CV 16-

6266

HURLEY, J.

Helen F. Dalton & Associates, P.C. Roman Avshalumov (RA 5508) 69-12 Austin Street Forest Hills, NY 11375 Telephone: 718-263-9591

TOMLINSON, M.J.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

FRANCISCO SEGUNDO BUNAY VILLA, SEGUNDO GARCIA TENESELA, IVAN JAIME PADILLA SISLEMA, JUAN MARCELO PACA, SEGUNDO ROBERTO BUNAY VILLA, VICTOR PABLO GUARACA PUCULPALA, and SEGUNDO PABLO BUNAY VILLA, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

COLLECTIVE ACTION COMPLAINT

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Plaintiff,

-against-

PRIMA CONTRACTING LTD., and JORGE OUVINA and JOSE OUVINA, as individuals,

Defendants.

Plaintiffs, FRANCISCO SEGUNDO BUNAY VILLA, SEGUNDO GARCIA TENESELA, IVAN JAIME PADILLA SISLEMA, JUAN MARCELO PACA, SEGUNDO ROBERTO BUNAY VILLA, VICTOR PABLO GUARACA PUCULPALA, and SEGUNDO PABLO BUNAY VILLA, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, (hereinafter referred to as "Plaintiffs"), by their attorneys at Helen F. Dalton & Associates, P.C., alleges, upon personal knowledge as to themselves and upon information and belief as to other matters, as follows:

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

1. Plaintiffs, FRANCISCO SEGUNDO BUNAY VILLA, SEGUNDO GARCIA TENESELA, IVAN JAIME PADILLA SISLEMA, JUAN MARCELO PACA, SEGUNDO ROBERTO BUNAY VILLA, VICTOR PABLO GUARACA PUCULPALA, and SEGUNDO PABLO BUNAY VILLA, individually and on

- behalf of all others similarly situated, through undersigned counsel, bring this action against PRIMA CONTRACTING LTD., and JORGE OUVINA and JOSE OUVINA, as individuals, (hereinafter referred to as "Defendants"), to recover damages for egregious violations of federal and state overtime laws and unpaid wages arising out of Plaintiffs' employment by Defendants at PRIMA CONTRACTING LTD. located at One Shore Road, Glenwood Landing, New York 11547.
- 2. Plaintiff FRANCISCO SEGUNDO BUNAY VILLA was employed by Defendants at PRIMA CONTRACTING LTD. located at One Shore Road, Glenwood Landing, New York 11547 as a construction worker and performing other miscellaneous duties from on or around January 1, 2014 until on or around May 21, 2016.
- 3. Plaintiff **SEGUNDO GARCIA TENESELA** was employed by Defendants at **PRIMA CONTRACTING LTD.** located at One Shore Road, Glenwood Landing, New York 11547 as a construction worker and performing other miscellaneous duties from on or around September 27, 2015 until on or around May 21, 2016.
- 4. Plaintiff **IVAN JAIME PADILLA SISLEMA** was employed by Defendants at **PRIMA CONTRACTING LTD.** located at One Shore Road, Glenwood Landing, New York 11547 as a construction worker and performing other miscellaneous duties from on or around February 15, 2014 until on or around May 21, 2016.
- 5. Plaintiff JUAN MARCELO PACA was employed by Defendants at PRIMA CONTRACTING LTD. located at One Shore Road, Glenwood Landing, New York 11547 as a construction worker and performing other miscellaneous duties from on or around February 1, 2016 until on or around May 21, 2016.
- 6. Plaintiff SEGUNDO ROBERTO BUNAY VILLA was employed by Defendants at PRIMA CONTRACTING LTD. located at One Shore Road, Glenwood Landing, New York 11547 as a construction worker and performing other miscellaneous duties from on or around April 12, 2014 until on or around May 21, 2016.
- 7. Plaintiff VICTOR PABLO GUARACA PUCULPALA was employed by Defendants at PRIMA CONTRACTING LTD. located at One Shore Road, Glenwood Landing, New York 11547 as a construction worker and performing other miscellaneous duties from on or around May 1, 2016 until on or around May 21, 2016.

8. Plaintiff **SEGUNDO PABLO BUNAY VILLA** was employed by Defendants at **PRIMA CONTRACTING LTD.** located at One Shore Road, Glenwood Landing, New York 11547 as a construction worker and performing other miscellaneous duties from on or around January 1, 2014 until on or around May 21, 2016.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 9. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over Plaintiffs' federal claims pursuant to the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §216 and 28 U.S.C. §1331.
- 10. This Court has supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1367.
- 11. Venue is proper in the EASTERN District of New York pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391(b) because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims occurred in this district.
- 12. This Court is empowered to issue a declaratory judgment pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§2201 & 2202.

