* by explaining that a collection letter "is incomplete where ... it omits information allowing the least sophisticated consumer to determine the minimum

-3-

Case 1:18-cv-03301 Document 1 Filed 06/05/18 Page 4 of 15 PageID #: 4

amount she owes at the time of the notice, what she will need to pay to resolve the debt at any given moment in the future, and an explanation of any fees and interest that will cause the balance to increase."

- 21. This language in the collection letter did not adequately state the amount of the debt, as required under the FDCPA. In particular, the collection letter failed to specify or explain what the interest rate was or what type of charges could cause the balance to increase, nor did they inform the debtor what he or she would need to pay to resolve the debt at any given moment in the future. The letter precluded a determination of what "the amount if the debt" was on the date of receipt of the letter.
- 22. The least sophisticated consumer would not understand how the fees would be calculated; what the current interest rate was and whether they could be disputed; or what provision of the underlying credit agreement gave rise to them.
- 23. The Defendant did not refer the Plaintiff to the underlying credit card agreement with the original lender. As was true in <u>Carlin</u>, the least sophisticated consumer would not understand from this reference what provision of that agreement, if any, gives rise to the potential "interest and other charges" described in the said collection letter.
- 24. The Defendant's collection letter violates sections 1692g(a)(1) and 1692e of the FDCPA, since the collection letter failed to adequately convey the "amount of the debt".
- 25. 15 U.S.C. § 1692e prohibits a debt collector from using any false, deceptive, or misleading representation or means in connection with the collection of any debt.
- 26. The question of whether a collection letter is deceptive is determined from the perspective of the "least sophisticated consumer."
- 27. While § 1692e specifically prohibits certain practices, the list is non-exhaustive, and does

Case 1:18-cv-03301 Document 1 Filed 06/05/18 Page 5 of 15 PageID #: 5

not preclude a claim of falsity or deception based on any non-enumerated practice.

- 28. A collection letter is deceptive under 15 U.S.C. § 1692e if it can reasonably be read by the least sophisticated consumer to have two or more meanings, one of which is inaccurate.
- 29. A collection letter is also deceptive under 15 U.S.C. § 1692e if it is reasonably susceptible to an inaccurate reading by the least sophisticated consumer.
- 30. The March 1, 2018 letter failed to inform Plaintiff whether the amount listed is the actual amount of the debt due.
- 31. The March 1, 2018 letter failed to inform Plaintiff whether the amount listed already includes accruing "interest."
- 32. The March 1, 2018 letter failed to inform Plaintiff whether the amount listed already includes "other charges."
- 33. The March 1, 2018 letter failed to advise Plaintiff what portion of the amount listed is principal.
- 34. The March 1, 2018 letter failed to inform Plaintiff whether the amount listed will increase.
- 35. The letter should have disclosed that interest was accruing, or in the alternative, that the creditor and / or Defendant had made the decision to waive any accruing interest.
- 36. The letter fails to inform the consumer as to what he or she may need to pay to resolve the debt at any moment after the date of the letter, or provide an explanation of any fees and interest that will cause the balance to increase.
- 37. The March 1, 2018 letter failed to inform Plaintiff what "other charges" might apply.
- 38. The March 1, 2018 letter failed to inform Plaintiff if "other charges" are applied, when

-5-

such "other charges" will be applied.

- 39. The March 1, 2018 letter failed to inform Plaintiff if "other charges" are applied, what the amount of those "other charges" will be.
- 40. The March 1, 2018 letter failed to inform Plaintiff of the nature of the "other charges."
- 41. The March 1, 2018 letter failed to inform Plaintiff if there is accruing "interest," what the amount of the accruing interest will be.
- 42. The March 1, 2018 letter failed to inform Plaintiff if there is accruing "interest," when such interest will be applied.
- 43. The March 1, 2018 letter failed to inform Plaintiff if there is accruing "interest," what the interest rate is.
- 44. The March 1, 2018 letter failed to inform Plaintiff if there is accruing "interest," the amount of money the amount listed will increase per day.
- 45. The March 1, 2018 letter failed to inform Plaintiff if there is accruing "interest," the amount of money the amount listed will increase per week.
- 46. The March 1, 2018 letter failed to inform Plaintiff if there is accruing "interest," the amount of money the amount listed will increase per month.
- 47. The March 1, 2018 letter failed to inform Plaintiff if there is accruing "interest," the amount of money the amount listed will increase per any measurable period.
- 48. The March 1, 2018 letter fails to indicate the minimum amount Plaintiff owed at the time of the letter.
- 49. The March 1, 2018 letter fails to provide information that would allow the least sophisticated consumer to determine the minimum amount he or she owes at the time of the letter.

