IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF KENTUCKY COVINGTON DIVISION

MICHAEL W. TOMLIN, individually and on behalf of those similarly situated 3 Cardinal Drive Florence, KY 41042	Case No.
and MARILYN TOMLIN, individually and on behalf of those similarly situated 3 Cardinal Drive Florence, KY 41042	<u>CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT</u> <u>FOR DAMAGES PURSUANT TO</u> <u>THE FAIR CREDIT REPORTING</u> <u>ACT, 15 U.S.C. 1681, <i>et seq.</i>, <u>KENTUCKY REVISED</u> <u>STATUTES 367.110, <i>et seq.</i>, AND</u> <u>28 U.S.C. 2201, <i>et seq</i>.</u></u>
Plaintiffs v.	<u>JURY DEMAND ENDORSED</u> <u>HEREON</u>
EQUIFAX INFORMATION SERVICES, LLC % Corporation Service Company 421 W. Main Street Frankfort, KY 40601	
Defendants	

Plaintiffs Michael W. Tomlin and Marilyn Tomlin. ("Plaintiffs"), through Counsel, for themselves and on behalf of all others similarly situated and for their Class Action Complaint against Defendant Equifax Information Services, LLC, state as follows:

PARTIES, JURISDICTION, AND VENUE

1. Plaintiff Michael W. Tomlin is a natural person and at all relevant times has been residing in Boone County, Kentucky. Plaintiff is, and at all times relevant was, a "consumer" as that term is understood under 15 U.S.C. 1681a(c).

2. Plaintiff Marilyn Tomlin is a natural person and at all relevant times has been residing in Boone County, Kentucky. Plaintiff is, and at all times relevant was, a "consumer" as that term is understood under 15 U.S.C. 1681a(c).

3. Defendant Equifax Information Services, LLC ('Equifax") is a limited liability corporation incorporated under the laws of the State of Georgia with its principal place of business located at 1550 Peachtree Street NE, Atlanta, GA and doing business in the State of Kentucky.

4. Equifax is a "Consumer Reporting Agency" (or "CRA") as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. 1681a(f).

5. Equifax is also a "Consumer Reporting Agency that Compiles and Maintains Files on Consumers on a Nationwide Basis" as that term is defined under 15 U.S.C. 1681a(p).

6. This Court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1331, as this case alleges a violation of federal law, specifically the Fair Credit Reporting Act, 15 U.S.C. 1681, *et seq.* ("FCRA").

7. This Court has supplemental jurisdiction to hear all state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1367.

8. Venue in this District is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) and (c), as the Plaintiffs reside within the District, a substantial portion of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred in this District, and Equifax regularly conducts business in this District.

INTRODUCTION

9. The United States Congress has found the banking system is dependent upon fair and accurate credit reporting. Inaccurate credit reports directly impair the efficiency of the banking system, and unfair credit reporting methods undermine the public confidence, which is essential to the continued functioning of the banking system. Congress enacted the Fair Credit Reporting Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1681, *et seq.* ("FCRA"), to insure fair and accurate credit reporting, promote efficiency in the banking system, and, as most relevant to this Complaint, protect consumer privacy. The FCRA imposes duties on the CRA's to protect consumer's sensitive personal information.

10. The FCRA protects consumers through a tightly wound set of procedural protections from the material risk of harms that otherwise follow from the compromise of a consumer's sensitive personal information. Thus, through the FCRA, Congress struck a balance between the credit industry's desire to base credit decisions on accurate information, and a consumer's substantive right to protection from damage to reputation, shame, mortification, and emotional distress that naturally follows from the compromise of a person's identity.

11. A central duty that the FCRA imposes upon CRAs is the duty to protect the consumer's privacy by guarding against inappropriate disclosure to third parties. 15 U.S.C. 1681b codifies this duty, and permits a CRA to disclose a consumer's information only for one of a handful of exclusively defined "permissible purposes." To ensure compliance, CRAs must maintain reasonable procedures to ensure that such third party disclosures are made exclusively for permissible purposes. 15 U.S.C. 1681e(a).

