U. S. DISTRICT COURT ASTERN DISTRICT ARKANSAS

MAY **30** 2019

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS WESTERN DIVISION

JAMES W. McCORMACK, CLERK By:

PLAINTIFF

## RANDOLPH TOLES, Individually and on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated

vs.

No. 4:19-cv- 376 - SWW

## ACE HARDWARE CORPORATION

DEFENDANT

This case assigned to District Judge <u>Wright</u> and to Magistrate Judge <u>Ray</u> <u>CLASS AND COLLECTIVE ACTION COMPLAINT</u>

COMES NOW Plaintiff Randolph Toles ("Plaintiff"), individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, by and through his attorneys Chris Burks and Brandon Haubert of WH LAW PLLC, for his Class and Collective Action Complaint against Defendant Ace Hardware Corporation ("Defendant"), he does hereby state and allege as follows:

## I. PRELIMINARY STATEMENTS

1. This is a class action and a collective action brought by Plaintiff Randolph Toles, individually and on behalf of all other hourly-paid employees employed by Defendant at any time within a three-year period preceding the filing of this Complaint.

2. Plaintiff brings this action under the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 201, et seq. ("FLSA") and the Arkansas Minimum Wage Act, Ark. Code Ann. § 11-4-201, et seq. ("AMWA"), for declaratory judgment, monetary damages, liquidated damages, prejudgment interest, and costs, including reasonable attorneys' fees, as a result of Defendant's failure to pay

Plaintiff and other hourly-paid employees lawful overtime compensation for hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week, and a lawful minimum wage for all hours worked.

3. Upon information and belief, for at least three (3) years prior to the filing of this Complaint, Defendant has willfully and intentionally committed violations of the FLSA and AMWA as described, *infra*.

### **II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

4. The United States District Court for the Eastern District of Arkansas has subject matter jurisdiction over this suit under the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 1331 because this suit raises federal questions under the FLSA.

5. Plaintiff's claims under the AMWA form part of the same case or controversy and arise out of the same facts as the FLSA claims alleged in this Complaint.

6. Therefore, this Court has supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's AMWA claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a).

7. The acts complained of herein were committed and had their principal effect within the Western Division of the Eastern District of Arkansas. Accordingly, venue is proper within this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391.

8. Defendant does business in this District and a substantial part of the events alleged herein occurred in this District.

9. The witnesses to minimum and overtime wage violations alleged in this Complaint reside in this District.

10. On information and belief, the payroll records and other documents related to the payroll practices that Plaintiff challenges are located in this District.

#### **III. THE PARTIES**

11. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth in this section.

12. Plaintiff is a resident and citizen of Pulaski County.

13. Plaintiff Randolph Toles was employed by Defendant as an hourly-paid employee within the three years relevant to this lawsuit.

14. At all material times, Plaintiff has been entitled to the rights, protection, and benefits provided under the FLSA and AMWA.

15. Defendant Ace Hardware Corporation, is a foreign limited liability corporation, registered and licensed to do business in the State of Arkansas.

16. Defendant Ace Hardware Corporation's registered agent for service of process in Arkansas is Corporation Service Company, 300 S. Spring St., Little Rock, Arkansas 72201.

17. Defendant Ace Hardware Corporation is an "employer" within the meanings set forth in the FLSA and AMWA, and was, at all times relevant to the allegations in this Complaint, Plaintiff's employer, as well as the employer of the members of the class and collective.

18. Defendant Ace Hardware Corporation, is a hardware store corporation that has retail hardware stores across the United States, as well as distribution centers that supply those stores across the United States.

19. Defendant Ace Hardware Corporation, operates multiple distribution facilities countrywide, including a facility in Maumelle, Arkansas, and has one corporate United States headquarters that centralizes all pay, time, and human resource policies so that they are the same across its facilities.

20. During the time period relevant to this case, Plaintiff was employed at Defendant Ace Hardware Corporation's distribution facility in Maumelle.

21. Defendant Ace Hardware Corporation, has employees engaged in commerce and has employees handling or otherwise working on goods or materials that have been moved in or produced for commerce by others, such as tools and machinery that are distributed for sale at the retail level.

