		CM-010
ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar Louis M. Marlin, Esq. [SBN 054053] Hant	number, and address).	FOR COURT USE ONLY
MARLIN & SALTZMAN, LLP	a 5. Radian, 254. [551 25101 1]	
3200 El Camino Real, Suite 100		FILED
Irvine, CA 92602 TELEPHONE NO.: 714-669-4900	FAX NO.: 714-669-4750	19100 (0) (0) (0)
ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Plaintiff, Elizabeth V		COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO SAN BERNARDINO DISTRICT
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SA		SAN BEINGERDING DIGITION
STREET ADDRESS: 303 W. Third Street	AN DEKNAKDINO	MAR 0 7 2014
MAILING ADDRESS: SAME		WINIT O F LOTT
CITY AND ZIP CODE: San Bernardino, CA	92415-0210	
BRANCH NAME:		BY De
CASE NAME:		DENNIS NEWCOMB, DEPUTY
ELIZABETH VALLADARES v. IN	ISOMNIAC, INC.	
CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET	Complex Case Designation	CASE NUMBER: CIVDS140271
✓ Unlimited		CIADSIAGE
(Amount (Amount	Counter Joinder	JUDGE:
demanded demanded is	Filed with first appearance by defendar	nt
exceeds \$25,000) \$25,000 or less)	(Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.402)	DEPT:
1. Check one box below for the case type that	low must be completed (see instructions on	paye 2).
Auto Tort		ovisionally Complex Civil Litigation
Auto (22)		al. Rules of Court, rules 3.400–3.403)
Uninsured motorist (46)	Rule 3.740 collections (09)	Antitrust/Trade regulation (03)
Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/Property	Other collections (09)	Construction defect (10)
Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort	Insurance coverage (18)	Mass tort (40)
Asbestos (04)	Other contract (37)	Securities litigation (28)
Product liability (24)	Real Property	Environmental/Toxic tort (30)
Medical malpractice (45)	Eminent domain/Inverse	Insurance coverage claims arising from the above listed provisionally complex case
Other PI/PD/WD (23)	condemnation (14)	above listed provisionally complex case types (41)
Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort	Wrongful eviction (33)	• * * * *
Business tort/unfair business practice (0)	', 	nforcement of Judgment
Civil rights (08)	Unlawful Detainer	Enforcement of judgment (20)
Defamation (13)	[]	iscellaneous Civil Complaint
Fraud (16)	Residential (32)	RICO (27)
Intellectual property (19)	Drugs (38)	Other complaint (not specified above) (42)
Professional negligence (25)		iscellaneous Civil Petition
Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35)	Asset forfeiture (05)	Partnership and corporate governance (21)
Employment Wrongful termination (36)	Petition re: arbitration award (11)	Other petition (not specified above) (43)
Other employment (15)	Writ of mandate (02)	
	Other judicial review (39)	es of Court. If the case is complex, mark the
factors requiring exceptional judicial mana		es of Court. If the case is complex, mark the
a. Large number of separately repre		of witnesses
b. Extensive motion practice raising		ith related actions pending in one or more courts
issues that will be time-consumir		es, states, or countries, or in a federal court
c. Substantial amount of document		stjudgment judicial supervision
3. Remedies sought (check all that apply): a	a. 🖌 monetary b. 🗸 nonmonetary; de	claratory or injunctive relief c. v punitive
4. Number of causes of action (specify):		
	ess action suit.	
•	and serve a notice of related case (You)m	ay use form, v.M-Ul 5.)
Date: March 7, 2014	1/2	a de a su
Hanna B. Raanan	Han	WATURE PARTY OF ATTORNEY FOR PARTY
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	NOTICE / (SIG	BNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY FOR PARTY)
Plaintiff must file this cover sheet with the	first paper filed in the action or ∕proceeding	(except small claims cases or cases filed
under the Probate Code, Family Code, or	Welfare and Institutions Code). (Cal. Rules	s of Court, rule 3.220.) Failure to file may result
in sanctions. • File this cover sheet in addition to any co	ver sheet required by local court rule	
If this case is complex under rule 3.400 e	t seg. of the California Rules of Court. vou	must serve a copy of this cover sheet on all
other parties to the action or proceeding.		
Unless this is a collections case under rule	e 3.740 or a complex case, this cover shee	t will be used for statistical purposes only.



SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO

ELIZ	ZABETH VALLADARES		Case No.	CIVDSI
	vs.			-
			CERTIFICATE OF	ASSIGNMENT
INS	OMNIAC, INC., et al.			
A civil coti	on or proceeding procented for	filing much be accompanie	ad by this soutificate if the second is	the residence
	name and residence shall be		ed by this certificate. If the ground is	tne residence
The unde	preigned declares that the	hove entitled matter is	filed for proceedings in the Sa	n Bernardino
	f the Superior Court under R			
		Collection	1	
	Nature of Action	Ground		
	Adoption	Petitioner resides wi		
2	Conservator		vatee resides within the district.	
3	Contract		district is expressly provided for.	•
× 4	Equity		arose within the district.	
5	Eminent Domain		ted within the district.	
6	Family Law	· ·	petitioner or respondent resides	
7	Guardianship	Petitioner or ward re	esides within the district or has pr	roperty within the district.
<u></u>	Harassment	Plaintiff, defendant,	petitioner or respondent resides	within the district.
9	Mandate	The defendant funct	tions wholly within the district.	
10	Name Change	The petitioner reside	es within the district.	:
<u> </u>	Personal Injury	The injury occurred	within the district.	
☐ 12	Personal Property	The property is local	ted within the district.	
<u> </u>	Probate	Decedent resided or	r resides within the district or had	d property within the district.
<u> </u>	Prohibition	The defendant funct	tions wholly within the district.	
<u> </u>	Review	The defendant funct	tions wholly within the district.	
<u> </u>	Title to Real Property	The property is local	ted within the district.	
<u> </u>	Transferred Action	The lower court is lo	ocated within the district.	
<u> </u>	Unlawful Detainer	The property is local	ted within the district.	
<u> </u>	Domestic Violence	The petitioner, defer	ndant, plaintiff or respondent res	ides within the district.
x 20	Other Labor Code	Conduct giving	rise to action took pla	ace in San Bernardino
21	THIS FILING WOULD NO	RMALLY FALL WITHIN	JURISDICTION OF SUPERIOR	R COURT.
The addr	one of the accident norterm	anna namhi datamtina a		suchiah munifisa ti '
	n the above-designated dist		place of business, or other factor	writeri qualilles this case
•	•		0585 81 7-1	Parala cara
	nal Wonderland / Insc		2575 Glen Helen	Parkway
•	E - INDICATE TITLE OR OTHER QUALIFYIN	G FACTOR)	ADDRESS	2010
San Ber	nardino		CA (STATE)	92407
(CITT)	,		(STATE)	(ZIP CODE)
l declare,	under penalty of perjury, th	at the foregoing is true a	and correct and that this declarat	ion was executed on
March	7, 2014		Irvine	/
		at _	- //// 	/, California
			Imma Kan	'uan
			Signature	of Attorney/Party
13-16503-360 5	3.0.40/04		1	



SUMMONS (CITACION JUDICIAL)

NOTICE TO DEFENDANT: (AVISO AL DEMANDADO):

INSOMNIAC, INC., INSOMNIAC ENTERTAINMENT, INC., LIVE NATION WORLDWIDE, INC., and DOES 1-100, inclusive.

YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF: (LO ESTÁ DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE):

ELIZABETH VALLADARES, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated.

____SUM-100

FOR COURT USE ONLY (SOLO PARA USO DE LA CORTE)

SUPERIOR COURT COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO SAN BERNARDINO DISTRICT

MAR 0 7 2014

DENNIS NEWCOMB DEPUT

NOTICE! You have been sued. The court may decide against you without your being heard unless you respond within 30 days. Read the information below.

You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filling fee, ask the court clerk for a fee waiver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court.

There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney referral service. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can locate these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), or by contacting your local court or county bar association. NOTE: The court has a statutory lien for waived fees and costs on any settlement or arbitration award of \$10,000 or more in a civil case. The court's lien must be paid before the court will dismiss the case. pAVISOI Lo han demandado. Si no responde dentro de 30 días, la corte puede decidir en su contra sin escuchar su versión. Lea la Información a continuación.

Tiene 30 DÍAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entreguen esta citación y papeles legales para presentar una respuesta por escrito en esta corte y hacer que se entregue una copia ai demandante. Una carta o una llamada telefónica no lo protegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar en formato legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un formulario que usted pueda usar para su respuesta. Puede encontrar estos formularios de la corte y más información en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California (www.sucorte.ca.gov), en la biblioteca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que le quede más cerca. Si no puede pagar la cuota de presentación, pida al secretario de la corte que le dé un formulario de exención de pago de cuotas. Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corte le podrá quitar su sueldo, dinero y bienes sin más advertencia.

Hay otros requisitos legales. Es recomendable que llame a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no conoce a un abogado, puede llamar a un servicio de remisión a abogados. Si no puede pagar a un abogado, es posible que cumpla con los requisitos para obtener servicios legales gratuítos de un programa de servicios legales sin fines de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en el sitio web de California Legal Services, (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California, (www.sucorte.ca.gov) o poniéndose en contacto con la corte o el colegio de abogados locales. AVISO: Por ley, la corte tiene derecho a reclamar las cuotas y los costos exentos por imponer un gravamen sobre cualquier recuperación de \$10,000 ó más de valor recibida mediante un acuerdo o una concesión de arbitraje en un caso de derecho civil. Tiene que pagar el gravamen de la corte antes de que la corte pueda desechar el caso.

The name and address of the	court is: orte es): San Bernardino District - Civil Division	CASE NUMBER: (Número del Caso):
303 W. Third St.	nie es, San Bernardino District - Civil Division	CIVD\$1402710
San Bernardino, CA 924	15-0210	
	hone number of plaintiff's attorney, or plaintiff without an att úmero de teléfono del abogado del demandante, o del demi	
Louis M. Marlin, (SBN)	054053) - 3200 EL Camino Real, Ste 100, Irving	, Çalifornia 92602; 714-669-4900
	MAR 0 7 2014	
DATE: \(\frac{1}{2}\)	Clerk, by	, Deputy
(Fecha)	(Secretario)	(Adjunto)
(For proof of service of this sur	mmons, use Proof of Service of Summons (form POS-010).)
(Para prueba de entrega de es	sta citatión use el formulario Proof of Service of Summons, ((POS-010)).
Control	NOTICE TO THE PERSON SERVED: You are served	
[SEAL]	as an individual defendant.	
	2. as the person sued under the fictitious name of	(specify):
500	3. on behalf of (specify):	
	under: CCP 416.10 (corporation)	CCP 416.60 (minor)
	CCP 416.20 (defunct corporation)	CCP 416.70 (conservatee)
	CCP 416.40 (association or partnership	

Form Adopted for Mandatory Use Judicial Council of California SUM-100 [Rev. July 1, 2009]

SUMMONS

other (specify):
4. by personal delivery on (date):

Code of Civil Procedure §§ 412.20, 465 www.courtinfo.ca.gov

Page 1 of 1



SUPERIOR COURT COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO MARLIN & SALTZMAN, LLP Louis M. Marlin, Esq. (SBN 054053) SAN BERNARDINO DISTRICT Stephen P. O'Dell, Esq. (SBN 132279) MAR 0 7 2014 Hanna B. Raanan, Esq. (SBN 261014) Adrian R. Bacon, Esq. (SBN 280223) 3200 EL Camino Real, Suite 100 4 Irvine, California 92602 DENNIS NEWCOMB, DEPUTY TRIAL SETTING CONFERENCE (714) 669-4900 Fax: (714) 669-4750 5 THE HAMIDEH FIRM, PC Bassil A. Hamideh (SBN: 261233) Hearing Date 1801 Century Park East, Suite. 2400 at 8130 Dept Los Angeles, CA 90067 (310) 556-9687; Fax: (310) 733-5699 8 Attorneys for Plaintiff Elizabeth Valladares 10 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 11 FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO 12 CIVDS1402710 13 ELIZABETH VALLADARES, individually Case No. and on behalf of all others similarly situated, 14 **CLASS ACTION** 15 Plaintiffs, COMPLAINT FOR: VS. 16 VIOLATION OF FAIR LABOR 1. INSOMNIAC, INC., a California corporation, STANDARDS ACT 29 U.S.C. § 201 17 INSOMNIAC ENTERTAINMENT, INC., a ET SEO. -MINIMUM WAGES Delaware corporation, LIVE NATION 18 2. VIOLATION OF FAIR LABOR WORLDWIDE, INC., a Delaware corporation, STANDARDS ACT 29 U.S.C. § 201 and DOES 1-100, inclusive, 19 ET SEQ. - OVERTIME VIOLATION OF FAIR LABOR 3. 20 Defendants. STANDARDS ACT 29 U.S.C. § 201 ET SEQ. - FAILURE TO KEEP 21 ACCURATE RECORDS 22 4. COMMON COUNT FOR THE REASONABLE VALUE OF 23 SERVICES RENDERED FAILURE TO PAY MINIMUM 5. 24 WAGES AND LIQUIDATED DAMAGES (Labor Code §§ 1194, 25 1197 And Wage Order 7); 26 FAILURE TO PAY OVERTIME 6. (Labor Code §§ 510, 1194, 1198 And 27 Wage Order 7) 28

