IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CARL VANVLEET, on behalf of

himself and similarly situated

employees,

Plaintiff,

CIVIL ACTION

ELECTRONICALLY FILED

ON FEBRUARY 9, 2017

v.

MOUNTAIN ENERGY SERVICES,

INC.,

Defendant.

CLASS/COLLECTIVE ACTION

COMPLAINT - CLASS/COLLECTIVE ACTION

Plaintiff Carl VanVleet ("Plaintiff") brings this class/collective action lawsuit against Defendant Mountain Energy Services, Inc. ("Defendant"), seeking all available relief under the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA"), 29 U.S.C. §§ 201, et seq., and the Pennsylvania Minimum Wage Act ("PMWA"), 43 P.S. §§ 333.101, et seq. Plaintiff's FLSA claim is asserted as a collective action under 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), while his PMWA claim is asserted as a class action under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23. See Knepper v. Rite Aid Corp., 675 F.3d 249 (3d Cir. 2012) (FLSA collective action claims and Rule 23 class action claims may proceed together).

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. Jurisdiction over the FLSA claim is proper under 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331.

- 2. Jurisdiction over the PMWA claim is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1367.
 - 3. Venue in this Court is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1391.

PARTIES

- 4. Plaintiff resides in Hector, NY.
- 5. Plaintiff is an employee covered by the FLSA and the PMWA.
- 6. Defendant is a corporate entity headquartered in Tunkhannock, PA (Wyoming County).
 - 7. Defendant is an employer covered by the FLSA and the PMWA.

FACTS

8. Defendant is in the business of providing various service to the natural gas industry in Pennsylvania and Ohio. The corporate website describes the business as follows:

We provide round-the-clock service at every level and stage of wellsite operations, from construction through production and cleanup. We offer a full scale water management division with services that include water sourcing, fluid transportation, frac tank rentals and super heaters. Clean up and environmental services are provided by hi-vac units and site trucks.

9. During the three-year time period relevant to this lawsuit,
Defendant has employed well over 100 employees who are paid on an
hourly basis. These individuals will be referred to as "Hourly Employees."

- 10. Plaintiff works for Defendant as an Hourly Employee.
- 11. Defendant classifies Plaintiff and other Hourly Employees as eligible for overtime premium pay when they work over 40 hours per week.
- 12. This lawsuit challenges the method by which Defendant determines the overtime premium pay owed to Plaintiff and other Hourly Employees. In determining the overtime hours worked by an employee during a particular week, "the employer must total all the hours worked by the employee for him in that workweek (even though two or more unrelated job assignments may have been performed), and pay overtime compensation for each hour worked in excess of [40]." 29 C.F.R. § 778.103.
- overtime hours of Plaintiff and other Hourly Employees whose work hours are assigned to multiple payroll categories during a single week. For example, during the week ending January 17, 2016, Plaintiff was credited with working a total of 48.5 hours, consisting of: (i) 43.75 "Driver" hours; (ii) 2.25 "Non-Driving/Travel Time" hours; and (iii) 2.5 "Travel/Non-Driving Time-Drive" hours. Since Plaintiff's hours totaled 48.5, he should have received "time and one-half" overtime premium pay for 8.5 hours.¹ Defendant, however, only paid overtime for the 3.75 hours associated with

Where, as in Plaintiff's case, the different categories of hours carry different hourly pay rates, the employer pays an hourly overtime rate that is based upon the weighted average of all rates paid. See 29 C.F.R. § 778.115.

the 43.75 "Driver" hours. In other words, Defendant only pays the overtime premium when a *particular category* of hours crosses the 40-hour overtime threshold. This approach – which is reflected in many of Plaintiff's pay stubs – is incorrect.

14. Defendant's failure to pay overtime premium compensation for all overtime hours has been undertaken willfully and with reckless disregard of clearly applicable FLSA provisions.

