WINEBRAKE & SANTILLO, LLC R. Andrew Santillo, Esq. (NJ ID #025512004) Mark J. Gottesfeld, Esq. (NJ ID #027652009) Twining Office Center, Suite 211 715 Twining Road Dresher, PA 19025 (215) 884-2491

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

| WAYLON UNDERWOOD, on behalf of himself and similarly situated employees, | : CIVIL ACTION |
|--|------------------------------------|
| Plaintiff, v. | : NO : : JURY TRIAL DEMANDED |
| KMC ENTERPRISES, INC., | : (Document Filed Electronically) |
| Defendant. | : : |

COMPLAINT - CLASS/COLLECTIVE ACTION

Waylon Underwood ("Plaintiff"), on behalf of himself and similarly situated employees, brings this class/collective action lawsuit against KMC Enterprises, Inc. ("Defendant"), seeking all available relief under the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938 ("FLSA"), 29 U.S.C. §§ 201, et seq., and the New Jersey State Wage and Hour Law ("NJWHL"), N.J.S.A. 34:11-56A, et seq. Plaintiff asserts his FLSA claim as a collective action under 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) and asserts his NJWHL claim as a class action under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the FLSA claim pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331.
- 2. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the NJWHL claim pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367.

3. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391.

PARTIES

- 4. Plaintiff is an individual residing in Cherry Hill, New Jersey (Camden County).
- Defendant is a corporate entity headquartered in Mount Laurel, New Jersey
 (Burlington County).
- 6. Defendant employs individuals, including Plaintiff, engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce and/or handling, selling, or otherwise working on goods or materials that have been moved in or produced in commerce by any person.
 - 7. Defendant is an employer covered by the FLSA and the NJWHL.

FACTS

- 8. Defendant owns and operates a restaurant called Prospectors Steakhouse & Saloon located in Mount Laurel, New Jersey ("the Restaurant").
- 9. During the past three years, Defendant, upon information and belief, has employed well over 50 servers and bartenders at the Restaurant.
- 10. Servers and bartenders are primarily responsible for taking customers' food and drink orders, serving food and drinks to customers, and otherwise waiting on customers at the restaurant tables.
- 11. Plaintiff was employed by Defendant as a server and bartender at the Restaurant from approximately February 2016 until approximately May 2016.
- 12. Defendant paid Plaintiff and other servers and bartenders at the Restaurant an hourly wage below \$7.25/hour.
- 13. Defendant paid its servers \$2.13/hour and paid its bartenders approximately \$4.00/hour.

- 14. In seeking to comply with the FLSA's mandate that employees receive a minimum wage of \$7.25/hour and the NJWHL's mandate that employees receive a minimum wage of \$8.38/hour, Defendant has utilized a "tip credit" for each hour worked by Plaintiff and other servers and bartenders. Thus, under the FLSA, Defendant has enjoyed the benefit of a \$5.12/hour tip credit (\$7.25 minus \$2.13) for servers and a tip credit of approximately \$3.25/hour (\$7.25 minus \$4.00) for bartenders. Meanwhile, under the NJWHL, Defendant has enjoyed the benefit of a \$6.25/hour tip credit (\$8.38 minus \$2.13) for servers and a tip credit of approximately \$4.38/hour (\$8.38 minus \$4.00) for bartenders.
- 15. Defendant has maintained a common policy of requiring Plaintiff and other servers and bartenders to contribute a portion of their tips to "Expediters" (a.k.a. "Expos").
- 16. Expediters' duties primarily consist of readying food orders for pick-up by the servers.
 - 17. Expediters do not receive tips directly from customers.
- 18. This is because Expediters are required by Defendant to physically work in the Restaurant's kitchen and do not interact with restaurant customers.

COLLECTIVE AND CLASS ALLEGATIONS

- 19. Plaintiff brings his FLSA claim pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) on behalf of all individuals who, during any time within the past three years, have been employed as servers and/or bartenders at the Restaurant.
- 20. Plaintiff's FLSA claim should proceed as a collective action because Plaintiff and other potential members of the collective, having worked pursuant to the common policies described herein, are "similarly situated" as that term is defined in 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) and the associated decisional law.

