

1 Laurence M. Rosen, Esq. (SBN 219683)
2 **THE ROSEN LAW FIRM, P.A.**
3 355 South Grand Avenue, Suite 2450
4 Los Angeles, CA 90071
5 Telephone: (213) 785-2610
6 Facsimile: (213) 226-4684
7 Email: lrosen@rosenlegal.com

8 Counsel for Plaintiff

9 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
10 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

11 JOHAN WALLERSTEIN, Individually and on
12 behalf of all others similarly situated,

13 Plaintiff,

14 v.

15 NETFLIX, INC., REED HASTINGS, AND
16 SPENCER NEUMANN,

17 Defendants.

Case No:

**CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT FOR
VIOLATIONS OF THE FEDERAL
SECURITIES LAWS**

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

18 Plaintiff Johan Wallerstein (“Plaintiff”), individually and on behalf of all other persons
19 similarly situated, by Plaintiff’s undersigned attorneys, for Plaintiff’s complaint against Defendants
20 (defined below), alleges the following based upon personal knowledge as to Plaintiff and Plaintiff’s
21 own acts, and information and belief as to all other matters, based upon, inter alia, the investigation
22 conducted by and through Plaintiff’s attorneys, which included, among other things, a review of
23 the defendants’ public documents, conference calls and announcements made by defendants,
24 United States Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) filings, wire and press releases
25 published by and regarding Netflix, Inc. (“Netflix” or the “Company”), analysts’ reports and
26 advisories about the Company, and information readily obtainable on the Internet. Plaintiff believes
27 that substantial evidentiary support will exist for the allegations set forth herein after a reasonable
28 opportunity for discovery.

1 **NATURE OF THE ACTION**

2 1. This is a federal securities class action on behalf of a class consisting of all persons
3 and entities other than Defendants who purchased or otherwise acquired the publicly traded
4 securities of Netflix between April 17, 2019 and July 17, 2019, both dates inclusive (the “Class
5 Period”). Plaintiff seeks to recover compensable damages caused by Defendants’ violations of the
6 federal securities laws and to pursue remedies under Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Securities
7 Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Exchange Act”) and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder.
8

9 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

10 2. The claims asserted herein arise under and pursuant to §§10(b) and 20(a) of the
11 Exchange Act (15 U.S.C. §§78j(b) and 78t(a)) and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder by the SEC
12 (17 C.F.R. §240.10b-5).

13 3. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action under 28 U.S.C.
14 §1331 and §27 of the Exchange Act.

15 4. Venue is proper in this Judicial District pursuant to §27 of the Exchange Act (15
16 U.S.C. §78aa) and 28 U.S.C. §1391(b) as Defendants conduct business and the Company is
17 headquartered in this Judicial District.

18 5. In connection with the acts, conduct and other wrongs alleged in this Complaint,
19 Defendants, directly or indirectly, used the means and instrumentalities of interstate commerce,
20 including but not limited to, the United States mail, interstate telephone communications and the
21 facilities of the national securities exchange.
22

23 **PARTIES**

24 6. Plaintiff, as set forth in the accompanying Certification, purchased Netflix securities
25 at artificially inflated prices during the Class Period and was damaged upon the revelation of the
26 alleged corrective disclosure.

27 7. Defendant Netflix provides Internet entertainment services, primarily streaming
28 services. The Company is incorporated in Delaware and its principal executive offices are located

1 at 100 Winchester Circle, Los Gatos, CA 95032. Netflix securities are traded on NASDAQ
2 (“NASDAQ”) under the ticker symbol “NFLX.”

3 8. Defendant Reed Hastings (“Hastings”) has been the Chief Executive Officer
4 (“CEO”) of Netflix throughout the Class Period.

5 9. Defendant Spencer Neumann (“Neumann”) has been the Chief Financial Officer
6 (“CFO”) of Netflix throughout the Class Period.

7 10. Defendants Hastings and Neumann are sometimes referred to herein as the
8 “Individual Defendants.”