22. Defendant Ace Hardware Corporation's annual gross volume of sales made or business done is not less than \$500,000.00 (exclusive of excise taxes at the retail level that are separately stated) for each of the three years preceding the filing of this complaint.

## **IV. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

23. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth in this section.

24. During part of the three (3) years prior to the filing of this lawsuit, Plaintiff worked for Defendant as an hourly-paid employee.

25. Plaintiff and other hourly-paid employees regularly worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week throughout their tenure with Defendant.

26. Plaintiff and other hourly-paid employees were classified as hourly employees and paid an hourly rate.

27. Plaintiff and other hourly-paid employees' wages were deducted for medical, dental and vision insurance by the payroll system used by Defendant.

28. However, Plaintiff and other hourly-paid employees' pay was deducted for time periods in which they were not covered by the company medical, dental, and vision insurance in later payroll periods.

29. For example, if an hourly-paid employee worked over forty-hours such that their gross pay was approximately \$500.00 in the weekly pay-period of the deductions, over \$200 was deducted from their gross pay for medical, dental and vision insurance for a prior time period when they were not covered. These deductions amounted to hourly-paid employees earning an effective hourly-rate of less than the federal minimum wage.

30. The deductions in Defendant's payroll system resulted in minimum wage violations for all hourly-paid distribution workers in the pay period in which the employees were deducted for medical, dental and vision insurance for a prior time period when they were not covered.

31. Further, Plaintiff and other hourly-paid employees were also paid non-discretionary cash awards and bonuses (sometimes called a production incentive and retention bonus) on a regular basis when certain objective and measurable criteria were met.

32. In addition, Defendant paid Plaintiff and other hourly-paid employees one-and-onehalf (1.5) times their base hourly rate for each hour they worked over forty (40) in a workweek.

33. However, Defendant did not include the bonuses and cash awards paid to Plaintiff and other hourly-paid employees in their regular rates of pay when calculating their overtime pay.

34. Section 778.208 of Title 29 of the Code of Federal Regulations requires that nondiscretionary bonuses, such as production or attendance based incentives, "must be totaled in with other earnings to determine the regular rate on which overtime pay must be based." 35. Defendant violated the FLSA and AMWA by not including the non-discretionary bonuses of Plaintiff and other hourly-paid employees in their regular rate when calculating their overtime pay.

36. Plaintiff worked for Defendant at Defendant's facility in Maumelle (hereinafter the "Maumelle facility") and Defendant's pay practices were the same for all hourly workers at the Maumelle facility and other distribution facilities across the country.

37. The pay practices that violate the FLSA and AMWA alleged herein were the result of a centralized human resources policy implemented uniformly from Defendant's corporate headquarters.

38. Defendant knew or showed reckless disregard for whether the way they paid Plaintiff and other hourly-paid employees violated the FLSA and AMWA.

39. Defendant's hourly-paid employees were classic manual laborers, working with machinery and equipment to move Defendant's products in a distribution center setting.

## V. REPRESENTATIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS

## A. FLSA § 216(b) Class

40. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth in this section.

41. Plaintiff brings this claim for relief for violation of the FLSA as a collective action pursuant to Section 16(b) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

42. Plaintiff brings his FLSA claims on behalf of all hourly-paid employees employed by Defendant at any time within the applicable statute of limitations period, who were classified by Defendant as non-exempt from the overtime requirements of the FLSA and who are entitled to payment of the following types of damages:

A. Payment for all hours worked, including payment of a lawful minimum wage and overtime premium for all hours worked for Defendant in excess of forty (40) hours in a workweek; and

B. Liquidated damages; and

C. Attorneys' fees and costs.

43. The relevant time period dates back three years from the date on which Plaintiff's Class and Collective Action Complaint was filed and continues forward through the date of judgment pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 255(a).

44. The members of the proposed FLSA Collective are similarly situated in that they share these traits:

A. They were classified by Defendant as non-exempt from the overtime requirements of the FLSA;

B. They were paid hourly rates;

C. They recorded their time in the same manner;

E. They were subject to Defendant's common policy of past medical, dental and vision deductions in one pay period worked; and

D. They were subject to Defendant's common policy of improperly calculating overtime pay for hours worked over forty (40) hours per work week.