THE PARTIES

- 13. Plaintiff, **FRANCISCO SEGUNDO BUNAY VILLA**, residing at 97-17 38th Avenue, Corona, New York 11368, was employed by Defendants from on or around January 1, 2014 until on or around May 21, 2016.
- 14. Plaintiff, **SEGUNDO GARCIA TENESELA**, residing at 80-15 41st Avenue, Elmhurst, New York 11373, was employed by Defendants from on or around September 27, 2015 until on or around May 21, 2016.
- 15. Plaintiff, **IVAN JAIME PADILLA SISLEMA**, residing at 79-11 41st Avenue, Elmhurst, New York 11373, was employed by Defendants from on or around February 15, 2014 until on or around May 21, 2016.
- 16. Plaintiff, **JUAN MARCELO PACA**, residing at 79-11 41st Avenue, Elmhurst, New York 11373, was employed by Defendants from on or around February 1, 2016 until on or around May 21, 2016.

- 17. Plaintiff, **SEGUNDO ROBERTO BUNAY VILLA**, residing at 79-11 41st Avenue, Elmhurst, New York 11373, was employed by Defendants from on or around April 12, 2014 until on or around May 21, 2016.
- 18. Plaintiff, VICTOR PABLO GUARACA PUCULPALA, residing at 107-10 37th Drive, Elmhurst, New York 11373, was employed by Defendants from on or around May 1, 2016 until on or around May 21, 2016.
- 19. Plaintiff, **SEGUNDO PABLO BUNAY VILLA**, residing at 79-11 41st Avenue, Elmhurst, New York 11373, was employed by Defendants from on or around January 1, 2014 until on or around May 21, 2016.
- 20. Upon information and belief, Defendant, PRIMA CONTRACTING, LTD. is a corporation organized under the laws of New York with a principal executive office at One Shore Road, Glenwood Landing, New York 11547.
- 21. Upon information and belief, Defendant, PRIMA CONTRACTING, LTD., is a corporation authorized to do business under the laws of New York.
- 22. Upon information and belief, Defendant JORGE OUVINA owns and/or operates PRIMA CONTRACTING, LTD.
- 23. Upon information and belief, Defendant JORGE OUVINA manages PRIMA CONTRACTING, LTD.
- 24. Upon information and belief, Defendant JORGE OUVINA is the Chairman of the Board of PRIMA CONTRACTING, LTD.
- 25. Upon information and belief, Defendant JORGE OUVINA is the Chief Executive Officer of PRIMA CONTRACTING, LTD.
- 26. Upon information and belief, Defendant JORGE OUVINA is an agent of PRIMA CONTRACTING, LTD.
- 27. Upon information and belief, Defendant JORGE OUVINA has power over personnel decisions at PRIMA CONTRACTING, LTD.
- Upon information and belief, Defendant JORGE OUVINA has power over payroll decisions at PRIMA CONTRACTING, LTD.
- 29. Defendant JORGE OUVINA has the power to hire and fire employees at PRIMA CONTRACTING, LTD., establish and pay their wages, set their work schedule, and maintains their employment records.

