

(Eddie) Jae K. Kim (SBN: 236805)
LYNCH CARPENTER, LLP
117 E Colorado Blvd, Ste 600
Pasadena, CA 91105-3712
Telephone: (213) 723-0707
Facsimile: (858) 313-1850
ekim@lcllp.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff

[Additional counsel on signature page]

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

JACQUELINE UCETA, individually and on
behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

DISCORD, INC.,

Defendant.

Case No. 3:25-cv-08582

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

1 Plaintiff Jacqueline Uceta (“Plaintiff”) brings this Class Action Complaint on behalf of
2 herself, and all others similarly situated, against Defendant Discord, Inc. (“Defendant” or
3 “Discord”), alleging as follows based upon information and belief and investigation of counsel,
4 except as to the allegations specifically pertaining to Plaintiff, which are based on personal
5 knowledge:

6 **NATURE OF THE CASE**

7 1. Plaintiff brings this class action against Defendant Discord, Inc. for its failure to
8 properly secure and safeguard Plaintiff’s and other similarly situated individuals (“Class
9 Members”) personally identifying information, including names, government-ID images (*e.g.*,
10 driver’s license, passport), Discord usernames, emails and other contact details if provided to
11 Discord customer support, limited billing information such as payment type, the last four digits of
12 credit card numbers, and purchase history if associated with Discord account, IP addresses, and
13 messages with Discord’s customer service agents (collectively “PII” or “Private Information”).¹

14 2. Discord, Inc. is a technology company that operates an online communication
15 platform enabling users to connect through voice, video, and text chat across communities and
16 private groups.

17 3. Plaintiff and Class Members are individuals who were required to indirectly and/or
18 directly provide Defendant with their Private Information. By collecting and storing Plaintiff’s and
19 Class Members’ Private Information, Discord has a resulting duty to secure, maintain, protect, and
20 safeguard the Private Information that it collects against unauthorized access and disclosure
21 through reasonable and adequate data security measures.

22 4. Despite Discord’s duty to safeguard the Private Information of Plaintiff and Class
23 Members, their Private Information was compromised when an unauthorized party gained access
24

25
26 ¹ See *Update on a Security Incident Involving Third-Party Customer Service*, Discord (Oct. 3,
27 2025) <https://discord.com/press-releases/update-on-security-incident-involving-third-party-customer-service>.
28

1 to Defendant's third-party customer support services and exfiltrated sensitive data stored therein
2 on or about September 20, 2025 (the "Data Breach").²

3 5. After Discord discovered the Data Breach in September 2025, it conducted an
4 investigation which determined that some data may have been acquired on September 20, 2025.³

5 6. While Defendant claims to have discovered the breach as early as September 20,
6 2025, Defendant did not inform victims of the Data Breach until October 3, 2025. Indeed, Plaintiff
7 and Class Members were wholly unaware of the Data Breach for nearly a month until they received
8 letters from Defendant informing them of it.

9 7. Indeed, it was not until October 3, 2025 when Plaintiff received an email from
10 Defendant notifying her of the Data Breach and theft of her PII.

11 8. Discord maintained the PII of Plaintiff and Class Members in a negligent and/or
12 reckless manner. In particular, Defendant should have been aware of the risks of third-party
13 vulnerabilities and weak access controls. The PII was maintained on a computer system and
14 network in a condition vulnerable to cyberattacks. Upon information and belief, the mechanism of
15 the cyberattack and potential for improper disclosure of Plaintiff's and Class Members' PII was a
16 known risk to Defendant, and thus Defendant was on notice that failing to take steps necessary to
17 secure the PII from those risks left that property in a dangerous condition.

18 9. Defendant disregarded the rights of Plaintiff and Class Members by intentionally,
19 willfully, recklessly, and/or negligently failing to implement adequate and reasonable measures to
20 ensure that Plaintiff's and Class Members' PII was safeguarded, failing to take available steps to
21 prevent unauthorized disclosure of data and failing to follow applicable, required and appropriate
22 protocols, policies, and procedures regarding the encryption of data, even for internal use.

23
24
25

² Ionut Ilascu, *Discord discloses data breach after hackers steal support tickets*,
26 BleepingComputer (Oct. 4, 2025), [https://www.bleepingcomputer.com/news/security/discord-](https://www.bleepingcomputer.com/news/security/discord-discloses-data-breach-after-hackers-steal-support-tickets/)
27 [discloses-data-breach-after-hackers-steal-support-tickets/](https://www.bleepingcomputer.com/news/security/discord-discloses-data-breach-after-hackers-steal-support-tickets/).

³ *Id.*

10. As a result, Plaintiff's and Class Members' PII was compromised by an unauthorized third-party. Plaintiff and Class Members have a continuing interest in ensuring that their information is and remains safe and are entitled to injunctive and other equitable relief.

11. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's failure to implement and follow basic security procedures, Plaintiff's and Class Members' Private Information is now in the hands of cybercriminals.

12. Plaintiff and Class Members are now at a significantly increased and certainly impending risk of fraud, identity theft, intrusion of their, and similar forms of criminal mischief, risk which may last for the rest of their lives. Consequently, Plaintiff and Class Members must devote substantially more time, money, and energy to protect themselves, to the extent possible, from these crimes.

13. Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated, alleges claims for negligence, breach of implied contract, unjust enrichment and declaratory judgment arising from the Data Breach. Plaintiff seeks damages and injunctive relief, including the adoption reasonably sufficient practices to safeguard the Private Information in Defendant's custody to prevent incidents like the Data Breach from reoccurring in the future, and for Defendant to provide identity theft protective services to Plaintiff and Class Members for their lifetimes.

PARTIES

14. Plaintiff Jacqueline Uceta is an adult, who at all relevant times, was a resident and citizen of the State of Florida. Plaintiff received a data breach notice informing her that her Private Information indirectly and/or directly provided to Discord was compromised during the Data Breach.

15. Plaintiff has suffered actual injury from having her Private Information exposed and/or stolen as a result of the Data Breach, including: (a) required mitigation efforts, including researching the Data Breach and needing to monitor her financial statements to ensure her information is not used for identity theft and fraud; (b) damages to and diminution of the value of her Private Information, a form of intangible property that loses value when it falls into the hands

1 of criminals; (c) loss of privacy; and (d) continuous imminent and impending injury raising from
2 increased risk of financial identity theft and fraud.

3 16. As a result of the Data Breach, and the sensitivity of the Private Information
4 compromised, Plaintiff will continue to be at a substantial and certainly impending risk for fraud
5 and identity theft, and their attendant damages, for years to come.

6 17. Defendant Discord, Inc. is a Delaware corporation with its principal executive
7 office located at 444 De Haro Street, Suite 200, San Francisco, California 94107.