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

1 11			
2		7.	FAILURE TO PROVIDE REST BREAKS (Labor Code §§ 226.7 And Wage Order 7)
3 4	·	8.	FAILURE TO PROVIDE MEAL PERIODS (Labor Code §§ 226.7, 512, And Wage Order 7)
5		9.	FAILURE TO PROVIDE ACCURATE WAGE STATEMENTS (Labor Code §§ 226);
7		10.	FAILURE TO PAY ALL WAGES OWED UPON TERMINATION (Labor Code §§ 201-203);
9		11.	VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA <i>BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE</i> §17200.
10		12.	VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE §17500.
12		13.	COMMON LAW FRAUD AND DECEIT
13			
14		DEM	IAND FOR JURY TRIAL
15	Plaintiff Elizabeth Valladares ("Plaintiff	f") hei	reby submits her Class Action Complaint
16	against Defendants Insomniac, Inc., Insomniac	c Enter	tainment, Inc. (together with Insomniac,
17	Inc., "Insomniac"), and Live Nation World	wide,	Inc. ("Live Nation") and DOES 1-100
18	(hereinafter collectively referred to as "Defendants") on behalf of herself and all other similarly		
19	situated individuals employed by Defendants as current or former unpaid volunteers ("Volunteer		
20	Employees") for (1) violations of the California <u>Labor Code</u> , including failure to pay minimum		
21	wages and liquidated damages, failure to pay o	vertim	e, failure to provide legally compliant rest
22	breaks, failure to provide legally compliant	meal p	eriods, failure to provide accurate wage
23	statements, failure to pay all wages owed upon	on tern	nination; (2) violations of the Fair Labor
24	Standards Act ("FLSA"), including failure to	pay n	ninimum wages, failure to keep accurate
25	records; (3) failure to pay for goods and serv	vices re	endered; and (4) restitution and injunctive
26	relief as follows:		

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

1

28 ///

 I.

INTRODUCTION

- 1. This class action is within the Court's jurisdiction under California <u>Labor Code</u> §§ 201-203, 226, 226.7, 510, 512, 558, 1194, 1198, Industrial Welfare Commission ("IWC") Wage Order 7 and California <u>Business and Professions Code</u> §17200, et seq. (Unfair Practices Act). This class action also alleges violations of the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S,C. §§ 201 et seq., as well as California common law violations.
- 2. This complaint challenges systemic illegal employment practices resulting in violations of the California Labor Code and Business and Professions Code whereby Defendants purport to solicit volunteers to work at their events under the false pretense that volunteers will get to enjoy the event in exchange for their services. In reality, Volunteer Employees are required to work for the duration of the event, and are never able to enjoy the event. Furthermore, Defendants require Volunteer Employees to pay a deposit fee for their unpaid services, which amount is charged to the Volunteer Employee if Defendants determine, in their sole discretion, that the Volunteer Employee has not performed to their satisfaction. As a result, Volunteer Employees do not receive any compensation for their "employment" and in some cases are forced to pay Defendants in order to work for them.
- 3. Plaintiff is informed and believes and based thereon alleges that Defendants, jointly and severally have acted intentionally and with deliberate indifference and conscious disregard of the rights of all Volunteer Employees to receive all regular wages due for services rendered, to receive legally compliant meal and rest breaks, to receive all wages due for meal and rest period violations, to timely receive all final wages due upon termination of employment, and in connection with Defendants' failure to maintain all proper payroll records of Plaintiff and class members.
- 4. Plaintiff is informed and believes and based thereon alleges that Defendants have engaged in, among other things, a system of willful violations of the California <u>Labor Code</u>, <u>Business and Professions Code</u>, applicable IWC wage orders and the FLSA, by creating and

1	maintaining policies, practices and customs that knowingly deny Volunteer Employees the above		
2	stated rights and benefits.		
3	II.		
4	JURISDICTION AND VENUE		
5	5. This Court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to the provisions of the		
6	California Labor Code and regulations, as well as Business & Professions Code § 17200. Venue		
7	is proper in San Bernardino County because the conduct alleged herein which gives rise to the		
8	claims asserted occurred within San Bernardino County. Specifically, Plaintiff worked for		
9	defendants within San Bernardino County, and the wages herein claimed were earned by her in		
10	San Bernardino County. Additionally, the products and services exchanged for Plaintiff's labor		
11	were issued and consumed in San Bernardino County.		
12	III.		
13	THE PARTIES		
14	6. Plaintiff ELIZABETH VALLADARES is an individual residing in Los Angeles,		
15	California.		
16	7. With respect to the FLSA claims, Plaintiff has consented to join this action by		
17	concurrently filing a written Consent to Join form, attached hereto as Exhibit A.		
18	8. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated as		
19	a class action, pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 382. The class which Plaintiff		
20	seeks to represent is composed of and defined as follows:		
21	Volunteer Class:		
22	All persons who worked as an unpaid volunteer at any event, show, or other		
23	promotional gathering conducted by DEFENDANTS, in the United States, at any		
24	time during the Class Period.		
25	California Class:		
26	All members of the Volunteer Class who worked as an unpaid volunteer at an		
27	event, show, or other promotional gathering conducted by DEFENDANTS in the		
28	State of California, at any time during the Class Period.		
	CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT		

Terminated Subclass:

All members of the California Class whose employment ended during the Class Period.

Excluded from the Volunteer Class, California Class and Terminated Subclass are individuals who acted as, for the period during which they acted as, Defendants' leads, supervisors, managers, shift leaders, crew leaders, street team leaders, regional leaders or any other employees in a managerial or supervisory position involved in enforcing or effectuating the unlawful conduct alleged herein.

The Class Period is the period from four years prior to the date this Complaint was filed, through and including the date judgment is rendered in this matter.

- 9. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that at all times relevant to this litigation, INSOMNIAC, INC. ("Insomniac") was and/or is a California corporation, organized and existing pursuant to the laws of the state of California, which conducted and/or conducts its business in the State of California and throughout the United States.
- 10. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that at all times relevant to this litigation, Defendant INSOMNIAC ENTERTAINMENT, INC. ("Insomniac Entertainment"), was and/or is a Delaware corporation, organized and existing pursuant to the laws of the state of Delaware, which conducted and/or conducts its business in the State of California and throughout the United States.
- 11. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that at all times relevant to this litigation, Defendant LIVE NATION WORLDWIDE, INC. ("Live Nation"), was and/or is a Delaware corporation, organized and existing pursuant to the laws of the state of Delaware, which conducted and/or conducts its business in the State of California and throughout the United States.
- 12. Defendants are collectively the world's global event leaders and owners of one of the world's top five e-commerce sites with over 27 million monthly unique visitors.
- 13. Plaintiff alleges, based on information and belief, that the Defendants are part of a joint enterprise, and as a matter of law, a single employer and actor for purposes of this lawsuit.