- 30. During all relevant times herein, Defendant JORGE OUVINA was Plaintiffs' employer within the meaning of the FLSA and NYLL.
- 31. Upon information and belief, Defendant JOSE OUVINA owns and/or operates PRIMA CONTRACTING, LTD.
- 32. Upon information and belief, Defendant JOSE OUVINA manages PRIMA CONTRACTING, LTD.
- 33. Upon information and belief, Defendant JOSE OUVINA is the Chairman of the Board of PRIMA CONTRACTING, LTD.
- 34. Upon information and belief, Defendant JOSE OUVINA is the Chief Executive Officer of PRIMA CONTRACTING, LTD.
- 35. Upon information and belief, Defendant JOSE OUVINA is an agent of PRIMA CONTRACTING, LTD.
- 36. Upon information and belief, Defendant JOSE OUVINA has power over personnel decisions at PRIMA CONTRACTING, LTD.
- 37. Upon information and belief, Defendant JOSE OUVINA has power over payroll decisions at PRIMA CONTRACTING, LTD.
- 38. Defendant JOSE OUVINA has the power to hire and fire employees at PRIMA CONTRACTING, LTD., establish and pay their wages, set their work schedule, and maintains their employment records.
- 39. During all relevant times herein, Defendant JOSE OUVINA was Plaintiffs' employer within the meaning of the FLSA and NYLL.
- 40. On information and belief, PRIMA CONTRACTING, LTD. is, at present and has been at all times relevant to the allegation in the complaint, an enterprise engaged in interstate commerce within the meaning of the FLSA in that the entity (i) has had employees engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce, and handle, sell or otherwise work on goods or material that have been moved in or produced for commerce by any person: and (ii) has had an annual gross volume of sales of not less than \$500,000.00.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 41. Plaintiff FRANCISCO SEGUNDO BUNAY VILLA was employed by Defendants from on or around January 1, 2014 until on or around May 21, 2016.
- 42. Plaintiff **FRANCISCO SEGUNDO BUNAY VILLA** was employed by Defendants at One Shore Road, Glenwood Landing, New York 11547, as a construction worker and performing other miscellaneous duties from on or around January 1, 2014 until on or around May 21, 2016.
- 43. Upon information and belief, Plaintiff **FRANCISCO SEGUNDO BUNAY VILLA** worked approximately 50 (fifty) hours or more per week from on or around January 1, 2014 until on or around May 21, 2016.
- 44. Upon information and belief, Plaintiff **FRANCISCO SEGUNDO BUNAY VILLA** was paid by Defendants approximately \$1,040.00 per week from on or around January 1, 2014 until on or around May 21, 2016.
- 45. Although Plaintiff **FRANCISCO SEGUNDO BUNAY VILLA** worked approximately 50 (fifty) hours or more per week during the period of his employment by Defendants, Defendants did not pay Plaintiff time and a half (1.5) for hours worked over forty (40), a blatant violation of the overtime provisions contained in the FLSA and NYLL.
- 46. Plaintiff **FRANCISCO SEGUNDO BUNAY VILLA** is also owed \$9,250.00 on an unpaid invoice for work performed on a project located at 600 Roemer Avenue, Teaneck, New Jersey 07666 in May 2016.
- 47. Plaintiff **SEGUNDO GARCIA TENESELA** was employed by Defendants from on or around September 27, 2015 until on or around May 21, 2016
- 48. Plaintiff **SEGUNDO GARCIA TENESELA** was employed by Defendants at One Shore Road, Glenwood Landing, New York 11547, as a construction worker and performing other miscellaneous duties from on or around September 27, 2015 until on or around May 21, 2016.
- 49. Upon information and belief, Plaintiff **SEGUNDO GARCIA TENESELA** worked approximately 50 (fifty) hours or more per week from on or around September 27, 2015 until on or around May 21, 2016.

- 50. Upon information and belief, Plaintiff **SEGUNDO GARCIA TENESELA** was paid by Defendants approximately \$720.00 per week from on or around January 1, 2014 until on or around May 21, 2016.
- 51. Although Plaintiff **SEGUNDO GARCIA TENESELA** worked approximately 50 (fifty) hours or more per week during the period of his employment by Defendants, Defendants did not pay Plaintiff time and a half (1.5) for hours worked over forty (40), a blatant violation of the overtime provisions contained in the FLSA and NYLL.
- 52. Plaintiff **SEGUNDO GARCIA TENESELA** is also owed his last three (3) weeks of pay for which Defendants never compensated Plaintiff.
- 53. Plaintiff **IVAN JAIME PADILLA SISLEMA** was employed by Defendants from on or around February 15, 2014 until on or around May 21, 2016
- 54. Plaintiff **IVAN JAIME PADILLA SISLEMA** was employed by Defendants at One Shore Road, Glenwood Landing, New York 11547, as a construction worker and performing other miscellaneous duties from on or around February 15, 2014 until on or around May 21, 2016.
- 55. Upon information and belief, Plaintiff **IVAN JAIME PADILLA SISLEMA** worked approximately 50 (fifty) hours or more per week from on or around February 15, 2014 until on or around May 21, 2016.
- 56. Upon information and belief, Plaintiff **IVAN JAIME PADILLA SISLEMA** was paid by Defendants approximately \$800.00 per week from on or around February 15, 2014 until on or around May 21, 2016.
- 57. Although Plaintiff **IVAN JAIME PADILLA SISLEMA** worked approximately 50 (fifty) hours or more per week during the period of his employment by Defendants, Defendants did not pay Plaintiff time and a half (1.5) for hours worked over forty (40), a blatant violation of the overtime provisions contained in the FLSA and NYLL.
- 58. Plaintiff **IVAN JAIME PADILLA SISLEMA** is also owed his last week of pay for which Defendants never compensated Plaintiff.
- 59. Plaintiff **JUAN MARCELO PACA** was employed by Defendants from on or around February 1, 2016 until on or around May 21, 2016.
- 60. Plaintiff JUAN MARCELO PACA was employed by Defendants at One Shore Road, Glenwood Landing, New York 11547, as a construction worker and performing other