9 11. Each of the Individual Defendants:

10 (a) directly participated in the management of the Company;

11 (b) was directly involved in the day-to-day operations of the Company at the highest
12 levels;

13 (c) was privy to confidential proprietary information concerning the Company and its
14 business and operations;

15 (d) was directly or indirectly involved in drafting, producing, reviewing and/or
16 disseminating the false and misleading statements and information alleged herein;

17 (e) was directly or indirectly involved in the oversight or implementation of the
18 Company’s internal controls;

19 (f) was aware of or recklessly disregarded the fact that the false and misleading
20 statements were being issued concerning the Company; and/or

21 (g) approved or ratified these statements in violation of the federal securities laws.

22 12. The Company is liable for the acts of the Individual Defendants and its employees
23 under the doctrine of *respondeat superior* and common law principles of agency because all of the
24 wrongful acts complained of herein were carried out within the scope of their employment.

25 13. The scienter of the Individual Defendants and other employees and agents of the
26 Company is similarly imputed to the Company under *respondeat superior* and agency principles.
27
28

1 14. The Company and the Individual Defendants are referred to herein, collectively, as
2 the “Defendants.”

3 **SUBSTANTIVE ALLEGATIONS**

4 **Materially False and Misleading Statements**

5 15. On April 16, 2019, after market hours, Netflix published its letter to shareholders
6 which reported on the first quarter of 2019. The letter to shareholders included forecasts for the
7 second quarter of 2019, stating in relevant part:

8
9 *For Q2’19, we project total paid net adds of 5.0m (-8% year over year), with*
10 *0.3m in the US and 4.7m for the international segment.* This would put us at
11 14.6m paid net adds for the first half of 2019, up 7% year over year.

12 (Emphasis added).

13 16. The letter to shareholders also discussed the recent price increases in Netflix
14 subscriptions, stating in relevant part:

15 *We’re working our way through a series of price increases in the US, Brazil,*
16 *Mexico and parts of Europe. The response in the US so far is as we expected*
17 and is tracking similarly to what we saw in Canada following our Q4’18 increase,
18 where our gross additions are unaffected, and we see some modest short-term
19 churn effect as members consent to the price change.

20 17. The letter to shareholders also discussed the scheduling of the release of several of
21 Netflix’s strongest original programming, stating in relevant part:

22 *We’re looking forward to a strong slate of global content in the second half of*
23 *the year*, including new seasons of some of our biggest series, Stranger Things
24 (July 4th), 13 Reasons Why, Orange is the New Black, The Crown and La Casa
25 de Papel (aka Money Heist) as well as big films like Michael Bay’s Six
26 Underground and Martin Scorsese’s The Irishman, and expect another year of
27 record annual paid net adds in 2019. We forecast an acceleration in both
28 streaming ARPU (+2% vs. -2%) and total revenue growth (26% vs. 22%) in Q2
vs. Q1. Excluding currency, we forecast streaming ARPU and total revenue
would rise 7% and 32%, respectively in Q2. While there will be some quarter-to-
quarter lumpiness in operating margins due to the timing of spending, our full
year 2019 operating margin target of 13% is unchanged, which means that we
expect operating margin in the second half of the year will be higher than the first
half.

1 (Emphasis added).

2 18. On April 16, 2019, after market hours, Netflix held an earnings call to discuss the
3 results for the first quarter of 2019. On this call, Defendant Neumann discussed anticipation
4 subscription rates in the second quarter of 2019, stating in relevant part:

5
6 *You can see that we guided to 5 million paid net adds in Q2*, which is similar to
7 where we were a year ago. *There's definitely some seasonality to our business,*
8 *which we see in Q2.* You see that again this year. But I'd say, in general, our paid
9 net adds are very much in line with what we've been planning and targeting for
10 the year. On a first half of the year basis, you see that 7% year-over-year growth.
11 *The specific growth in Q2 is more concentrated internationally. That's just, as*
12 *we talked about last quarter, we're rolling through our price changes in the*
13 *U.S., so that has some moderation on our net adds. And the good news there is*
14 *that our -- the growth in our acquisition that we're acquiring are -- it's*
15 *consistent in terms of our ability to kind of grow our subscribers. There's just*
16 *some temporary churn that enters the system in the midst of rolling out those*
17 *price changes.* But that's why you see more of the net adds weighted to our
18 international segments in Q2 but overall very healthy, going according to plan and
19 very strong growth for the first half of the year and putting us on track, as we also
20 mentioned in the letter, for another year of record paid net add growth for the full
21 year.

