LAW OFFICES OF ROBERT L. KRASELNIK, PLLC Robert L. Kraselnik (RK 0684) 40-08 Case Street, 2nd Floor Elmhurst, NY 11373 Tel.: 646-342-2019 Fax: 646-661-1317 Attorneys for Plaintiffs

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

FULGENCIO VILLALBA, on behalf of himself and others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

Case No.:

COMPLAINT

Jury Trial Demanded

V,

AMADO HERREROS d/b/a TACOS AL SUADERO,

Defendant.

Plaintiff, FULGENCIO VILLALBA (hereinafter, "Plaintiff"), on behalf of himself and others similarly situated, by and through his undersigned attorneys, hereby files this Complaint against Defendant, AMADO HERREROS d/b/a TACOS AL SUADERO ("Defendant"), and states as follows:

Case 1:17-cv-03719 Document 1 Filed 06/20/17 Page 2 of 10 PageID #: 2

INTRODUCTION

1. Plaintiff alleges, pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act, as amended, 29 U.S.C. §§201 *et. seq.* ("FLSA"), that he is entitled to recover from Defendant: (1) unpaid overtime, (2) unpaid minimum wages, (3) liquidated damages and (4) attorneys' fees and costs.

2. Plaintiff further alleges that, pursuant to the New York Labor Law, he is entitled to recover from Defendant: (1) unpaid overtime, (2) unpaid minimum wages, (3) unpaid spread of hours premium (4) statutory penalties, (5) liquidated damages and (6) attorneys' fees and costs.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

3. This Court has jurisdiction over this controversy pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216(b), 28 U.S.C. §§1331, 1337 and 1343, and has supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1367.

4. Venue is proper in the Eastern District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391.

PARTIES

5. Plaintiff, FULGENCIO VILLALBA, is a resident of Queens County.

6. Upon information and belief, Defendant, AMADO HERREROS, owns and operates a food/beverage establishment called "TACOS AL SUADERO" located at 8721 Roosevelt Avenue, Jackson Heights, NY 11372.

7. Upon information and belief, Defendant, AMADO HERREROS, is the Chairman or Chief Executive Officer of TACOS AL SUADERO. AMADO HERREROS exercised control over the terms and conditions of Plaintiff's employment and those of similarly situated employees. With respect to Plaintiff and other similarly situated

Case 1:17-cv-03719 Document 1 Filed 06/20/17 Page 3 of 10 PageID #: 3

employees, he had the power to (i) fire and hire, (ii) determine rate and method of pay and (iii) otherwise affect the quality of employment.

8. At all relevant times, Defendant, AMADO HERREROS d/b/a TACOS AL SUADERO, was and continues to be "enterprise engaged in commerce" within the meaning of the FLSA.

9. At all relevant times, the work performed by Plaintiff was directly essential to the business operated by Defendant.

10. At all relevant times, Defendant knowingly and willfully failed to pay Plaintiff his lawfully earned overtime wages in direct contravention of the FLSA and the New York Labor Law.

11. At all relevant times, Defendant knowingly and willfully failed to pay Plaintiff his lawfully earned minimum wages in direct contravention of the FLSA and the New York Labor Law.

12. At all relevant times, Defendant knowingly and willfully failed to pay Plaintiff his lawfully earned spread of hours premium in direct contravention of the New York Labor Law.

13. Plaintiff has fulfilled all conditions precedent to the institution of this action and/or such conditions have been waived.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

14. In or about November of 2015, Plaintiff, FULGENCIO VILLALBA, was hired by Defendant to work as a stock person, food preparer, and delivery person for Defendant's "Tacos Al Suadero", a food/beverage establishment located at 8721 Roosevelt Avenue, Jackson Heights, NY 11372.

:3

Case 1:17-cv-03719 Document 1 Filed 06/20/17 Page 4 of 10 PageID #: 4

15. Plaintiff worked for Defendant until on or about November 8, 2016.

16. During the employment of Plaintiff, FULGENCIO VILLALBA, by Defendant, he worked over forty (40) hours per week. During FULGENCIO VILLALBA'S employment by Defendant, he often worked over ten (10) hours per day.