- miscellaneous duties from on or around February 1, 2016 until on or around May 21, 2016.
- 61. Upon information and belief, Plaintiff **JUAN MARCELO PACA** worked approximately 50 (fifty) hours or more per week from on or around February 1, 2016 until on or around May 21, 2016.
- 62. Upon information and belief, Plaintiff **JUAN MARCELO PACA** was paid by Defendants approximately \$800.00 per week from on or around February 1, 2016 until on or around May 21, 2016.
- 63. Although Plaintiff JUAN MARCELO PACA worked approximately 50 (fifty) hours or more per week during the period of his employment by Defendants, Defendants did not pay Plaintiff time and a half (1.5) for hours worked over forty (40), a blatant violation of the overtime provisions contained in the FLSA and NYLL.
- 64. Plaintiff JUAN MARCELO PACA is also owed his last five (5) weeks of pay for which Defendants never compensated Plaintiff.
- 65. Plaintiff **SEGUNDO ROBERTO BUNAY VILLA** was employed by Defendants from on or around April 12, 2014 until on or around May 21, 2016.
- 66. Plaintiff **SEGUNDO ROBERTO BUNAY VILLA** was employed by Defendants at One Shore Road, Glenwood Landing, New York 11547, as a construction worker and performing other miscellaneous duties from on or around April 12, 2014 until on or around May 21, 2016.
- 67. Upon information and belief, Plaintiff **SEGUNDO ROBERTO BUNAY VILLA** worked approximately 50 (fifty) hours or more per week from on or around April 12, 2014 until on or around May 21, 2016.
- 68. Upon information and belief, Plaintiff **SEGUNDO ROBERTO BUNAY VILLA** was paid by Defendants approximately \$800.00 per week from on or around April 12, 2014 until on or around May 21, 2016.
- 69. Although Plaintiff **SEGUNDO ROBERTO BUNAY VILLA** worked approximately 50 (fifty) hours or more per week during the period of his employment by Defendants, Defendants did not pay Plaintiff time and a half (1.5) for hours worked over forty (40), a blatant violation of the overtime provisions contained in the FLSA and NYLL.

- 70. Plaintiff **SEGUNDO ROBERTO BUNAY VILLA** is also owed his last three (3) weeks of pay for which Defendants never compensated Plaintiff.
- 71. Plaintiff **VICTOR PABLO GUARACA PUCULPALA** was employed by Defendants from on or around May 1, 2016 until on or around May 21, 2016.
- 72. Plaintiff VICTOR PABLO GUARACA PUCULPALA was employed by Defendants at One Shore Road, Glenwood Landing, New York 11547, as a construction worker and performing other miscellaneous duties from on or around May 1, 2016 until on or around May 21, 2016.
- 73. Upon information and belief, Plaintiff VICTOR PABLO GUARACA PUCULPALA worked approximately 50 (fifty) hours or more per week from on or around May 1, 2016 until on or around May 21, 2016.
- 74. Upon information and belief, Plaintiff VICTOR PABLO GUARACA PUCULPALA was paid by Defendants approximately \$800.00 per week from on or around May 1, 2016 until on or around May 21, 2016.
- 75. Although Plaintiff VICTOR PABLO GUARACA PUCULPALA worked approximately 50 (fifty) hours or more per week during the period of his employment by Defendants, Defendants did not pay Plaintiff time and a half (1.5) for hours worked over forty (40), a blatant violation of the overtime provisions contained in the FLSA and NYLL.
- 76. Plaintiff VICTOR PABLO GUARACA PUCULPALA is also owed his last week of pay for which Defendants never compensated Plaintiff.
- 77. Plaintiff **SEGUNDO PABLO BUNAY VILLA** was employed by Defendants from on or around January 1, 2014 until on or around May 21, 2016.
- 78. Plaintiff **SEGUNDO PABLO BUNAY VILLA** was employed by Defendants at One Shore Road, Glenwood Landing, New York 11547, as a construction worker and performing other miscellaneous duties from on or around January 1, 2014 until on or around May 21, 2016.
- 79. Upon information and belief, Plaintiff **SEGUNDO PABLO BUNAY VILLA** worked approximately 50 (fifty) hours or more per week January 1, 2014 until on or around May 21, 2016.

- 80. Upon information and belief, Plaintiff **SEGUNDO PABLO BUNAY VILLA** was paid by Defendants approximately \$1,100.00 per week January 1, 2014 until on or around May 21, 2016.
- 81. Although Plaintiff **SEGUNDO PABLO BUNAY VILLA** worked approximately 50 (fifty) hours or more per week during the period of his employment by Defendants, Defendants did not pay Plaintiff time and a half (1.5) for hours worked over forty (40), a blatant violation of the overtime provisions contained in the FLSA and NYLL.
- 82. Plaintiff **SEGUNDO PABLO BUNAY VILLA** is also owed his last three (3) weeks of pay for which Defendants never compensated Plaintiff.
- 83. Upon information and belief, Defendants willfully failed to post notices of the minimum wage and overtime wage requirements in a conspicuous place at the location of their employment as required by both the NYLL and the FLSA.
- 84. Upon information and belief, Defendants willfully failed to keep payroll records as required by both NYLL and the FLSA.
- 85. As a result of these violations of Federal and New York State labor laws, Plaintiff seeks compensatory damages and liquidated damages in an amount exceeding \$100,000.00. Plaintiff also seeks interest, attorney's fees, costs, and all other legal and equitable remedies this Court deems appropriate.

COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS

- 86. Plaintiffs bring this action on behalf of themselves and other employees similarly situated as authorized under the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b). The employees similarly situated are:
- 87. Collective Class: All persons who are or have been employed by the Defendants as construction workers or other similarly titled personnel with substantially similar job requirements and pay provisions, who were or are performing the same sort of functions for Defendants, other than the executive and management positions, who have been subject to Defendants' common practices, policies, programs, procedures, protocols and plans including willfully failing and refusing to pay required overtime wages.

- 88. Upon information and belief, Defendants employed approximately 40-50 (forty to fifty) employees at any given point within the past six years subjected to similar payment structures.
- 89. Defendants' unlawful conduct has been widespread, repeated, and consistent.
- 90. Upon information and belief, Defendants had knowledge that their conduct was in violation of the FLSA and NYLL.
- 91. Defendants' conduct as set forth in this Complaint, was willful and in bad faith, and has caused significant damages to Plaintiffs and the Collective Class.
- 92. Defendants are liable under the FLSA and NYLL for failing to properly compensate Plaintiffs and the Collective Class, and as such, notice should be sent to the Collective Class. There are numerous similarly situated current and former employees of Defendants who have been denied overtime wage compensation in violation of the FLSA and NYLL who would benefit from the issuance of a Court-supervised notice of the present lawsuit, and the opportunity to join the present lawsuit. Those similarly situated employees are known to Defendants and are readily identifiable through Defendants' records.
- 93. The questions of law and fact common to the putative class predominate over any questions affecting only individual members.
- 94. The claims of Plaintiffs are typical of the claims of the putative class.
- 95. Plaintiffs and their counsel will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the putative class.
- 96. A collective action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

Overtime Wages Under The Fair Labor Standards Act

- 97. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.
- 98. Plaintiffs have consented in writing to be a party to this action, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216(b).

- 99. At all times relevant to this action, Plaintiffs were engaged in commerce or the production of goods for commerce within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. §§206(a) and 207(a).
- 100. At all times relevant to this action, Defendants were employers engaged in commerce or the production of goods for commerce within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. §§206(a) and 207(a).
- 101. Defendants willfully failed to pay Plaintiffs overtime wages for hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week at a wage rate of one and a half (1.5) times the regular wage, to which Plaintiffs were entitled under 29 U.S.C. §\$206(a) in violation of 29 U.S.C. §207(a)(1).
- 102. Defendants' violations of the FLSA as described in this Complaint have been willful and intentional. Defendants have not made a good effort to comply with the FLSA with respect to the compensation of Plaintiffs.
- 103. Due to Defendants' FLSA violations, Plaintiffs are entitled to recover from Defendants, jointly and severally, their unpaid wages and an equal amount in the form of liquidated damages, as well as reasonable attorneys fees and costs of the action, including interest, pursuant to the FLSA, specifically 29 U.S.C. §216(b).

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

Overtime Wages Under New York Labor Law

- 104. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.
- 105. At all times relevant to this action, Plaintiffs were employed by Defendants within the meaning of New York Labor Law §§2 and 651.
- 106. Defendants failed to pay Plaintiffs overtime wages for hours worked in excess of forty hours per week at a wage rate of one and a half (1.5) times the regular wage to which Plaintiffs were entitled under New York Labor Law §652, in violation of 12 N.Y.C.R.R. 137-1.3.
- 107. Due to Defendants' New York Labor Law violations, Plaintiffs are entitled to recover from Defendants, jointly and severally, their unpaid overtime wages and an amount equal to their overtime wages in the form of liquidated damages, as well as

reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of the action, including interest in accordance with NY Labor Law §198(1-a).

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

Unpaid Wages Under The Fair Labor Standards Act

- 108. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.
- 109. At all times relevant to this action, Plaintiffs were engaged in commerce or the production of goods for commerce within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. §§206(a) and 207(a).
- 110. At all times relevant to this action, Defendants were employers engaged in commerce or the production of goods for commerce within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. §§206(a) and 207(a).
- 111. Defendants willfully failed to pay Plaintiffs' wages for hours worked in violation of 29 U.S.C. §206(a).
- 112. Defendants' violations of the FLSA as described in this Complaint have been willful and intentional. Defendants have not made a good effort to comply with the FLSA with respect to compensating the Plaintiffs.
- 113. Due to Defendants' FLSA violations, Plaintiffs are entitled to recover from Defendants, jointly and severally, their unpaid wages and an equal amount in the form of liquidated damages, as well as reasonable attorneys fees and costs of the action, including interest, pursuant to the FLSA, specifically 29 U.S.C. §216(b).