3

12. The FCRA defines "consumer report" broadly, as "any written, oral, or other communication of any information by a CRA bearing on a consumer's credit worthiness, credit standing, credit capacity, character, general reputation, personal characteristics, or mode of living which is used or expected to be used or collected in whole or in part for the purpose of serving as a factor in establishing the consumer's eligibility for (A) credit or insurance to be used primarily for personal, family, or household purposes; (B) employment purposes; or (C) any other purpose authorized under section 1681b of this title." 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(d).

13. The FCRA also entitles the consumer to take an active role in the protection of his or her sensitive personal information, by giving the consumer a right to request "All information in the consumer's file at the time of the request." 15 U.S.C. 1681g(a)(1). Through immediate review of the details of when, and for what purpose, a consumer's information has been disclosed to a third party, a consumer may better understand whether their identity has been stolen.

14. The FCRA also entitles consumers to actively protect their privacy rights in cases of suspected identity theft. Specifically, a consumer who believes he or she has been the victim of identity theft can submit a fraud alert to a consumer reporting agency. 15 U.S.C. 1681c-1. The consumer can either request that the fraud alert be imposed for a 90-day period, or for an extended period of seven years. 15 U.S.C. 1681c-1(a)-(b). In the event a consumer requests "extended" protection, a consumer reporting agency must remove the consumer from any list of third parties to whom the agency sends the consumer's information to extend firm offers of credit, and keep the consumer off of any such a list for five years, unless the consumer requests

4

otherwise. 15 U.S.C. 1681c-1(b)(1)(B). After being notified of a fraud alert, a CRA must send notification of the alert to the consumer reporting agencies which report information on a nationwide basis. 15 U.S.C. 1681c-1(a)(1)(B); *see* 15 U.S.C. 1681a(p).

15. After fraud notification, the FCRA provides the consumer additional rights to independently monitor their credit information to protect their privacy. Specifically, once notified of a consumer's fraud notification, a CRA must, within three days of the notification, provide the consumer with all of the disclosures required under 15 U.S.C. 1681g. 15 U.S.C. 1681c-1(a)(2), 1681c-1(b)(2). When a consumer requests that an "extended" fraud alert be placed on their files, the consumer is entitled to request two free disclosures under 15 U.S.C. 1681g. under 15 U.S.C. 1681g within the 12-month period following notification of a fraud alert. 15 U.S.C. 1681c-1(b).

16. Thus, through immediate review of the details of when, and for what purpose, a consumer's private information has been disclosed to a third party, a consumer may better understand whether their identity has been stolen. And through semi-annual review of their consumer disclosures in the case of an "extended" alert, a consumer can periodically check to determine whether efforts to protect their identity after potential fraud have not been successful. Thus, the FCRA presupposes that consumers subject to potential fraud should be permitted the immediate opportunity to investigate the issues themselves and ascertain the extent of any suspected fraud.

17. Plaintiffs, individually and on behalf of those similarly situated, bring this action to challenge the actions of Defendant in the protection and safekeeping of the Plaintiffs' and Class members' personal information.

5

18. Defendant failed to properly safeguard the information of Plaintiffs and Class members, as required under 15 U.S.C. 1681e(a).

19. Additionally the Defendant's failure to properly safeguard the information of Plaintiffs and Class Members violates the requirements of KRS 367.170 and KRS 367.175 as failing to safeguard an on-going consumer service as well as unlawfully restraining Plaintiffs' and Class Members rights to pursue all available remedies by compelling arbitration.

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

20. On July 29, 2017, Equifax discovered that one or more of its servers, which contained Plaintiffs' sensitive personal information including Plaintiffs' names, full Social Security numbers, birth dates, addresses, and, upon belief, their driver's license numbers and possibly one or more of their credit cards, had been breached or "hacked" by a still unknown third party.

21. Upon belief, when Equifax discovered this breach, Equifax immediately began an internal investigation and contracted with an unidentified third-party cybersecurity firm to conduct a comprehensive forensic review to determine the scope of the hack including identifying the specific data impacted. As of the filing of this Complaint, that investigation remains ongoing and has yet been completed despite over six weeks elapsing since the initial breach.