17. Plaintiff, FULGENCIO VILLALBA, worked approximately 72 hours per week. Specifically, for example, in the week period from October 23, 2016 through October 29, 2016, he worked as follows:

10/23/2016	8:00pm-8:00am
10/24/2016	8:00pm-8:00am
10/25/2016	8:00pm-8:00am
10/26/2016	8:00pm-8:00am
10/27/2016	off
10/28/2016	8:00pm-8:00am
10/29/2016	8:00pm-8:00am

Plaintiff remained with this schedule for the duration of his employment with Defendant. Plaintiff received a fixed weekly salary of \$350. Plaintiff was not paid the proper minimum wage for his first 40 hours in a workweek nor his overtime rate of time and one-half for any hours worked past 40 in a workweek. Additionally, Plaintiff did not receive any breaks during any workweek.

18. Defendant knowingly and willfully operated its business with a policy of not paying either the FLSA overtime rate (of time and one-half) or the New York State overtime rate (of time and one-half) to the Plaintiff and other similarly situated employees. 19. Defendant knowingly and willfully operated their business with a policy of not paying either the FLSA minimum wage or the New York State minimum wage to the Plaintiffs.

20. Defendant knowingly and willfully operated its business with a policy of not paying the New York State "spread of hours" premium to Plaintiff.

21. Defendant knowingly and willfully operated its business with a policy of not providing a proper wage statement to Plaintiff and other similarly situated employees, in violation of the New York Labor Law.

22. Plaintiff retained the Law Offices of Robert L. Kraselnik, PLLC to represent him and other employees similarly situated in this litigation and has agreed to pay the firm a reasonable fee for its services.

STATEMENT OF CLAIM

COUNT I

VIOLATION OF THE FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT

23. Plaintiff realleges and reavers Paragraphs 1 through 22 of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.

24. At all relevant times, upon information and belief, Defendant was and continues to be an employer engaged in interstate commerce and/or the production of goods for commerce within the meaning of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §§ 206(a) and 207 (a). Further, Plaintiff is a covered individual within the meaning of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §§ 206(a) and 207 (a).

25. At all relevant times, Defendant employed Plaintiff within the meaning of the FLSA.

Case 1:17-cv-03719 Document 1 Filed 06/20/17 Page 6 of 10 PageID #: 6

26. Upon information and belief, at all relevant times, Defendant, AMADO HERREROS d/b/a TACOS AL SUADERO had gross annual revenues in excess of \$500,000.

27. At all relevant times, the Defendant had a policy and practice of refusing to pay overtime compensation at the statutory rate of time and one-half to Plaintiff for his hours worked in excess of forty hours per workweek.

28. Defendant failed to pay Plaintiff overtime compensation in the lawful amount for hours worked in excess of the maximum hours provided for in the FLSA.

29. Plaintiff worked hours for which he was not paid the statutory minimum wage.

30. At all relevant times, the Defendant had a policy and practice of refusing to pay the statutory minimum wage to Plaintiff for his hours worked.

31. Defendant failed to pay Plaintiff minimum wages in the lawful amount for their hours worked.

32. Records, if any, concerning the number of hours worked by Plaintiff and the actual compensation paid to Plaintiff should be in the possession and custody of the Defendant. Plaintiff intends to obtain these records by appropriate discovery proceedings to be taken promptly in this case and, if necessary, will then seek leave of Court to amend this Complaint to set forth the precise amount due.

33. Defendant knew of and/or showed a willful disregard for the provisions of the FLSA as evidenced by their failure to compensate Plaintiff at the statutory rate of time and one-half for his hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week when Defendant knew or should have known such was due.

34. Defendant knew of and/or showed a willful disregard for the provisions of the FLSA as evidenced by their failure to compensate Plaintiff minimum wages for hours worked when Defendant knew or should have known such was due.

35. Defendant failed to properly disclose or apprise Plaintiff of his rights under the FLSA.

36. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's willful disregard of the FLSA, Plaintiff is entitled to liquidated damages pursuant to the FLSA.

37. Due to the intentional, willful and unlawful acts of Defendant, Plaintiff suffered damages in an amount not presently of ascertainable of unpaid overtime wages and unpaid minimum wages, plus an equal amount as liquidated damages.

38. Plaintiff is entitled to an award of his reasonable attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216(b).

COUNT II

VIOLATION OF THE NEW YORK LABOR LAW

39. Plaintiff realleges and reavers Paragraphs 1 through 38 of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.

40. At all relevant times, Plaintiff was employed by the Defendant within the meaning of the New York Labor Law, §§2 and 651.