45. Plaintiff is unable to state the exact number of the potential members of the FLSA Collective but believe that the group exceeds 200 persons. 46. Defendant can readily identify the members of the Section 16(b) Collective. The names, physical addresses, electronic mailing addresses, and phone numbers of the FLSA collective action Plaintiffs are available from Defendant, and a Court-approved Notice should be provided to the FLSA collective action Plaintiff via first class mail, email, and text message to their last known physical addresses, electronic mailing addresses, and cell phone numbers as soon as possible, together with other documents and information descriptive of Plaintiff's FLSA claim.

#### B. AMWA Rule 23 Class

47. Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated who were employed by Defendant within the State of Arkansas, brings this claim for relief for violation of the AMWA as a class action pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

48. Plaintiff proposes to represent the class of hourly-paid employees who are/were employed by Defendant within the relevant time period within the State of Arkansas.

49. Common questions of law and fact relate to all members of the proposed class, such as whether a result of Defendant's failure to include non-discretionary bonuses in its calculation of overtime pay was that Defendant paid members of the proposed class a lawful overtime wage in accordance with the AMWA.

50. Common questions of law and fact predominate over any questions affecting only the individually-named Plaintiff, and a class action is superior to other available methods for fairly and efficiently adjudicating the claims of the members of the proposed AMWA class.

51. The class members have no interest in individually controlling the prosecution of separate actions because the policy of the AMWA provides a bright-line rule for protecting all nonexempt employees as a class. To wit: "It is declared to be the public policy of the State of Arkansas

#### Case 4:19-cv-00376-SWW Document 1 Filed 05/30/19 Page 9 of 19

to establish minimum wages for workers in order to safeguard their health, efficiency, and general well-being and to protect them as well as their employers from the effects of serious and unfair competition resulting from wage levels detrimental to their health, efficiency, and well-being." Ark. Code Ann. § 11-4-202.

52. Plaintiff is unable to state the exact number of the potential members of the AMWA class but believe that the class exceeds 100 persons. Therefore, the class is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable.

53. At the time of the filing of this Complaint, neither Plaintiff nor Plaintiff's counsel knows of any litigation already begun by any members of the proposed class concerning the allegations in this Complaint.

54. Concentrating the litigation in this forum is highly desirable because Defendant's Maumelle facility is based in the Eastern District of Arkansas and because Plaintiff and all proposed class members work or worked in Arkansas.

55. No difficulties are likely to be encountered in the management of this class action.

56. The claims of Plaintiff are typical of the claims of the proposed class in that Plaintiff worked as hourly-paid employees for Defendant and experienced the same violations of the AMWA that all other class members suffered.

57. Plaintiff and his counsel will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the class.

58. Plaintiff's counsel is competent to litigate Rule 23 class actions and other complex litigation matters, including wage and hour cases like this one, and to the extent, if any, that they find that they are not, they are able and willing to associate additional counsel.

59. Prosecution of separate actions by individual members of the proposed class would create the risk of inconsistent or varying adjudications with respect to individual members of the proposed class that would establish incompatible standards of conduct for Defendant.

## VI. FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Individual Claims for Violation of FLSA)

60. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth in this section.

61. 29 U.S.C. §§ 206 and 207 require any enterprise engaged in commerce to pay all employees a minimum wage for all hours worked up to forty (40) in one week and to pay time and a half of regular wages for all hours worked over forty (40) hours in a week, unless an employee meets certain exemption requirements of 29 U.S.C. § 213 and all accompanying Department of Labor regulations.

62. During the period relevant to this lawsuit, Defendant classified Plaintiff as nonexempt from the overtime requirements of the FLSA.

63. Despite the entitlement of Plaintiff to minimum wage and overtime payments under the FLSA, Defendant failed to pay Plaintiff a minimum wage for all hours worked in each one-week period and an overtime rate of one and one-half times his regular rate of pay for all hours worked over forty (40) hours in each one-week period.

64. Defendant's failure to properly pay lawful minimum and overtime wages to Plaintiff stems from Defendant's acts of illegally deducting amounts owed by Plaintiff in Defendant's favor and not paying Plaintiff overtime compensation based on the total amount of compensation paid. 65. Defendant violated Section 778.208 of Title 29 of the Code of Federal Regulations by not including non-discretionary bonuses paid to Plaintiff in his regular rate when calculating their overtime pay.