CLASS/COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS

- 15. Plaintiff brings his FLSA claim as a collective action pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) on behalf of all individuals who, during any week since February 9, 2014, have been employed by Defendant (or any affiliated business entity) and paid on an hourly basis.
- 16. Plaintiff's FLSA claim should proceed as a collective action because Plaintiff and other putative collective members, having worked pursuant to the common compensation policies described herein, are "similarly situated" as that term is defined in 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) and the associated decisional law.
- 17. Plaintiff brings his PMWA claim as a class action pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23 on behalf of all individuals who, during any week since February 9, 2014, have been employed by Defendant in

Pennsylvania sand paid on an hourly basis.

- 18. Class action treatment of Plaintiff's PMWA claim is appropriate because, as alleged below, all of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23's class action requisites are satisfied.
- 19. The class, upon information and belief, includes over 100 individuals, all of whom are readily ascertainable based on Defendant's payroll records and are so numerous that joinder of all class members is impracticable.
- 20. Plaintiff is a class member, his claims are typical of the claims of other class members, and he has no interests that are antagonistic to or in conflict with the interests of other class members.
- 21. Plaintiff and his lawyers will fairly and adequately represent the class members and their interests.
- 22. Questions of law and fact are common to all class members, because, *inter alia*, this action concerns Defendant's common compensation policies, as described herein. The legality of these policies will be determined through the application of generally applicable legal principles to common facts.
- 23. Class certification is appropriate under Federal Rule of Civil
 Procedure 23(b)(3) because common questions of law and fact predominate

over questions affecting only individual class members and because a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this litigation.

COUNT I(Alleging FLSA Violations)

- 24. All previous paragraphs are incorporated as though fully set forth herein.
- 25. The FLSA requires that employees receive overtime premium compensation "not less than one and one-half times" their regular pay rate for hours worked over 40 per week. *See* 29 U.S.C. § 207(a)(1).
- 26. Defendant violated the FLSA by failing to pay Plaintiff and the FLSA collective overtime premium compensation for all hours worked over 40 per week.
- 27. In violating the FLSA, Defendant acted willfully and with reckless disregard of clearly applicable FLSA provisions and, as such, willfully violated the FLSA.

COUNT II(Alleging PMWA Violations)

- 28. All previous paragraphs are incorporated as though fully set forth herein.
 - 29. The PMWA requires that employees receive overtime premium

compensation "not less than one and one-half times" the employee's regular pay rate for hours worked over 40 per week. *See* 43 P.S. § 333.104(c).

30. Defendant violated the PMWA by failing to pay Plaintiff and the Rule 23 class members overtime premium compensation for all hours worked over 40 per week.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and other members of the class/collective, seeks the following relief:

- A. An order permitting this action to proceed as a collective and class action;
- B. Prompt notice, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), of this litigation to all members of the FLSA collective informing them of this action and permitting them to join (or "opt-in" to) this action;
 - C. Unpaid overtime wages and prejudgment interest;
- D. Liquidated damages to the fullest extent permitted under the FLSA;
 - E. Litigation costs, expenses, and attorneys' fees; and
- F. Such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Date: February 9, 2017

Respectfully,

Peter Winebrake
R. Andrew Santillo
Mark J. Gottesfeld
Winebrake & Santillo, LLC
715 Twining Road, Suite 211
Dresher, PA 19025
(215) 884-2491