8 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

9 18. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2)(A)
10 because this case is a class action where the aggregate claims of all members of the proposed class
11 are in excess of \$5,000,000.00, exclusive of interest and costs, there are 100 or more members of
12 the proposed class, and at least one member of the proposed class is a citizen of a state different
13 than Defendant.⁴

14 19. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because a substantial part of
15 the events, omissions, and acts giving rise to the claims herein occurred in this District and
16 Defendant resides in this District.

17 20. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391, this Court is the proper venue for this action because
18 a substantial part of the events, omissions, and acts giving rise to the claims herein occurred in this
19 District and Defendant resides in this District.

20 **FACTUAL BACKGROUND**

21 21. Defendant is a technology company that develops and operates a digital
22 communication platform designed to enable individuals and communities to connect through
23 voice, video, and text chat, offering tools for social interaction, collaboration, and online
24 community management across a wide range of interests and industries.

25
26 ⁴ See 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(10) (stating that for purposes of CAFA jurisdiction, an unincorporated
27 association deemed to be citizen of State where it has its principal place of business and under
28 whose laws it is organized).

22. Plaintiff and Class Members are and/or were customers of Defendant.

23. As a condition of obtaining Defendant's services, Plaintiff and Class Members directly or indirectly entrusted Discord with their sensitive Private Information.

24. Plaintiff and Class Members value the confidentiality of their Private Information and, accordingly, have taken reasonable steps to maintain the confidentiality of their Private Information.

25. In entrusting their Private Information to Defendant, Plaintiff and Class Members reasonably expected that Defendant would safeguard their highly sensitive information.

26. By obtaining, collecting, and storing Plaintiff's and Class Members' Private Information, Discord assumed equitable and legal duties to safeguard Plaintiff's and Class Members' highly sensitive information, to only use this information for business purposes, and to only make authorized disclosures.

27. Despite these duties, Discord failed to implement reasonable data security measures to protect Plaintiff's and Class Members' Private Information and ultimately allowed threat actors to exfiltrate Plaintiff's and Class Members' Private Information.

THE VALUE OF PRIVATE INFORMATION AND EFFECTS OF UNAUTHORIZED DISCLOSURE

28. Discord understood that the Private Information it collects was highly sensitive and of significant value to those who would use it for wrongful purposes.

29. Discord also knew that a breach and exposure of the Private Information, would result in the increased risk of identity theft and fraud against the individuals whose Private Information was compromised.

30. These risks are not theoretical; in recent years, numerous high-profile breaches have occurred at business such as Equifax, Facebook, Yahoo, Marriott, Anthem, and many others.

31. Moreover, Defendant itself was previously a victim of a breach back in March, 2023 wherein almost 200 individuals had their information stolen.⁵

⁵ *Discord Data Breach: What Happened and How to Prevent It*, Strongdm (last updated March 17, 2025), <https://www.strongdm.com/what-is/discord-data-breach>.

32. Private Information has considerable value and constitutes an enticing and well-known target to hackers. Hackers can easily sell stolen data as there has been “proliferation of open and anonymous cybercrime forums on the Dark Web that serve as a bustling marketplace for such commerce.”⁶

33. As the FTC recognizes, identity thieves can use this information to commit an array of crimes including identity theft, and medical and financial fraud.⁷ The prevalence of data breaches and identity theft has increased dramatically in recent years, accompanied by a parallel and growing economic drain on individual, businesses, and government entities in the U.S. In 2023 alone, there were 6,077 recorded breaches exposing more than 17 billion records - representing a 19.8% year-over-year increase in the United States compared to 2022.⁸ This trend is mirrored in identity theft complaints, which nearly doubled over a four-year span—from 2.9 million reports in 2017 to 5.7 million in 2021.⁹

34. Indeed, a 2022 poll of security executives predicted an increase in attacks over the next two years from “social engineering and ransomware” as nation-states and cybercriminals grow more sophisticated. Unfortunately, these preventable causes will largely come from “misconfigurations, human error, poor maintenance, and unknown assets.”¹⁰

⁶ Brian Krebs, *The Value of a Hacked Company*, Krebs on Security (July 14, 2016), <http://krebsonsecurity.com/2016/07/the-value-of-a-hacked-company/>.

⁷ *What To Know About Identity Theft*, FTC Consumer Advice (Sept. 2024), <https://www.consumer.ftc.gov/articles/0271-warning-signs-identity-theft>.

⁸ *2024 Global Threat Intelligence Report*, Flashpoint (Feb. 29, 2024), <https://go.flashpoint.io/2024-global-threat-intelligence-report-download>.

⁹ *Facts & Statistics: Identity Theft and Cybercrime*, Insurance Information Institute, <https://www.iii.org/fact-statistic/facts-statistics-identity-theft-and-cybercrime#Identity%20Theft%20And%20Fraud%20Reports,%202015-2019%20> (last visited October 7, 2025).

¹⁰ Chuck Brooks, *Alarming Cyber Statistics For Mid-Year 2022 That You Need to Know*, Forbes (June 3, 2022), <https://www.forbes.com/sites/chuckbrooks/2022/06/03/alarming-cyber-statistics-for-mid-year-2022-that-you-need-to-know/?sh=176bb6887864>.

35. In tandem with the increase in data breaches, the rate of identity theft complaints has also increased over the past few years. For instance, 2024 had the second-highest number of data compromises in the U.S. in a single year since such instances began being tracked in 2005.¹¹

36. The ramifications of Discord's failure to keep Plaintiff's and Class Members' Private Information secure are long-lasting and severe. Once Private Information is stolen, fraudulent use of that information and damage to victims may continue for years. According to the U.S. Government Accountability Office, which conducted a study regarding data breaches: "[I]n some cases, stolen data may be held for up to a year or more before being used to commit identity theft. Further, once stolen data have been sold or posted on the [Dark] Web, fraudulent use of that information may continue for years. As a result, studies that attempt to measure the harm resulting from data breaches cannot necessarily rule out all future harm."¹²

37. Even if stolen Private Information does not include financial or payment card account information, that does not mean there has been no harm, or that the breach does not cause a substantial risk of identity theft. Freshly stolen information can be used with success against victims in specifically targeted efforts to commit identity theft known as social engineering or spear phishing. In these forms of attack, the criminal uses the previously obtained PII about the individual, such as name, address, email address, and affiliations, to gain trust and increase the likelihood that a victim will be deceived into providing the criminal with additional information.

38. The specific types of personal data compromised in the Data Breach makes the information particularly valuable to thieves and leaves Plaintiff and other Class Members especially vulnerable to identity theft, tax fraud, credit and bank fraud, and more.

39. **Passport Numbers**—As explained by Aura, a leading identity theft protection service, "[p]assports are among the most widely accepted forms of identification, making them prime targets for scammers and fraudsters. If scammers steal your passport number, they can

¹¹ *Facts + Statistics: Identity Theft and Cybercrime*, n. 9.