22 (Emphasis added).

23 19. Further on this call, the topic of the types of programing Netflix provides and some
24 of the Company's most successful programs and strategy were discussed, stating in relevant part:

25 Well, we've kept one strict principle around it, which was that these shows had to
26 be very locally relevant. And to do that, you have to be pretty authentically local.
27 So what we're trying not to do is try to inauthentically make a global show
28 because basically that doesn't work for anybody. So the more authentically local
the show is, the better it travels, which we've seen with Kingdom. So fans of K
drama around the world loved that show, and it resonated incredibly well for us in
Korea. Similarly, coming up, we have a new season of Rain coming out this
quarter that is perfectly Swedish. We don't try to make it -- water it down or make
it travel any better inorganically and have found that the best way to make global
stories is to make them incredibly, authentically local

29 * * *

30 Sure. I would just say maybe that there's a bunch of historical performance and
31 modeling that we used to keep an eye on these things. But generally, I would say

1 things are going as expected. And this is one of those relatively infrequent
 2 moments where as we invest more in the service, more great content, we got
 3 incredible movies coming like Irishman, Six Underground, improving the product
 4 experience, we occasionally go back to our subscribers and ask them to contribute
 a little bit more so that we can fund that next cycle of growth. And everything that
 we're seeing right now is very consistent with that model.

5 (Emphasis added).

6 20. The statements referenced in ¶¶15-19 above were materially false and/or misleading
 7 because they misrepresented and failed to disclose the following adverse facts pertaining to the
 8 Company's business, operational and financial results, which were known to Defendants or
 9 recklessly disregarded by them. Specifically, Defendants made false and/or misleading statements
 10 and/or failed to disclose that: (1) Netflix would not be able to gain its expected target number of
 11 new subscribers in the second quarter of 2019; (2) Netflix would also lose subscribers from the
 12 United States in the second quarter of 2019; and (3) as a result, Defendants' public statements were
 13 materially false and misleading at all relevant times.

14 **The Truth Emerges**

15 21. On July 17, 2019, after the market closed, Netflix released a letter to shareholders
 16 which revealed that Netflix missed its expected target for number of new subscribers, stating in
 17 relevant part:

18 *Paid membership grew by 2.7m, less than the 5.5m in Q2 a year ago and our*
 19 *5.0m Forecast.*

20 * * *

21 *Our missed forecast was across all regions, but slightly more so in regions with*
 22 *price increases.* We don't believe competition was a factor since there wasn't a
 23 material change in the competitive landscape during Q2, and competitive intensity
 24 and our penetration is varied across regions (while our over-forecast was in every
 25 region). Rather, *we think Q2's content slate drove less growth in paid net adds*
 26 *than we anticipated.* Additionally, Q1 was so large for us (9.6m net adds), there
 27 may have been more pull-forward effect than we realized. In prior quarters with
 28 over-forecasts, we've found that the underlying long-term growth was not
 affected and staying focused on the fundamentals of our business served us well.
Q3 has started with Stranger Things season 3, and the first two weeks of Q3 are
strong. In addition to the recently released season 3 of Stranger Things, our
second half content slate includes new seasons of La Casa de Papel (Money

1 *Heist), The Crown, and the final season of the iconic Orange is the New Black*
2 *as well as big films like The Irishman from Martin Scorsese and action movie 6*
3 *Underground (directed by Michael Bay and starring Ryan Reynolds).*

4 (Emphasis added).

5 22. The letter to shareholders revealed that Netflix lost 126,000 subscribers in the United
6 States during the second quarter of 2019.

7 23. Also on July 17, 2019, Netflix held an earnings call to discuss the second quarter of
8 2019 results. On the call Defendant Neumann discussed the reasons for the missed subscription
9 target, stating in relevant part:

10 I mean generally when we looked at the -- the slowdown in subscriber growth was
11 across all of our regions. So you talk about our kind of top of funnel or gross
12 adds, we saw that slowdown across the board, which indicates to us some level of
13 seasonality and kind of the overall, as we say, *the kind of timing of the content*
14 *slate*. And also, frankly, maybe a little bit more pull forward of our subscriber
15 growth from Q2 to Q1 because we had such a strong Q1 with 9.7 million paid net
16 adds. *But we also did see in regions where we increased prices, we did see some*
17 *elevated churn rates and lower retentions. So it was a combination of those 2*
18 *things. We think the primary story was around seasonality and timing and*
19 *nature of our content slate, but pricing played a factor.*

20 (Emphasis added).