22. On September 7, 2017, major news outlets began reporting about the July 29,
2017 incident. (*See, e.g., Massive Equifax Data Breach Could Impact Half of the U.S. Population*, Alyssa Newcomb, NBCNEws, Sept. 7, 2018, available at:

https://www.nbcnews.com/tech/security/massive-equifax-data-breach-could-impact-half-u-s-pop ulation-n799686).

23. For the Plaintiffs, as with all potential Class members, these news stories were the first time that they had been informed that their information secured by Equifax had been compromised six (6) weeks earlier, and they now live in constant fear that their information has been compromised.

24. Equifax's decision to wait six (6) weeks after the alleged data breach before informing all consumers of the same was willful, or at least negligent. Further, by depriving Plaintiffs and Class members information about the breach in a timely manner, Equifax subjected each consumer to a concrete informational injury, as these consumers were deprived of their opportunity to meaningfully consider and address issues related to the potential fraud, as well as to avail themselves of the remedies available under the FCRA to prevent further dissemination of their private information.

25. Equifax has been subject to numerous allegations regarding data breaches in thepast. (See, e.g., A Brief History of Equifax Security Fails, Thomas Fox-Brewster, FORBES, Sept. 8,2017,availableat:

https://www.forbes.com/sites/thomasbrewster/2017/09/08/equifax-data-breach-history/#63dc427 0677c). In light of Equifax's continual failure to ensure the integrity of its file storage systems in light of known defects to the same, Equifax willfully, or at least negligently, failed to enact reasonable procedures to ensure that consumer reports would only be provided for a permissible purpose. By failing to establish reasonable procedures to safeguard individual consumer's private information, Equifax deprived millions of consumers from a benefit conferred on them by Congress, which, now lost, cannot be reclaimed.

26. The harm to Plaintiffs and Class members was complete at the time the unauthorized breaches occurred, as the unauthorized disclosure and dissemination of private credit information causes harm in and of itself.

27. Equifax also on September 7th, 2017 began to offer consumers like the Plaintiff and Class Members an allegedly dedicated secure website where consumers could determine if their information was compromised (https://www.equifaxsecurity2017.com) and offer consumers "free" credit monitoring through an Equifax product, TrustedID Premier (https://www.equifaxsecurity2017.com/enroll/), for one year.

28. However under the guise of an effort to mitigate damages and to provide some assistance to the victims of their data breach including the Plaintiffs and Class Members by allowing them free access to their TrustedID Premier service, the terms and conditions of that free service require that the victims, including Plaintiffs and Class member waive their right to bring or participate in a class action lawsuit and requires them to submit to arbitration (http://www.equifax.com/terms/) that is another avenue to deprive the Plaintiffs and Sub-Class Members of the ability to avail themselves of the remedies available under the FCRA to prevent further dissemination of their private information.

CLASS ALLEGATIONS

29. Plaintiffs bring this action on behalf of a nationwide class of all similarly situated individuals ("Class"), defined as: "all persons in the United States for whom Equifax stored private, personal information that was released as a result of the data breach."

Excluded from the Class are: (1) Defendant, Defendant's agents, subsidiaries, parents, successors, predecessors, and any entity in which Defendant or its parents have a controlling interest, and those entities' current and former employees, officers, and directors; (2) the Judge to whom this case is assigned and the Judge's immediate family; (3) any person who executes and files a timely request for exclusion from the Class; (4) any persons who have had their claims in this matter finally adjudicated and/or otherwise released; and (5) the legal representatives, successors and assigns of any such excluded person.

30. Plaintiffs also bring this action on behalf of a subclass of all similarly situated

individuals in Kentucky ("Subclass"), defined as: "all persons in Kentucky for whom Equifax

stored private personal information that was released as a result of the data breach."

Excluded from the Subclass are: (1) Defendant, Defendant's agents, subsidiaries, parents, successors, predecessors, and any entity in which Defendant or its parents have a controlling interest, and those entities' current and former employees, officers, and directors; (2) the Judge to whom this case is assigned and the Judge's immediate family; (3) any person who executes and files a timely request for exclusion from the Class; (4) any persons who have had their claims in this matter finally adjudicated and/or otherwise released; and (5) the legal representatives, successors and assigns of any such excluded person.