41. Defendant willfully violated Plaintiff's rights by failing to pay Plaintiff overtime compensation at rates not less than one and one-half times the regular rate of pay for each hour worked in excess of forty hours in a workweek.

42. Defendant willfully violated Plaintiff's rights by failing to pay Plaintiff minimum wages in the lawful amount for hours worked.

43. Defendant willfully violated Plaintiff's rights by failing to pay "spread of hours" premium to Plaintiff for each workday exceeding ten (10) or more hours.

44. Defendant knowingly and willfully operated its business with a policy of not providing a proper wage statement to Plaintiff and other similarly situated employees, in violation of the New York Labor Law.

45. Defendant willfully violated Plaintiff's rights by failing to provide him proper notices and wage statements in violation of the New York Wage Theft Prevention Act, N.Y. Lab. Law § 198(1-a) (enacted on April 9, 2011).

46. As a result of Defendant's violation of the New York Wage Theft Prevention Act, Plaintiff is entitled to damages of at least \$150 per week during which the violations occurred and/or continue to occur.

47. Due to the Defendant's New York Labor Law violations, Plaintiff is entitled to recover from Defendant his unpaid overtime, unpaid minimum wages, unpaid "spread of hours" premium, statutory penalties, damages for unreasonably delayed payments, reasonable attorneys' fees, and costs and disbursements of the action, pursuant to New York Labor Law §663(1).

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff on behalf of himself and all similarly situated employees, respectfully requests that this Court grant the following relief:

a. A declaratory judgment that the practices complained of herein are unlawful under the FLSA and the New York Labor Law;

b. An injunction against Defendant and its officers, agents, successors, employees, representatives and any and all persons acting in concert with them as provided by law, from engaging in each of the unlawful practices, policies and patterns set forth herein;

c. An award of unpaid overtime compensation and minimum wages due under the FLSA and the New York Labor Law;

d. An award of unpaid "spread of hours" premium due under the New York Labor Law;

e. An award of liquidated and/or punitive damages as a result of Defendant's willful failure to pay overtime compensation pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216;

f. An award of liquidated and/or punitive damages as a result of Defendant's willful failure to pay overtime compensation pursuant to the New York Labor Law;

g. An award of statutory penalties including statutory penalties under the Wage Theft Prevention Act, and prejudgment and postjudgment interest;

h. An award of statutory penalties, and prejudgment and postjudgment interest;

i. An award of costs and expenses of this action together with reasonable attorneys' and expert fees; and

j. Such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

JURY DEMAND

Pursuant to Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff demands trial by jury on all issues so triable as of right by jury.

Dated: June 20, 2017

Respectfully submitted,

LAW OFFICES OF ROBERT L. KRASELNIK, PLLC Robert L. Kraselnik (RK 0684) 40-08 Case Street, 2nd Floor Elmhurst, NY 11373 Tel.: 646-342-2019 Fax: 646-661-1317 *Attorney for Plaintiff*

By:

ROBERT KRASELNIK (RK 0684)

JS 44 (Rov. 06/17) Case 1:17-cv-03719 Document GOVER SHEET Page 1 of 2 PageID #: 11