21 24. On this news, shares of Netflix plummeted \$47.34 per share, or over 13%, from over
22 the next two trading days to close at \$315.10 per share on July 19, 2019, damaging investors.

23 25. As a result of Defendants' wrongful acts and omissions, and the precipitous decline
24 in the market value of the Company's securities, Plaintiff and other Class members have suffered
25 significant losses and damages.

26 **PLAINTIFF'S CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS**

27 26. Plaintiff brings this action as a class action pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil
28 Procedure 23(a) and (b)(3) on behalf of a Class, consisting of all those who purchased or otherwise
acquired the publicly traded securities of Netflix during the Class Period (the "Class"); and were
damaged upon the revelation of the alleged corrective disclosures. Excluded from the Class are
Defendants herein, the officers and directors of the Company, at all relevant times, members of their

1 immediate families and their legal representatives, heirs, successors or assigns and any entity in
2 which Defendants have or had a controlling interest.

3 27. The members of the Class are so numerous that joinder of all members is
4 impracticable. Throughout the Class Period, Netflix securities were actively traded on NASDAQ.
5 While the exact number of Class members is unknown to Plaintiff at this time and can be
6 ascertained only through appropriate discovery, Plaintiff believes that there are hundreds or
7 thousands of members in the proposed Class. Record owners and other members of the Class may
8 be identified from records maintained by the Company or its transfer agent and may be notified of
9 the pendency of this action by mail, using the form of notice similar to that customarily used in
10 securities class actions.

11 28. Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the members of the Class as all
12 members of the Class are similarly affected by Defendants' wrongful conduct in violation of federal
13 law that is complained of herein.

14 29. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the members of the Class
15 and has retained counsel competent and experienced in class and securities litigation. Plaintiff has
16 no interests antagonistic to or in conflict with those of the Class.

17 30. Common questions of law and fact exist as to all members of the Class and
18 predominate over any questions solely affecting individual members of the Class. Among the
19 questions of law and fact common to the Class are:

- 20 • whether the federal securities laws were violated by Defendants' acts as alleged
21 herein;
- 22 • whether statements made by Defendants to the investing public during the Class
23 Period misrepresented material facts about the financial condition, business,
24 operations, and management of the Company;
- 25 • whether Defendants' public statements to the investing public during the Class
26 Period omitted material facts necessary to make the statements made, in light of the
27 circumstances under which they were made, not misleading;

- 1 • whether the Individual Defendants caused the Company to issue false and misleading
- 2 SEC filings and public statements during the Class Period;
- 3 • whether Defendants acted knowingly or recklessly in issuing false and misleading
- 4 SEC filings and public statements during the Class Period;
- 5 • whether the prices of Netflix securities during the Class Period were artificially
- 6 inflated because of the Defendants' conduct complained of herein; and
- 7 • whether the members of the Class have sustained damages and, if so, what is the
- 8 proper measure of damages.

9 31. A class action is superior to all other available methods for the fair and efficient
10 adjudication of this controversy since joinder of all members is impracticable. Furthermore, as the
11 damages suffered by individual Class members may be relatively small, the expense and burden of
12 individual litigation make it impossible for members of the Class to individually redress the wrongs
13 done to them. There will be no difficulty in the management of this action as a class action.

14 32. Plaintiff will rely, in part, upon the presumption of reliance established by the fraud-
15 on-the-market doctrine in that:

- 16 • Defendants made public misrepresentations or failed to disclose material facts during
- 17 the Class Period;
- 18 • the omissions and misrepresentations were material;
- 19 • Netflix securities are traded in efficient markets;
- 20 • the Company's securities were liquid and traded with moderate to heavy volume
- 21 during the Class Period;
- 22 • the Company traded on NASDAQ, and was covered by multiple analysts;
- 23 • the misrepresentations and omissions alleged would tend to induce a reasonable
- 24 investor to misjudge the value of the Company's securities; and
- 25 • Plaintiff and members of the Class purchased and/or sold Netflix securities between
- 26 the time the Defendants failed to disclose or misrepresented material facts and the
- 27 time the true facts were disclosed, without knowledge of the omitted or
- 28 misrepresented facts.