¹² *Report to Congressional Requesters, Personal Information, June 2007*, U.S. Gov't Accountability Office, <https://www.gao.gov/new.items/d07737.pdf> (last accessed October 7, 2025).

impersonate you, create fake travel documents, or even open bank accounts in your name.”¹³
 Indeed, when combined with other PII, such as a name, address, or picture, a “passport number enables scammers to impersonate you, access your online accounts, or target you in sophisticated scams that lead to identity theft.”¹⁴

40. Moreover, “[u]nlike credit card data or personal Social Security numbers, there are few mechanisms in place to alert consumers that their passport numbers have been stolen and possibly used for fraud” making it difficult to determine if criminals are using a forged or fraudulent passport in an individual’s name.¹⁵

41. Based on the value of Plaintiff’s and Class Members’ PII to cybercriminals, Discord knew or should have known the importance of safeguarding the PII entrusted to it and of the foreseeable consequences if its data security systems were breached. Discord failed, however, to take adequate cyber security measures to prevent the Data Breach from occurring.

DISCORD BREACHED ITS DUTY TO PROTECT PLAINTIFF’S AND CLASS MEMBERS’ PRIVATE INFORMATION

42. On or about September 20, 2025, Discord became aware of a cybersecurity event impacting its third-party customer service provider. Following the discovery of the incident, Defendant began an investigation to discover the scope of the suspicious activity.

43. Defendant’s investigation confirmed that on or about September 20, 2025, an unauthorized third-party had gained access to Defendant’s third-party customer support services and successfully exfiltrated Private Information stored therein. The Private Information exfiltrated in the Data Breach includes individuals, names, government-ID images (*e.g.*, driver’s license, passport), Discord usernames, emails and other contact details if provided to Discord customer support, limited billing information such as payment type, the last four digits of credit card

¹³ Yaniv Masjedi, *What Can Scammers Do With Your Passport Number?*, Aura (Apr. 12, 2023), <https://www.aura.com/learn/what-can-someone-do-with-your-passport-number#:~:text=If%20scammers%20steal%20your%20passport,could%20still%20be%20at%20risk>.

¹⁴ *Id.*

¹⁵ Kate Fazzini, *Here’s how criminals use stolen passport information*, CNBC (July 5, 2019), <https://www.cnbc.com/2019/07/05/how-criminals-use-stolen-passport-information.html>.

1 numbers, and purchase history if associated with Discord account, IP addresses and messages with
2 Discord's customer service agents.

3 44. On or around October 3, 2025, nearly one month after the Data Breach began,
4 Discord publicly disclosed the Data Breach, indicating the Data Breach compromised the Private
5 Information of certain of its customers. According to the platform's data, Discord is used by over
6 200 million people each month.¹⁶

7 45. Thus, not until around October 3, 2025, did Defendant begin sending the Notice to
8 persons whose PII Defendant confirmed was potentially compromised because of the Data Breach.
9 The Notice provided basic details of the Data Breach and Defendant's recommended next steps.

10 46. The Notice included, *inter alia*, an explanation that Defendant had learned of the
11 Data Breach on September 20, 2025, and had taken steps to respond. But the Notice lacked
12 sufficient information on how the breach occurred, what safeguards have been taken since then to
13 safeguard further attacks, and/or where the information hacked exists today.

14 47. Based on Defendant's announcement of the Data Breach the cyberattack was
15 expressly designed to gain access to private and confidential data of specific individuals, including
16 (among other things) the PII of Plaintiff and the Class Members and that the cybercriminals were
17 successful in exfiltrating sensitive information from Defendant's third-party customer service
18 system.

19 48. The Data Breach occurred as a direct result of Discord's failure to implement and
20 follow basic security procedures to protect its current and former customers' Private Information
21 that it had collected and stored.

22 **DISCORD FAILED TO COMPLY WITH FTC GUIDELINES**

23 49. Discord is prohibited by the Federal Trade Commission Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45 ("FTC
24 Act") from engaging in "unfair or deceptive acts or practices in or affecting commerce." The
25 Federal Trade Commission ("FTC") has concluded that a company's failure to maintain reasonable
26

27 ¹⁶ Ilascu, n 2.

1 and appropriate data security for consumers' sensitive personal information is an "unfair practice"
2 in violation of the FTC Act.

3 50. The FTC has promulgated numerous guides for businesses that highlight the
4 importance of implementing reasonable data security practices. According to the FTC, the need
5 for data security should be factored into all business decision-making.¹⁷

6 51. Among other guidance, the FTC recommends the following cybersecurity
7 guidelines for businesses in order to protect sensitive information in their systems:¹⁸

- 8 a. Identify all connections to the computers where sensitive information is
9 stored;
- 10 b. Assess the vulnerability of each connection to commonly known or
11 reasonably foreseeable attacks;
- 12 c. Do not store sensitive consumer data on any computer with an internet
13 connection unless it is essential for conducting their business;
- 14 d. Scan computers on their network to identify and profile the operating system
15 and open network services. If services are not needed, they should be disabled
16 to prevent hacks or other potential security problems. For example, if email
17 service or an internet connection is not necessary on a certain computer, a
18 business should consider closing the ports to those services on that computer
19 to prevent unauthorized access to that machine;
- 20 e. Pay particular attention to the security of their web applications - the software
21 used to give information to visitors to their websites and to retrieve
22 information from them. Web applications may be particularly vulnerable to a
23 variety of hack attacks;
- 24 f. Use a firewall to protect their computers from hacker attacks while it is
25 connected to a network, especially the internet;
- 26 g. Determine whether a border firewall should be installed where the business's
27 network connects to the internet. A border firewall separates the network from
28 the internet and may prevent an attacker from gaining access to a computer

25 ¹⁷ *Start with Security – A Guide for Business*, United States Federal Trade Comm'n (2015),
26 <https://www.ftc.gov/system/files/documents/plain-language/pdf0205-startwithsecurity.pdf>.

27 ¹⁸ *Protecting Personal Information: A Guide for Business*, United States Federal Trade Comm'n,
28 https://www.ftc.gov/system/files/documents/plain-language/pdf-0136_proteting-personal-information.pdf (last accessed October 7, 2025).

on the network where sensitive information is stored. Set access controls - settings that determine which devices and traffic get through the firewall - to allow only trusted devices with a legitimate business need to access the network. Since the protection a firewall provides is only as effective as its access controls, they should be reviewed periodically;

- h. Monitor incoming traffic for signs that someone is trying to hack in. Keep an eye out for activity from new users, multiple log-in attempts from unknown users or computers, and higher-than-average traffic at unusual times of the day; and
- i. Monitor outgoing traffic for signs of a data breach. Watch for unexpectedly large amounts of data being transmitted from their system to an unknown user. If large amounts of information are being transmitted from a business's network, the transmission should be investigated to make sure it is authorized.

52. The FTC further recommends that companies not maintain PII longer than is needed for authorization of a transaction; limit access to private data; require complex passwords to be used on networks; use industry-tested methods for security; monitor for suspicious activity on the network; and verify that third-party service providers have implemented reasonable security measures.¹⁹

53. The FTC has brought enforcement actions against businesses for failing to adequately and reasonably protect customer data, treating the failure to employ reasonable and appropriate measures to protect against unauthorized access to confidential consumer data as an unfair act or practice prohibited by Section 5 of the FTC Act. Orders resulting from these actions further clarify the measures businesses must take to meet their data security obligations.