- 14. Plaintiff further alleges based on information and belief that the Defendants are agents of one another, and act as part of an overall single business enterprise, under centralized control. Plaintiff further alleges that each Defendant acted as a joint employer of the proposed class members.
- 15. Plaintiff does not know the true names or capacities, whether individual, partnership, corporate or otherwise, of the defendants sued herein as DOE defendants, and for that reason, said defendants are sued under such fictitious names, and Plaintiff prays leave to amend this complaint when the true names and capacities are known. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that each of the said fictitious defendants were responsible in some way for the matters alleged herein and proximately caused Plaintiff and members of the putative class to be subject to the illegal employment practices, wrongs, breaches, and injuries complained of herein.
- 16. At all times pertinent hereto, each of the said DOE defendants participated in the doing of acts hereinafter alleged to have been done by the named Defendants; and furthermore, the Defendants, and each of them, were the agents, servants, and employees of each of the other Defendants, as well as the agents of all Defendants, and at all times herein mentioned, were acting within the course and scope of said agency and employment.
- 17. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that at all times pertinent hereto, each of the Defendants named herein was the agent, employee, alter ego, and/or joint venturer of, or working in concert with, each of the other co-Defendants and was acting within the course and scope of such agency, employment, joint venture, or concerted activity. To the extent the said acts, conduct, and omissions were perpetrated by certain Defendants, each of the remaining Defendants confirmed and ratified said acts, conduct, and omissions of the acting Defendants.
- 18. At all times pertinent hereto, Defendants, and each of them, were members of, and engaged in, a joint venture, partnership and common enterprise, and acting within the course and scope of, and in pursuance of, said joint venture, partnership and common enterprise.
 - 19. At all times pertinent hereto, the acts and omissions of various Defendants, and

13 14

16

15

17

18 19

20

22

21

23

24

25

26 27

28

¹ For instance, Live Nation solicits volunteers on its website: http://www.livenation.com/artists/70132/volunteer.

the other Defendants in proximately causing the injuries and damaged as herein alleged. At all pertinent times, Defendants, and each of them, ratified each and every act or omission

complained of herein. At all pertinent times, the Defendants, and each of them, aided and

abetted the acts and omissions of each and all of the other Defendants in proximately causing the

damages as herein alleged.

IV.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 36. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.
- 37. Defendants Insomniac, Inc. and Insomniac Entertainment (together "Insomniac") is an American tour promoter focusing primarily on electronic music events. It organizes a number of major dance music festivals, including its flagship Electric Daisy Carnival, along with other events such as Beyond Wonderland, Nocturnal Wonderland, and also jointly organized with Defendant Live Nation the Together as One festival. Insomniac is a for-profit company.
- 38. Defendant Live Nation Worldwide, Inc. ("Live Nation") is the world's leading live entertainment company, which produces over 22,000 shows annually for more than 2,300 artists globally. Live Nation is a for-profit company.
- 39. Live Nation owns a 50% stake in Insomniac. Despite Defendants' shows and events being lucrative, for profit commercial operations, Defendants staff their events largely by use of "volunteers", and do not pay them any wages.
- 40. Through websites, social media, and other means of advertising, Defendants recruited thousands of "volunteers" (hereinafter "Volunteer Employees") to work at their events. Instead of paying Volunteer Employees for their work, Defendants, provided volunteers only with free admission to the event a volunteer employee would work. However, the value of

7

10

11 12

14

13

16

15

17 18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

27

28

26

this "free admission" was highly overstated and essentially worthless, as volunteers spend the majority of their time performing duties under the direction and control of Defendants.

- 41. On September 21, 2013, Plaintiff was employed as a Volunteer Employee by INSOMNIAC at Nocturnal Wonderland in San Bernardino, California.
- 42. Prior to her employment, Plaintiff was required to give DEFENDANTS her credit card information, presumably to ensure that she checked-in for work. Plaintiff was further told that if, at the discretion of DEFENDANTS, it was determined that she had not worked the full time she was scheduled, DEFENDANTS would charge her credit card the full amount of a ticket to Nocturnal Wonderland.
- 43. Plaintiff was then required to work for 14+ hours on the day she was scheduled, with no rest breaks and only one meal break.
- 44. DEFENDANTS did not pay Plaintiff for any of the time worked, including wages for work performed, overtime pay, and including time spent in work-related travel. DEFENDANTS did not pay Plaintiff any meal or rest period wages when owed, and did not provide meal or rest periods as required by Labor Code section 226.7.
- 45. DEFENDANTS' failure to pay Plaintiff for all time worked, including wages for work performed, failure to pay for any meal or rest period wages when owed, and failure to provide meal or rest periods is a violation of the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA") 201, et seq.
- 46. DEFENDANTS did not keep accurate records of Plaintiff's work, hours and wages earned. Plaintiff was and is a victim of the policies practices and customs of DEFENDANTS complained of in this action in ways that have deprived her of the rights guaranteed her by the California Labor Code §§ 201-203, 226, 226.7, 510, 512, 558, 1194, 1198, Industrial Welfare Commission ("IWC") Wage Order 7, California Business and Professions Code §17200, et seq. (Unfair Practices Act), and the Fair Labor Standards Act (FLSA) §§ 201, et seq.
- 47. Through this action, Plaintiff seeks to (1) force Defendants to stop soliciting and accepting work from Volunteer Employees, (2) recover unpaid wages for all Volunteer Employees who performed work for Insomniac during the relevant period, and (3) recover other

 damages based on Defendants' willful misrepresentations and deceit of Unpaid Volunteers and violations of applicable labor laws.

- 48. Defendants' failure to pay its volunteers any wages violates federal and state minimum wage laws, which require employers to pay at least the minimum wage for all work that they suffer or permit, and which exist to eliminate labor conditions detrimental to the maintenance of the minimum standard of living necessary for health, efficiency, and general well-being of workers.
- 49. By failing to pay Plaintiff and thousands of others for their productive work, Defendants denied federal, state, and local governments significant tax revenue and denied the Volunteer Employees important benefits of working, including workers' compensation insurance, social security contributions, and, most importantly, the ability to earn a fair day's wage for a fair day's work.
- 50. Defendants could have and should have paid its Volunteer Employees, but chose instead to classify these individuals as non-employee volunteers, leveraging their eagerness to attend these events against their willingness to work for free.
- 51. Prior to events, Defendants invite prospective volunteers to submit an application and resume, so that they can decide whether an individual will be "approved" as a volunteer. Defendants then provide each individual with a set of common event guidelines that must be followed as a volunteer. In addition to filling out an application, volunteers must also pay a "refundable volunteer deposit" to solidify their position as a volunteer. If the volunteer is deemed not to have completed their "duties" or to have behaved inappropriately during the volunteer hours, Defendants do not return the deposit.
- 52. Plaintiff brings this Class Action against Defendants, and each of them, pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure §382, on behalf of herself and for the benefit of all other persons employed directly and/or indirectly by Defendants as a "volunteer," who were not paid wages pursuant to California and Federal law prior and subsequent to the date this action was filed.
- 53. Based on information and belief, for at least four years prior to the filing of this action and through to the present, Defendants jointly employed Plaintiff and the putative class

members throughout California and the United States, and maintained and enforced against Plaintiff and the putative class members the systemic policies, practices, and/or customs complained of herein. Plaintiff seeks relief on behalf of herself, and the members of the Volunteer Class, as a result of systemic employment policies, practices and procedures, more specifically described below, which violate the FLSA, and which have resulted in the failure of Defendants to pay Plaintiff and members of the Volunteer Class all wages owed to them. Said employment policies, practices and procedures are generally described as follows:

- a. Defendants misclassified Plaintiff and class members as volunteers, and in doing so, failed to properly pay them any wages for time spent working, including at least the federal and state-mandated minimum wages for all hours worked, which include but are not limited to, time spent traveling between locations, attending training and/or base camp, performing duties at the events under the supervision, direction and control of Defendants, and other time for which Plaintiff and class members were subject to their employers' direction and control;
- b. Defendants failed to pay all wages due and owing to "Volunteers," including Plaintiff and the class members, in violation of the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S,C. §§ 201 et seq, ("FLSA");
- 54. Plaintiff also seeks relief on behalf of herself and the members of the California Class, as a result of systemic employment policies, practices and procedure, more specifically describer below, which violate the FLSA, the California Labor Code, and the orders and standards promulgated by the California Department of Industrial Relations, Industrial Welfare Commission, and Division of Labor Standards Enforcement, and which have resulted in the failure of Defendants to pay Plaintiff and members of the California Class all wages owed to them. Said employment policies, practices and procedures are generally described as follows:
 - a. Defendants misclassified Plaintiff and class members as volunteers, and in doing so, failed to properly pay them any wages for time spent working, including at least the federal and state-mandated minimum wages for all hours worked, which include but are not limited to, time spent traveling between locations, attending training and/or base

camp, performing duties at the events under the supervision, direction and control of Defendants, and other time for which Plaintiff and class members were subject to their employers' direction and control;

- b. Defendants failed to pay all wages due and owing to "Volunteers," including Plaintiff and the class members, in violation of the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S,C. §§ 201 et seq, ("FLSA"), California Labor Code ("Labor Code") and Industrial Welfare Commission Wage Order 7 ("Wage Order");
- c. Defendants required, suffered, employed, and/or permitted Plaintiff and class members to work in excess of regular work hours without the required overtime and/or double time compensation;
- d. Defendants failed to provide Plaintiff and class members with rest periods and/or failed to properly compensate Plaintiff and members of the proposed class for such failure to provide proper rest periods, as required by *Labor Code* § 226.7 and Wage Order 7;
- e. Defendants failed to provide Plaintiff and class members with duty-free meal periods of at least thirty minutes and/or failed to properly compensate Plaintiff and members of the proposed class for such failure to provide proper meal periods, as required by *Labor Code* §§ 226.7 and 512 and Wage Order 7;
- f. Defendants failed to issue accurate itemized wage statements to their volunteer employees, including Plaintiff and the class members, in violation of, *inter alia*, *Labor Code* §226 and Wage Order 7;
- g. Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff and members of the Terminated Subclass all wages due upon termination of their employment, in violation of *Labor Code* §§ 201-203; and,
- h. Violating Business and Professions Code §§ 17200 et seq. as further set forth below.
- 55. Plaintiff also alleges that Defendants, and each of them, are for-profit entities and had the clear ability to pay such wages as are/were due and owing to Plaintiff and members of

the Volunteer Class, but intentionally did not pay such wages, in conscious disregard of the rights of Plaintiff and the members of the Volunteer Class to timely payment of their wages.

- 56. Further, Defendants engaged in a systematic scheme to misrepresent the nature of the relationship it held over members of the Volunteer Class as one of Organization-Volunteer, rather than Employer-Employee, thus deceiving and defrauding members of the Volunteer Class into believing that they were not entitled to the benefits and protections of the FLSA and/or the California Labor Code.
- 57. Defendants further deceived members of the Volunteer Class, through their actions and advertisements, by misrepresenting the value of admission to the events, such that Plaintiff and members of the Volunteer Class reasonably believed such compensation would have value commensurate with the value of the services rendered to Defendants. Defendants willfully withheld the critical caveat that Plaintiff and other members of the Volunteer Class would in fact not be provided with sufficient time off during their shift to enjoy the event.
- 58. This action seeks relief for the un-remediated violations of Federal and California law including, *inter alia*:
 - a. Damages and/or restitution, as appropriate, to Plaintiff and to the Volunteer Class, for non-payment of the wages due them and interest thereon;
 - b. Damages and/or restitution, as appropriate, to Plaintiff and to the California Class, for non-payment of wages (including overtime wages), and meal and rest period wages;
 - c. Damages and/or penalties for Plaintiff and the California Class, who were not issued accurate itemized wage statements in conformity with California law.
 - d. Damages and/or penalties for Plaintiff and California Class Members for Defendants' failure to pay all wages due and owing upon completion of their employment in conformity with California law;
 - e. Implementation of other equitable and injunctive relief, including, *inter alia*, an injunction prohibiting Defendants, and each of them, from continuing to:
 - i. failing to pay wages due, in accordance with the FLSA, Labor Code and Wage Order 7, to "Volunteers;"

- ii. failing to authorize and permit mandated meal and rest periods or pay additional wages to their Volunteer employees in California who did not receive the required meal and rest periods in accordance with the *Labor Code* and Wage Order 7;
- iii. failing to issue accurate itemized wage statements to California employees in accordance with the *Labor Code* and Wage Order 7; and,
- iv. failing to pay all compensation due to their California Volunteer employees at the time of the termination of their employment in accordance with the *Labor Code*; and,
- f. Punitive damages for intentionally and maliciously misclassifying an entire subset of its labor force as "Volunteers" in order to avoid paying them any wages, or providing any of the benefits of employment under the FLSA, the Labor Code and/or Wage Order 7; and
- g. Attorney fees and costs as provided by statute and/or applicable case law including, without limitation, *Labor Code* §§ 226 and 1194, and *Code of Civil Procedure* § 1021.5; and such other relief as the Court deems just and proper.
- 59. On information and belief, and at all times relevant to this litigation, Defendants regularly solicited Volunteer Employees, through their websites, social media, email, and other forms of advertising. In exchange for offering their services for a shift, which often lasted over 12 hours, Volunteer Employees were provided "free admission" to the event at which the Volunteer was working. These events ranged from concerts, to fairs and festivals.
- 60. Because Volunteers were expected to, and in fact did, spend the vast majority of their time performing job duties under the direction, supervision and control of Defendants, the promise of free admission was illusory. Defendants directly and/or indirectly through the use of agents, subsidiaries and/or alter egos, employed Plaintiff and similarly situated persons, and disguised this employment relationship by referring to such individuals as volunteers. However, these Volunteer Employees fall far from the exception outlined by the FLSA and the Labor Code, and thus were illegally misclassified. Plaintiff alleges that such misclassification was

made with knowledge and intent to subvert the legal protections afforded to paid employees, and to profit at expense of the Volunteer Employees.