- 50. The March 1, 2018 letter fails to provide information that would allow the Plaintiff to determine what Plaintiff will need to pay to resolve the debt at any given moment in the future.
- 51. The March 1, 2018 letter, because of the aforementioned failures, would render the least sophisticated consumer unable to determine the amount of his or her debt.
- 52. The least sophisticated consumer could reasonably believe that the debt could be satisfied by remitting the listed amount "as of the date of this letter," at any time after receipt of the letter.
- 53. The least sophisticated consumer could reasonably believe that the amount listed was accurate only on the date of the March 1, 2018 letter.
- 54. If interest is continuing to accrue, the least sophisticated consumer would not know the amount of the debt because the letter fails to indicate the applicable interest rate.
- 55. If interest is continuing to accrue, the least sophisticated consumer would not know the amount of the debt because the letter fails to indicate what the amount of the accruing interest will be.
- 56. If interest is continuing to accrue, the least sophisticated consumer would not know the amount of the debt because the letter fails to indicate when such interest will be applied.
- 57. If interest is continuing to accrue, the least sophisticated consumer would not know the amount of the debt because the letter fails to indicate the amount of money the amount listed will increase at any measurable period.
- 58. If "other charges" are continuing to accrue, the least sophisticated consumer would not know the amount of the debt because the letter fails to indicate the nature of the "other

charges."1

- 59. The Defendant's failures are purposeful.
- 60. In order to induce payments from consumers that would not otherwise be made if the consumer knew the true amount due, Defendant does not inform the consumer whether the amount listed will increase.
- 61. In order to induce payments from consumers that would not otherwise be made if the consumer knew the true amount due, Defendant does not inform the consumer what "other charges" might apply.
- 62. In order to induce payments from consumers that would not otherwise be made if the consumer knew the true amount due, Defendant does not inform the consumer when such "other charges" will be applied.
- 63. Defendant failed to clearly and unambiguously state the amount of the debt, in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a)(1).
- 64. The March 1, 2018 letter would likely make the least sophisticated consumer uncertain as to the amount of the debt, in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a)(1).
- 65. The March 1, 2018 letter would likely make the least sophisticated consumer confused as to the amount of the debt, in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a)(1). See <u>Polak v.</u> <u>Kirschenbaum & Phillips, P.C., No. 17-CV-1795 (MKB)(PK), 2018 BL 57467 (E.D.N.Y.</u>

¹ Carlin v. Davidson Fink LLP, 852 F.3d 207 (2d Cir. 2017), Balke v. All. One Receivables Mgmt., No. 16-cv-5624(ADS)(AKT), 2017 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 94021, at *14 (E.D.N.Y. June 19, 2017) ("[T]he Collection Letter in this case refers with vagueness to "accrued interest or other charges," without providing any information regarding the rate of interest; the nature of the "other charges"; how any such charges would be calculated; and what portion of the balance due, if any, reflects already-accrued interest and other charges. By failing to provide even the most basic level of specificity in this regard, the Court "cannot say whether those amounts are properly part of the amount of the debt," for purposes of section 1692g.Carlin, 852 F.3d at 216. Further, as set forth in Carlin, without any clarifying details, the Collection Letter states only that these unspecified assessments may be added to the balance due, which the Court finds to be insufficient to "accurately inform[] the [Plaintiff] that the amount of the debt stated in the letter will increase over time."); Polak v. Kirschenbaum & Phillips, P.C., No. 17-CV-1795 (MKB)(PK), 2018 BL 57467 (E.D.N.Y. Feb. 16, 2018) ("Defendant adds that the Creditor "is electing not to collect interest at this time." However, this does not charge the reality that interest is accruing even during the collection process, and that at some future date, according to Defendant, either the Creditor or its assignee could choose to collect all accrued interest and other charges" could mislead the least sophisticated consumer to believe that interest has stopped accruing. Defendant is not helped by its contention that this statement is true in that the Creditor is choosing not to collect interest at this time.")