54. Discord failed to properly implement basic data security practices. Discord's failure to employ reasonable and appropriate measures to protect against unauthorized access to its customers' PII constitutes an unfair act or practice prohibited by Section 5 of the FTC Act.

55. Discord was at all times fully aware of its obligations to protect the PII of its customers given the reams of PII that it had access to. Discord was also aware of the significant

¹⁹ *Id.*

1 repercussions that would result from a failure to properly secure the Private Information it
2 maintained.

3 **DISCORD’S FAILURE TO PREVENT, IDENTIFY, AND TIMELY REPORT THE DATA BREACH**

4 56. Discord admits that an unauthorized third-party accessed its information
5 technology system and that Defendant discovered this unauthorized access on or about September
6 20, 2025.²⁰

7 57. Discord failed to take necessary precautions and failed to employ adequate
8 measures necessary to protect its computer systems against unauthorized access and keep
9 Plaintiff’s and Class Members’ Private Information secure.

10 58. The Private Information that Discord allowed to be exposed in the Data Breach is
11 the type of private information that Discord knew or should have known would be the target of
12 cyberattacks.

13 59. Despite its own knowledge of the inherent risks of cyberattacks, and
14 notwithstanding the FTC’s data security principles and practices,²¹ Discord failed to disclose that
15 its systems and security practices were inadequate to reasonably safeguard individuals’ Private
16 Information.

17 60. The FTC directs businesses to use an intrusion detection system to expose a breach
18 as soon as it occurs, monitor activity for attempted hacks, and have an immediate response plan if
19 a breach occurs.²² Immediate notification to individuals impacted by a data breach is critical so
20 that those impacted can take measures to protect themselves.

21 61. Here, Discord inexcusably waited for nearly one month after the Data Breach
22 occurred to notify impacted individuals.

23
24
25 ²⁰ *Update on a Security Incident Involving Third-Party Customer Service*, n. 1.

26 ²¹ *Protecting Personal Information: A Guide for Business*, United States Federal Trade Comm’n,
27 [https://www.ftc.gov/system/files/documents/plain-language/pdf-0136_proteting-personal-](https://www.ftc.gov/system/files/documents/plain-language/pdf-0136_proteting-personal-information.pdf)
28 [information.pdf](https://www.ftc.gov/system/files/documents/plain-language/pdf-0136_proteting-personal-information.pdf) (last accessed October 7, 2025)..

²² *Id.*

62. Plaintiff and Class Members remain in the dark regarding what data was stolen, the particular malware used, and what steps are being taken to secure their PII in the future. Thus, Plaintiff and Class Members are left to speculate as to where their PII ended up, who has used it, and for what potentially nefarious purposes. Indeed, they are left to further speculate as to the full impact of the Data Breach and how Defendant intends to enhance its information security systems and monitoring capabilities to prevent further breaches.

PLAINTIFF AND CLASS MEMBERS SUFFERED DAMAGES

63. The ramifications of Discord's failure to keep Private Information secure are long-lasting and severe. Once Private Information is stolen, fraudulent use of that information and damage to victims may continue for years.

64. Once Private Information is exposed, there is virtually no way to ensure that the exposed information has been fully recovered or obtained against future misuse. For this reason, Plaintiff and Class Members will need to maintain these heightened measures for years, and possibly their entire lives as a result of Defendant's conduct. Further, the value of Plaintiff's and Class Members' Private Information has been diminished by its exposure in the Data Breach.

65. PII remains of high value to criminals, as evidenced by the prices they will pay through the dark web. Numerous sources cite dark web pricing for stolen identity credentials. For example, personal information can be sold at a price ranging from \$40 to \$200, and bank details have a price range of \$50 to \$200.²³ "Fullz" packages, which includes "extra information about the legitimate credit card owner in case" the scammer's "bona fides are challenged when they attempt to use the credit card" are also offered on the dark web.²⁴

66. Plaintiff and Class Members are at substantial increased risk of suffering identity theft and fraud or misuse of their Private Information as a result of the Data Breach. From a recent study, 28% of individuals affected by a data breach become victims of identity fraud - this is a

²³ *Stolen PII & Ramifications: Identity Theft and Fraud on the Dark Web*, Armor (Apr. 3, 2018), <https://res.armor.com/resources/blog/stolen-pii-ramifications-identity-theft-fraud-dark-web/>.

²⁴ *Id.*

significant increase from a 2012 study that found only 9.5% of those affected by a breach would be subject to identity fraud. Without a data breach, the likelihood of identify fraud is only about 3%.²⁵

67. Further, Plaintiff and Class Members have incurred and will incur out of pocket costs for protective measures, such as identity theft protection, credit monitoring, credit report fees, credit freeze fees, and similar costs related to the Data Breach.

68. Besides the monetary damage sustained in the event of identity theft, consumers may have to spend hours trying to resolve identity theft issues. For example, the FTC estimates that it takes consumers an average of 200 hours of work over approximately six months to recover from identity theft.²⁶

69. Plaintiff and Class Members are also at a continued risk because their information remains in Discord's systems, which the Data Breach showed are susceptible to compromise and attack and are subject to further attack so long as Discord fails to take necessary and appropriate security and training measures to protect the Private Information in its possession.

70. Plaintiff and Class Members have suffered emotional distress as a result of the Data Breach, the increased risk of identity theft and financial fraud, and the unauthorized exposure of their Private Information to strangers.

71. As a result of Discord's failure to prevent the Data Breach, Plaintiff and Class Members have suffered and will continue to suffer injuries, including out of pocket expenses; loss of time and productivity through efforts to ameliorate, mitigate, and deal with the future consequences of the Data Breach; theft of their valuable Private Information; the imminent and certainly impending injury flowing from fraud and identity theft posed by their Private Information being disclosed to unauthorized recipients and cybercriminals; damages to and diminution in value

²⁵ Stu Sjouwerman, *28 Percent of Data Breaches Lead to Fraud*, KnowBe4, <https://blog.knowbe4.com/bid/252486/28-percent-of-data-breaches-lead-to-fraud> (last accessed October 7, 2025).

²⁶ Kathryn Parkman, *How to Report identity Theft*, ConsumerAffairs (Feb. 17, 2022), <https://www.consumeraffairs.com/finance/how-to-report-identity-theft.html>.

1 of their Private Information; and continued risk to Plaintiff's and the Class Members' Private
2 Information, which remains in the possession of Defendant and which is subject to further breaches
3 so long as Discord fails to undertake appropriate and adequate measures to protect the Private
4 Information entrusted to it.

5 72. Furthermore, Defendant has not offered any type of subscription for identity theft
6 monitoring and/or identity theft protection. Its failure to provide an adequate remedy is
7 unreasonable given that victims are likely to face a prolonged and continuing risk of identity theft
8 for years to come.