66. Defendant's conduct and practice, as described above, has been and is willful, intentional, unreasonable, arbitrary, and in bad faith.

67. By reason of the unlawful acts alleged in this Complaint, Defendant is liable to Plaintiff for, and Plaintiff seeks, unpaid minimum and overtime wages, liquidated damages, and costs, including reasonable attorney's fees as provided by the FLSA.

68. Alternatively, should the Court find that Defendant acted in good faith in failing to pay Plaintiff as provided by the FLSA, Plaintiff is entitled to an award of prejudgment interest at the applicable legal rate.

## VII. SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Collective Action Claim for Violation of FLSA)

69. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth in this section.

70. Plaintiff brings this collective action on behalf of all hourly-paid employees employed by Defendant to recover monetary damages owed by Defendant to Plaintiff and members of the putative collective for all the minimum wage and overtime compensation for all the hours he and they worked in excess of forty (40) each week.

71. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of himself individually and all other similarly situated employees, former and present, who were and/or are affected by Defendant's willful and intentional violation of the FLSA.

72. During the period relevant to this lawsuit, Defendant classified Plaintiff and all similarly situated members of the FLSA collective as non-exempt from the overtime requirements of the FLSA.

73. Despite the entitlement of Plaintiff and those similarly situated to minimum wage and overtime payments under the FLSA, Defendant failed to pay Plaintiff and all those similarly situated a lawful minimum wage and an overtime rate of one and one-half times their regular rates of pay for all hours worked over forty (40) in each one-week period.

74. Defendant violated Section 778.208 of Title 29 of the Code of Federal Regulations by not including non-discretionary bonuses paid to Plaintiff and those similarly situated in their regular rate when calculating their overtime pay.

75. In the past three years, Defendant has employed hundreds of hourly-paid employees.

76. Like Plaintiff, these hourly-paid employees regularly worked more than forty (40) hours in a week.

77. Defendant failed to pay these workers at the proper minimum wage and overtime rate.

78. Because these employees are similarly situated to Plaintiff, and are owed minimum

wage and overtime for the same reasons, the opt-in classes may be properly defined as:

- (a) <u>Overtime class</u>: Each hourly-paid employee who, within the three years preceding the filing of this Complaint, Defendant paid a bonus pursuant to any bonus plan or policy for at least one week in which the employee worked more than forty hours.
- (b) <u>Minimum wage class</u>: Each hourly-paid employee who, within the three years preceding the filing of this Complaint, Defendant deducted medical, dental or vision insurance such that the employee earned less than the federal minimum wage.

79. Defendant's conduct and practice, as described above, has been and is willful, intentional, unreasonable, arbitrary and in bad faith.

80. By reason of the unlawful acts alleged in this Complaint, Defendant is liable to Plaintiff and all those similarly situated for, and Plaintiff and all those similarly situated seek, unpaid minimum and overtime wages, liquidated damages, and costs, including reasonable attorney's fees as provided by the FLSA.

81. Alternatively, should the Court find that Defendant acted in good faith in failing to pay Plaintiff and all those similarly situated as provided by the FLSA, Plaintiff and all those similarly situated are entitled to an award of prejudgment interest at the applicable legal rate.

## VIII. THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Individual Claims for Violation of the AMWA)

82. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all previous paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully incorporated in this section.

83. Plaintiff asserts this claim for damages and declaratory relief pursuant to the AMWA, Arkansas Code Annotated §§ 11-4-201, et seq.

84. At all relevant times, Defendant was Plaintiff's "employer" within the meaning of the AMWA, Ark. Code Ann. § 11-4-203(4).

85. Arkansas Code Annotated § 11-4-211 requires employers to pay all employees one and one-half (1.5) times regular wages for all hours worked over forty (40) hours in a week unless an employee meets the exemption requirements of 29 U.S.C. § 213 and accompanying Department of Labor regulations. 86. Defendant failed to pay Plaintiff all minimum and overtime wages owed as required under the AMWA.