- 61. On information and belief, and at all times relevant to this litigation, Defendants and their subsidiaries, parents, or affiliated companies consistently maintained and enforced against their Volunteer Employees systemic policies and practices that resulted in Defendants not paying Plaintiff and other Volunteer Employees any wages for time spent under their direction and control, including without limitation, all minimum, regular, overtime, reporting time, hourly and/or piece-rate wages, and all meal and rest period wages, pursuant to California and Federal law.
- 62. On information and belief, and at all times relevant to this litigation, Defendants and their subsidiaries, parents, or affiliated companies consistently maintained and enforced against their Volunteer Employees systemic policies and practices that required Plaintiff and the other Volunteer Employees to report to work, but failed to compensate them for time during which they were under the employers' control and/or permitted or suffered to work, whether or not required to do so.
- 63. On information and belief, and at all times relevant to this litigation, Defendants and their subsidiaries, parents, or affiliated companies consistently maintained and enforced against their California volunteer employees policies and practices that resulted in Defendants not providing Plaintiff and other Volunteer Employees proper required meal and rest periods in accordance with California law. Plaintiff and the other Volunteer Employees did not voluntarily waive their meal or rest periods. Further, Defendants did not compensate Plaintiff and the other California employees an additional hour's wage for each of Defendants' failure to provide the mandated meal or rest periods.
- 64. On information and belief, and at all times relevant to this litigation, Defendants and their subsidiaries, parents, or affiliated companies consistently maintained and enforced against their California Volunteer Employees policies and practices whereby Defendants willfully failed to issue accurate itemized wage statements to Plaintiff and the other Volunteer Employees in violation of *Labor Code* §226.

- 65. On information and belief, and at all times relevant to this litigation, Defendants and their subsidiaries, parents, or affiliated companies consistently maintained and enforced against their Volunteer Employees systemic policies and practices whereby Defendants willfully failed to pay the wages Defendants owed Plaintiff and the other California Volunteer Employees at the time of layoff or at the time of their employment separation in violation of *Labor Code* §§201, 202 and 203.
- 66. On information and belief, and at all times relevant to this litigation, Defendants have made it difficult to account with precision for the unlawfully withheld wages due volunteer employees, including Plaintiff and other Volunteer Employees, because they did not maintain accurate time records and/or issue accurate itemized wage statements as required for California Volunteer Employees by *Labor Code* §§ 226, 1174(d), and the applicable Wage Order. For example, Defendants failed to comply with *Labor Code* § 226(a) inasmuch as they did not issue Plaintiff and other California volunteer employees itemized wage statements that accurately list the hours worked, net and gross wages earned, applicable rates of pay, and the address of the legal entity that is the employer, as required. Plaintiff and the other Volunteer Employees have been injured by Defendants' knowing and intentional failure to provide them accurate itemized wage statements. Pursuant to *Labor Code* § 226(e), Plaintiff and California Class Members are entitled to recover the greater of all actual damages, or penalties not to exceed \$4,000.00 for each employee.
- 67. Plaintiff and members of the Terminated Subclass, whose employment with defendants was terminated during the Class Period, were routinely not paid, upon termination, all wages due to them, in violation of California *Labor Code* § 201-203. Specifically, members of the Terminated Subclass were not paid: (1) for all time worked; and (2) the premium wages due for Defendants' failure to provide proper meal and rest breaks. During the Class Period, and continuing to the present, Defendants have had a consistent policy, practice, custom, and/or habit of failing to provide members of the Terminated Subclass all wages due to them upon termination.
 - 68. The violations herein alleged were and are willful and deliberate, and were taken

pursuant to, and resulted from Defendants' systemic policies, customs, and practices, which Defendants applied uniformly to Plaintiff and all members of the Volunteer Class, and which have resulted in the unjust enrichment of Defendants at the expense of Plaintiff and members of the Volunteer Class.

69. As a direct result of the violations herein alleged, Plaintiff and members of the Volunteer Class have suffered, and continue to suffer substantial losses related to the use and enjoyment of wages, lost interest on such wages, and expenses and attorney's fees in seeking to compel Defendants to fully perform their obligations under state law, all to their respective damage in amounts according to proof at the time of trial.

PLAINTIFF'S FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 70. Consistent with its unlawful policies and practices, Defendants subjected Plaintiff to unlawful conduct. INSOMNIAC confirmed Plaintiff as a volunteer for the September 21, 2013 event at Nocturnal Wonderland in San Bernardino, California. Plaintiff completed the registration process for the event, which required her to submit her credit card information. INSOMNIAC "held" \$89.90 for one to five days after the event to ensure she completed her "duties" and behaved appropriately during her volunteer hours. Plaintiff was directed by Insomniac to arrive at the Hilton-San Bernardino at 12:30 p.m., September 21, 2013. She picked up her Insomniac wristband and had to leave her driver's license ID for Insomniac to hold. She was then directed to drive to a designated area at the event site and park in the "volunteer parking lot." The volunteers were transported by shuttle to the "volunteer base camp" on the main event grounds. At volunteer base camp, the volunteers received Insomniac teal t-shirts which had to be returned at the end of the work period or volunteers would lose the \$89.90 deposit. After driving to base camp, the volunteers were given their assignments, where they could be assigned one of five positions:
 - a) General Store volunteers' duties included assisting patrons with purchasing cigarettes, lighters, glow sticks, sundries, feminine hygiene products, and candy). Volunteer Employees were not permitted to handle cash;
 - b) Merchandise store volunteers' duties included assisting patrons with purchasing

t-shirts, hats, and other Insomniac merchandise);

- c) Water Stations volunteers' duties included assisting patrons with refilling Insomniac water bottles, which were purchased for \$10 each);
- d) Information Booth volunteers' duties included telling patrons how they could navigate around the event, i.e., where certain performers might be performing on different stages on the grounds, location of bathrooms, merchandise stores, general stores, etc.); and
- e) Greeters volunteers' duties included passing out maps, flyers, and promotions for future events).
- 71. Plaintiff was assigned to work in the General Store position, and worked in that position for the duration of her shift. Plaintiff was provided with a meal period, but it was provided well after the beginning of the sixth hour of work, and was only given after repeated requests for permission. Plaintiff did not receive any rest breaks although she asked permission numerous times. Plaintiff observed that many people were not provided a compliant rest or meal break either. Plaintiff completed her checkout and returned her t-shirt at 2:30 a.m., Sept. 22, 2013, at which time her shift ended. As a Volunteer Employee, Plaintiff worked in excess of fourteen hours for Insomniac. Insomniac paid Plaintiff no wages. Through Defendants' actions, Plaintiff was informed and led to believe that she and her fellow Volunteer Employees were not "employees" but rather had been classified by Defendants as "volunteers," and thus were not entitled to the benefits and protections of the California Labor Code or the FLSA. Furthermore, through Defendants' actions, Plaintiff was led to believe that the compensation she did receive (free admission to the Nocturnal Wonderland event) would have value commensurate with the value of the services she rendered to Defendants.
- 72. Insomniac's Night Owl Recruitment flyer states that "Night Owls will receive meals, water, parking, and time off to enjoy the festival," and that "Night Owls also learn about the inner workings of festival production." However, Plaintiff's only time off was during her meal break, which was provided late and only after repeated requests. Instead of being able to enjoy the festival, Plaintiff was required to serve and assist Insomniac's paying customers for the

duration of her time at the event, and was unable to enjoy the benefits of "free" admission. Further, Plaintiff was not provided with valuable internship-quality training, but rather was simply expected to perform general customer service and shop keeping duties. Thus, the value of free admission was illusory and did not adequately compensate Plaintiff, or members of the Volunteer Class for their services.

