## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

UDY TROPP, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

PHOENIX FINANCIAL SERVICES, LLC

Defendants.

#### **CIVIL ACTION**

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff UDY TROPP (hereinafter, "Plaintiff"), a New York resident, brings this class action complaint by and through his attorney, Joseph H. Mizrahi Law, P.C., against Defendants PHOENIX FINANCIAL SERVICES, LLC (hereinafter "Defendant"), individually and on behalf of a class of all others similarly situated, pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, based upon information and belief of Plaintiff's counsel, except for allegations specifically pertaining to Plaintiff, which are based upon Plaintiff's personal knowledge.

#### INTRODUCTION/PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

- 1. Congress enacted the FDCPA in 1977 in response to the "abundant evidence of the use of abusive, deceptive, and unfair debt collection practices by many debt collectors." 15 U.S.C. § 1692(a). At that time, Congress was concerned that "abusive debt collection practices contribute to the number of personal bankruptcies, to material instability, to the loss of jobs, and to invasions of individual privacy." *Id.* Congress concluded that "existing laws . . . [we]re inadequate to protect consumers," and that "the effective collection of debts" does not require "misrepresentation or other abusive debt collection practices." 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692(b) & (c).
- 2. Congress explained that the purpose of the Act was not only to eliminate abusive debt collection practices, but also to "insure that those debt collectors who refrain from using

abusive debt collection practices are not competitively disadvantaged." *Id.* § 1692(e). After determining that the existing consumer protection laws were inadequate, *id.* § 1692(b), Congress gave consumers a private cause of action against debt collectors who fail to comply with the Act. *Id.* § 1692k.

#### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 3. The Court has jurisdiction over this class action under 28 U.S.C. § 1331, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 *et seq.* and 28 U.S.C. § 2201. If applicable, the Court also has pendent jurisdiction over the state law claims in this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a).
- 4. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2).

#### **NATURE OF THE ACTION**

- 5. Plaintiff brings this class action on behalf of a class of New York consumers seeking redress for Defendant's actions of using an unfair and unconscionable means to collect a debt.
- 6. Defendant's actions violated § 1692 et seq. of Title 15 of the United States Code, commonly referred to as the Fair Debt Collections Practices Act ("FDCPA") which prohibits debt collectors from engaging in abusive, deceptive and unfair practices.
- 7. Plaintiff is seeking damages, and declaratory and injunctive relief.

#### **PARTIES**

- 8. Plaintiff is a natural person and a resident of the State of New York, and is a "Consumer" as defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692(a)(3).
- 9. Defendant is a collection agency with its principal office located in Indianapolis, Indiana.
- 10. Defendant is a company that uses the mail, telephone, and facsimile and regularly engages in business the principal purpose of which is to attempt to collect debts alleged to be due another.
- 11. Defendant is a "debt collector," as defined under the FDCPA under 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).

#### **CLASS ALLEGATIONS**

- 12. Plaintiff brings claims, pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure (hereinafter "FRCP")
  Rule 23, individually and on behalf of the following consumer class (the "Class"):
  - All New York consumers who received a collection Letter from Defendant attempting to collect an obligation owed to or allegedly owed to NES Medical Services of NY PC, that contains the alleged violation arising from Defendant's failure to adequately advise the consumer of their right to dispute the debt in violation of 15 U.S.C. §1692e, et seq.
  - The Class period begins one year to the filing of this Action.
- 13. The Class satisfies all the requirements of Rule 23 of the FRCP for maintaining a class action:
  - Upon information and belief, the Class is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable because there are hundreds and/or thousands of persons who have received debt collection Letter and/or notices from Defendant that fail to adequately advise the consumer of their right to dispute the debt in violation of the FDCPA. Plaintiff is complaining of a standard form Letter and/or notice that is sent to hundreds of persons (*See* Exhibit A, except that the undersigned attorney has, in accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 5.2 partially redacted the financial account numbers in an effort to protect Plaintiff's privacy);
  - There are questions of law and fact which are common to the Class and which
    predominate over questions affecting any individual Class member. These
    common questions of law and fact include, without limitation:
    - a. Whether Defendant violated various provisions of the FDCPA;
    - b. Whether Plaintiff and the Class have been injured by Defendant's conduct;