87. Defendant's failure to properly pay lawful minimum wages to Plaintiff stems from Defendant's acts of illegally deducting pay from Plaintiff for benefits not provided.

88. Defendant's failure to include non-discretionary bonuses in Plaintiff's overtime pay resulted in a failure to pay Plaintiff full and complete overtime during weeks in which Plaintiff worked more than forty (40) hours.

89. Defendant's conduct and practices, as described above, were willful, intentional, unreasonable, arbitrary, and in bad faith.

90. By reason of the unlawful acts alleged in this Complaint, Defendant is liable to Plaintiff for monetary damages, liquidated damages, costs, and a reasonable attorney's fee provided by the AMWA for all violations which occurred beginning at least three (3) years preceding the filing of Plaintiff's initial complaint, plus periods of equitable tolling.

91. Alternatively, should the Court find that Defendant acted in good faith in failing to pay Plaintiff as provided by the AMWA, Plaintiff is entitled to an award of prejudgment interest at the applicable legal rate.

## IX. FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Class Action Claim for Violation of the AMWA)

92. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all previous paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully incorporated in this section.

93. Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated who were employed by Defendant within the State of Arkansas, asserts this claim for damages and declaratory relief pursuant to the AMWA, Arkansas Code Annotated §§ 11-4-201 *et seq.*  94. At all relevant times, Defendant has been and continues to be the "employer" of Plaintiff and the members of the proposed class within the meaning of the AMWA, Ark. Code Ann. § 11-4-203(4).

95. Arkansas Code Annotated § 11-4-211 requires employers to pay all employees one and one-half (1.5) times their regular wages for all hours worked over forty (40) hours in a week unless an employee meets the exemption requirements of 29 U.S.C. § 213 and accompanying Department of Labor regulations.

96. Defendant failed to pay Plaintiff and members of the proposed class all minimum wage and overtime wages owed as required under the AMWA.

97. Defendant's failure to include non-discretionary bonuses in Plaintiff's and members of the proposed class's overtime pay resulted in a failure to pay Plaintiff and members of the proposed class full and complete overtime during weeks in which Plaintiff and members of the proposed class worked more than forty (40) hours.

98. Plaintiff proposes to represent a class of individuals who are owed minimum and overtime wages and other damages for the same reasons as Plaintiff, which may be defined as follows:

- (c) <u>AMWA Overtime class</u>: Each hourly-paid employee in Arkansas who, within the three years preceding the filing of this Complaint, Defendant paid a bonus pursuant to any bonus plan or policy for at least one week in which the employee worked more than forty hours.
- (d) <u>AMWA Minimum wage class</u>: Each hourly-paid employee in Arkansas who, within the three years preceding the filing of this Complaint, Defendant deducted medical, dental or vision insurance such that the employee earned less than the state minimum wage.

99. Defendant's conduct and practices, as described above, were willful, intentional, unreasonable, arbitrary, and in bad faith.

100. By reason of the unlawful acts alleged herein, Defendant is liable to Plaintiff and the proposed class for monetary damages, liquidated damages, costs, and a reasonable attorney's fee provided by the AMWA for all violations which occurred within the three (3) years prior to the filing of this Complaint, plus periods of equitable tolling.

101. Alternatively, should the Court find that Defendant acted in good faith in failing to pay Plaintiff and members of the proposed class as provided by the AMWA, Plaintiff and members of the proposed class are entitled to an award of prejudgment interest at the applicable legal rate.

## X. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, premises considered, Plaintiff Randolph Toles respectfully prays that Defendant be summoned to appear and to answer herein as follows:

(A) That Defendant be required to account to Plaintiff, the class, and collective members, and the Court for all of the hours worked by Plaintiff and the class and collective members and all monies paid to them;

(B) A declaratory judgment that Defendant's practices violate the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 201, *et seq.*, and attendant regulations at 29 C.F.R. § 516 *et seq.*;

(C) A declaratory judgment that Defendant's practices violate the Arkansas Minimum Wage Act, Ark. Code Ann. § 11-4-201, *et seq.* and the related regulations;

(D) Certification of, and proper notice to, together with an opportunity to participate in the litigation, all qualifying current and former employees;

(E) Judgment for damages for all unpaid minimum wage and overtime compensation under the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 201, *et seq.*, and attendant regulations at 29 C.F.R. § 516 *et seq.*;