9 73. Moreover, Defendant's lack of a credit monitoring offer and advice to Plaintiff and
10 Class Members squarely place the burden on Plaintiff and Class Members, rather than on
11 Defendant, to remain vigilant when encountering messages or other communications that appear
12 suspicious. In other words, Defendant expects Plaintiff and Class Members to protect themselves
13 from its tortious acts resulting from the Data Breach. Rather than automatically enrolling Plaintiff
14 and Class Members in credit monitoring services upon discovery of the Data Breach, Defendant
15 merely gave insufficient guidance to Plaintiff and Class Members about actions they could
16 affirmatively take to protect themselves.

17 74. A complete lack of adequate services fail to provide for the fact that victims of data
18 breaches and other unauthorized disclosures commonly face multiple years of ongoing identity
19 theft and financial fraud, and they entirely fail to provide any compensation for the unauthorized
20 release and disclosure of Plaintiff's and Class Members' PII.

21 **CLASS ALLEGATIONS**

22 75. Plaintiff brings this class action on behalf of herself and all other individuals who
23 are similarly situated pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

24 76. Plaintiff seeks to represent a class of persons to be defined as follows:

25 All individuals in the United States whose Private Information was
26 compromised in the Data Breach (the "Class").
27
28

1 77. Excluded from the Class are Discord, its subsidiaries and affiliates, officers and
2 directors, any entity in which Defendant has a controlling interest, the legal representative, heirs,
3 successors, or assigns of any such excluded party, the judicial officer(s) to whom this action is
4 assigned, and the members of their immediate families.

5 78. This proposed class definition is based on the information available to Plaintiff at
6 this time. Plaintiff may modify the class definition in an amended pleading or when she moves for
7 class certification, as necessary to account for any newly learned or changed facts as the situation
8 develops and discovery gets underway.

9 79. **Numerosity:** Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that there are
10 at minimum, hundreds of members of the Class described above. The exact size of the Class and
11 the identities of the individual members are identifiable through Defendant's records, including
12 but not limited to the files implicated in the Data Breach.

13 80. **Commonality:** This action involved questions of law and fact common to the
14 Class. Such common questions include but are not limited to:

- 15 a. Whether Defendant had a duty to protect the Private Information of Plaintiff
16 and Class Members;
- 17 b. Whether Defendant was negligent in collecting and storing Plaintiff's and
18 Class Members' Private Information, and breached its duties thereby;
- 19 c. Whether Plaintiff and Class Members are entitled to damages as a result of
20 Defendant's wrongful conduct; and
- 21 d. Whether Plaintiff and Class Members are entitled to restitution as a result of
22 Defendant's wrongful conduct.

23 81. **Typicality:** Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the members of the Class.
24 The claims of Plaintiff and members of the Class are based on the same legal theories and arise
25 from the same unlawful and willful conduct. Plaintiff and members of the Class were all customers
26 of Defendant, and each had their Private Information exposed and/or accessed by an unauthorized
27 third-party.

1 82. **Adequacy of Representation:** Plaintiff is an adequate representative of the Class
2 because her interests do not conflict with the interests of the members of the Class. Plaintiff will
3 fairly, adequately, and vigorously represent and protect the interests of the members of the Class
4 and has no interests antagonistic to the members of the Class. In addition, Plaintiff has retained
5 counsel who are competent and experienced in the prosecution of class action litigation. The claims
6 of Plaintiff and the Class Members are substantially identical as explained above.

7 83. **Superiority:** This class action is appropriate for certification because class
8 proceedings are superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this
9 controversy and joinder of all members of the Class is impracticable. This proposed class action
10 presents fewer management difficulties than individual litigation, and provides the benefits of
11 single adjudication, economies of scale, and comprehensive supervision by a single court. Class
12 treatment will create economies of time, effort, and expense, and promote uniform decision-
13 making.

14 84. **Predominance:** Common questions of law and fact predominate over any
15 questions affecting only individual Class Members. Similar or identical violations, business
16 practices, and injuries are involved. Individual questions, if any, pale by comparison, in both
17 quality and quantity, to the numerous common questions that dominate this action. For example,
18 Defendant's liability and the fact of damages are common to Plaintiff and each member of the
19 Class. If Defendant breached its duty to Plaintiff and Class Members, then Plaintiff and each Class
20 member suffered damages by that conduct.

21 85. **Injunctive Relief:** Defendant has acted and/or refused to act on grounds that apply
22 generally to the Class, making injunctive and/or declaratory relief appropriate with respect to the
23 Class under Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(2).

24 86. **Ascertainability:** Members of the Class are ascertainable. Class membership is
25 defined using objective criteria, and Class Members may be readily identified through Defendant's
26 books and records.

CLAIMS FOR RELIEF

**COUNT I
NEGLIGENCE**

(On Behalf of Plaintiff and the Class)

87. Plaintiff re-alleges the above allegations as if fully set forth herein.

88. Plaintiff and Class Members provided their Private Information to Defendant as a condition of obtaining services from Defendant.

89. Defendant owed a duty to Plaintiff and Class Members to exercise reasonable care in securing, safeguarding, storing, and protecting the PII collected from them from being compromised, lost, stolen, accessed and misused by unauthorized parties. This duty includes, among other things, designing, maintaining, overseeing, and testing Defendant's security systems to ensure that PII in Discord's possession was adequately secured and protected

90. Defendant had full knowledge of the sensitivity of the Private Information and the types of harm that Plaintiff and Class Members could and would suffer if their Private Information were wrongfully disclosed.

91. Defendant owed a duty of care to Plaintiff and Class Members to provide reasonable security, consistent with industry standards, to ensure that its systems and networks and third-party providers adequately protected their Private Information.

92. Defendant had a special relationship with Plaintiff and Class Members. Plaintiff's and Class Members' willingness to entrust Discord with their Private Information was predicated on the understanding that Discord would take adequate security precautions to protect their PII.

93. By assuming the responsibility to collect and store this data, Defendant had duties of care to use reasonable means to secure and to prevent disclosure of the information, and to safeguard the information from theft.

94. Plaintiff and members of the Class entrusted Defendant with their PII with the understanding that Discord would safeguard their information.

95. Defendant's conduct also created a foreseeable risk of harm to Plaintiff and Class

Members by failing to: (i) secure its systems and exercise adequate oversight of its data security protocols; (ii) ensure compliance with industry standard data security practices, (iii) implement adequate system and event monitoring, and (iv) implement the systems, policies, and procedures necessary to prevent the Data Breach.

96. Defendant knew, or should have known, of the risks inherent in collecting and storing PII, the vulnerabilities of its systems, and the importance of adequate security. Defendant should have been aware of numerous, well-publicized data breaches in the months and years preceding the Data Breach.