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Unpaid Wages Under The New York Labor Law

- 114. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.
- 115. At all times relevant to this action, Plaintiff was employed by Defendants within the meaning of New York Labor Law §§2 and 651.
- 116. Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff wages for hours worked in violation of New York Labor Law Article 6.

117. Due to Defendants' New York Labor Law violations, Plaintiff is entitled to recover from Defendants, jointly and severally, her unpaid wages and an amount equal to their unpaid wages in the form of liquidated damages, as well as reasonable attorney's fees and costs of the action, including interest in accordance with NY Labor Law §198 (1-a).

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Violation of the Notice and Recordkeeping Requirements of the New York Labor Law

- 118. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.
- 119. Defendants failed to provide Plaintiffs with a written notice, in English and in Spanish (Plaintiffs' primary language), of their rate of pay, regular pay day, and such other information as required by NYLL §195(1).
- 120. Defendants are liable to Plaintiffs in the amount of \$5,000.00 per Plaintiff together with costs and attorneys' fees.

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Violation of the Wage Statement Requirements of the New York Labor Law

- 121. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.
- 122. Defendants failed to provide Plaintiffs with wage statements upon each payment of wages, as required by NYLL §195(3)
- 123. Defendants are liable to Plaintiffs in the amount of \$5,000.00 per Plaintiff together with costs and attorneys' fees.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Wherefore, Plaintiffs respectfully request that judgment be granted:

- Declaring Defendants' conduct complained herein to be in violation of the
 Plaintiffs' rights under the FLSA, the New York Labor Law, and its regulations;
- b. Awarding Plaintiffs' unpaid overtime wages;

- c. Awarding Plaintiffs unpaid wages for weeks in which Defendants did not compensate Plaintiffs;
- d. Awarding Plaintiffs liquidated damages pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216 and New York Labor Law §§198(1-a), 663(1);
- e. Awarding Plaintiffs prejudgment and post-judgment interest;
- f. Awarding Plaintiffs the costs of this action together with reasonable attorneys' fees; and
- g. Awarding such and further relief as this court deems necessary and proper.

DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY

Pursuant to Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiffs demand a trial by jury on all questions of fact raised by the complaint.

Dated: This $\frac{7}{2}$ day of October 2016.

Roman Avshalumov (RA 5508)

Helen F. Dalton & Associates, PC

69-12 Austin Street

Forest Hills, NY 11375 Telephone: 718-263-9591

Fax: 718-263-9598

JS 44 (Rev. 72013)

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

| I | (0) | PI. | AI | NT | TE | FC |
|---|-----|-----|----|----|----|----|
| | | | | | | |

4653108146.

FRANCISCO SEGUNDO BUNAY VILLA, et al., individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff QUEENS

(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)

HURLEY, J.

(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Helen F. Dalton & Associates, P.C. 69-12 Austin Street

TOMI INSON MI

DEFENDANTS

PRIMA CONTRACTING LTD., and JORGE OUVINA and JOSE OUVINA, as individuals

County of Residence of First Listed Defendant NASSAU

(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.

Attorneys (If Known)