(F) Judgment for damages for all unpaid minimum wage and overtime compensation under the Arkansas Minimum Wage Act, Ark. Code Ann. § 11-4-201, *et seq.* and the related regulations;

(G) Judgment for liquidated damages pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 US.C. § 201, *et seq.*, and attendant regulations at 29 C.F.R. § 516 *et seq.*, in an amount equal to all unpaid minimum wage and overtime compensation owed to Plaintiff and members of the class and collective during the applicable statutory period;

(H) Judgment for liquidated damages pursuant to the Arkansas Minimum Wage Act, Ark. Code Ann. § 11-4-201, *et seq.*, and the relating regulations, in an amount equal to all unpaid minimum wage and overtime compensation owed to Plaintiff and members of the class and collective during the applicable statutory period;

(I) An order directing Defendant to pay Plaintiff and members of the class and collective pre-judgment interest, reasonable attorney's fees, and all costs connected with this action; and

(J) Such other and further relief as this Court may deem necessary, just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

## RANDOLPH TOLES, Individually and on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated, PLAINTIFF

WH LAW, PLLC 1 Riverfront Pl. – Suite 745 North Little Rock, AR 72114 (501) 891–6000

By:

,

.

In h

Chris W. Burks (ABN: 2010207) chris@whlawoffices.com

11

Brandon M. Haubert (ABN: 2013137) brandon@whlawoffices.com

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS WESTERN DIVISION

## RANDOLPH TOLES, Individually and on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated

PLAINTIFF

vs.

No. 4:19-cv-\_\_\_\_

## ACE HARDWARE CORPORATION

#### DEFENDANT

## CONSENT TO JOIN COLLECTIVE ACTION

I was employed as an hourly-paid worker for Defendant Ace Hardware Corporation on or after May 30, 2016. I understand this lawsuit is being brought under the Fair Labor Standards Act and Arkansas Minimum Wage Act for overtime and minimum wage compensation. I consent to becoming a party-plaintiff in this lawsuit, to be represented by wh Law, PLLC, and to be bound by any settlement of this action or adjudication by the Court.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Signature:

Randolph Toles Date: May 30, 2019

/s/ Chris Burks Chris Burks, Esq. WH LAW, PLLC 1 Riverfront Pl. – Suite 745 North Little Rock, AR 72114 Telephone: (501) 891–6000 chris@whlawoffices.com

# Case 4:19-cv-00376-EWYL COVER SHEETEd 05/30/19 Page 1 of 2

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. *(SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)* 

¢

JS 44 (Rev. 02/19)