97. Defendant breached its common law duty to act with reasonable care in collecting and storing the Private Information of its customers, which exists independently from any contractual obligations between the parties. Specifically, Defendant breached its common law, statutory, and other duties to Plaintiff and Class Members in numerous ways, including by:

- a. failing to adopt reasonable data security measures, practices, and protocols;
- b. failing to implement data security systems, practices, and protocols sufficient to protect Plaintiff's and Class Members' PII;
- c. storing former Plaintiff's and Class Members' PII longer than reasonably necessary;
- d. failing to comply with industry-standard data security measures; and
- e. failing to timely disclose critical information regarding the nature of the Data Breach.

98. Defendant's failure to implement and maintain adequate data security measures to protect Plaintiff's and Class Members' Private Information created conditions conducive to a foreseeable, intentional criminal act in the form of the Data Breach. Plaintiff and Class Members did not contribute to the Data Breach or the subsequent misuse of their Private Information.

99. Defendant owed a duty of care to Plaintiff and Class Members to provide data security consistent with industry standards and other requirements discussed herein, and to ensure that their systems and networks, and the personnel responsible for them, adequately protected the

1 Private Information.

2 100. Moreover, Defendant had a duty to promptly and adequately notify Plaintiff and
3 Class Members of the Data Breach.

4 101. Defendant had and continues to have duties to adequately disclose that the Private
5 Information of Plaintiff and Class Members within Defendant's possession might have been
6 compromised, how it was compromised, and precisely the types of data that were compromised
7 and when. Such notice is necessary to allow Plaintiff and Class Members to take steps to prevent,
8 mitigate, and repair any identity theft and the fraudulent use of their Private Information by third
9 parties.

10 102. Defendant's conduct was particularly unreasonable given the nature and amount of
11 Private Information it obtained and stored and the foreseeable consequences of the immense
12 damages that would result to Plaintiff and Class Members.

13 103. Defendant has acknowledged that the Private Information of Plaintiff and Class
14 Members was disclosed to unauthorized third persons as a result of the Data Breach.

15 104. Defendant's conduct was particularly unreasonable given the nature and amount of
16 Private Information it obtained and the foreseeable consequences of the immense damages that
17 would result to Plaintiff and Class Members.

18 105. But for Defendant's wrongful and negligent breaches of duties owed to Plaintiff
19 and Class Members, the Private Information of Plaintiff and Class Members would not have been
20 compromised.

21 106. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff and Class
22 Members have and will suffer damages including, but not limited to: (i) the loss of value of their
23 Private Information and loss of opportunity to determine for themselves how their PII is used; (ii)
24 the publication and/or theft of their PII; (iii) out-of-pocket expenses associated with the prevention,
25 detection, and recovery from identity theft, tax fraud, and/or unauthorized use of their PII; (iv) lost
26 opportunity costs associated with addressing and attempting to mitigate the actual and future
27 consequences of the Data Breach, including, but not limited to, efforts spent researching how to
28

1 prevent, detect, contest and recover from tax fraud and identity theft; (v) time, effort, and expense
2 associated with placing fraud alerts or freezes on credit reports; (vi) anxiety, emotional distress,
3 loss of privacy, and other economic and non-economic losses; (vii) the continued risk to their PII,
4 which remains in Defendant's possession and is subject to further unauthorized disclosures so long
5 as Discord fails to undertake appropriate and adequate measures to protect it; and (viii) future costs
6 in terms of time, effort and money that will be expended to prevent, detect, contest, and repair the
7 inevitable and continuing consequences of compromised for the rest of their lives.

8 107. But for Defendant's wrongful and negligent breaches of duties owed to Plaintiff
9 and Class Members, the Private Information of Plaintiff and Class Members would not have been
10 compromised.

11 108. There is a close causal connection between Defendant's failure to implement
12 security measures to protect the Private Information of Plaintiff and Class Members and the harm,
13 or risk of imminent harm, suffered by Plaintiff and Class Members. The Private Information of
14 Plaintiff and Class Members was lost and accessed as the proximate result of Defendant's failure
15 to exercise reasonable care in safeguarding such Private Information by adopting, implementing,
16 and maintaining appropriate security measures.

17 109. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's negligence, Plaintiff and Class
18 Members have suffered and will suffer injury, including but not limited to: (i) invasion of privacy;
19 (ii) lost or diminished value of Private Information; (iii) lost time and opportunity costs associated
20 with attempting to mitigate the actual consequences of the Data Breach; (iv) loss of benefit of the
21 bargain; (v) an increase in spam calls, texts, and/or emails; and (vi) the continued and certainly
22 increased risk to their Private Information, which: (a) remains unencrypted and available for
23 unauthorized third parties to access and abuse; and (b) remains backed up in Defendant's
24 possession and is subject to further unauthorized disclosures so long as Defendant fails to undertake
25 appropriate and adequate measures to protect the Private Information.

26 110. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's negligence, Plaintiff and the Class
27 have suffered and will continue to suffer other forms of injury and/or harm, including, but not
28

1 limited to, anxiety, emotional distress, loss of privacy, and other economic and non-economic
2 losses.

3 111. In addition, Discord had a duty to employ reasonable security measures under
4 Section 5 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45, which prohibits “unfair . . . practices in or affecting
5 commerce,” including, as interpreted and enforced by the FTC, the unfair practice of failing to use
6 reasonable measures to protect confidential data.

7 112. Defendant's violation of federal statutes, including the FTC Act, constitutes
8 negligence *per se*.

9 113. Additionally, as a direct and proximate result of Defendant’s negligence and
10 negligence *per se*, Plaintiff and the Class have suffered and will suffer the continued risks of
11 exposure of their Private Information, which remain in Defendant’s possession and is subject to
12 further unauthorized disclosures so long as Defendant fail to undertake appropriate and adequate
13 measures to protect the Private Information in its continued possession.

14 114. Plaintiff and Class Members are therefore entitled to damages, including restitution
15 and unjust enrichment, declaratory and injunctive relief, and attorneys’ fees, costs, and expenses.

16
17 **COUNT II**
18 **Breach of Implied Contract**
(On Behalf of Plaintiff and the Class)

19 115. Plaintiff re-alleges the above allegations as if fully set forth herein.

20 116. In connection with obtaining services from Defendant, Plaintiff and Class Members
21 entered into implied contracts with Discord.

22 117. Plaintiff and Class Members were required to deliver their Private Information to
23 Defendant as part of the process of obtaining services from Defendant.

24 118. Defendant required Class Members to provide their Private Information in order to
25 obtain services from Defendant. Plaintiff and Class Members accepted Defendant’s offers and
26 provided their Private Information to Defendant.

27 119. Defendant accepted possession of Plaintiff’s and Class Members’ Private
28

Information for the purpose of providing services to Plaintiff and Class Members.

120. When Plaintiff and Class Members provided their PII to Discord, either directly or indirectly, as a pre-condition for services, they entered into implied contracts with Discord.