| Forest Hills, NY 11375 (716) 203-9591 1 CIVILITYS (717) | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|------------|---|---------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|---------------|------------|
| II. BASIS OF JURISDI | CTION (Place an "X" in Oi | ne Box Only) | III. C | ITIZENSHIP OF PI | RINCIPA | AL PARTIES | | | |
| T I US Comment | M 2 Endand Occasion | | | (For Diversity Cases Only) | F DEF | | and One Box f | | |
| ☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff | ✓ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government N | (at a Dante) | Oisi- | PT zen of This State | | Incompany of an Dai | i-al Dia-a | PTF | DEF □ 4 |
| riamuit | O.S. Government N | ioi a Fariy) | Citiz | zen of This State | 1 01 | Incorporated or Pri of Business In T | | D 4 | □ 4 |
| | | | | | | or Dablicoo in Tr | ing State | | |
| 2 U.S. Government | ☐ 4 Diversity | | Citiz | zen of Another State | 2 🗗 2 | | | - □ 5 | □ 5 |
| Defendant | (Indicate Citizenshi | o of Parties in Item III) | | | | of Business In A | nother State | | |
| | • | | Civi | Cubiast af a | 3 🗇 3 | Famina Matian | | □ 6 | □ 6 |
| | | | | zen or Subject of a oreign Country | 3 🗓 3 | Foreign Nation | | U 0 | |
| IV. NATURE OF SUIT | (Place on "X" in One Box On | | | | | | | | |
| CONTRACT | , | RTS | F | ORFEITURE/PENALTY | BAN | VKRUPTCY | OTHER | STATUTI | ES |
| ☐ 110 Insurance | PERSONAL INJURY | PERSONAL INJUR | у п 6 | 25 Drug Related Seizure | ☐ 422 Appe | eal 28 USC 158 | ☐ 375 False C | Taims Act | |
| ☐ 120 Marine | ☐ 310 Airplane | ☐ 365 Personal Injury - | . 12. | of Property 21 USC 881 | ☐ 423 With | | ☐ 400 State R | | ment |
| ☐ 130 Miller Act | ☐ 315 Airplane Product | Product Liability | □ 6 | 90 Other | | JSC 157 | ☐ 410 Antitru | | |
| 140 Negotiable Instrument | Liability | ☐ 367 Health Care/ | - 1 | | | | ☐ 430 Banks a | and Bankin | ıg |
| 150 Recovery of Overpayment | ☐ 320 Assault, Libel & | Pharmaceutical | | | | RTY RIGHTS | ☐ 450 Comme | | |
| & Enforcement of Judgment | Slander | Personal Injury | | | ☐ 820 Copy | | 460 Deport | | |
| 151 Medicare Act | ☐ 330 Federal Employers' Liability | Product Liability | . | | ☐ 830 Pater ☐ 840 Trad | | ☐ 470 Rackete | | |
| 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans | 340 Marine | ☐ 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product | ' | | 340 1rad | emark | □ 480 Consur | t Organizat | 10ns |
| (Excludes Veterans) | ☐ 345 Marine Product | Liability | | LABOR | SOCIAL | SECURITY | ☐ 490 Cable/S | | |
| ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment | Liability | PERSONAL PROPER | RTY 🗷 7 | 10 Fair Labor Standards | ☐ 861 HIA | | ☐ 850 Securit | | odities/ |
| of Veteran's Benefits | ☐ 350 Motor Vehicle | 370 Other Fraud | | Act | | k Lung (923) | Exchar | nge | |
| ☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits | ☐ 355 Motor Vehicle | 371 Truth in Lending | | 20 Labor/Management | | C/DIWW (405(g)) | ☐ 890 Other S | | |
| ☐ 190 Other Contract | Product Liability | ☐ 380 Other Personal | ۔ ا | Relations | ☐ 864 SSIE | | ☐ 891 Agricul | | |
| ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise | ☐ 360 Other Personal Injury | Property Damage 385 Property Damage | | 40 Railway Labor Act 51 Family and Medical | □ 865 RSI | (405(g)) | 893 Enviror 895 Freedon | | |
| 190 Franchise | 362 Personal Injury - | Product Liability | J / | Leave Act | ļ | | Act | TI Of HHOID | паноп |
| | Medical Malpractice | 110-9-1 | O 7 | 90 Other Labor Litigation | | | ☐ 896 Arbitra | tion | |
| REAL PROPERTY | CIVIL RIGHTS | PRISONER PETITIO | | 91 Employee Retirement | FEDER | AL TAX SUITS | ☐ 899 Admin | istrative Pr | rocedure |
| 210 Land Condemnation | 440 Other Civil Rights | Habeas Corpus: | - 1 | Income Security Act | ☐ 870 Taxe | s (U.S. Plaintiff | Act/Re | view or Ap | peal of |
| 220 Foreclosure | ☐ 441 Voting | ☐ 463 Alien Detainee | | | | efendant) | | Decision | |
| 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment | ☐ 442 Employment | ☐ 510 Motions to Vacate | • | | | —Third Party | 950 Constit | utionality of | of |
| 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability | ☐ 443 Housing/ Accommodations | Sentence 530 General | | | 26 L | JSC 7609 | State Si | atutes | |
| 290 All Other Real Property | 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - | 535 Death Penalty | - | IMMIGRATION | | | 5 | | |
| 250 / M. Outer Rom Property | Employment | Other: | □ 4 | 62 Naturalization Application | 1 | | adfinal | | |
| | | ☐ 540 Mandamus & Oth | | 65 Other Immigration | | 1.69 (10.27) | 3 | 4. * | |
| | Other | ☐ 550 Civil Rights | I. | Actions | | | | i 1 | |
| | ☐ 448 Education | 555 Prison Condition | - 1 | | | | | L. | |
| | | 560 Civil Detainee - | l | | | 2 344 | 1 m = 9 m 1 m 1 m 1 m 1 m 1 | | |
| | | Conditions of Confinement | 1 | | | | P | - | |
| V ODICIN | | Commonent | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | |
| V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" is | ** | D 116 | . | | 1.0 | | - | | |
| | | Remanded from Appellate Court | | nstated or | rred from r District | ☐ 6 Multidistr Litigation | | | u S |
| | | | | (specify) | | | ယ | | |
| - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | Cite the U.S. Civil Sta | tute under which you a | re filing | (Do not cite jurisdictional stat | utes unless d | iversity): | | | |
| VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO | Fair Labor Standa | | | | | | | | |
| VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO | Brief description of ca | use: | | | | | | | |
| Compensation for unpaid overtime wages | | | | | | | | | |
| VII. REQUESTED IN CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION DEMAND S CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: | | | | | | | | | |
| COMPLAINT: UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. 100,000.00 JURY DEMAND: 🗶 Yes 🗆 No | | | | | | | | | |
| VIII. RELATED CASE(S) | | | | | | | | | |
| (See instructions): | | | | | | | | | |
| IF ANI | | JUDGE | $ \angle $ | | DOCKI | ET NUMBER | | | |
| DATE 15/1/16 | | GNATURE OF AT | TORNEY | OF RECORD | | | | | |
| 1017/16 | | Roua | (- | KLUI/(| | | | | |
| FOR OFFICE USE ONLY | | | | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | |
| | MOUNT | APPLYING IFP | | JUDGE | | MAG. JUI | OGE : | | |
| RECEIPT# AF | WOON1 | AFFLIING IFP | | JUDGE | | MAG. JUI | ₩ | | |

