# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN MILWAUKEE DIVISION

MEGAN VOEKS, Individually and on Behalf of	) Case No.: 18-cv-808
All Others Similarly Situated,	) CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT
Plaintiff,	) )
v.	
BARCLAYS BANK DELAWARE,	) 
Defendant.	)

### **INTRODUCTION**

1. This class action seeks redress for collection practices that violate the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq. (the "TCPA").

# **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 2. The court has jurisdiction to grant the relief sought by the Plaintiff pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1337; *see also Mims v. Arrow Fin. Servs., LLC*, 132 S. Ct. 740, 753 (2012) (holding that federal courts have federal question jurisdiction over TCPA claims.).
- 3. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants because, as the conduct at issue occurred in or was directed toward individuals in the state of Wisconsin, Defendant has established minimum contacts showing it has purposefully availed itself to the resources and protection of the State of Wisconsin. Defendant does substantial business in Wisconsin.
- 4. Venue is proper in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Wisconsin pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b)-(c) and 1441(a), because a substantial part of the events giving rise to the claims, namely automated telephone calls to persons in this District and debt collection activities, occurred in this District.

### **PARTIES**

- 5. Plaintiff Megan Voeks ("Plaintiff") is an individual who resides in the Eastern District of Wisconsin (Milwaukee County)
- 6. At all times relevant, Plaintiff is, and at all times mentioned herein was, a "person" as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 153(39).
- 7. Defendant Barclays Bank Deleware ("Barclays") is a state-chartered, commercial bank with its principal offices located at 125 South West Street, Wilmington, DE 19801.
- 8. Barclays is, and at all times mentioned herein was, a "person", as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 153(39) ("The term 'person' includes an individual, partnership, association, joint-stock company, trust, or corporation.")

#### **FACTS**

- 9. On December 20, 2017 at approximately 1:06 p.m. (Central Standard Time), Barclays placed a telephone call to Plaintiff's cellular telephone, with a number ending in 3583, from the number 1-302-255-8000.
- 10. Upon information and belief, on or around December 20, 2017, Barclays placed at least one more additional call to Plaintiff's cellular telephone, with a number ending in 3583, from the number 1-866-456-0695.
- 11. Upon information belief, Barclays placed the above mentioned calls to Plaintiff's cellular telephone in attempt to contact Julie Voeks, Plaintiff's mother, regarding Julie Voeks's "Barnes & Noble" store-branded credit card account, which was issued and serviced by Barclays.

- 12. Each call to Plaintiff's cellular telephone from Barclays was placed via an "automatic telephone dialing system," as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 227(a)(1), and/or used "an artificial or prerecorded voice" as described in 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A).
- 13. The telephone number that Defendants used to contact Plaintiff, with an "artificial or prerecorded voice" and/or made by an "automatic telephone dialing system," was assigned to a cellular telephone service as specified in 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A)(iii).
- 14. Neither Plaintiff, nor her mother, nor any other person known to Plaintiff ever provided Plaintiff's cellular telephone number ending in 3583 to Barclays or Barnes & Noble or any agent or employee of either at any time.
- 15. Neither Plaintiff, nor her mother, nor any other person known to Plaintiff ever provided Barclays with express consent to receive prerecorded or automated calls by Defendant on Plaintiff's cellular telephone.
- 16. Neither Plaintiff, her mother, nor any other person known to Plaintiff ever provided "prior express consent" allowing Defendants to place telephone calls to Plaintiff's cellular phone utilizing an "artificial or prerecorded voice" or placed by an "automatic telephone dialing system," within the meaning of 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A).
- 17. Upon information and belief, Barclays obtained Plaintiff's cellular telephone number through skip-tracing.
- 18. Defendant's telephone calls to Plaintiff's cellular phone were not "for emergency purposes" as described in 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A).
- 19. Defendant's telephone calls to Plaintiff's cellular phone utilizing an "artificial or prerecorded voice" or placed by an "automatic telephone dialing system" for non-emergency

purposes and in the absence of Plaintiff's prior express consent violated 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A).