1 39. The Company and the Individual Defendants acted with scienter in that they knew
2 that the public documents and statements issued or disseminated in the name of the Company were
3 materially false and misleading; knew that such statements or documents would be issued or
4 disseminated to the investing public; and knowingly and substantially participated, or acquiesced in
5 the issuance or dissemination of such statements or documents as primary violations of the
6 securities laws. These defendants by virtue of their receipt of information reflecting the true facts of
7 the Company, their control over, and/or receipt and/or modification of the Company's allegedly
8 materially misleading statements, and/or their associations with the Company which made them
9 privy to confidential proprietary information concerning the Company, participated in the
10 fraudulent scheme alleged herein.

11 40. Individual Defendants, who are the senior officers and/or directors of the Company,
12 had actual knowledge of the material omissions and/or the falsity of the material statements set forth
13 above, and intended to deceive Plaintiff and the other members of the Class, or, in the alternative,
14 acted with reckless disregard for the truth when they failed to ascertain and disclose the true facts in
15 the statements made by them or other personnel of the Company to members of the investing
16 public, including Plaintiff and the Class.

17 41. As a result of the foregoing, the market price of Netflix securities was artificially
18 inflated during the Class Period. In ignorance of the falsity of the Company's and the Individual
19 Defendants' statements, Plaintiff and the other members of the Class relied on the statements
20 described above and/or the integrity of the market price of Netflix securities during the Class Period
21 in purchasing Netflix securities at prices that were artificially inflated as a result of the Company's
22 and the Individual Defendants' false and misleading statements.

23 42. Had Plaintiff and the other members of the Class been aware that the market price of
24 Netflix securities had been artificially and falsely inflated by the Company's and the Individual
25 Defendants' misleading statements and by the material adverse information which the Company's
26 and the Individual Defendants did not disclose, they would not have purchased Netflix securities at
27 the artificially inflated prices that they did, or at all.

28

1 43. As a result of the wrongful conduct alleged herein, Plaintiff and other members of
2 the Class have suffered damages in an amount to be established at trial.

3 44. By reason of the foregoing, the Company and the Individual Defendants have
4 violated Section 10(b) of the 1934 Act and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder and are liable to the
5 Plaintiff and the other members of the Class for substantial damages which they suffered in
6 connection with their purchases of Netflix securities during the Class Period.

7 **COUNT II**

8 **Violation of Section 20(a) of The Exchange Act**
9 **Against The Individual Defendants**

10 45. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation contained in the foregoing
11 paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

12 46. During the Class Period, the Individual Defendants participated in the operation and
13 management of the Company, and conducted and participated, directly and indirectly, in the
14 conduct of the Company's business affairs. Because of their senior positions, they knew the adverse
15 non-public information regarding the Company's business practices.

16 47. As officers and/or directors of a publicly owned company, the Individual Defendants
17 had a duty to disseminate accurate and truthful information with respect to the Company's financial
18 condition and results of operations, and to correct promptly any public statements issued by the
19 Company which had become materially false or misleading.

20 48. Because of their positions of control and authority as senior officers, the Individual
21 Defendants were able to, and did, control the contents of the various reports, press releases and
22 public filings which the Company disseminated in the marketplace during the Class Period.
23 Throughout the Class Period, the Individual Defendants exercised their power and authority to
24 cause the Company to engage in the wrongful acts complained of herein. The Individual Defendants
25 therefore, were "controlling persons" of the Company within the meaning of Section 20(a) of the
26 Exchange Act. In this capacity, they participated in the unlawful conduct alleged which artificially
27 inflated the market price of Netflix securities.

28 49. Each of the Individual Defendants, therefore, acted as a controlling person of the
Company. By reason of their senior management positions and/or being directors of the Company,

1 each of the Individual Defendants had the power to direct the actions of, and exercised the same to
2 cause, the Company to engage in the unlawful acts and conduct complained of herein. Each of the
3 Individual Defendants exercised control over the general operations of the Company and possessed
4 the power to control the specific activities which comprise the primary violations about which
5 Plaintiff and the other members of the Class complain.