<u>Feb. 16, 2018</u>) ("Pursuant to the holding in Carlin, this [alleged letter's language] is not enough to satisfy Section 1692g's requirement that the written notice contain the amount of the debt.")

- 66. Defendant's conduct constitutes a false, deceptive and misleading means and representation in connection with the collection of the debt, in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692e.
- 67. The March 1, 2018 letter can reasonably be read by the least sophisticated consumer to have two or more meanings concerning the actual balance due, one of which must is inaccurate, in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692e.
- 68. Defendant's conduct violated 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692g(a)(1) and 1692e.
- 69. Defendant engages as a matter of pattern and practice in the mailing of such collection letters to consumers in the state of New York.
- 70. Defendant's letter is in violation of 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e, 1692e(2)(A), 1692e(5), 1692e(10) and 1692g(a)(1) for misrepresenting the amount of the debt owed, for false threats and for engaging in deceptive and misleading practices and for failing to state the accurate amount of the debt.
- 71. Plaintiff suffered injury in fact by being subjected to unfair and abusive practices of the Defendant.
- 72. Plaintiff suffered actual harm by being the target of the Defendant's misleading debt collection communications.
- 73. Defendant violated the Plaintiff's right not to be the target of misleading debt collection communications.
- 74. Defendant violated the Plaintiff's right to a truthful and fair debt collection process.

- 75. Defendant used materially false, deceptive, misleading representations and means in its attempted collection of Plaintiff's alleged debt.
- 76. Defendant's communications were designed to cause the debtor to suffer a harmful disadvantage in charting a course of action in response to Defendant's collection efforts.
- 77. The FDCPA ensures that consumers are fully and truthfully apprised of the facts and of their rights, the act enables them to understand, make informed decisions about, and participate fully and meaningfully in the debt collection process. The purpose of the FDCPA is to provide information that helps consumers to choose intelligently. The Defendant's false representations misled the Plaintiff in a manner that deprived her of her right to enjoy these benefits, these materially misleading statements trigger liability under section 1692e of the Act.
- 78. These deceptive communications additionally violated the FDCPA since they frustrate the consumer's ability to intelligently choose his or her response.
- 79. Plaintiff seeks to end these violations of the FDCPA. Plaintiff has suffered damages including but not limited to, fear, stress, mental anguish, emotional stress and acute embarrassment. Plaintiff and putative class members are entitled to preliminary and permanent injunctive relief, including, declaratory relief, and damages.

CLASS ALLEGATIONS

80. This action is brought as a class action. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of herself and on behalf of all other persons similarly situated pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

- 81. The identities of all class members are readily ascertainable from the records of Performant Recovery, Inc. and those business and governmental entities on whose behalf it attempts to collect debts.
- 82. Excluded from the Plaintiff's Class is the Defendant and all officers, members, partners, managers, directors, and employees of Performant Recovery, Inc., and all of their respective immediate families, and legal counsel for all parties to this action and all members of their immediate families.
- 83. There are questions of law and fact common to the Plaintiff's Class, which common issues predominate over any issues involving only individual class members. The principal issues are whether Defendant's communications with the Plaintiff, such as the above stated claims, violate provisions of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act.
- 84. The Plaintiff's claims are typical of the class members, as all are based upon the same facts and legal theories.
- 85. The Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Plaintiff's Class defined in this complaint. The Plaintiff has retained counsel with experience in handling consumer lawsuits, complex legal issues, and class actions, and neither the Plaintiff nor her attorneys have any interests, which might cause them not to vigorously pursue this action.
- 86. This action has been brought, and may properly be maintained, as a class action pursuant to the provisions of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure because there is a well-defined community interest in the litigation:

- (a) <u>Numerosity:</u> The Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that the Plaintiff's Class defined above is so numerous that joinder of all members would be impractical.
- (b) <u>Common Questions Predominate:</u> Common questions of law and fact exist as to all members of the Plaintiff's Class and those questions predominate over any questions or issues involving only individual class members. The principal issues are whether the Defendant's communications with the Plaintiff, such as the above stated claims, violate provisions of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act.
- (c) <u>Typicality:</u> The Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the class members. Plaintiff and all members of the Plaintiff's Class defined in this complaint have claims arising out of the Defendant's common uniform course of conduct complained of herein.
- (d) <u>Adequacy:</u> The Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the class members insofar as Plaintiff has no interests that are adverse to the absent class members. The Plaintiff is committed to vigorously litigating this matter. Plaintiff has also retained counsel experienced in handling consumer lawsuits, complex legal issues, and class actions. Neither the Plaintiff nor her counsel have any interests, which might cause them not to vigorously pursue the instant class action lawsuit.
- (e) <u>Superiority:</u> A class action is superior to the other available means for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy because individual joinder of all members would be impracticable. Class action treatment

will permit a large number of similarly situated persons to prosecute their common claims in a single forum efficiently and without unnecessary duplication of effort and expense that individual actions would engender. Certification of a class under Rule 23(b)(l)(A) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure is appropriate because adjudications with respect to individual members create a risk of inconsistent or varying adjudications which could establish incompatible standards of conduct for Defendant who, on information and belief, collects debts throughout the United States of America.

- 87. Certification of a class under Rule 23(b)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure is also appropriate in that a determination that the above stated claims, violate provisions of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, and is tantamount to declaratory relief and any monetary relief under the FDCPA would be merely incidental to that determination.
- 88. Certification of a class under Rule 23(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure is also appropriate in that the questions of law and fact common to members of the Plaintiff's Class predominate over any questions affecting an individual member, and a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy.
- 89. Further, Defendant has acted, or failed to act, on grounds generally applicable to the Rule(b)(l)(A) and (b)(2) Class, thereby making appropriate final injunctive relief with respect to the Class as a whole.

90. Depending on the outcome of further investigation and discovery, Plaintiff may, at the time of class certification motion, seek to certify one or more classes only as to particular issues pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(c)(4).

CAUSE OF ACTION

Violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act brought by Plaintiff on behalf of herself and the members of a class, as against the Defendant.

- 91. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates, and incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs numbered one (1) through ninety (90) herein with the same force and effect is if the same were set forth at length herein.
- 92. This cause of action is brought on behalf of Plaintiff and the members of a class.
- 93. The class involves all individuals whom Defendant's records reflect resided in the State of New York and who were sent a collection letter in substantially the same form letter as the letter sent to the Plaintiff on or about March 1, 2018; and (a) the collection letter was sent to a consumer seeking payment of a personal debt; and (b) the collection letter was not returned by the postal service as undelivered; and (c) the Plaintiff asserts that the letter contained violations of 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e and 1692g(a)(1) for the use of any false representation or deceptive means to collect or attempt to collect any debt, for misrepresenting the amount of the debt owed by Plaintiff and for failing to accurately state the amount of the debt in the initial communication.

Violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act

94. The Defendant's actions as set forth above in the within complaint violates the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act. 95. Because the Defendant violated the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, the Plaintiff and the members of the class are entitled to damages in accordance with the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, respectfully requests preliminary and permanent injunctive relief, and that this Court enter judgment in Plaintiff's favor and against the Defendant and award damages as follows:

- (a) Statutory damages provided under the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692(k);
- (b) Attorney fees, litigation expenses and costs incurred in bringing this action; and
- (c) Any other relief that this Court deems appropriate and just under the circumstances.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York June 5, 2018

> /s/ Maxim Maximov Maxim Maximov, Esq. Attorneys for the Plaintiff Maxim Maximov, LLP 1701 Avenue P Brooklyn, New York 11229 Office: (718) 395-3459 Facsimile: (718) 408-9570 E-mail: m@maximovlaw.com

Plaintiff requests trial by jury on all issues so triable.