31. At this time the Plaintiffs do not know the size of the Class because the information is exclusively in the possession of the Defendant, but Plaintiffs believe that the potential number of Class members are so numerous that joinder would be impracticable. It has been reported that the Class could consist of over 100 million people. The number of Class members can be determined through discovery.

32. All members of the Class have been subject to and affected by a uniform course of conduct in that all Class members' personal information was compromised during the data

breach. These are questions of law and fact common to the proposed Class that predominate over any individual questions. The questions common to all Class members include, but are not limited to:

- a. Whether Defendant had implemented reasonable procedures to ensure that all third parties who accessed Plaintiffs' and Class members' private credit information did so for a permissible purpose;
- b. Whether Defendant failed to notify consumers of the data breach within a reasonable period of time;
- c. Whether Defendant failed to block the reporting of information on consumers' files that were the result of the data breach;
- d. Whether Plaintiffs and Class members suffered damages as a result of Defendant's failure to comply with FCRA based on the improper dissemination of their credit information as a result of the data breach;
- e. Whether Plaintiff and Class members are entitled to statutory damages; and
- f. Whether Plaintiff and Class members are entitled to punitive damages.

33. Plaintiffs' claims are typical of the class, as Plaintiffs' personal information was

compromised during the data breach. All claims are based on the same legal and factual issues.

34. Plaintiffs will adequately represent the interests of the class and do not have an adverse interest to the class. If individual class members prosecuted separate actions it may create a risk of inconsistent or varying judgments that would establish incompatible standards of conduct. A class action is the superior method for the quick and efficient adjudication of this controversy. Plaintiffs' counsel has experience litigation consumer class actions.

35. Further, under Fed. R. Civ. Pro. 23(a), Defendant acted on grounds generally applicable to the proposed Class, making appropriate final injunctive relief with respect to the proposed Class as a whole.

36. Based on the actions of Defendant, Plaintiffs seek recovery for the claims alleged, *infra*, summarized as follows:

COUNT Statute Violated	SUMMARY OF CLAIM AND VIOLATIONS	
COUNT ONE	Defendant's compromise of Plaintiffs' personal information that was discovered on July 29, 2017 by Defendant violates 15 U.S.C.	
15 U.S.C. 1681, et seq.	1681e(a).	
FCRA		
	Defendant's compromise of Plaintiffs' personal information is an	
	unfair act and practice, as Defendant failed to maintain Plaintiffs'	
COUNT TWO	personal information.	
K.R.S. 367.170, et seq.	Defendant's use of arbitration provisions for Plaintiff and Sub-Class Members is an unlawful restraint in conduct related to commerce.	
COUNT THREE	Defendant's requirement of the Plaintiffs and Class Members to bind themselves to arbitration and a class action waiver by using	
28 U.S.C. 2201(a)	the Defendant's offered "TrustedID" credit monitoring service must be declared invalid.	

COUNT ONE: VIOLATION OF 15 U.S.C. 1681, et al.

37. Plaintiffs all allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 36 as if fully rewritten

herein.

38. This Count is brought on behalf of the nationwide Class.

39. Based upon Equifax's failure to have reasonable procedures in place, Plaintiff's private information was compromised, and none of the Plaintiffs or Class members received notice of the data breach, except through the media, approximately six (6) weeks after the breach occurred.

40. As a result of each and every willful violation of FCRA, Plaintiffs and Class members are entitled to: actual damages, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. 1681n(a)(1); statutory damages, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. 1681n(a)(1); punitive damages, as this Court may allow, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. 1681n(a)(2); and reasonable attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to 15 U.S.C. 1681n(a)(3).

41. As a result of each and every negligent non-compliance of the FCRA, Plaintiffs and Class members are also entitled to actual damages, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. 1681o(a)(1); and reasonable attorney's fees and costs pursuant to 15 U.S.C. 1681o(a)(2) from Defendant.

COUNT TWO: VIOLATION OF KRS 367.170, et seq.