121. Pursuant to these implied contracts, in exchange for the consideration and PII provided by Plaintiff and Class Members, Defendant agreed to, among other things, and Plaintiff and Class Members understood that Discord would: (i) provide products and/or services to Plaintiff and Class Members; (ii) implement reasonable measures to protect the security and confidentiality of Plaintiff's and Class Members' PII; and (iii) protect Plaintiff's and Class Members' PII in compliance with federal and state laws and regulations and industry standards

122. In entering into such implied contracts, Plaintiff and Class Members reasonably believed and expected that Defendant's data security practices complied with relevant laws and regulations and were consistent with industry standards.

123. Implicit in the agreement between Plaintiff and Class Members and Defendant to provide Private Information, was the latter's obligation to: (i) use such Private Information for business purposes only, (ii) take reasonable steps to safeguard that Private Information, (iii) prevent unauthorized disclosures of the Private Information, (iv) provide Plaintiff and Class Members with prompt and sufficient notice of any and all unauthorized access and/or theft of their Private Information, (v) reasonably safeguard and protect the Private Information of Plaintiff and Class Members from unauthorized disclosure or uses, and (vi) retain the Private Information only under conditions that kept such information secure and confidential.

124. The protection of PII was a material term of the implied contracts between Plaintiff and Class Members, on the one hand, and Defendant, on the other hand. Indeed, as set forth herein, Defendant recognized its duty to provide adequate data security and ensure the privacy of its customers' PII with its practice of providing a privacy policy on its website.

125. Plaintiff and Class Members performed their obligations under the implied contract when they provided Defendant with their PII.

126. Defendant breached its obligations under its implied contracts with Plaintiff and

1 Class Members in failing to implement and maintain reasonable security measures to protect and
2 secure their PII and in failing to implement and maintain security protocols and procedures to
3 protect Plaintiff's and Class Members' PII in a manner that complies with applicable laws,
4 regulations, and industry standards

5 127. The mutual understanding and intent of Plaintiff and Class Members on the one
6 hand, and Defendant, on the other, is demonstrated by their conduct and course of dealing.

7 128. On information and belief, at all relevant times, Defendant promulgated, adopted,
8 and implemented written privacy policies whereby it expressly promised Plaintiff and Class
9 Members that it would only disclose Private Information under certain circumstances, none of
10 which relate to the Data Breach.

11 129. On information and belief, Defendant further promised to comply with industry
12 standards and to make sure that Plaintiff's and Class Members' Private Information would remain
13 protected.

14 130. Plaintiff and Class Members would not have entrusted their Private Information to
15 Defendant in the absence of the implied contract between them and Defendant to keep their
16 information reasonably secure.

17 131. Plaintiff and Class Members would not have entrusted their Private Information to
18 Defendant in the absence of its implied promise to monitor its computer systems and networks to
19 ensure that it adopted reasonable data security measures.

20 132. Plaintiff and Class Members fully and adequately performed their obligations under
21 the implied contracts with Defendant.

22 133. Defendant breached the implied contracts it made with Plaintiff and the Class by
23 failing to safeguard and protect their Private Information, by failing to delete the information of
24 Plaintiff and the Class once the relationship ended, and by failing to provide accurate notice to
25 them that Private Information was compromised as a result of the Data Breach

26 134. Defendant breached the implied contracts by failing to maintain adequate computer
27 systems and data security practices to safeguard Private Information, failing to timely and
28

1 accurately disclose the Data Breach to Plaintiff and Class Members and continued acceptance of
2 Private Information and storage of other personal information after Defendant knew, or should
3 have known, of the security vulnerabilities of the systems that were exploited in the Data Breach.

4 135. Defendant's breach of its obligations of its implied contracts with Plaintiff and
5 Class Members directly resulted in the Data Breach and the injuries that Plaintiff and Class
6 Members have suffered from the Data Breach.

7 136. Plaintiff and Class Members suffered by virtue of Defendant's breach of their
8 implied contracts because: (i) they paid for data security protection they did not receive; (ii) they
9 face a substantially increased risk of identity theft - risks justifying expenditures for protective and
10 remedial services for which they are entitled to compensation; (iii) their PII was improperly
11 disclosed to unauthorized individuals; (iv) the confidentiality of their PII has been breached; (v)
12 they were deprived of the value of their PII, for which there is a well-established national and
13 international market; (vi) they have lost time and incurred expenses, and will incur future costs to
14 mitigate and remediate the effects of the Data Breach, including the increased risks of identity theft
15 they face and will continue to face; and (vii) they have overpaid for the services they received
16 without adequate data security.

17 137. Plaintiff and Class Members are entitled to compensatory, consequential, and
18 nominal damages suffered as a result of the Data Breach.

19 138. Plaintiff and Class Members are also entitled to injunctive relief requiring
20 Defendant to, *e.g.*, (i) strengthen its data security systems and monitoring procedures; (ii) submit
21 to future annual audits of those systems and monitoring procedures; and (iii) immediately provide
22 adequate credit monitoring to all Class Members.

23 **COUNT III**
24 **BREACH OF THE IMPLIED COVENANT OF GOOD FAITH**
25 **AND FAIR DEALING**
26 **(On Behalf of Plaintiff and the Class)**

27 139. Plaintiff re-alleges the above allegations as if fully set forth herein.
28

1 140. Every contract in the State of California has an implied covenant of good faith and
2 fair dealing. This implied covenant is an independent duty and may be breached even when there
3 is no breach of a contract's actual and/or express terms.

4 141. Plaintiff and Class Members have complied with and performed all conditions of
5 their contracts with Defendant.

6 142. Defendant breached the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing by failing
7 to maintain adequate computer systems and data security practices to safeguard PII, failing to
8 timely and accurately disclose the Data Breach to Plaintiff and Class Members, and continued
9 acceptance of PII and storage of other personal information after Defendant knew or should have
10 known of the security vulnerabilities of the systems that were exploited in the Data Breach.

11 143. Defendant acted in bad faith and/or with malicious motive in denying Plaintiff and
12 Class Members the full benefit of their bargains as originally intended by the parties, thereby
13 causing them injury in an amount to be determined at trial.

14 **COUNT IV**
15 **UNJUST ENRICHMENT**
16 **(On Behalf of Plaintiff and the Class)**

17 144. Plaintiff re-alleges the above allegations as if fully set forth herein.

18 145. This count is plead in the alternative to the breach of implied contract count above.

19 146. By its wrongful acts and omissions described herein, Defendant has obtained a
20 benefit by unduly taking advantage of Plaintiff and Class Members.

21 147. Plaintiff and Class Members conferred a benefit on Defendant, whereby they
22 provided their Private Information to Defendant in connection with receiving certain services.

23 148. Defendant prior to and at the time Plaintiff and Class Members entrusted it with
24 their PII, caused Plaintiff and Class Members to reasonably believe that it would keep that Private
25 Information secure.

26 149. The monies Defendant was paid in its ordinary course of business included a
27 premium for Defendant's cybersecurity obligations and were supposed to be used by Defendant,
28

1 in part, to pay for the administrative and other costs of providing reasonable data security and
2 protection for Plaintiff's and Class Members' Private Information.