6 50. By reason of the above conduct, the Individual Defendants are liable pursuant to
7 Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act for the violations committed by the Company.

8 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

9 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendants as follows:

10 A. Determining that the instant action may be maintained as a class action under Rule
11 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and certifying Plaintiff as the Class representative;

12 B. Requiring Defendants to pay damages sustained by Plaintiff and the Class by reason
13 of the acts and transactions alleged herein;

14 C. Awarding Plaintiff and the other members of the Class prejudgment and post-
15 judgment interest, as well as their reasonable attorneys' fees, expert fees and other costs; and

16 D. Awarding such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper.

17 **DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY**

18 Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury.

19
20 Dated: July 22, 2019

Respectfully submitted,

21 **THE ROSEN LAW FIRM, P.A.**

22 By: /s/ Laurence M. Rosen
23 Laurence M. Rosen, Esq. (SBN 219683)
24 355 S. Grand Avenue, Suite 2450
25 Los Angeles, CA 90071
26 Telephone: (213) 785-2610
27 Facsimile: (213) 226-4684
28 Email: lrosen@rosenlegal.com

Counsel for Plaintiff

Certification and Authorization of Named Plaintiff Pursuant to Federal Securities Laws

The individual or institution listed below (the "Plaintiff") authorizes and, upon execution of the accompanying retainer agreement by The Rosen Law Firm P.A., retains The Rosen Law Firm P.A. to file an action under the federal securities laws to recover damages and to seek other relief against Netflix, Inc.. The Rosen Law Firm P.A. will prosecute the action on a contingent fee basis and will advance all costs and expenses. The Netflix, Inc.. Retention Agreement provided to the Plaintiff is incorporated by reference, upon execution by The Rosen Law Firm P.A.

First name: Johan
Middle initial: B
Last name: Wallerstein
Address:
City:
State: REDACTED
Zip:
Country:
Facsimile:
Phone:
Email:

Plaintiff certifies that:

1. Plaintiff has reviewed the complaint and authorized its filing.
2. Plaintiff did not acquire the security that is the subject of this action at the direction of plaintiff's counsel or in order to participate in this private action or any other litigation under the federal securities laws.
3. Plaintiff is willing to serve as a representative party on behalf of a class, including providing testimony at deposition and trial, if necessary.
4. Plaintiff represents and warrants that he/she/it is fully authorized to enter into and execute this certification.
5. Plaintiff will not accept any payment for serving as a representative party on behalf of the class beyond the Plaintiff's pro rata share of any recovery, except such reasonable costs and expenses (including lost wages) directly relating to the representation of the class as ordered or approved by the court.
6. Plaintiff has made no transaction(s) during the Class Period in the debt or equity securities that are the subject of this action except those set forth below:

Acquisitions:

Type of Security	Buy Date	# of Shares	Price per Share
Common Stock	02/27/2019	1	360.81
Common Stock	03/27/2019	1	360.86
Common Stock	04/23/2019	1	383.50
Common Stock	05/03/2019	1	383.69
Common Stock	05/15/2019	1	355.98
Common Stock	06/18/2019	1	358.59

Certification for Johan Wallerstein (cont.)

Sales:

Type of Security	Sale Date	# of Shares	Price per Share
Common Stock	07/19/2019	3	319.60

7. I have not served as a representative party on behalf of a class under the federal securities laws during the last three years, except if detailed below. []

I declare under penalty of perjury, under the laws of the United States, that the information entered is accurate: **YES**

By clicking on the button below, I intend to sign and execute this agreement and retain the Rosen Law Firm, P.A. to proceed on Plaintiff's behalf, on a contingent fee basis. **YES**

Signed pursuant to California Civil Code Section 1633.1, et seq. - and the Uniform Electronic Transactions Act as adopted by the various states and territories of the United States.

Date of signing 07/20/2019

Johan Wallerstein

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS-CAND 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved in its original form by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the Clerk of Court to initiate the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS

JOHAN WALLERSTEIN, Individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Sweden (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)

(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Laurence M. Rosen, The Rosen Law Firm, P.A. 355 South Grand Avenue, Suite 2450, Los Angeles, CA 90071 Telephone: (213) 785-2610; Email: lrosen@rosenlegal.com

DEFENDANTS

NETFLIX, INC., REED HASTINGS, AND SPENCER NEUMANN,

County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)

NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.