42. Plaintiffs restate all allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 36 as if fully rewritten herein.

43. This Count is brought on behalf of the Plaintiffs and Kentucky Subclass.

44. Plaintiffs are each a "person" within the meaning of KRS 367.110(1).

45. Equifax's business as described herein, *supra*, is "commerce" within the meaning of KRS 367.110(2) as a distribution of a service and intangible personal property and any other article and/or thing of value which includes commerce directly and/or indirectly affecting the people of this Commonwealth.

46. KRS 367.170(1) states that any unfair, false, misleading or deceptive act or practice in the conduct of any trade or commerce are hereby declared unlawful.

47. KRS 367.175(1) states every contract, combination in the form of trust and otherwise, or conspiracy of trade of commerce in this Commonwealth shall be unlawful.

48. As described herein, *supra*, Equifax at all times relevant herein solicited an on-going service, credit reporting and data aggregation of Plaintiffs' personal information which falls within the definition of KRS 367.110(2).

49. Equifax's breach of the Plaintiffs' information was deceptive under KRS 367.170 as Equifax failed to protect the Plaintiffs and sub-class member's personal information that was used in commerce.

50. Equifax's actions in trying to trick Plaintiffs and Sub-Class members into offering a "free" service of credit monitoring which includes signing a broad class action waiver, arbitration agreement, and automatic fee charged after one year if there is an affirmative failure to cancel additionally violate KRS 367.175 as an unlawful restraint on commerce to the Plaintiffs and Sub-Class.

51. Equifax's actions described herein, *supra*, violate the Kentucky Consumer Protection Act and the Plaintiffs and all Sub-Class members are entitled to receive actual damages, attorneys' fees and costs, and punitive damages pursuant to KRS 367.220.

COUNT THREE; 28 U.S.C. 2201(a) - DECLARATORY JUDGMENT

52. The Plaintiffs repeat and re-alleges Paragraphs 1 through 36, with the same force and effect as though fully set forth herein.

53. At all relevant times, there was in effect the Declaratory Judgment Act

("DJA"), 8 U.S.C. § 2201(a), which states, in relevant part:

In a case of actual controversy within its jurisdiction . . . any court of the United States, upon the filing of an appropriate pleading, may declare the rights and other legal relations of any interested party seeking such declaration, whether or not further relief is or could be sought. Any such declaration shall have the force and effect of a final judgment or decree and shall be reviewable as such. 28 U.S.C. § 2201(a).

54. Plaintiffs and Class members seek an order declaring that the arbitration clause and class action waiver in the TrustedID Premier Terms of Use are invalid and do not apply to any claims they may have arising out of the data breach.

55. The controversy presented in this case is definite and concrete, and affects the adverse legal interests of the parties. As a result of the data breach and the release of Plaintiffs' and Class members' private personal information, Plaintiffs and Class members are at a great risk of having that personal information used by unauthorized individuals.

56. To safeguard against the unauthorized use of the personal information that was kept by Defendant, Plaintiffs and Class members must obtain credit monitoring. The need for credit monitoring is urgent, as the information that Equifax failed to safeguard is extremely sensitive and can be used steal Plaintiffs' and Class members' identities, which can lower their credit scores, cost money, and cause severe emotional distress. (*See Victims of Identity Theft, 2014*, Erika Harrell, Ph.D., U.S. Department of Justice, Bureau of Justice Statics, (Sept. 2015), *available at:* https://www.bjs.gov/content/pub/pdf/vit14.pdf (stating that half of the victims of identity theft suffered losses of more than \$100, identity theft losses totaled more than \$15.4

billion in 2014, and identity theft victims frequently experience "moderate or severe emotional distress as a result of the incident.").

57. Defendant established a website that allows individuals to check to see if their personal information is at risk, and it allows individuals to enroll in a year of free credit monitoring through Defendant's credit monitoring program, TrustedID Premier.

58. However, the "TrustedID Premier Terms of Use" include provisions that require enrollees to settle all disputes with Defendant—including Defendant's failure to adequately safeguard Plaintiff's and Class members' private personal information—through binding, individual arbitration, "even if the facts and circumstances upon which the claims are based already occurred or existed." (*See, TrustedID Premier Terms of Use*, Equifax, *available at:* <u>https://trustedidpremier.com/static/terms</u>).