- 20. Under the TCPA, the burden is on Defendant to demonstrate that Plaintiff provided prior express consent within the meaning of the statute.
- 21. The Seventh Circuit has held that the "called party' in § 227(b)(1) means the person subscribing to the called number at the time the call is made." *Soppet v. Enhanced Recovery Co., LLC*, 679 F.3d 637, 643 (7th Cir. 2012); *see also Osorio v. State Farm Bank*, F.S.B., 746 F.3d 1242, 1251-52 (11th Cir. 2014) ("called party" means the current subscriber and not the prior subscriber or intended recipient for purposes of the TCPA).

### The TCPA

- 22. In 1991, Congress enacted the TCPA, in response to a growing number of consumer complaints regarding certain telemarketing practices.
- 23. The TCPA regulates, among other things, the use of automated telephone equipment, or "autodialers." Specifically, the plain language of section 227(b)(1)(A)(iii) prohibits the use of autodialers to make any call to a wireless number in the absence of an emergency or the prior express consent of the called party.
- 24. According to findings by the FCC, the agency Congress vested with authority to issue regulations implementing the TCPA, such calls are prohibited because, as Congress found, automated or prerecorded telephone calls are a greater nuisance and invasion of privacy than live solicitation calls, and such calls can be costly and inconvenient. The FCC also recognized that wireless customers are charged for incoming calls whether they pay in advance or after the minutes are used.

- 25. On February 15, 2012, the FCC released a Declaratory Ruling wherein it clarified that a party must obtain *prior express written consent* from the recipient prior to making automated telemarketing calls to the recipient's cellular telephone. *In the Matter of Rules and Regulations Implementing the Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1991* ("2012 FCC Declaratory Ruling"), 27 F.C.C.R. 1830, 27 FCC Rcd. 1830, 55 Communications Reg. (P&F) 356, 2012 WL 507959 (Feb, 15, 2012), at ¶ 2.
- 26. Barclays had actual or constructive knowledge that Plaintiff's wireless telephone number was assigned to Plaintiff and not her mother.
- 27. The Court is bound by all of the FCC's final orders relating to the TCPA. Balschmiter v. TD Auto Fin. LLC, 303 F.R.D. 508, footnote 4 (E.D. Wis. 2014) citing CE Design, Ltd. v. Prism Bus. Media, Inc., 606 F.3d 443, 446 (7th Cir. 2010) (holding that under the Hobbs Act, the FCC's TCPA orders are binding); Media, Inc., 606 F.3d 443, 446 (7th Cir. 2010) (holding that under the Hobbs Act, the FCC's TCPA orders are binding).

# **COUNT I - TCPA**

# KNOWING AND/OR WILLFUL VIOLATIONS OF THE TELEPHONE CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT, 47 U.S.C. § 227 ET SEQ.

- 28. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the foregoing paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully stated herein.
- 29. The foregoing acts and omissions of Defendant constitute numerous and multiple knowing and/or willful violations of the TCPA, including but not limited to each of the above-cited provisions of 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq.
- 30. As a result of Defendant's knowing and/or willful violations of 47 U.S.C. § 227 *et seq.*, Plaintiff and each member of the Class are entitled to treble damages of up to \$1,500.00 for each and every call in violation of the statute, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3).

31. Plaintiff and all Class members are also entitled to and do seek injunctive relief prohibiting such conduct violating the TCPA by Defendants in the future.

### **COUNT II**

# VIOLATIONS OF THE TELEPHONE CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT, 47 U.S.C. § 227 ET SEQ.

- 32. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the foregoing paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 33. The foregoing acts and omissions of Defendant constitute numerous and multiple violations of the TCPA, including but not limited to each of the above cited provisions of 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq.
- 34. As a result of Defendant's violations of 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq., Plaintiff and Class members are entitled to an award of \$500.00 in statutory damages for each and every call in violation of the statute, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B).
- 35. Plaintiff and Class members are also entitled to and do seek injunctive relief prohibiting Defendant's violation of the TCPA in the future.

#### **CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS**

- 36. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of himself and on behalf of all other persons similarly situated.
  - 37. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of a proposed Class consisting of:

All persons within the United States who, on or after May 25, 2014, received a non-emergency telephone call from or on behalf of Barclays to a cellular telephone through the use of an automatic telephone dialing system or an artificial or prerecorded voice, and who did not provide their cellular telephone number to Barclays.