3 150. Defendant knew that Plaintiff and Class Members conferred a benefit upon it and
4 accepted and retained that benefit by accepting and retaining the Private Information entrusted to
5 it. Defendant profited from Plaintiff's retained data and used Plaintiff's and Class Members'
6 Private Information for business purposes.

7 151. Defendant failed to disclose facts pertaining to its substandard information systems,
8 or defects and vulnerabilities therein before Plaintiff and Class Members made their decisions to
9 provide Defendant with their Private Information.

10 152. Defendant enriched itself by hoarding the costs it reasonably should have expended
11 on data security measures to secure Plaintiff's and Class Members' Private Information. Instead of
12 providing a reasonable level of security that would have prevented the Data Breach, Defendant
13 calculated to increase its own profit at the expense of Plaintiff and Class Members by utilizing
14 cheap, ineffective security measures and diverting those funds to its own personal use. Plaintiff
15 and Class Members, on the other hand, suffered as a direct and proximate result of Defendant's
16 decision to prioritize its own profits over the requisite security and the safety of their Private
17 Information.

18 153. Defendant failed to provide reasonable security, safeguards, and protections to the
19 Private Information of Plaintiff and Class Members, and as a result, Defendant was overpaid.

20 154. Under principles of equity and good conscience, Defendant should not be permitted
21 to retain any of the benefits that Plaintiff and Class Members conferred upon it.

22 155. Plaintiff and Class Members have no adequate remedy at law.

23 156. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff and Class
24 Members have suffered and will suffer injury, including but not limited to: (i) invasion of privacy;
25 (ii) lost or diminished value of Private Information; (iii) lost time and opportunity costs associated
26 with attempting to mitigate the actual consequences of the Data Breach; (iv) loss of benefit of the
27 bargain; (v) an increase in spam calls, texts, and/or emails; and (vi) the continued and certainly
28

1 increased risk to their Private Information, which: (a) remains unencrypted and available for
2 unauthorized third parties to access and abuse; and (b) remains backed up in Defendant's
3 possession and is subject to further unauthorized disclosures so long as Defendant fails to
4 undertake appropriate and adequate measures to protect the Private Information.

5 157. Plaintiff and Class Members are entitled to full refunds, restitution, and/or damages
6 from Defendant and/or an order proportionally disgorging all profits, benefits, and other
7 compensation obtained by Defendant from its wrongful conduct. This can be accomplished by
8 establishing a constructive trust from which Plaintiff and Class Members may seek restitution or
9 compensation.

10 **COUNT V**
11 **DECLARATORY JUDGMENT**
(On Behalf of Plaintiff and the Class)

12 158. Plaintiff re-alleges the above allegations as if fully set forth herein.

13 159. Under the Declaratory Judgment Act, 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201, *et seq.*, this Court is
14 authorized to enter a judgment declaring the rights and legal relations of the parties and grant
15 further necessary relief. Furthermore, the Court has broad authority to restrain acts, such as here,
16 that are tortious and violate the terms of the federal and state statutes described in this Complaint.

17 160. An actual controversy has arisen in the wake of the Data Breach regarding
18 Plaintiff's and Class Members' Private Information and whether Discord is currently maintaining
19 data security measures adequate to protect Plaintiff and Class Members from further data breaches
20 that compromise their PII. Plaintiff alleges that Discord's data security measures remain
21 inadequate. Furthermore, Plaintiff continues to suffer injury as a result of the compromise of her
22 PII and remains at imminent risk that further compromises of her PII will occur in the future.

23 161. Pursuant to its authority under the Declaratory Judgment Act, this Court should
24 enter a judgment declaring, among other things, the following:

- 25 a. Discord owes a legal duty to secure customers' Private Information and to
26 timely notify impacted individuals of a data breach under the common law,
27 and various state statutes; and

b. Discord continues to breach this legal duty by failing to employ reasonable measures to secure Private Information in its possession.

162. This Court also should issue corresponding prospective injunctive relief requiring Discord to employ adequate security protocols consistent with law and industry standards to protect Private Information in Discord's data network.

163. If an injunction is not issued, Plaintiff will suffer irreparable injury, and lack an adequate legal remedy, in the event of another data breach at Discord. The risk of another such breach is real, immediate, and substantial. If another breach at Discord occurs, Plaintiff will not have an adequate remedy at law because many of the resulting injuries are not readily quantified and she will be forced to bring multiple lawsuits to rectify the same conduct.

164. The hardship to Plaintiff if an injunction is not issued exceeds the hardship to Discord if an injunction is issued. Plaintiff will likely be subjected to substantial identity theft and other damage. On the other hand, the cost to Discord of complying with an injunction by employing reasonable prospective data security measures is relatively minimal, and Discord has a pre-existing legal obligation to employ such measures.

165. Issuance of the requested injunction will not disserve the public interest. In contrast, such an injunction would benefit the public by preventing another data breach at Discord, thus eliminating the additional injuries that would result to Plaintiff and customers whose confidential information would be further compromised.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment as follows:

A. For an Order certifying this action as a class action, appointing Plaintiff as class representative for the Class, and appointing her counsel to represent the Class;

B. For equitable relief enjoining Discord from engaging in the wrongful conduct complained of herein pertaining to the misuse and/or disclosure of Plaintiff's and Class Members' PII, and from refusing to issue prompt, complete and accurate disclosures to Plaintiff and Class Members;

C. For equitable relief compelling Discord to utilize appropriate methods and policies with respect to customer data collection, storage, and safety, and to disclose with specificity the types of PII compromised as a result of the Data Breach;

D. For equitable relief requiring restitution and disgorgement of the revenues wrongfully retained as a result of Discord's wrongful conduct;

E. Ordering Discord to pay for not less than ten years of credit monitoring services for Plaintiff and Class Members;

F. For an award of actual damages, compensatory damages, statutory damages, and statutory penalties, in an amount to be determined, as allowable by law;

G. For an award of punitive damages, as allowable by law;

H. For an award of attorneys' fees and costs, and any other expense, including expert witness fees;

I. Pre- and post-judgment interest on any amounts awarded; and

J. Such other and further relief as this court may deem just and proper.

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Plaintiff demands a trial by jury on all claims so triable.

Dated: October 7, 2025

Respectfully submitted,

LYNCH CARPENTER, LLP

/s/ (Eddie) Jae K. Kim
(Eddie) Jae K. Kim (SBN: 236805)
117 E. Colorado Blvd, Suite 600
Pasadena, CA 91105-3712
Telephone: (213) 723-0707
Facsimile: (858) 313-1850
ekim@lcllp.com

-and-

Gerald D. Wells, III (SBN: 257496)
1760 Market Street, Suite 600
Philadelphia, PA 19103
T: 267-609-6910
jerry@lcllp.com

***Attorneys for Plaintiff and the
Proposed Class***