/s/ Maxim Maximov Maxim Maximov, Esq.

JS 44 (Rev. 11/27/17 Case 1:18-cv-03301 Document 1 Jord 06/05/18 Page 1 of 2 PageID #: 16

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. *(SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)*

| I. (a) PLAINTIFFS | | DEFENDANTS | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|---|--|---|---|--|-------------------------------------|----------|--|
| ANGELICA WEINTRAU | JB | | PERFORMANT RECOVERY, INC. | | | | | | | |
| (b) County of Residence o | County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED. | | | | | | | | | |
| (c) Attorneys (Firm Name, 2 MAXIM MAXIMOV, LLP 1701 AVENUE P BROOKLYN, NEW YOR | V.COM | Attorneys (If Known) | | | | | | | | |
| II. BASIS OF JURISDI | CTION (Place an "X" in O | ne Box Only) | III. C | I ITIZENSHIP OF P | RINCIPA | AL PARTIES | | | | |
| □ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff | 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government) | Not a Party) | Citiz | (For Diversity Cases Only) and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF PTF DEF Citizen of This State 1 1 Incorporated or Principal Place 4 4 of Business In This State | | | | | | |
| □ 2 U.S. Government Defendant | □ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh) | ip of Parties in Item III) | | | | Incorporated <i>and</i> P of Business In A | | 5 | 5 | |
| | | | | Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country | | | | | | |
| IV. NATURE OF SUIT | | | F | ORFEITURE/PENALTY | | there for: <u>Nature c</u> | - | | | |
| CONTRACT Ito Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise | TORTS PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 365 Personal Injury - 315 Airplane Product Product Liability Liability 367 Health Care/ 320 Assault, Libel & Pharmaceutical | | Y 0 6 CTY 0 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 | 25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 90 Other '10 Fair Labor Standards Act 20 Labor/Management Relations 40 Railway Labor Act 51 Family and Medical Leave Act '90 Other Labor Litigation 91 Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION 62 Naturalization Application 65 Other Immigration Actions | BANKRUPTCY 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS 830 Patent 835 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY 861 HIA (1395f1) 862 Black Lung (923) 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) 864 SSID Title XVI 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609 | | OTHER STATUTES 375 False Claims Act 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) 400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations X480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 893 Environmental Matters 895 Freedom of Information Act 896 Arbitration 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes | | | |
| V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in | | | | | 1 | - | | | | |
| | moved from \Box 3 te Court | Remanded from Appellate Court | | nstated or 5 Transf opened Anoth (specify) | er District | □ 6 Multidistr Litigation Transfer | - | Multidis Litigatio Direct Fil | on - | |
| VI. CAUSE OF ACTION Brief descripti 15 U.S.C. | | 1692 | | g (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity): actices Act Violation | | | | | | |
| VII. REQUESTED IN CHECK IF T | | IIS IS A CLASS ACTION E 23, F.R.Cv.P. | | DEMAND \$ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: JURY DEMAND: ★ Yes □No | | | | nt: | | |
| VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY | E(S) (See instructions): | JUDGE | | DOCKET NUMBER | | | | | | |
| DATE 06/05/2018 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY | | SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD /S/ MAXIM MAXIMOV, ESQ. | | | | | | | | |
| | 40UNT | APPLYING IFP | | JUDGE | | MAG. JUD | GE | | | |

Case 1:18-cv-03301 Document 1-1 Filed 06/05/18 Page 2 of 2 PageID #: 17 CERTIFICATION OF ARBITRATION ELIGIBILITY

Local Arbitration Rule 83.10 provides that with certain exceptions, actions seeking money damages only in an amount not in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs, are eligible for compulsory arbitration. The amount of damages is presumed to be below the threshold amount unless a certification to the contrary is filed.