- c. Whether Plaintiff and the Class have sustained damages and are entitled to restitution as a result of Defendant's wrongdoing and if so, what is the proper measure and appropriate statutory formula to be applied in determining such damages and restitution; and
- d. Whether Plaintiff and the Class are entitled to declaratory and/or injunctive relief.
- Plaintiff's claims are typical of the Class, which all arise from the same operative facts and are based on the same legal theories.
- Plaintiff has no interest adverse or antagonistic to the interest of the other members of the Class.
- Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interest of the Class and has retained experienced and competent attorneys to represent the Class.
- A Class Action is superior to other methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the claims herein asserted. Plaintiff anticipates that no unusual difficulties are likely to be encountered in the management of this class action.
- A Class Action will permit large numbers of similarly situated persons to prosecute their common claims in a single forum simultaneously and without the duplication of effort and expense that numerous individual actions would engender. Class treatment will also permit the adjudication of relatively small claims by many Class members who could not otherwise afford to seek legal redress for the wrongs complained of herein. Absent a Class Action, class members will continue to suffer losses of statutory protected rights as well as monetary damages. If

- Defendant's conduct is allowed to proceed without remedy they will continue to reap and retain the proceeds of their ill-gotten gains.
- Defendant has acted on grounds generally applicable to the entire Class, thereby
  making appropriate final injunctive relief or corresponding declaratory relief
  with respect to the Class as a whole.

#### **ALLEGATIONS OF FACT PARTICULAR TO UDY TROPP**

- 14. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs numbered "1" through "13" herein with the same force and effect as if the same were set forth at length herein.
- 15. Defendant collects and attempts to collect debts incurred or alleged to have been incurred for personal, family or household purposes on behalf of creditors using the United States Postal Services, telephone and Internet.
- 16. Upon information and belief, within the last year Defendant commenced efforts to collect an alleged consumer "debt" as defined by 15 U.S.C. 1692a(5), when it mailed a Collection Letter to Plaintiff seeking to collect on an unpaid account originally owed to NES Medical Services of NY PC.
- 17. On or around February 3, 2017, Defendant sent Plaintiff a collection letter (the "Letter"). *See* Exhibit A.
- 18. The Letter was sent or caused to be sent by persons employed by Defendant, as "any person that uses any instrumentality of interstate commerce or the mails in any business the principal purpose of which is the collection of any debts..." as defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692a(6).
- 19. The Letter is a "communication" as defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692a(2).
- 20. The Letter was an initial communication between Plaintiff and Defendant.
- 21. As a result of the following Counts Defendant violated the FDCPA.

# Firsts Count 15 U.S.C. §1692g(a)(3) Suggesting a Dispute Must be Made in Writing

- 22. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs numbered "1" through "21" herein with the same force and effect as if the same were set forth at length herein.
- 23. 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(3) requires the notice to include a statement that unless the consumer, within thirty days after receipt of the notice, disputes the validity of the debt, or any portion thereof, the debt will be assumed to be valid by the debt collector.
- 24. There is no requirement that the consumer dispute the debt in writing.
- 25. It is a violation of FDCPA to require disputes be made in writing.
- 26. It is a violation of the FDCPA to include language in the Letter that overshadows the required 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(3) statement.
- 27. It is a violation of the FDCPA to include language in the Letter that contradicts the required 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(3) statement.
- 28. It is a violation of the FDCPA to include language in the Letter that, when examined from the perspective of the least sophisticated consumer, overshadows the required § 1692g(a)(3) statement.
- 29. It is a violation of the FDCPA to include language in the Letter that, when examined from the perspective of the least sophisticated consumer, contradicts the required § 1692g(a)(3) statement.
- 30. It is a violation of the FDCPA to include language in the Letter that, when examined from the perspective of the least sophisticated consumer, leads the least sophisticated consumer to believe that her dispute must be in writing.

- 31. Defendant's February 3, 2017 communication provides, on the back thereof, in bold type-face, "PLEASE SEND DPAYMENTS AND CORRESPONDENCE TO: PO box 361450, Indianapolis, IN 46236-1450...You can email your disputes or others concerns to..."
- 32. Disputes need not be in writing. *Hooks v. Forman, Holt, Eliades & Ravin, LLC*, 717 F.3d 282 (2d Cir. 2013).
- 33. Disputes may be made orally.
- 34. The language concerning written disputes overshadows the required 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(3) statement.
- 35. The language concerning written disputes contradicts the required 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(3) statement.
- 36. The language concerning written disputes, when examined from the perspective of the least sophisticated consumer, overshadows the required § 1692g(a)(3) statement.
- 37. The language concerning written disputes, when examined from the perspective of the least sophisticated consumer, contradicts the required § 1692g(a)(3) statement.
- 38. The language concerning written disputes, when examined from the perspective of the least sophisticated consumer, leads the least sophisticated consumer to believe that her dispute must be in writing.
- 39. Defendant has violated § 1692g as the above-referenced language overshadows the information required to be provided by that Section. See *Vetrano v. CBE Grp., Inc.*, 2016 WL 4083384 (E.D.N.Y. Aug. 1, 2016).