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.) DEFENDANTS I. (a) PLAINTIFFS Amado Herreros d/b/a Tacos Al Suadero Fulgencio Villalba County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Queens (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED. NOTE: (c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Law Offices of Robert L. Kraselnik, PLLC, 40-08 Case Street, 2nd Fl., Attorneys (If Known) Elmhurst, NY 11373, Tel.: 646-342-2019 III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plainti, II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only) and One Box for Defendant) (For Diversity Cases Only) PTF DEF DEE II U.S. Government 3 Federal Question PTE 04 04 **m** 1 п ι Incorporated or Principal Place (U.S. Government Not a Party) Citizen of This State Plaintiff of Business In This State Incorporated and Principal Place 0 5 0 5 **П** 2 2 Citizen of Another State **D** 2 U.S. Government ☐ 4 Diversity of Business In Another State (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III) Defendant 3 Foreign Nation 06 0.6 Citizen or Subject of a □ 3 Foreign Country Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only) AND REPORTED STRATIFICS STRUCTURE OF OR OTHER ADDREED FOR THE BANKREPES CONTRACT 1021 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 375 False Claims Act 625 Drug Related Seizure PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL INJURY □ 110 Insurance 376 Qui Tam (31 USC) of Property 21 USC 881 423 Withdrawal 310 Airplane □ 365 Personal injury -□ 120 Marine C 315 Airplane Product Product Liability 690 Other 28 USC 157 3729(a)) I 130 Miller Act 400 State Reapportionment 140 Negotiable Instrument Liability G 367 Health Care/ d 410 Antitrust MERICO 24(86/4) (COLORE □ 320 Assault, Libel & Pharmaceutical П 150 Recovery of Overpayment 430 Banks and Banking 820 Copyrights & Enforcement of Judgmen Personal Injury Slander 17 450 Commerce Product Liability 830 Patent 330 Federal Employers' 151 Medicare Act □ 460 Deportation 835 Patent - Abbreviated Liability 368 Asbestos Personal 152 Recovery of Defaulted New Drug Application 470 Racketeer Influenced and Student Loans 340 Marine Injury Product **Corrupt Organizations** 345 Marine Product Liability 6 840 Trademark (Excludes Veterans) П 480 Consumer Credit PERSONAL PROPERTY LABOR AND A DESCRIPTION OF A DES D 153 Recovery of Overpayment Liability □ 490 Cable/Sat TV 350 Motor Vehicle 710 Fair Labor Standards 370 Other Fraud 861 HIA (1395ff) of Veteran's Benefits 862 Black Lung (923) 850 Securities/Commodities/ 355 Motor Vehicle □ 371 Truth in Lending Act T 160 Stockholders' Suits 720 Labor/Management 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) Exchange Product Liability 380 Other Personal 190 Other Contract Relations 864 SSID Title XVI 890 Other Statutory Actions Property Damage 195 Contract Product Liability □ 360 Other Personal 🗇 740 Railway Labor Act 🗘 865 RSI (405(g)) 891 Agricultural Acts 196 Franchise 385 Property Damage Injury 893 Environmental Matters 🗗 362 Personal Injury -Product Liability 751 Family and Medical 895 Freedom of Information Medical Malpractice Leave Act Internation of the second s CARGE IS 790 Other Labor Litigation Act TRANSPORTATION CONTRACTOR REPRESENCE A REPRESENCE OF CODES 896 Arbitration 440 Other Civil Rights 791 Employee Retirement 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff □ 210 Land Condemnation Habeas Corpus: D 441 Voting 899 Administrative Procedure 463 Alien Detainee Income Security Act or Defendant) 220 Foreclosure ST 871 IRS-Third Party Act/Review or Appeal of 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 442 Employment 510 Motions to Vacate Agency Decision 26 USC 7609 240 Torts to Land 443 Housing/ Sentence D 245 Tort Product Liability Accommodations D 530 General 950 Constitutionality of IMMICRATION State Statutes 445 Amer, w/Disabilities 535 Death Penalty 290 All Other Real Property Employment Other: 462 Naturalization Application □ 540 Mandamus & Other 446 Amer, w/Disabilities 465 Other Immigration Other C 550 Civil Rights Actions 448 Education 555 Prison Condition ☐ 560 Civil Detainee Conditions of Confinement V. ORIGIN (Place on "X" in One Box Only) Original □ 2 Removed from **D** 3 Remanded from Π4 Reinstated or **D** 5 Transferred from 06 Multidistrict 8 Multidistrict **X**1 State Court Appellate Court Reopened Litigation -Litigation -Proceeding Another District **Direct** File Transfer (specify) Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity): The Fair Labor Standards Act, as amended, 29 U.S.C. §§201 et. seg VI. CAUSE OF ACTION Brief description of cause: Plaintiff seeks unpaid wages and other compensation. **VII. REQUESTED IN DEMAND \$** CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. JURY DEMAND: X Yes **D**No **COMPLAINT:** VIII. RELATED CASE(S) (See instructions): IF ANY DOCKET NUMBER JUDGE SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY CERECORD DATE USE ONLY FOR OFFIC MAG. JUDGE JUDGE **RECEIPT** # AMOUNT APPLYING IFP

Case 1:17-cv-@ER19FICATION of ARET RADGO / ETICBELT Avoid 2 PageID #: 12

Local Arbitration Rule 83.10 provides that with certain exceptions, actions seeking money damages only in an amount not in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs, are eligible for compulsory arbitration. The amount of damages is presumed to be below the threshold amount unless a certification to the contrary is filed.