Attorneys (If Known)

II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

- 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff
2 U.S. Government Defendant
3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)
4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)

III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)

Table with columns for Plaintiff (PTF) and Defendant (DEF) citizenship: Citizen of This State, Citizen of Another State, Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country, Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State, Incorporated and Principal Place of Business In Another State, Foreign Nation.

IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

Large table with categories: CONTRACT, REAL PROPERTY, TORTS, CIVIL RIGHTS, PRISONER PETITIONS, HABEAS CORPUS, OTHER, FORFEITURE/PENALTY, LABOR, IMMIGRATION, BANKRUPTCY, SOCIAL SECURITY, FEDERAL TAX SUITS, OTHER STATUTES.

V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

- 1 Original Proceeding
2 Removed from State Court
3 Remanded from Appellate Court
4 Reinstated or Reopened
5 Transferred from Another District (specify)
6 Multidistrict Litigation-Transfer
8 Multidistrict Litigation-Direct File

VI. CAUSE OF ACTION

Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity): 15 U.S.C. 78j(b) and 78t(a), and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder (17 C.F.R. 240.10b-5) and the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995
Brief description of cause: Violations of the federal securities laws

VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:

CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, Fed. R. Civ. P. DEMAND \$ JURY DEMAND: X Yes No

VIII. RELATED CASE(S), IF ANY (See instructions):

JUDGE DOCKET NUMBER

IX. DIVISIONAL ASSIGNMENT (Civil Local Rule 3-2)

(Place an "X" in One Box Only) X SAN FRANCISCO/OAKLAND SAN JOSE EUREKA-MCKINLEYVILLE

DATE 07/22/2019 SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD /s/ Laurence M. Rosen

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS-CAND 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet. The JS-CAND 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved in its original form by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the Clerk of Court to initiate the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- I. a) Plaintiffs-Defendants.** Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- b) County of Residence.** For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the “defendant” is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- c) Attorneys.** Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section “(see attachment).”
- II. Jurisdiction.** The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 8(a), which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an “X” in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.
- (1) United States plaintiff. Jurisdiction based on 28 USC §§ 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here.
 - (2) United States defendant. When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an “X” in this box.
 - (3) Federal question. This refers to suits under 28 USC § 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.
 - (4) Diversity of citizenship. This refers to suits under 28 USC § 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; **NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.**)
- III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties.** This section of the JS-CAND 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit.** Place an “X” in the appropriate box. If the nature of suit cannot be determined, be sure the cause of action, in Section VI below, is sufficient to enable the deputy clerk or the statistical clerk(s) in the Administrative Office to determine the nature of suit. If the cause fits more than one nature of suit, select the most definitive.
- V. Origin.** Place an “X” in one of the six boxes.
- (1) Original Proceedings. Cases originating in the United States district courts.
 - (2) Removed from State Court. Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 USC § 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.
 - (3) Remanded from Appellate Court. Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.
 - (4) Reinstated or Reopened. Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date.
 - (5) Transferred from Another District. For cases transferred under Title 28 USC § 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.
 - (6) Multidistrict Litigation Transfer. Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 USC § 1407. When this box is checked, do not check (5) above.
 - (8) Multidistrict Litigation Direct File. Check this box when a multidistrict litigation case is filed in the same district as the Master MDL docket. Please note that there is no Origin Code 7. Origin Code 7 was used for historical records and is no longer relevant due to changes in statute.
- VI. Cause of Action.** Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. **Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity.** Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC § 553. Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service.
- VII. Requested in Complaint.** Class Action. Place an “X” in this box if you are filing a class action under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23. Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction. Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases.** This section of the JS-CAND 44 is used to identify related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.
- IX. Divisional Assignment.** If the Nature of Suit is under Property Rights or Prisoner Petitions or the matter is a Securities Class Action, leave this section blank. For all other cases, identify the divisional venue according to Civil Local Rule 3-2: “the county in which a substantial part of the events or omissions which give rise to the claim occurred or in which a substantial part of the property that is the subject of the action is situated.”
- Date and Attorney Signature.** Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: [Netflix Hit with Securities Class Action Over Subscriber Growth Statements](#)