I, <u>Maxim Maximov</u>, counsel for <u>Plaintiff</u>, do hereby certify that the above captioned civil action is ineligible for compulsory arbitration for the following reason(s):

 \checkmark

monetary damages sought are in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs,

the complaint seeks injunctive relief,

the matter is otherwise ineligible for the following reason

DISCLOSURE STATEMENT - FEDERAL RULES CIVIL PROCEDURE 7.1

Identify any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation that owns 10% or more or its stocks:

N/A

RELATED CASE STATEMENT (Section VIII on the Front of this Form)

Please list all cases that are arguably related pursuant to Division of Business Rule 50.3.1 in Section VIII on the front of this form. Rule 50.3.1 (a) provides that "A civil case is "related" to another civil case for purposes of this guideline when, because of the similarity of facts and legal issues or because the cases arise from the same transactions or events, a substantial saving of judicial resources is likely to result from assigning both cases to the same judge and magistrate judge." Rule 50.3.1 (b) provides that "A civil case shall not be deemed "related" to another civil case merely because the civil case: (A) involves identical legal issues, or (B) involves the same parties." Rule 50.3.1 (c) further provides that "Presumptively, and subject to the power of a judge to determine otherwise pursuant to paragraph (d), civil cases shall not be deemed to be "related" unless both cases are still pending before the court."

NY-E DIVISION OF BUSINESS RULE 50.1(d)(2)

| 1.) | Is the civil action County? | <u> </u> | | astern Dis Z No | trict removed fro | m a New | York State Court located in Nassau or Suffolk | | | | | |
|--|---|--------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------|--------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2.) | If you answered " a) Did the events County? | or omis | | g rise to th | e claim or claims | s, or a sub | ostantial part thereof, occur in Nassau or Suffolk | | | | | |
| | b) Did the events District? | | sions givin Yes | g rise to th No | e claim or claims | s, or a sub | ostantial part thereof, occur in the Eastern | | | | | |
| | c) If this is a Fair D received: Kings C | | | tice Act case | e, specify the Cour | nty in whic | ch the offending communication was | | | | | |
| If your answer to question 2 (b) is "No," does the defendant (or a majority of the defendants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County, or, in an interpleader action, does the claimant (or a majority of the claimants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County? Yes No (Note: A corporation shall be considered a resident of the County in which it has the most significant contacts). | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | BAR ADMISSION | | | | | | | | | | | |
| I am currently admitted in the Eastern District of New York and currently a member in good standing of the bar of this court. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | \checkmark | Yes | 3 | | | No | | | | | |
| | Are you currently the subject of any disciplinary action (s) in this or any other state or federal court? | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Ye | s (If yes | please explain | \checkmark | No | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | I certify the accur | acy of a | ıll informati | on provide | d above. | | | | | | | |
| | Signature: /S/ | MAXI | | 10V, ESC | Ω. | | | | | | | |

Case 1:18-cv-03301 Document 1-2 Filed 06/05/18 Page 1 of 2 PageID #: 18

PERFORMANT Performant Recovery, Inc.

333 North Canyons Parkway, Suite 100, Livermore, CA 94551-7661

1

Collector Name: Eric Daniels Collector Phone: 541-955-7828 Main Line: 209-858-0600

March 1, 2018

00000482 1 MB .424



ANGELICA WEINTRAUB

Claim of: Account No: Balance:

AMERICAN STUDENT ASSISTANCE

\$ 47334.45

As of the date of this letter, you owe the balance reflected. Because of interest and other charges that may vary from day to day, the amount due on the day you pay may be greater. Hence, if you pay the amount shown above, an adjustment may be necessary after we receive your check, in which event we will inform you. For further information on your balance, write to Performant Recovery, Inc. or call toll-free 800-927-7667.