- 21. Plaintiff brings his NJWHL claim pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23 on behalf of all individuals who, during any time within the past two years, have been employed as servers and/or bartenders at the Restaurant.
- 22. The putative class, upon information and belief, includes over 50 individuals, all of whom are readily ascertainable based on Defendant's standard timekeeping and payroll records, and, as such, is so numerous that joinder of all class members is impracticable.
- 23. Plaintiff is a class member, his claims are typical of the claims of other class members, and he has no interests that are antagonistic to or in conflict with the interests of other class members.
- 24. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately represent the class members and their interests, and he has retained competent and experienced counsel who will effectively represent the class members' interests.
- 25. Questions of law and fact are common to all class members, since, *inter alia*, this action concerns the legality of Defendant's standardized compensation practices, including Defendant's practices of using the tip credit to satisfy its minimum wage obligations and requiring class members to share tips with Expediters.
- 26. Class certification is appropriate under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(b)(3) because common questions of law and fact predominate over any questions affecting only Plaintiff and because a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this litigation.

COUNT I (Alleging Violations of the FLSA)

- 27. All previous paragraphs are incorporated as though fully set forth herein.
- 28. The FLSA entitles employees to a minimum hourly wage of \$7.25.

- 29. While restaurants may utilize a tip credit to satisfy their minimum wage obligations to servers and bartenders, they forfeit the right to do so when they require servers and bartenders to share tips with other restaurant employees who do not "customarily and regularly receive tips." *See* 29 U.S.C. § 203(m). Federal courts interpreting this statutory language hold that restaurants lose their right to utilize a tip credit when tips are shared with employees such as Defendant's Expediters whose direct customer interaction is minimal. *See*, *e.g.*, *Montano v. Montrose Restaurant Associates*, *Inc.*, 800 F.3d 186 (5th Cir. 2015); *Ford v. Lehigh Valley Restaurant Group*, *Inc.*, 2014 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 92801 (M.D. Pa. July 9, 2014).
- 30. By requiring Plaintiff and other servers and bartenders to share tips with Expediters, Defendant has forfeited its right to utilize the tip credit in satisfying its minimum wage obligations to Plaintiff and other servers and bartenders. As such, Defendant has violated the FLSA's minimum wage mandate by paying Plaintiff and other servers and bartenders an hourly wage below \$7.25.
- 31. In violating the FLSA, Defendant acted willfully and with reckless disregard of clearly applicable FLSA provisions.

COUNT II (Alleging Violations of the NJWHL)

- 32. All previous paragraphs are incorporated as though fully set forth herein.
- 33. The NJWHL entitles employees to a minimum hourly wage of \$8.38.
- 34. While restaurants may utilize a tip credit to satisfy their minimum wage obligations to servers and bartenders, they forfeit the right to do so when they require servers and bartenders to share tips with other restaurant employees who do not customarily and regularly receive tips.

35. By requiring Plaintiff and other servers and bartenders to share tips with individuals performing the work of Expediters, Defendant has forfeited its right to utilize the tip credit in satisfying its minimum wage obligations to Plaintiff and other servers and bartenders. As such, Defendant has violated the NJWHL's minimum wage mandate by paying Plaintiff and other servers and bartenders an hourly wage below \$8.38.

36. In violating the NJWHL, Defendant has acted willfully and with reckless disregard of clearly applicable NJWHL provisions.

JURY TRIAL DEMAND

Plaintiff demands a jury trial as to all claims so triable.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and other members of the class and collective, seeks the following relief:

A. Unpaid minimum wages equaling the value of the tip credit for every hour worked;

- B. Prejudgment interest;
- C. Liquidated damages;
- D. Litigation costs, expenses, and attorneys' fees; and
- E. Such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

Date: April 17, 2017

<u>s/ R. Andrew Santillo</u>
Peter Winebrake*
R. Andrew Santillo, Esq. (NJ ID #025512004)
Mark J. Gottesfeld, Esq. (NJ ID #027652009)
WINEBRAKE & SANTILLO, LLC
715 Twining Road, Suite 211
Dresher, PA 19025
(215) 884-2491