purpose of minuting are of in a	Concertineer. (Data histinge		1 111101 0	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS Randolph Toles, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated				DEFENDANTS Ace Hardware Cor	poration		
					poration		
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.			
				Attorneys (If Known)			
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A		·	:441 -	Attorneys (If Known)			
Chirs Burks, wh Law, PLI Rock AR 72114; (501) 89			Little				
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	<b>CTION</b> (Place an "X" in C	ne Box Only)			RINCIPAL PARTIES	G (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff	
1 U.S. Government Plaintiff				(For Diversity Cases Only) PT en of This State			
2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		Citiz	en of Another State	2 🗇 2 Incorporated and		
2000	(1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.			en or Subject of a		□ 6 □ 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	[ (Place an "X" in One Box Or	lv)	<u> </u>		Click here for: Nature	of Suit Code Descriptions.	
CONTRACT	TO		sta str	ORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
<ul> <li>110 Insurance</li> <li>120 Marine</li> <li>130 Miller Act</li> <li>140 Negotiable Instrument</li> </ul>	PERSONAL INJURY  310 Airplane  315 Airplane Product Liability	PERSONAL INJUR 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/		25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 90 Other	□ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 □ 376	<ul> <li>375 False Claims Act</li> <li>376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a))</li> <li>400 State Reapportionment</li> </ul>	
150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment	□ 320 Assault, Libel & Slander	Pharmaceutical Personal Injury			<b>PROPERTY RIGHTS</b>	<ul> <li>410 Antitrust</li> <li>430 Banks and Banking</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>151 Medicare Act</li> <li>152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>330 Federal Employers' Liability</li> <li>340 Marine</li> </ul>	Product Liability 368 Asbestos Persona Injury Product	1		<ul> <li>\$30 Patent</li> <li>\$35 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>450 Commerce</li> <li>460 Deportation</li> <li>470 Racketeer Influenced and</li> </ul>	
(Excludes Veterans)	345 Marine Product	Liability			840 Trademark	Corrupt Organizations	
153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits	Liability 350 Motor Vehicle	PERSONAL PROPEI ☐ 370 Other Fraud		LABOR 10 Fair Labor Standards	■ <b>SOCIAL SECURITY</b> ■ 861 HIA (1395ff)	<ul> <li>480 Consumer Credit</li> <li>485 Telephone Consumer</li> </ul>	
□ 160 Stockholders' Suits	□ 355 Motor Vehicle	□ 371 Truth in Lending		Act	862 Black Lung (923)	Protection Act	
<ul> <li>190 Other Contract</li> <li>195 Contract Product Liability</li> </ul>	Product Liability 360 Other Personal	380 Other Personal Property Damage		20 Labor/Management Relations	<ul> <li>863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))</li> <li>864 SSID Title XVI</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>490 Cable/Sat TV</li> <li>850 Securities/Commodities/</li> </ul>	
196 Franchise	Injury	385 Property Damage Deschart Linkility		40 Railway Labor Act	🗖 865 RSI (405(g))	Exchange	
	362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice	Product Liability	0 /.	51 Family and Medical Leave Act		<ul> <li>890 Other Statutory Actions</li> <li>891 Agricultural Acts</li> </ul>	
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIO		90 Other Labor Litigation	FEDERAL TAX SUITS	□ 893 Environmental Matters	
<ul> <li>210 Land Condemnation</li> <li>220 Foreclosure</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>440 Other Civil Rights</li> <li>441 Voting</li> </ul>	Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee	0 79	91 Employee Retirement Income Security Act	870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)	895 Freedom of Information Act	
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	□ 442 Employment	□ 510 Motions to Vacate		meome security rec	□ 871 IRS—Third Party	□ 896 Arbitration	
<ul> <li>240 Torts to Land</li> <li>245 Tort Product Liability</li> </ul>	443 Housing/ Accommodations	Sentence 530 General			26 USC 7609	899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of	
□ 290 All Other Real Property	445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	□ 535 Death Penalty		IMMIGRATION		Agency Decision	
	Employment	Other: 540 Mandamus & Oth		62 Naturalization Application		950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	
	446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other	550 Civil Rights		65 Other Immigration Actions		State Statutes	
	448 Education	<ul> <li>555 Prison Condition</li> <li>560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of</li> </ul>					
		Confinement					
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" i							
		Remanded from Appellate Court	□ 4 Rein Reoj		r District Litigatio	on - Litigation -	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	29 U.S.C. § 201,	et seq.	re filing (	Do not cite jurisdictional stat	utes unless diversity):		
	Brief description of ca Unpaid wages	iuse:					
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2	IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv.P.	N D	DEMAND \$	CHECK YES onl JURY DEMANI	y if demanded in complaint: D: D Yes X No	
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKET NUMBER		
DATE		SIGNATURE OF AT	TORNEY	OF RECORD			
05/30/2019		m	In				
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY							
RECEIPT # AN	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE	MAG. JU	JDGE	

#### **INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44**

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- **I.(a) Plaintiffs-Defendants.** Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".

II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.

United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here. United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.

Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.)

- III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties. This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If there are multiple nature of suit codes associated with the case, pick the nature of suit code that is most applicable. Click here for: <u>Nature of Suit Code Descriptions</u>.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.

Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date. Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation – Transfer. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407.

Multidistrict Litigation – Direct File. (8) Check this box when a multidistrict case is filed in the same district as the Master MDL docket. PLEASE NOTE THAT THERE IS NOT AN ORIGIN CODE 7. Origin Code 7 was used for historical records and is no longer relevant due to changes in statue.

- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity. Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P. Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction. Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

## **ClassAction.org**

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Ace Hardware Underpays Distribution Center Workers</u>, <u>According to Lawsuit</u>