# Second Count Violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692e, et seq False and Misleading Representations

- 40. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs numbered "1" through "39" herein with the same force and effect as if the same were set forth at length herein.
- 41. 15 U.S.C. § 1692e prohibits a debt collector from using any false, deceptive, or misleading representation or means in connection with the collection of any debt.
- 42. While § 1692e specifically prohibits certain practices, the list is non-exhaustive, and does not preclude a claim of falsity or deception based on non-enumerated practice.
- 43. For purposes of 15 U.S.C. § 1692e, the failure to clearly provide the consumer with complete and accurate information notifying them of their rights and obligations is unfair and deceptive to the least sophisticated consumer.
- 44. Collection notices are deceptive if they can be reasonably read to have two or more different meanings, one of which is inaccurate.
- 45. The question of whether a collection Letter is deceptive is determined from the perspective of the "least sophisticated consumer."
- 46. Because the collection Letter in the instant case was reasonably susceptible to an inaccurate reading concerning Plaintiff's right to dispute the debt by something other than in writing, it is deceptive within the meaning of the FDCPA.
- 47. When confronted with the Defendant's Letter which stated, "PLEASE SEND PAYMENTS AND CORRESPONDENCE TO..." it is reasonable for the least sophisticated consumer to believe that CORRESPONDCES such as the request to dispute the debt would be needed in writing. That is inaccurate since you can also call to orally dispute the debt.

- 48. When confronted with Defendant's Letter which states, "You can email your disputes or other concerns to..." it would be a reasonable reassurance that disputes should be sent in writing. As such, it would be reasonable for the least sophisticated consumer to understand that statement to mean that in order to dispute the debt you must send them a written dispute. That would be inaccurate since they can dispute the debt verbally.
- 49. The least sophisticated consumer would likely be deceived by Defendants' conduct.
- 50. The least sophisticated consumer would likely be deceived in a material way by Defendant's conduct.
- 51. The least sophisticated consumer would be unsure as to whether a writing or oral communication is necessary to dispute the underlying debt.
- 52. Defendant's conduct violated 15 U.S.C. §1692e. There is no requirement that the consumer dispute the debt in writing.
- 53. Because the Letter could be read by the least sophisticated consumer to have two or more meanings, one of which is inaccurate, it violates 15 U.S.C. § 1692e.

#### **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendants as follows:

- (a) Declaring that this action is properly maintainable as a Class Action and certifying Plaintiff as Class representative and Joseph H. Mizrahi Law, P.C., as Class Counsel;
- (b) Awarding Plaintiff and the Class statutory damages;
- (c) Awarding Plaintiff and the Class actual damages;
- (d) Awarding Plaintiff costs of this Action, including reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses;
- (e) Awarding pre-judgment interest and post-judgment interest; and

(f) Awarding Plaintiff and the Class such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Joseph H. Mizrahi
Joseph H. Mizrahi, Esq.
Joseph H. Mizrahi Law, P.C.
337 Avenue W, Suite 2F
Brooklyn, New York 11223
Phone: (347) 927-4529

Fax: (347) 665-1545

Email: Jmizrahilaw@gmail.com

Attorney for Plaintiff

#### **DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY**

Pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff hereby requests a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

/s/ Joseph H. Mizrahi
Joseph H. Mizrahi, Esq.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York

July 12, 2017

JS 44 (Rev. 06/17)