Plaintiff represents, and is a member of, the Class. Excluded from the Class are Defendant and any entities in which Defendant has a controlling interest; Defendant's agents and employees;

any Judge to whom this action is assigned and any member of such Judge's staff and immediate family; and claims for personal injury, wrongful death and/or emotional distress.

- 38. Plaintiff does not know the exact number of members in each Class, but Plaintiff reasonably believes that Class members number at minimum in the hundreds.
- 39. Plaintiff and all members of each Class have been harmed by the acts of Defendant.
  - 40. This Class Action Complaint seeks injunctive relief and money damages.
- 41. The joinder of all Class members is impracticable due to the size and relatively modest value of each individual claim. The disposition of the claims in a class action will provide substantial benefit to the parties and the Court in avoiding a multiplicity of identical suits. The Class can be identified easily through records maintained by Defendants and/or its agents.
- 42. There are well defined, nearly identical, questions of law and fact affecting all parties. The questions of law and fact involving the class claims predominate over questions which may affect individual Class members. Those common questions of law and fact include, but are not limited to, the following:
- a. Whether Defendant and/or its agents made non-emergency calls to Plaintiff's and Class members' cellular telephones using an automatic telephone dialing system and/or an artificial or prerecorded voice;
- b. Whether Defendant and/or its agents utilized "skip tracing" methods to locate the cellular telephone numbers of non-customers;
- c. Whether Defendant can meet its burden of showing it obtained prior express consent (*i.e.*, consent that is clearly and unmistakably stated), to make such calls;

- d. Whether Defendant's conduct was knowing and/or willful;
- e. Whether Defendant is liable for damages, and the amount of such damages;
- f. Whether Defendant should be enjoined from engaging in such conduct in the future; and
- g. Plaintiff asserts claims that are typical of each Class member. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately represent and protect the interests of the Class, and has no interests which are antagonistic to any member of the Class.
- 33. Plaintiff has retained counsel experienced in handling class action claims involving violations of federal and state consumer protection statutes, including claims under the TCPA.
- 34. A class action is the superior method for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy. Class-wide relief is essential to compel Defendant to comply with the TCPA. The interest of Class members in individually controlling the prosecution of separate claims against Defendant is small because the statutory damages in an individual action for violation of the TCPA are small. Management of these claims is likely to present significantly fewer difficulties than are presented in many class claims because the calls at issue are all automated and the Class members, by definition, did not provide the prior express consent required under the statute to authorize calls to their cellular telephones.
- 35. Defendant has acted on grounds generally applicable to the Class, thereby making final injunctive relief and corresponding declaratory relief with respect to the Class as a whole appropriate. Moreover, on information and belief, Plaintiff alleges that the TCPA violations complained of herein are substantially likely to continue in the future if an injunction is not entered.

JURY DEMAND

45. Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that the Court grant Plaintiff and all Class

members the following relief against Defendants:

Injunctive relief prohibiting such violations of the TCPA by Defendant in the A.

future:

B. As a result of Defendant's willful and/or knowing violations of 47 U.S.C. §

227(b)(1), Plaintiff seeks for herself and each Class member treble damages, as provided by

statute, of up to \$1,500.00 for each and every call that violated the TCPA;

As a result of Defendant's violations of 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1), Plaintiff seeks for C.

herself and each Class member \$500.00 in statutory damages for each and every call that

violated the TCPA;

D. As a result of Defendant's violations of 15 U.S.C. § 1692g, Plaintiff seeks for

herself and each Class member statutory damages for Defendant's conduct that violated the

FDCPA.