EDNGASC 2:16:6Y:06266:1DRH-AKT Document 1-1. Filed 11/10/16 Page 2 of 2 PageID #: 17 CERTIFICATION OF ARBITRATION ELIGIBILITY

| exclusiv | e of inter | n Rule 83.10 provides that with certain exception rest and costs, are eligible for compulsory arbitra are contrary is filed. | s, actions seeking money damages only in an amount not in excess of \$150,000, tion. The amount of damages is presumed to be below the threshold amount unless a | | | |
|---|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| I, ineligi | ble for o | compulsory arbitration for the following | , do hereby certify that the above captioned civil action is reason(s): | | | |
| | | monetary damages sought are in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs, | | | | |
| | the complaint seeks injunctive relief, | | | | | |
| | | the matter is otherwise ineligible for t | he following reason | | | |
| | | DISCLOSURE STATEMENT | - FEDERAL RULES CIVIL PROCEDURE 7.1 | | | |
| | | Identify any parent corporation and any p | publicly held corporation that owns 10% or more or its stocks: | | | |
| | | DELATED CASE STATEM | IENT (Section VIII on the Front of this Form) | | | |
| provide because same ju case: (A | s that "A the cases dge and n A) involve | civil case is "related" to another civil case for pus arise from the same transactions or events, a su magistrate judge." Rule 50.3.1 (b) provides that "es identical legal issues, or (B) involves the same ermine otherwise pursuant to paragraph (d), civil | of Business Rule 50.3.1 in Section VIII on the front of this form. Rule 50.3.1 (a) proposes of this guideline when, because of the similarity of facts and legal issues or estantial saving of judicial resources is likely to result from assigning both cases to the A civil case shall not be deemed "related" to another civil case merely because the civil parties." Rule 50.3.1 (c) further provides that "Presumptively, and subject to the power cases shall not be deemed to be "related" unless both cases are still pending before the ON OF BUSINESS RULE 50.1(d)(2) | | | |
| 1.) | Is the Count | civil action being filed in the Eastern District | et removed from a New York State Court located in Nassau or Suffolk | | | |
| 2.) | a) Did | a answered "no" above: If the events or omissions giving rise to the clay? | aim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in Nassau or Suffolk | | | |
| | b) Did the events of omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in the Eastern District? YES | | | | | |
| Suffol | k County folk Cou | y, or, in an interpleader action, does the clair inty? | t (or a majority of the defendants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or nant (or a majority of the claimants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau esident of the County in which it has the most significant contacts). | | | |
| | | | BAR ADMISSION | | | |
| I am c | urrently a | admitted in the Eastern District of New York Yes | k and currently a member in good standing of the bar of this court. No | | | |
| Are yo | ou curren | ntly the subject of any disciplinary action (s) Yes (If yes, please explain) | | | | |
| Attor | ney Ba | r Code: RA5508 | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | · / | curacy of all information provided above. | | | | |
| Signa | ture: 🦯 | Course XXXXX | | | | |

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: Prima Contracting Facing Class Action Over 'Egregious' Wage Violations