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

- 73. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.
- 74. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of herself and the Volunteer Class as a class action, pursuant to *California Code of Civil Procedure* § 382.
- 75. The Class Period is the period from four years prior to the date this Complaint was filed, through and including the date judgment is rendered in this matter.
- 76. The class is so numerous that the individual joinder of all members is impracticable. While the exact number and identification of class members are unknown to Plaintiff at this time and can only be ascertained through appropriate discovery directed to defendants, Plaintiff is informed and believes that the Volunteer Class and subclasses each include thousands of members.
- 77. Common questions of law and fact exist as to all members of the class which predominate over any questions affecting only individual members of the class. These common legal and factual questions, which do not vary from class member to class member, and which may be determined without reference to the individual circumstances of any class member, include, but are not limited to, the following:
 - a. Whether Plaintiff and members of the Volunteer Class are subject to and entitled to the benefits of the FLSA statutes;
 - b. Whether Plaintiff and members of the California Class are subject to and entitled to the benefits of California wage and hour statutes;
 - Whether Defendants violated the applicable Labor Code, Wage Orders and FLSA statutes by not paying all minimum, regular, overtime, double-time, meal period,

members sustained losses, injuries and damages arising from Defendants' common policies, practices, procedures, protocols, routines, and rules which were applied to other class members as well as to Plaintiff. Plaintiff seeks recovery for the same type of losses, injuries, and damages as were suffered by other members of the proposed class.

- 79. Plaintiff is an adequate representative of the proposed class because she is a member of the class and her interests do not conflict with the interests of the members she seeks to represent. Plaintiff has retained competent counsel, experienced in the prosecution of complex class actions, and together Plaintiff and her counsel intend to prosecute this action vigorously for the benefit of the class. The interests of the class members will fairly and adequately be protected by Plaintiff and her attorneys.
- 80. A class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this litigation since individual litigation of the claims of all class members is impracticable. It would be unduly burdensome to the courts if these matters were to proceed on an individual basis, because this would potentially result in hundreds of individual, repetitive lawsuits. Individual litigation presents the potential for inconsistent or contradictory judgments, and the prospect of a "race to the courthouse," and an inequitable allocation of recovery among those with equally meritorious claims. By contrast, the class action device presents far fewer management difficulties and provides the benefit of a single adjudication, economics of scale, and comprehensive supervision by a single court.
- 81. The various claims asserted in this action are additionally or alternatively certifiable under the provisions of the *California Code of Civil Procedure* section 382 because:
 - a. The prosecution of separate actions by numerous individual class members would create a risk or varying adjudications with respect to individual class members, thus establishing incompatible standards of conduct for Defendants; and
 - b. The prosecution of separate actions by individual class members would also create the risk of adjudications with respect to them that, as a practical matter, would be dispositive of the interest of the other class members who are not a party to such adjudications and would substantially impair or impede the ability of such

non-party class members to protect their interests.

CAUSES OF ACTION

<u>I.</u>

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

Fair Labor Standards Act —Minimum Wages

Plaintiff Individually and on Behalf of the Volunteer Class Against All Defendants

- 82. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.
- 83. Defendants have engaged in a widespread pattern, policy, and practice of violating the FLSA, as detailed in this Class Action Complaint.
- 84. The minimum wage provisions set forth in the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §§ 201 et seq., and the supporting federal regulations, apply to Defendants and protect Plaintiff and the members of the Volunteer Class.
- 85. At all relevant times, Plaintiff and the members of the Volunteer Class were employed by an entity engaged in commerce and/or the production or sale of goods for commerce within the meaning of 29 U.S,C, §§ 203(e), (m), and 206(a), and/or they were engaged in commerce and/or the production or sale of goods for commerce within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. §§ 203(e), (r), and (s).
- 86. At all relevant times, Plaintiff and the members of the Volunteer Class were employees of Defendant within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 203(e).
- 87. At all relevant times, Defendants have been an enterprise engaged in commerce and/or the production of goods for commerce within the meaning of 29 U,S.C. §§ 203(e), (r), and (s).
- 88. At all relevant times, Defendants employed Plaintiff and the members of the Volunteer Class within the meaning of 29 U.S.C, § 203(g),
- 89. Defendants have engaged in a policy and/or practice of failing to pay Plaintiff and the Volunteer Class the applicable minimum wage for all hours they suffered or permitted them to work.

	90.	As a result of these minimum wage violations, Plaintiff and the members of the
Volunt	eer Cla	ss have suffered damages in amounts to be determined at trial, and are entitled
to recovery of such amounts, liquidated damages, prejudgment interest, attorneys' fees, costs,		
and other compensation pursuant to 29 U,S.C, § 216(b).		

- 91. Defendants' unlawful conduct, as described in this Class Action Complaint, has been willful and intentional. Defendants were aware or should have been aware that the practices described in this Class Action Complaint are unlawful. Defendants have not made a good-faith effort to comply with the FLSA with respect to the compensation of Plaintiff and the members of the Volunteer Class.
- 92. Because Defendants' violations of the FLSA have been willful, a three-year statute of limitations applies, pursuant to 29 U,S,C. § 255.
- 93. Members of the Volunteer Class are entitled to collectively participate in this action by choosing to "opt-in" and submitting written Consents to Join this action, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

II.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

Fair Labor Standards Act — Overtime Wages

Plaintiff Individually and on Behalf of the Volunteer Class Against All Defendants

- 94. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.
- 95. Defendants engaged in a widespread pattern, policy, and practice of violating the FLSA, as detailed in this Complaint.
- 93. At all times relevant, Plaintiff and the members of the Class were engaged in commerce and/or the production of goods for commerce within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. §§ 206(a) and 207(a).
- 94. The overtime wage provisions set forth in the FLSA apply to Defendants and protect Plaintiff and the Volunteer Class.