*Pro Hac Vice admission anticipated

Attorneys for Plaintiff

JS 44 (Rev. 08/16)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

| | OCKET SHEET, (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEAT FAGE C | | | | | | | |
|--|--|-----------|--|--|--|--|-----------------------------------|---|
| I. (a) PLAINTIFFS | | | DEFENDANTS | | | | | |
| WAYLON UNDERWOOD | | | KMC ENTERPRISES, INC. | | | | | |
| (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Camden, NJ (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) | | | County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Burlington, NJ (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED. | | | | | |
| (c) Attorneys (Firm Name, | Address, and Telephone Number) | | Attorneys (If Known) | | | | | |
| | /Mark J. Gottesfeld, Esq., Winebrake & S Suite 211, Dresher, PA 19025: (215) 884 | | | | | | | |
| II. BASIS OF JURISD | ICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only) | | TIZENSHIP OF P | RINCIPA | L PARTIES | | | |
| ☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff | 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party) | | (For Diversity Cases Only) PT en of This State | rf def I □ I | Incorporated or Pri of Business In T | | PTF 4 | DEF |
| 2 U.S. Government Defendant | ☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III) | Citize | en of Another State | 2 🗇 2 | Incorporated and P of Business In A | | □ 5 | □ 5 |
| | | | en or Subject of a reign Country | 3 🗇 3 | Foreign Nation | | 5 6 | 1 6 |
| IV. NATURE OF SUIT | Γ (Place an "X" in One Box Only) TORTS | | orionuguriospieses | | for: Nature of Sui | | | DC 25 |
| □ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment ∞ Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property | PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 360 Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPE 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending A71 Truth in Lending 372 Personal Injury Medical Malpractice CEVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities Other 347 Mandamus & Otl Other 550 Civil Rights 550 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee Conditions of Confinement | RY | Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 00 Other Description of Property 21 USC 881 00 Other Description of Property 21 USC 881 00 Other Labor Standards Act 10 Labor/Management Relations 10 Railway Labor Act 11 Family and Medical Leave Act 10 Other Labor Litigation 11 Employee Retirement Income Security Act 11 Income Security Act 12 Naturalization Application 15 Other Immigration Actions | 422 Appe 423 With 28 U 820 Copy 830 Paten 840 Trade 861 HIA 862 Black 863 DIW 864 SSID 865 RSI (| al 28 USC 158 drawal SC 157 EFF-RIGHTS rights temark SECURITY (1395ft) t Lung (923) C/DIWW (405(g)) Title XVI 405(g)) EFFAX SULFS 6 (U.S. Plaintiff efendant) | □ 375 False Claims Act □ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC □ 3729(a)) □ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced a □ Corrupt Organizations □ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 850 Securities/Commodities □ Exchange □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 895 Freedom of Information □ Act □ 896 Arbitration □ 899 Administrative Procedu □ Agency Decision □ 950 Constitutionality of □ State Statutes | | nument ng need and tions odities/ actions latters mation rocedure |
| Proceeding Sta | rite Court 3 Remanded from Appellate Court Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you a Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C | Reop | (specify) | r District | ☐ 6 Multidistri Litigation Transfer versity): | - 1 | Multidis Litigatio Direct F | on - |
| VI. CAUSE OF ACTION | Brief description of cause: Failure to pay the minimum wage un | nder fede | eral and state law. | | | | | |
| VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: | CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. | N D | EMAND \$ | | HECK YES only URY DEMAND: | | omplai No | |
| VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY | | | | | T NUMBER | | | |
| DATE 04/17/2017 | SIGNATURE OF AT S/ R. Andrew S | | OF RECORD | | | | | |
| FOR OFFICE USE ONLY | MOLINIT A PDI VING IED | | II DGE | | MAG IIII | V:E | | |

CERTIFICATION OF PRIOR PAYMENT OF FILING FEE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on the 7th day of April, 2017, I paid the \$400.00 filing fee associated with the attached Complaint. A copy of the receipt for this payment is attached.

Date: April 17, 2017 Respectfully submitted,

s/R. Andrew Santillo
R. Andrew Santillo
WINEBRAKE & SANTILLO, LLC
715 Twining Road, Suite 211
Dresher, PA 19025
Phone: (215) 884-2491

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Andy Santillo

From:

paygovadmin@mail.doc.twai.gov

Sent:

Friday, April 07, 2017 3:44 PM

To:

Andy Santillo

Subject:

Pay.gov Payment Confirmation: NJD CM ECF

Your payment has been submitted to Pay.gov and the details are below. If you have any questions or you wish to cancel this payment, please contact NJD Finance Dept at 609-989-0468.

Application Name: NJD CM ECF Pay.gov Tracking ID: 26109UQ0 Agency Tracking ID: 0312-7761434

Transaction Type: Sale

Transaction Date: Apr 7, 2017 3:43:55 PM

Account Holder Name: ANDREW SANTILLO

THIS IS AN AUTOMATED MESSAGE. PLEASE DO NOT REPLY.

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Lawsuit Claims Mount Laurel Steakhouse Refuses to Pay Proper Wages</u>