Plaintiffs' Counsel

JS 44 (Rev. 08/16)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of minuting and of the	Conce broom (BBB 1101110 C110110 C11112		711111/		
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS Carl VanVleet 9794 Lodi Center Road			DEFENDANTS Mountain Energy Services, Inc. 205 West Tioga Street		
Hector, NY 14841			Tunkhannock, PA 18657		
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff N/A (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)			County of Residence	_	Wyoming
			(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.		
			THE TRACT	OF LAND INVOLVED.	HE LOCATION OF
(a) Attornova (Elima Nama	Address and Talank are Niverboar		Attorneys (If Known)		
Peter Winebrake, Wineb	Address, and Telephone Number) rake & Santillo, LLC, 715 Twining	g Road, Suite	7 morneys (1) mowny		
211, Dresher, PA 19025					
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	ICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	III. CI	TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff
7 1 115 Comment			(For Diversity Cases Only)	na ma	and One Box for Defendant)
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)	Citiza	en of This State		PTF DEF
	(elar coroninom ricra i ar g)		0 01 1 01 0	of Business In T	
☐ 2 U.S. Government	1 4 Diversity	Citize	en of Another State	2	Principal Place
Defendant	(Indicate Citizenship of Parties in	l l		of Business In A	
		Citize	en or Subject of a	3	06 06
			reign Country		
IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only) Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions. FORTS FORTS					
	TORTS				
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine	PERSONAL INJURY PERSON 310 Airplane 365 Person		25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 ☐ 423 Withdrawal	☐ 375 False Claims Act☐ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC
☐ 130 Miller Act	☐ 315 Airplane Product Produ	ct Liability 🔲 69	00 Other	28 USC 157	3729(a))
☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment☐	Liability 367 Health 320 Assault, Libel & Pharm	1 Care/ naceutical		្ឋានសម្រេច នៅស្វេងស្វេង នេះ	400 State Reapportionment
& Enforcement of Judgment	Slander Person	nal Injury		☐ 820 Copyrights	 430 Banks and Banking
☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	☐ 330 Federal Employers' Production Liability ☐ 368 Asbes	ct Liability		830 Patent 840 Trademark	☐ 450 Commerce ☐ 460 Deportation
Student Loans	,	Product		D 640 Hademark	☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and
(Excludes Veterans)	☐ 345 Marine Product Liabil		O Foir Lohou Standards	SOCIATES EQUIDES	Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits	Liability PERSONA 350 Motor Vehicle 370 Other		0 Fair Labor Standards Act	□ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923)	490 Cable/Sat TV
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits	☐ 355 Motor Vehicle ☐ 371 Truth		0 Labor/Management	□ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	☐ 850 Securities/Commodities/
☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability	Product Liability 380 Other 360 Other Personal Proper		Relations 10 Railway Labor Act	☐ 864 SSID Title XVI ☐ 865 RSI (405(g))	Exchange 890 Other Statutory Actions
☐ 196 Franchise	Injury 🗇 385 Proper	rty Damage 🔲 75	I Family and Medical		☐ 891 Agricultural Acts
	☐ 362 Personal Injury - Production Medical Malpractice	ct Liability	Leave Act O Other Labor Litigation		893 Environmental Matters 895 Freedom of Information
REAL PROPERTY	ENGINEER ENGINEER STREET	andminoris. 🗖 79	1 Employee Retirement	SOUDERAL TAX SURES	Act
☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure	☐ 440 Other Civil Rights Habeas C☐ 441 Voting ☐ 463 Alien		Income Security Act	☐ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)	☐ 896 Arbitration ☐ 899 Administrative Procedure
☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	☐ 442 Employment ☐ 510 Motio			☐ 871 IRS—Third Party	Act/Review or Appeal of
☐ 240 Torts to Land	☐ 443 Housing/ Senter			26 USC 7609	Agency Decision
 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property 	Accommodations		IMMIGRATION		950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
	Employment Other:	□ 46	2 Naturalization Application		
	Other 540 Manda		55 Other Immigration Actions		
	☐ 448 Education ☐ 555 Prison	Condition			
	☐ 560 Civil I Condi	Detainee - tions of			
	I I	nement			
V, ORIGIN (Place an "X" is	n One Box Only)		-		
	moved from a 3 Remanded from the Court Appellate Co		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	r District Litigation	
	Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under wl Fair Labor Standards Act, 2	hich you are filing (1	Do not cite jurisdictional stat	utes unless diversity):	·
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	Brief description of cause:	9 U.S.C. sec. 20	ı, et seq.		
	Failure to pay overtime.				
VII. REQUESTED IN CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION DEMAND \$ CHECK YES only if demanded					if demanded in complaint:
COMPLAINT:	UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.			JURY DEMAND:	☐ Yes ☐ No
VIII. RELATED CASI	£(S)				
IF ANY	(See instructions). JUDGE			DOCKET NUMBER	
DATE SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD					
2/9/17 2/11 Chil.					
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		,,			
	MOUNT APPL	YING IFP	JUDGE	MAG, JUI	DGE
RECEILIT AN	AFFL AFFL	IHOHI	JODGE	IMAG. JUL	JUL .

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: FLSA Class Action Filed Against Mountain Energy Services