- 119. Wage Order 7, at section 12(A) provides, in pertinent part: "Every employer shall authorize and permit all employees to take rest periods, which insofar as practicable shall be in the middle of each work period. The authorized rest period time shall be based on the total hours worked daily at the rate of ten (10) minutes net rest time per four (4) hours or major fraction thereof [...] Authorized rest period time shall be counted as hours worked for which there shall be no deduction from wages."
- 120. Labor Code § 226.7, requires that Defendants provide Plaintiff and each class member all rest periods specified in the applicable Wage Orders and provides that Plaintiff and each class member is entitled to be paid one additional hour of pay per day at their regular rate of compensation as additional wages for the denied rest periods.
- 121. Plaintiff and each California Class member suffered a loss equal to his/her applicable hourly wage rate times the total number of times he/she was not authorized and permitted to take the legally-required rest periods and has therefore not been paid all of the wages due. Accordingly, Plaintiff and each California Class member are entitled to recover the unpaid wages in an amount to be proven at trial.

VIII.

EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION

MEAL PERIODS

(Labor Code §§ 226.7, 512, 558, & 1198, and Wage Order 7)

Plaintiff Individually and on Behalf of the California Class Against Defendants

- 122. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.
- 123. Defendants violated the applicable statues, as well as Wage Order 7. Wage Order 7 provides, in pertinent part: "No employer shall employ any person for a work period of more than five (5) hours without a meal period of not less than 30 minutes, except that when a work period of not more than six (6) hours will complete the day's work the meal period may be waived by mutual consent of the employer and the employee." *Labor Code* § 512 contains

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

133.	Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all allegations in all preceding
aragraphs.	

- 134. Labor Code §§ 201 and 202 require that Defendants pay their employees all wages due within 24 hours after a discharge or 72 hours after a resignation from employment, if the employee has given less than 72 hours notice. Labor Code § 203 provides that if an employer willfully fails to timely pay such wages the employer must, as a penalty, continue to pay the employee's daily wage until the back wages are paid in full or an action is commenced. The penalty cannot exceed 30 days of wages.
- 135. Plaintiff and the California Class members are entitled to compensation for all wages earned, including without limitation, the unpaid minimum, overtime, double time, and premium wages for rest and meal periods not provided, but to date have not received such compensation.
- 136. More than 30 days have passed since Plaintiff and California Class members terminated from their employment with Defendants. Defendants have not paid Plaintiff and each Subclass member whose employment has ended all wages owed. As a consequence of Defendants' willful conduct in not paying Plaintiff and each California Class member all earned wages at the time their employment with Defendants ended, Plaintiff and each California Class member is entitled to 30 days' wages as a penalty under *Labor Code* § 203.

XI.

ELEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

RESTITUTION

(Unlawful Competition in Violation of Business and Professions Code §§ 17200 et seq.)

Plaintiff Individually and on Behalf of the California Class Against Defendants

- 137. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.
- 138. Section 17200 of the California *Business and Professions Code* prohibits any unlawful, unfair or fraudulent business act or practice.
 - 139. Plaintiff brings this cause of action in a representative capacity on behalf of the

 general public and the persons affected by the unlawful and unfair conduct described herein. Plaintiff and members of the California Class have suffered and continue to suffer injury in fact and deprivation of wages and monies as a result of Defendants' actions.

- 140. The actions of Defendants, as herein alleged, amount to conduct which is unlawful and a violation of law. As such, said conduct constitutes unfair business practices, in violation of *Business and Professions Code* §§ 17200 et. seq.
- 141. Defendants' conduct as herein alleged has damaged Plaintiff and the members of the California Class by denying them wages due and payable, and by failing to provide proper wage statements. Defendants' actions are thus substantially injurious to Plaintiff and the members of the California Class, causing them injury in fact and loss of money.
- 142. As a result of such conduct, Defendants have unlawfully and unfairly obtained monies owed to Plaintiff and the members of the California Class.
- 143. Defendant collects resumes, identification, and other documentation from each volunteer, and thus, all members of the California Class can be identified by reference to records in the possession of the Defendants. The amount of wages due to Plaintiff and members of the California Class can be readily determined from Defendants' records. The members of the California Class are entitled to restitution of monies due and obtained by Defendants during the Class Period as a result of Defendants' unlawful and unfair conduct.
- 144. During the Class Period, Defendants committed, and continue to commit acts of unfair competition as defined by Sections 17200 et. seq. of the *Business and Professions Code*, by and among other things, engaging in the acts and practices described above.
- 145. Defendants' course of conduct, acts, and practices in violation of the California laws, as mentioned in each paragraph above, constitute distinct, separate and independent violations of Sections 17200 et seq. of the *Business and Professions Code*.
- 146. The harm to Plaintiff and the members of the California Class of being wrongfully denied lawfully earned but unpaid wages outweighs the utility, if any, of Defendants' policies and practices and, therefore, Defendants' actions described herein constitute an unfair business practice or act within the meaning of *Business and Professions Code* §§ 17200, et seq.

11

12 13

15

14

16

17

18 19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

employers, and the job duties of Class members could under no circumstances be characterized as public service, religious or humanitarian objectives.

- 154. Additionally, Defendants openly and falsely advertised, through their websites. social media and email advertising to Class Members as consumers. Through Defendants' actions, Plaintiff and California Class members were led to believe that the consideration they would receive in exchange for rendering services as a "volunteer" would have value commensurate with the value of the services rendered to Defendants. Defendants represented that volunteers would receive time off during their shifts to enjoy the events at which they worked, and that this in itself was compensation for their work. In reality, Defendants provided "volunteers" with very little time to enjoy the events, and instead maintained control over when and if volunteers were given any leave of their volunteer duties. Thus, the value of the consideration provided to volunteers was systematically and uniformly overstated by Defendants.
- Plaintiff, relying on Defendant's actions and conduct, forewent other opportunities 155. to enter the workforce and earn wages and/or purchase other forms of similar entertainment in exchange for fair consideration, and was forced to incur a higher cost than anticipated in exchange for consideration of a much lower value than advertised, suffering injury in fact and losing money and/or property as a result of Defendants' actions.
- As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' willful and intentional actions, Plaintiff and members of the California Class have suffered damages in an amount to be determined at trial and, unless Defendants are restrained, other consumers and prospective employees will continue to suffer irreparable damage.
- As a proximate result of Defendants' actions, Plaintiff and members of the 157. California Class are entitled to restitution, disgorgement, statutory penalties, wages owed, injunctive relief in the form of specific performance of the contracts, and an injunction ordering Defendants to stop classifying members of their workforces as "volunteers."

ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS

Plaintiff is entitled to fees and costs, pursuant to California law, including, 158.

FLSA CONSENT FORM

Consent to Suc Under the Fair Labor Standards Act (FLSA)

I hereby consent to be an Opt-In Party Plaintiff in a lawsuit or lawsuit against Insomniac, Inc. and LiveNation, and any other entities or individuals who may be liable for violations of the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. 201, et seq., and any other applicable laws.

I worked as a volunteer for Insomniac, Inc. in September 2013. I was not paid any wages during the time I worked for Insomniac, Inc. I should have been paid an hourly wage.

Elizabeth Valladares
Print Name

Elizabeth Valladares
Signature

2 - 28 - 14 Date Signed