59. As such, Defendant is requiring all Class members to waive their right to participate in a class action for their claims arising out of the data breach, and to submit their individual claims to arbitration, before Defendant will assist those individuals with necessary credit monitoring to prevent the harm that Defendant has caused.

60. The only other option Class members have to protect themselves is to pay for credit monitoring on their own. For Class members who cannot afford to purchase credit monitoring on their own, they are forced to either waive their right to participate in a class action, or forego any credit monitoring and face the risk of identity theft without any protection.

61. Further, many individuals have reported that even when inputting fake or incorrect information into Defendant's website, Defendant still informs those individuals that

their personal information is part of the data breach, and that they should sign up for Defendant's credit monitoring, thereby waiving any right to participate in a class action.¹

62. Moreover, if the individual does not cancel Defendant's credit monitoring program by calling Defendant, Defendant will begin charging those individuals for the credit monitoring after one year.² As a result, Defendant will profit off of those persons who were harmed by Defendant's failure to adequately safeguard Plaintiffs' and Class members' personal information.

63. Therefore, enforcing the arbitration clause and class action waiver in the TrustedID Premier Terms of Use is unfair to Plaintiffs and Class members, because the waivers are obtained through duress, harm Plaintiffs and Class members, and are unconscionable.

64. There is an actual controversy between the parties of sufficient immediacy and reality to warrant the issuance of a declaratory judgment because Defendant is requiring Class members to (a) waive legal rights they have against Defendant in order to prevent additional harm with Defendant's credit monitoring, (b) pay for credit monitoring themselves, or (c) forego credit monitoring altogether.

65. Consequently, Plaintiffs and Class members have been, and will continue to be, caused significant harm in that they must choose between waiving legal rights or risking

¹ See Why Some Are Recommending "Credit Freezes" in the Wake of the Gigantic Equifax Data Breach, Tom McKay, Gizmodo, Sept. 9, 2017, available at:

http://gizmodo.com/why-some-are-recommending-credit-freezes-in-the-wake-1802924951

² See Id.

additional identity theft. Plaintiffs and Class members will continue to suffer harm if the Court were to deny their request for declaratory relief, as the harm of identity theft is ongoing.

66. If the Court were to deny Plaintiffs' and Class members' request for declaratory relief, this controversy will continue to exist, as they must continue to pay for credit monitoring or risk additional identity theft, or waive legal rights against Defendant, and many Class members will continue to face this dilemma until this case is resolved.

67. There are no disputed legal and factual issues that the Court would have to resolve in granting Plaintiffs' and Class members' request for declaratory relief, as this issue does not affect the merits of Plaintiff's and Class members' claims against Defendant, and instead seeks to preserve the *status* quo—*i.e.*, that Plaintiff's and Class members have the right to pursue their claims against Defendant arising out of the data breach in Court as part of a class action lawsuit.

68. Based on the foregoing facts, the Court should declare the arbitration clause and class action waiver in the TrustedID Premier Terms of Use are invalid and do not apply to any claims they may have arising out of the data breach.

1 See Why Some Are Recommending "Credit Freezes" in the Wake of the Gigantic Equifax Data Breach, Tom McKay, Gizmodo, Sept. 9, 2017, available at: http://gizmodo.com/why-some-are-recommending-credit-freezes-in-the-wake-1802924951

2 See id.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs Michael W. Tomlin and Marilyn Tomlin individually and on

behalf of the Class, respectfully request the following relief against Defendant Equifax

Information Services, LLC:

- A) For an award of actual damages against Defendant for all allegations contained in Count One and Count Two;
- B) For an award of statutory damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. 1681n(a)(1) against Defendant for the allegations contained in Count One for each eligible Class member and the Plaintiffs;
- C) For an award of punitive damages against Defendant for the allegations contained in Count One as this Court may allow pursuant to 15 U.S.C. 1681n(a)(2);
- D) For an award of the costs of litigation and reasonable attorneys' fees pursuant to 15 U.S.C. 1681n(a)(3) and 15 U.S.C. 1681(o)(1)(1) against Defendant for each incident of negligent noncompliance of FCRA alleged in Count One and alternatively under the KCPA as alleged in Count Two;
- E) For an award of punitive damages against Defendant for the Plaintiffs and Sub-Class as this Court may allow pursuant to KRS 367.220 for the allegations contained in Count Two;
- F) For an order declaring the arbitration clauses and class action waiver in the TrustedID Premier Terms of Use are invalid and do not apply to any claims Plaintiffs and Class Members may have arising out of the data breach as alleged in Count Three;
- G) For a preliminary and permanent injunction prohibiting Equifax from continuing to bait and switch consumers into signing a class action waiver and arbitration agreement that would apply to any claims they may have arising out of the data breach as alleged in Count Three; and
- H) For all other relief this Court may deem just and proper.