D. An award of attorneys' fees and costs to counsel for Plaintiff and the Class;

E. An order certifying this action to be a proper class action pursuant to Federal Rule

of Civil Procedure 23, establishing appropriate Classes and any Subclasses the Court deems

appropriate, finding that Plaintiff is a proper representative of the Classes, and appointing the

lawyers and law firms representing Plaintiff as counsel for the Classes;

F. Such other relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: May 25, 2018

9

### **ADEMI & O'REILLY, LLP**

By: /s/ John D. Blythin

John D. Blythin (SBN 1046105)
Mark A. Eldridge (SBN 1089944)
Jesse Fruchter (SBN 1097673)
Ben J. Slatky (SBN 1106892)
3620 East Layton Avenue
Cudahy, WI 53110
(414) 482-8000
(414) 482-8001 (fax)
jblythin@ademilaw.com
meldridge@ademilaw.com
jfruchter@ademilaw.com
bslatky@ademilaw.com

# **CIVIL COVER SHEET**

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

Place an X in the appropriate	Box: Green	Bay Division		Milwaukee Division	
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS		
MEGAN VO	EKS		BARCLAYS	BANK DELAWAR	RE
` '	e of First Listed Flamium	Milwaukee	County of Residence	of First Listed Defendant	
(I	EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA	.SES)	NOTE: IN LAN	(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES OF CONDEMNATION CASES, US	
				INVOLVED.	
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name	e, Address, and Telephone Numbe	er)	Attorneys (If Known)		
	3620 E. Layton Ave., Cudahy, WI ne (414) 482-8001-Facsimile	53110			
II. BASIS OF JURISI	OICTION (Place an "X"	n One Box Only)	I. CITIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff
1 U.S. Government	3 Federal Question		(For Diversity Cases Only)	PTF DEF	and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government l	Not a Party)	Citizen of This State	1 Incorporated or Pr of Business In This	
2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity		Citizen of Another State	2 Incorporated and I of Business In A	
	(Indicate Citizenshi	p of Parties in Item III)	Citizen or Subject of a	3 G 3 Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6
	_		Foreign Country		
IV. NATURE OF SUI	T (Place an "X" in One Box O:		FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJURY	610 Agriculture	422 Appeal 28 USC 158	400 State Reapportionment
120 Marine 130 Miller Act	310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product	Med. Malpractice	620 Other Food & Drug 625 Drug Related Seizure	423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157	410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking
140 Negotiable Instrument	Liability	365 Personal Injury -	of Property 21 USC 881	PROPERTY RIGHTS	450 Commerce
150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgmen	<del></del>	Product Liability  368 Asbestos Personal	630 Liquor Laws 640 R.R. & Truck	820 Copyrights	460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and
151 Medicare Act	330 Federal Employers'	Injury Product	650 Airline Regs.	830 Patent	Corrupt Organizations
152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans	Liability  340 Marine	Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY	660 Occupational Safety/Health	840 Trademark	480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV
(Excl. Veterans)	345 Marine Product	370 Other Fraud	690 Other		810 Selective Service
153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits	Liability 350 Motor Vehicle	371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal	LABOR 710 Fair Labor Standards	SOCIAL SECURITY  861 HIA (1395ff)	850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange
160 Stockholders' Suits	355 Motor Vehicle	Property Damage	Act	862 Black Lung (923)	875 Customer Challenge
☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability	Product Liability  360 Other Personal	385 Property Damage Product Liability	720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations 730 Labor/Mgmt.Reporting	☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) ☐ 864 SSID Title XVI	12 USC 3410  ✓ 890 Other Statutory Actions
196 Franchise	Injury	Troduct Elability	& Disclosure Act	865 RSI (405(g))	891 Agricultural Acts
REAL PROPERTY  210 Land Condemnation	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIONS  510 Motions to Vacate	740 Railway Labor Act	FEDERAL TAX SUITS	892 Economic Stabilization Act 893 Environmental Matters
220 Foreclosure	441 Voting 442 Employment	Sentence	☐ 790 Other Labor Litigation☐ 791 Empl. Ret. Inc.	870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)	893 Environmental Matters 894 Energy Allocation Act
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	443 Housing/	Habeas Corpus:	Security Act	☐ 871 IRS—Third Party	895 Freedom of Information
240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability	Accommodations  444 Welfare	530 General 535 Death Penalty	IMMIGRATION	26 USC 7609	Act 900Appeal of Fee Determination
290 All Other Real Property	445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	540 Mandamus & Other	462 Naturalization Application	-	Under Equal Access
	Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition	Alien Detainee		to Justice  950 Constitutionality of
	Other		465 Other Immigration		State Statutes
	440 Other Civil Rights		Actions		
	an "X" in One Box Only) emoved from 3	Remanded from \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	Reinstated or ☐ 5 Trans	sferred from 6 Multidistr	Appeal to District rict 7 Judge from Magistrate
		Appellate Court	Reopened anoth (speci	I itigation	Magistrate Judgment
	47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq	ntute under which you are f	filing (Do not cite jurisdiction	nal statutes unless diversity):	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTI	Brief description of ca	ause: Consumer Protection Act			
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER F.R.C.P.	IS A CLASS ACTION 23	DEMAND \$	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint: : ☑ Yes ☐ No
VIII. RELATED CAS	(See instructions):	JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER	
DATE		SIGNATURE OF ATTO	RNEY OF RECORD		
May 25, 2018		s/ John D. B	lythin		
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY					

- Cas<del>e 2:18-cv-0080</del>8-NJ File<del>d 05/25/18 Page 1 of 2 Docum</del>ent 1-1

#### INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- I. (a) Plaintiffs-Defendants. Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- **II. Jurisdiction**. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.C.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.

United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here.

United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.

Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.)

- III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties. This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- **IV. Nature of Suit.** Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If the nature of suit cannot be determined, be sure the cause of action, in Section VI below, is sufficient to enable the deputy clerk or the statistical clerks in the Administrative Office to determine the nature of suit. If the cause fits more than one nature of suit, select the most definitive.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.

Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.

Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date.

Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407. When this box is checked, do not check (5) above.

Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judgment. (7) Check this box for an appeal from a magistrate judge's decision.

VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity. Example:

U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553

Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service

VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.

Demand. In this space enter the dollar amount (in thousands of dollars) being demanded or indicate other demand such as a preliminary injunction.

Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.

VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the Eastern District of Wisconsin

		) )
MEGAN V	OEKS	
Plaintiff	$\overline{f}(s)$	- <i>)</i>
v.		Civil Action No. 18-cv-808
		)
		)
BARCLAYS BANK	K DELAWARE	)
Defendar	nt(s)	_ ′)
	CLIMANAONIC	TNI A CHYM A CIDYON
	SUMMONS	IN A CIVIL ACTION
To: (Defendant's name and address)	BARCLAYS BANK DEL 125 South West Street Wilmington, DE 19801	AWARE
A lawsuit has been file	ed against you.	
the United States or a United 12(a)(2) or (3) – you must se	States agency, or an offi- rve on the plaintiff an an	on you (not counting the day you receive it) – or 60 days if you are cer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. aswer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the on must be served on the plaintiff or the plaintiff's attorney, whose
If you fail to respond, You also must file your answe		l be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.
		STEPHEN C. DRIES, CLERK OF COURT
Date:		
		Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

Civil Action No. 18-cv-808

### PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(l))

ceived by me on (date)	·		
☐ I personally served	the summons and the attached con	applaint on the individual at (place):	
		On (date)	; or
☐ I left the summons	and the attached complaint at the i	ndividual's residence or usual place of a	bode with
	, a <u>r</u>	erson of suitable age and discretion wh	o resides th
on (date)	, and mailed a copy	to the individual's last known address;	or
☐ I served the summo	ons and the attached complaint on (	name of individual)	
who is designated by la	aw to accept service of process on l	behalf of (name of organization)	
		on (1 ( )	·or
		OII (aate)	; or
☐ I returned the summ	nons unexecuted because	on (date)	
	mons unexecuted because		
Other (specify):			;
Other (specify):  My fees are \$		for services, for a total of \$	;
Other (specify):  My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	;
Other (specify):  My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	;
☐ Other (specify):  My fees are \$  I declare under penalty	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$rue.	;
☐ Other (specify):  My fees are \$  I declare under penalty	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$rue.	;
☐ Other (specify):  My fees are \$  I declare under penalty	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$ rue.  Server's signature	;
☐ Other (specify):  My fees are \$  I declare under penalty	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$ rue.  Server's signature	;

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc.:

# **ClassAction.org**

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: Wisconsin Woman Sues Barclays Bank Over Allegedly Illegal Robocall