### Case 1:17-cv-04135 Document 2-1 Filed 07/12/17 Page 1 of 2 PageID #: 11

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of initiating the civil do	ocket sneet. (SEE INSTRUC	TIONS ON NEXT PAGE O	OF THIS FO	RM.)			
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS			
UDY TROPP, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated,			ed,	PHOENIX FINANCIAL SERVICES, LLC			
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant  (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)  NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.			
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A	Address, and Telephone Numbe	r)		Attorneys (If Known)			
JOSEPH H. MIZRAHI LA 11223, (347) 927-4529	-		n, NY	,			
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)	III. CI	TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PAR	TIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintify	
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	■ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government I	Not a Party)		(For Diversity Cases Only) P1 en of This State	1 🗖 1 Incorporat	and One Box for Defendant)  PTF DEF ed or Principal Place	
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citize	en of Another State		ed <i>and</i> Principal Place	
W. MARNIDE OF CHIM				en or Subject of a reign Country			
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		orts	F	ORFEITURE/PENALTY	Click here for: D BANKRUPTCY	Nature of Suit Code Descriptions.  OTHER STATUTES	
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment ☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits ☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise ☐ REAL PROPERTY ☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability ☐ 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY  □ 310 Airplane □ 315 Airplane Product Liability □ 320 Assault, Libel &	PERSONAL INJUR    365 Personal Injury - Product Liability     367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability     368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability     368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability     370 Other Fraud     371 Truth in Lending     380 Other Personal Property Damage     385 Property Damage Product Liability     PRISONER PETITIO     Habeas Corpus:     463 Alien Detainee     510 Motions to Vacate Sentence     530 General     535 Death Penalty Other:     540 Mandamus & Oth     550 Civil Rights     555 Prison Condition	1	LABOR  O Fair Labor Standards Act O Labor/Management Relations O Railway Labor Act Family and Medical Leave Act O Other Labor Litigation Employee Retirement Income Security Act  IMMIGRATION Note: Income Security Act  IMMIGRATION Note: Income Security Act  O Other Labor Litigation Application Of the Company of the Compan	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 1 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157  PROPERTY RIGH □ 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent □ 835 Patent - Abbrevia New Drug Applic □ 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURIT □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (4 □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g))  FEDERAL TAX SU □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plain or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	375 False Claims Act	
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in		☐ 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement					
▼1 Original □ 2 Rea	moved from	Appellate Court		pened Anothe (specify)	r District Lit Tra	ultidistrict	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	15 USC 1692	nuse:	re filing (I	Oo not cite jurisdictional stat	utes unless diversity):		
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	UNDER RULE 2	IS A <b>CLASS ACTION</b> 3, F.R.Cv.P.	N D	EMAND \$	CHECK YE JURY DEM	Sonly if demanded in complaint:  MAND:   Yes □ No	
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKET NUMB	ER	
DATE 07/12/2017 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		signature of at /s/ JOSEPH H.					
	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE	MA	AG. JUDGE	

#### 

#### CERTIFICATION OF ARBITRATION ELIGIBILITY

Local Arbitration Rule 83.10 provides that with certain exceptions, actions seeking money damages only in an amount not in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs, are eligible for compulsory arbitration. The amount of damages is presumed to be below the threshold amount unless a certification to the contrary is filed.

I, JOSEPI	h H. MIZRHA	counsel for PLAINTIFF, do hereby certify that the above captioned civil action is empulsory arbitration for the following reason(s):			
mongn	× 101 €0	monetary damages sought are in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs,			
		the complaint seeks injunctive relief,			
	X	Questions of law rather than questions of fact predominate <u>DISCLOSURE STATEMENT - FEDERAL RULES CIVIL PROCEDURE 7.1</u>			
NONE		Identify any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation that owns 10% or more or its stocks:			
		RELATED CASE STATEMENT (Section VIII on the Front of this Form)			
provides because same jud case: (A)	that "A ci the cases a lge and ma ) involves	s that are arguably related pursuant to Division of Business Rule 50.3.1 in Section VIII on the front of this form. Rule 50.3.1 (a) ivil case is "related" to another civil case for purposes of this guideline when, because of the similarity of facts and legal issues or arise from the same transactions or events, a substantial saving of judicial resources is likely to result from assigning both cases to the agistrate judge." Rule 50.3.1 (b) provides that "A civil case shall not be deemed "related" to another civil case merely because the civil identical legal issues, or (B) involves the same parties." Rule 50.3.1 (c) further provides that "Presumptively, and subject to the power mine otherwise pursuant to paragraph (d), civil cases shall not be deemed to be "related" unless both cases are still pending before the			
		NY-E DIVISION OF BUSINESS RULE 50.1(d)(2)			
1.)	Is the ci County:	vil action being filed in the Eastern District removed from a New York State Court located in Nassau or Suffolk			
2.)		nswered "no" above: he events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in Nassau or Suffolk			
	b) Did t District	he events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in the Eastern			
Suffolk	County, olk Count	equestion 2 (b) is "No," does the defendant (or a majority of the defendants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or or, in an interpleader action, does the claimant (or a majority of the claimants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau by?  ote: A corporation shall be considered a resident of the County in which it has the most significant contacts).			
BAR ADMISSION					
I am cu	rrently ad	Imitted in the Eastern District of New York and currently a member in good standing of the bar of this court.  Yes  No			
Are you	currently	y the subject of any disciplinary action (s) in this or any other state or federal court?  Yes (If yes, please explain) No			

I certify the accuracy of all information provided above.