Dear ANGELICA WEINTRAUB:

This letter serves as formal notice that your above-referenced delinquent account has been referred to Performant Recovery, Inc. for collection.

Unless you notify this office within 30 days after receiving this notice that you dispute the validity of this debt, or any portion thereof, this office will assume this debt is valid. If you notify this office in writing within 30 days from receiving this notice that you dispute the validity of this debt, or any portion thereof, this office will obtain verification of the debt or obtain a copy of a judgment and mail you a copy of such judgment or verification. If you request of this office in writing within 30 days after receiving this notice this office will provide you with the name and address of the original creditor, if different from the current creditor.

Due to your failure to honor your obligation with your institution, the entire unpaid balance of your account has become due and payable and your original repayment plan is null and void. Request is hereby made that you contact us to make arrangements to repay the balance of your account.

New York State – Debt collectors, in accordance with the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq., are prohibited from engaging in abusive, deceptive, and unfair debt collection efforts, including but not limited to:

- (i) the use or threat of violence;
- (ii) the use of obscene or profane language; and
- (iii) repeated phone calls made with the intent to annoy, abuse, or harass.

If a creditor or debt collector receives a money judgment against you in court, state and federal laws may prevent the following types of income from being taken to pay the debt:

- Supplemental security income, (SSI);
- 2. Social security;
- 3. Public assistance (welfare);
- Spousal support, maintenance (alimony) or child support;
 - 5. Unemployment benefits;
- 6. Disability benefits;
- Workers' compensation benefits; 7
- 8. Public or private pensions;
 9. Veterans' benefits;
- 10. Federal student loans, federal student grants, and federal work study funds; and
- Ninety percent of your wages or salary earned in the last sixty days.

This is an attempt to collect a debt by a debt collector, and any information obtained will be used for that purpose.

If you wish to avoid further collection efforts you may remit the entire balance of your account, made payable to AMERICAN STUDENT ASSISTANCE, directly to Performant Recovery, Inc., P.O. Box 9057, Pleasanton, CA 94566-9057, or contact Performant Recovery, Inc. at 541-955-7828, to make arrangements to retire your obligation.

> Sincerely, Performant Recovery, Inc. **Eric Daniels**

SEE THE REVERSE SIDE FOR IMPORTANT INFORMATION.

IMPORTANT NOTICE OF RIGHTS

We are required under state law to notify consumers of the following rights. This list does not contain a complete list of the rights consumers have under state and federal law.

New York City - Performant Recovery, Inc. is licensed to operate in New York City by the New York City Department of Consumer Affairs under License Numbers 0958746, 1239342, 1239344 and 1233460.

Andre Statistics of the second state of the

COLL Sequence with a set of the set of

2. Set sold in the set of the

800-927-7667 MAIN

925-960-4880 FAX

U.S. I. BRIT

PERFORMANT Performant Recovery, Inc.

BK_NY/07/16- Rev. 06 Hours of Operation: 8:30 a.m. to 5:30 p.m.PST Case 1:18-cv-03301 Document 1-3 Filed 06/05/18 Page 1 of 1 PageID #: 20

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

ANGELICA WEINTRAUB on behalf of herself and all other similarly situated consumers

Plaintiff,

-against-

PERFORMANT RECOVERY, INC.

Defendant.

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

TO: PERFORMANT RECOVERY, INC. 333 NORTH CANYONS PARKWAY, SUITE 100 LIVERMORE, CALIFORNIA 94551-7661

YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED and required to file with the Clerk of this Court and serve upon PLAINTIFF'S ATTORNEY:

MAXIM MAXIMOV, ESQ. MAXIM MAXIMOV, LLP 1701 AVENUE P BROOKLYN, NEW YORK 11229

an answer to the complaint which is herewith served upon you, with **21** days after service of this summons upon you, exclusive of the day of service. If you fail to do so, judgment by default will be taken against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.

CLERK

DATE

BY DEPUTY CLERK

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: Lawsuit Against Performant Recovery Claims Collection Letter Failed to Provide Necessary Info