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/Brian D. Flick, Esq. Brian D Flick (0095244) DANNLAW P.O. Box 6031040 Cleveland, OH 44103 Phone: (216) 373-0539 Facsimile: (216) 373-0536 notices@dannlaw.com

Marc E. Dann, Esq. Rusty Payton, Esq. DANNLAW P.O. Box 6031040 Cleveland, OH 44103 Phone: (216) 373-0539 Facsimile: (216) 373-0536 notices@dannlaw.com Pro Hac Vice Applications to be Submitted

Thomas A. Zimmerman, Jr. tom@attorneyzim.com ZIMMERMAN LAW OFFICES, P.C. 77 W. Washington Street, Suite 1220 Chicago, Illinois 60602 (312) 440-0020 telephone (312) 440-4180 facsimile www.attorneyzim.com Pro Hac Vice Applications to Be Submitted

Robert A. Clifford rac@cliffordlaw.com Shannon M. McNulty smm@cliffordlaw.com CLIFFORD LAW OFFICES, P.C. 120 N. LaSalle Street, Suite 3100 Chicago, Illinois 60602 (312) 899-9090 telephone (312) 899-9090 facsimile Pro Hac Vice Applications to Be Submitted

David H. Krieger, Esq. George Haines, Esq. HAINES & KRIEGER, LLC 8985 S. Eastern Avenue, Suite 350 Henderson, NV 89123 (702) 880-5554
(702) 385-5518 fax
<u>dkrieger@hainesandkrieger.com</u>
ghaines@hainesandkrieger.com
Pro Hac Vice Applications to Be Submitted

Matthew I. Knepper, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 12796 Miles N. Clark, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 13848 KNEPPER & CLARK LLC 10040 W. Cheyenne Ave., Suite 170-109 Las Vegas, NV 89129 Phone: (702) 825-6060 FAX: (702) 447-8048 matthew.knepper@knepperclark.com miles.clark@knepperclark.com *Pro Hac Vice Application to Be Submitted*

Sean N. Payne Nevada Bar No. 13216 PAYNE LAW FIRM LLC 9550 S. Eastern Ave. Suite 253-A213 Las Vegas, NV 89123 702-952-2733 Fax: 702-462-7227 Email: seanpayne@spaynelaw.com *Pro Hac Vice Application to Be Submitted*

Counsel for the Plaintiffs and the Class

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiffs hereby request a trial by jury on all issues, with the maximum number of jurors permitted by law.

<u>/s/Brian D. Flick, Esq.</u> Brian D. Flick (0095244) DANNLAW Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Class JS 44 (Rev. 06:17)

- ____ __ __ __

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. *ISEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.*)