Signature:\_/s/ Joseph H. Mizrahi

### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of New York					
UDY TROPP, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated	) ) )				
Plaintiff(s)  V.  PHOENIX FINANCIAL SERVICES, LLC	Civil Action No.				
Defendant(s)	)				
To: (Defendant's name and address)  SUMMONS IN PHOENIX FINANCIAL SER CORPORATION SERVICE 80 STATE STREET ALBANY, NEW YORK 122	COMPANY				
are the United States or a United States agency, or an office	V, P.C. F				
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be You also must file your answer or motion with the court.	e entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.				
	DOUGLAS C. PALMER CLERK OF COURT				
Date:					
	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk				

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

#### PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

		ne of individual and title, if ar	ny)					
was re	ceived by me on (date)		·					
	☐ I personally served	the summons on the ind	lividual at (place)					
			on (date)	; or				
	☐ I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with (name)							
		, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,						
	on (date)	on (date), and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or						
	☐ I served the summons on (name of individual)  designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of (name of organization)							
	designated by law to	accept service of process						
			on (date)	; or				
	☐ I returned the summ	nons unexecuted because	e	; or				
	☐ Other ( <i>specify</i> ):							
	My fees are \$	for travel and S	for services, for a total of \$	0.00				
	I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.							
Date:		-						
			Server's signature					
		_	Printed name and title					
		_	Server's address					

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

#### MISC - TMP: 131010

ONPHXF01 PO Box 1022 Wixom MI 48393-1022 ADDRESS SERVICE REQUESTED

February 3, 2017

1000 341109435 դիլոնըիդիոլյանինիակներոյինիլինիինիկերի հեմելի Udy Tropp

used for payment	Cards
CREDIT CARD#	
CARDHOLDER NAME	Amount Paid:
CARDHOLDER SIGNATURE	\$

Total of Accounts listed below: \$354.95
Please reference this number on your check

PLEASE SEND PAYMENTS & CORRESPONDENCE TO: PHOENIX FINANCIAL SERVICES LLC
PO Box 361450
Indianapolis IN 46236-1450
Indianapolis IN 46236-1450

Phoenix Financial Services LLC ◆ 8902 Otis Ave, Ste 103 ◆ Indianapolis, IN 46216-1077 ◆ 855-342-6567

\*\*\*PLEASE DETACH TOP PORTION AND RETURN WITH PAYMENT\*\*\*

				Date of
Acct#	Original Acct#	Current Creditor	Balance	Service
461	3142890-1516278	NES MEDICAL SERVICES OF NY PC	327.06 27.89	09/22/15 09/22/15
462	3142890-1516279	NES MEDICAL SERVICES OF NY PC	27.00	00,,

\* No interest, fees or other charges have accrued on the above account(s).

THIS NOTICE IS FROM A DEBT COLLECTOR

Dear Udy Tropp,

The balance(s) on the account(s) for services or equipment received from the above-referenced creditor(s) have been placed with Phoenix Financial Services, LLC for collections.

Please remit the full balance(s).

The above is subject to your rights to validate the above-referenced debt(s) as follows:

This notice is from a debt collector. This is an attempt to collect a debt and any information obtained will be used to collect the debt. Unless you notify this office within 30 days after receiving this notice that you dispute the validity of this debt or any portion thereof, this office will assume this debt is valid. If you notify this office, in writing, within 30 days from receiving this notice that you dispute the validity of this debt, or any portion thereof, this office will obtain verification of the debt or obtain a copy of a judgment and mail you a copy of such judgment or verification. If you request of this office in writing within 30 days after receiving this notice, this office will provide you with the name and address of the original creditor, if different from the current creditor.

Phone: 855-342-6567 Chad Edmonson

Calls to or from this company may be monitored or recorded.

PLEASE SEND PAYMENTS AND CORRESPONDENCE TO: PO Box 361450, Indianapolis IN 46236-1450

Any returned checks may be collected electronically.

You can email your disputes or other concerns to





YOU CAN MAKE A PAYMENT ONLINE: Please scan the QR code with your smart device to access our online payment portal or go to <a href="https://www.phoenixfinancialsvcs.com">www.phoenixfinancialsvcs.com</a> and click on "Pay Online".

Notice: See reverse side for important information

Phoenix Financial Services LLC ♦ 8902 Otis Ave, Ste 103 ♦ Indianapolis, IN 46216-1077 ♦ 855-342-6567

## **ClassAction.org**

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <a href="Phoenix Financial Services Accused of Limiting Consumers">Phoenix Financial Services Accused of Limiting Consumers</a> Rights to Dispute Debts