I, <u>Robert L. Kraselnik</u>, counsel for <u>Fuigencio Villalba</u>, do hereby certify that the above captioned civil action is ineligible for compulsory arbitration for the following reason(s):

monetary damages sought are in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs,

the complaint seeks injunctive relief,

the matter is otherwise ineligible for the following reason

DISCLOSURE STATEMENT - FEDERAL RULES CIVIL PROCEDURE 7.1

Identify any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation that owns 10% or more or its stocks:

n/a

Signature

RELATED CASE STATEMENT (Section VIII on the Front of this Form)

Please list all cases that are arguably related pursuant to Division of Business Rule 50.3.1 in Section VIII on the front of this form. Rule 50.3.1 (a) provides that "A civil case is "related" to another civil case for purposes of this guideline when, because of the similarity of facts and legal issues or because the cases arise from the same transactions or events, a substantial saving of judicial resources is likely to result from assigning both cases to the same judge and magistrate judge." Rule 50.3.1 (b) provides that "A civil case shall not be deemed "related" to another civil case merely because the civil case: (A) involves identical legal issues, or (B) involves the same parties." Rule 50.3.1 (c) further provides that "Presumptively, and subject to the power of a judge to determine otherwise pursuant to paragraph (d), civil cases shall not be deemed to be "related" unless both cases are still pending before the court."

NY-E DIVISION OF BUSINESS RULE 50.1(d)(2)

- 1.) Is the civil action being filed in the Eastern District removed from a New York State Court located in Nassau or Suffolk County: <u>no</u>
- If you answered "no" above:
 a) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in Nassau or Suffolk County?

b) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in the Eastern District? yes

If your answer to question 2 (b) is "No," does the defendant (or a majority of the defendants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County, or, in an interpleader action, does the claimant (or a majority of the claimants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County? ^{n/a}

(Note: A corporation shall be considered a resident of the County in which it has the most significant contacts).

BAR ADMISSION

I am currently admitted in the Eastern District of New York and currently a member in good standing of the bar of this court. Yes I No	
Are you currently the subject of any disciplinary action (s) in this or any other state or federal court? Yes (If yes, please explain) No	
I certify the accuracy of all information provided above.	

Case 1:17-cv-03719 Document 1-2 Filed 06/20/17 Page 1 of 2 PageID #: 13

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT for the Eastern District of New York Fulgencio Villalba Plaintiff(s) Civil Action No. v. Amado Herreros d/b/a Tacos Al Suadero Defendant(s)

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address) Amado Herreros d/b/a Tacos Al Suadero 8721 Roosevelt Avenue Jackson Heights, NY 11372

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) - you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: Robert L. Kraselnik, Esg.

Law Offices of Robert L. Kraselnik, PLLC 40-08 Case Street, 2nd FL Elmhurst, NY 11373

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

> DOUGLAS C. PALMER CLERK OF COURT

Date:

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

Case 1:17-cv-03719 Document 1-2 Filed 06/20/17 Page 2 of 2 PageID #: 14 AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (1))

received by me on (date	(name of individual and title, if any)			
🗇 I personally sen	ved the summons on the individual a			
	ed me summons on the marviaual a			
		On (date)	; or	
I left the summo	ns at the individual's residence or u	sual place of abode with (name)		
	, a persor	n of suitable age and discretion wi	no resides there,	
on (date)	, and mailed a copy to t	, and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or		
□ I served the sum	mons on (name of individual)			
	to accept service of process on beha	of for the second secon	, who	
	to accept service of process on bena			
		On (date)	; or	
\Box I returned the su	mmons unexecuted because		;0	
Other (specify):				
My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total	of\$ 0.00	
	·		0.00	
I declare under pena	alty of perjury that this information	is true		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	15 W WV.		
		·		
		Server's signature		
		Server's signature	. · · ·	
			·	
		Printed name and title		
		-		
	* · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Tacos Al Suadero Accused of Various Labor Law Violations</u>