MICHAEL W. TOMLIN MARILYN TOMLIN			DEFENDANTS EQUIFAX INFORMATION SERVICES LLC		
(¢) Attomeys (Firm Name Brian D. Flick, Esq., Da PO Box 6031040, Cleve	(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIF 2. Address, and Telephone Nu nnLaw Pland, OH 44103; 51:	^{mher)} 3-645-3488	County of Reside	nce of First Listed Defendant <i>(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASI</i>) CONDEMNATION CASES, US SUT OF LAND INVOLVED	
II. BASIS OF JURISD	DICTION (Place an "X")	in One Box Only)	UI CITIZENCIUD OD		
I U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Governme		Citizen anti-	PRINCIPAL PARTIE	S (Place an "X" in One Box for Plain, and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF Principal Place I 4 I 4
□ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	□ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizer	rship of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	□ 2 □ 2 Incorporated an	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	Place or "Y" in One Bee		Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	7 3 7 3 Foreign Nation	П 6 П 6
	to mee un it in One Box	ORTS		Click here for: Nature	of Suit Code Descriptions.
□ 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJURY	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment 	 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Asymptotic Line and 	□ 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability □ 367 Health Care' Pharmaceutical	 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 690 Other 	 □ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 	□ 375 False Claims Act □ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a))
& Enforcement of Judgment ☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans)	□ 330 Federal Employers' Liability □ 340 Marine	Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product		PROPERTY RIGHTS □ 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent □ 835 Patent - Abbreviated	 ☐ 400 State Reapportionment ☐ 410 Antitrust ☐ 430 Banks and Banking ☐ 450 Commerce ☐ 460 Deportation
 E53 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benetits 160 Stockholders' Suits 	 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 	Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY 370 Other Fraud	□ 710 Fair Labor Standards	New Drug Application 3840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY	□ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations □ 480 Consumer Credit
 I90 Other Contract I95 Contract Product Liability I96 Franchise 	 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice 	 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Property Damage Product Liability 	Act Act □ 720 Labor/Management Relations □ 740 Railway Labor Act □ 751 Family and Medicat Leave Act	 □ 861 H(A (1395f)) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g)) 	 490 Cable/Sat TV 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 893 Environmental Matters
210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 250	CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations	PRISONER PETITIONS Habeas Corpus: □ 463 Alien Detainee □ 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence □ 530 General	 790 Other Labor Litigation 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act 	FEDERAL TAX SUITS □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRSThird Party 26 USC 7609	895 Freedom of Information Act 896 Arbitration 896 Arbitration 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision
7.	 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education 	 □ 535 Death Penalty Other: □ 540 Mandamus & Other □ 550 Civil Rights □ 555 Prison Condition □ 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of 	IMMIGRATION ☐ 462 Naturalization Application ☐ 465 Other Immigration Actions		□ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
		Confinement			
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in O ↓ Original □ 2 Remo Proceeding State	ved from D 3 F Court A	appenate Court	Reinstated or D 5 Transferr Reopened Another	Distance Tables	
1. CAUSE OF ACTION	Brief description of one		(specify) ng (Do not cite jurisdictional statut USC 2201	Transfer es unless diversity):	Litigation - Direct File
 (11. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: (111. RELATED CASE(S) 		S A CLASS ACTION F.R.Cv.P.	81e(a) and 15 U.S.C. 168 DEMAND \$	CHECK TES ONLY IF	demanded in complaint:
IF ANY	(See instructions).	UDGE Barrett		JURY DEMAND:	X Yes INo
VTF				DOCKET NUMBER 17-C	
ATE 12/17- DR OFFICE USE ONLY		SIGNATORE OF ATTORNE	YOF RECORD III	tarau	

Case: 2:17-cv-00158-WOB-CJS Doc #: 1-2 Filed: 09/12/17 Page: 1 of 2 - Page ID#: 22

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action

	TES DISTRICT COURT for the fict of Kentucky			
Michael W. Tomlin, et al. Plaintiff(s) v. Equifax Information Services LLC))))) Civil Action No.))			
Defendant(s)	_			
SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION				
Equifax Information Se	arvices LLC			

To: (*Defendant's name and address*) Equitax information Services LLC c/o Corporation Service Company 421 W. Main Street Frankfort, KY 40601

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are:

Brian D. Flick, Esq. DannLaw PO Box 6031040 Cleveland, OH 44103

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

CLERK OF COURT

Date:

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

	This summons for (nan	ne of individual and title, if any)					
was re	ceived by me on (date)		-				
	□ I personally served	the summons on the individ	dual at (place)				
			on (date)	; or			
	□ I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with (<i>name</i>)						
	, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides ther on (<i>date</i>), and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or						
	□ I served the summons on (<i>name of individual</i>) designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of (<i>name of organization</i>)						
	designated by law to a	; or					
	\Box I returned the summ	□ I returned the summons unexecuted because					
	Other (<i>specify</i>):						
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00			
	I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.						
Date:							
			Server's signature				
			Printed name and title				

Server's address

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc: