UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

FRANCISCO TINEO, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs.

-against-

FRONTLINE ASSET STRATEGIES, LLC,

Defendant.

CIVIL ACTION

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

AND

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff FRANCISCO TINEO (hereinafter, "Plaintiff"), a New York resident, brings this class action complaint by and through his attorneys, Joseph H. Mizrahi Law, P.C., against Defendant FRONTLINE ASSET STRATEGIES, LLC (hereinafter "Defendant"), individually and on behalf of a class of all others similarly situated, pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, based upon information and belief of Plaintiff's counsel, except for allegations specifically pertaining to Plaintiff, which are based upon Plaintiff's personal knowledge.

INTRODUCTION/PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

- 1. Congress enacted the FDCPA in 1977 in response to the "abundant evidence of the use of abusive, deceptive, and unfair debt collection practices by many debt collectors." 15 U.S.C. § 1692(a). At that time, Congress was concerned that "abusive debt collection practices contribute to the number of personal bankruptcies, to material instability, to the loss of jobs, and to invasions of individual privacy." *Id.* Congress concluded that "existing laws . . . [we]re inadequate to protect consumers," and that "the effective collection of debts" does not require "misrepresentation or other abusive debt collection practices." 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692(b) & (c).
- 2. Congress explained that the purpose of the Act was not only to eliminate abusive debt collection practices, but also to "insure that those debt collectors who refrain from using

abusive debt collection practices are not competitively disadvantaged." *Id.* § 1692(e). After determining that the existing consumer protection laws were inadequate, *id.* § 1692(b), Congress gave consumers a private cause of action against debt collectors who fail to comply with the Act. *Id.* § 1692k.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 3. The Court has jurisdiction over this class action under 28 U.S.C. § 1331, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 *et seq.* and 28 U.S.C. § 2201. If applicable, the Court also has pendent jurisdiction over the state law claims in this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a).
- 4. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2).

NATURE OF THE ACTION

- 5. Plaintiff brings this class action on behalf of a class of New York consumers seeking redress for Defendant's actions of using an unfair and unconscionable means to collect a debt.
- 6. Defendant's actions violated § 1692 et seq. of Title 15 of the United States Code, commonly referred to as the Fair Debt Collections Practices Act ("FDCPA") which prohibits debt collectors from engaging in abusive, deceptive and unfair practices.
- 7. Plaintiff is seeking damages, and declaratory and injunctive relief.

PARTIES

- 8. Plaintiff is a natural person and a resident of the State of New York, and is a "Consumer" as defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692(a)(3).
- 9. Defendant is a collection agency with an office maintained in Roseville, Minnesota.
- 10. Upon information and belief, Defendant is a company that uses the mail, telephone, and facsimile and regularly engages in business the principal purpose of which is to attempt to collect debts alleged to be due another.
- 11. Defendant is a "debt collector," as defined under the FDCPA under 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).

CLASS ALLEGATIONS

- 12. Plaintiff brings claims, pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure (hereinafter "FRCP")
 Rule 23, individually and on behalf of the following consumer class (the "Class"):
 - Plaintiff brings this action individually and as a class action on behalf of all
 persons similarly situated in the State of New York from whom Defendant
 attempted to collect a consumer debt using the same unlawful form letter herein,
 from one year before the date of this Complaint to the present.
 - The Class satisfies all the requirements of Rule 23 of the FRCP for maintaining a class action:
- 13. The Class satisfies all the requirements of Rule 23 of the FRCP for maintaining a class action:
 - Upon information and belief, the Class is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable because there are hundreds and/or thousands of persons who have received debt collection letters and/or notices from Defendant that violate specific provisions of the FDCPA. Plaintiff is complaining of a standard form letter and/or notice that is sent to hundreds of persons (*See* Exhibit A, except that the undersigned attorney has, in accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 5.2 partially redacted the financial account numbers in an effort to protect Plaintiff's privacy);
 - There are questions of law and fact which are common to the Class and which predominate over questions affecting any individual Class member. These common questions of law and fact include, without limitation:
 - a. Whether Defendant violated various provisions of the FDCPA;
 - b. Whether Plaintiff and the Class have been injured by Defendant's conduct;

- c. Whether Plaintiff and the Class have sustained damages and are entitled to restitution as a result of Defendant's wrongdoing and if so, what is the proper measure and appropriate statutory formula to be applied in determining such damages and restitution; and
- d. Whether Plaintiff and the Class are entitled to declaratory and/or injunctive relief.
- Plaintiff's claims are typical of the Class, which all arise from the same operative facts and are based on the same legal theories.
- Plaintiff has no interest adverse or antagonistic to the interest of the other members of the Class.
- Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interest of the Class and has retained experienced and competent attorneys to represent the Class.
- A Class Action is superior to other methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the claims herein asserted. Plaintiff anticipates that no unusual difficulties are likely to be encountered in the management of this class action.
- A Class Action will permit large numbers of similarly situated persons to prosecute their common claims in a single forum simultaneously and without the duplication of effort and expense that numerous individual actions would engender. Class treatment will also permit the adjudication of relatively small claims by many Class members who could not otherwise afford to seek legal redress for the wrongs complained of herein. Absent a Class Action, class members will continue to suffer losses of statutory protected rights as well as monetary damages. If

- Defendant's conduct is allowed to proceed without remedy they will continue to reap and retain the proceeds of their ill-gotten gains.
- Defendant has acted on grounds generally applicable to the entire Class, thereby
 making appropriate final injunctive relief or corresponding declaratory relief
 with respect to the Class as a whole.

ALLEGATIONS OF FACT PARTICULAR TO FRANCISCO TINEO

- 14. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs numbered "1" through "13" herein with the same force and effect as if the same were set forth at length herein.
- 15. Defendant collects and attempts to collect debts incurred or alleged to have been incurred for personal, family or household purposes on behalf of creditors using the United States Postal Services, telephone and Internet.
- 16. Upon information and belief, within the last year Defendant commenced efforts to collect an alleged consumer "debt" as defined by 15 U.S.C. 1692a(5), when it mailed a Collection Letter to Plaintiff seeking to collect an unpaid balance allegedly owing to CAPITAL ONE, N.A..
- 17. On or about January 9, 2017, Plaintiff received a collection letter from Defendant (the "Letter"). *See* Exhibit A.
- 18. The Letter was sent or caused to be sent by persons employed by Defendant as a "debt collector" as defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692a(6).
- 19. The Letter is a "communication" as defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692a(2).
- 20. The Letter states in pertinent part: "As of the date of this letter, you owe \$1,947.90."
- 21. As a result of the following Counts Defendant violated the FDCPA.

First Count 15 U.S.C. §1692e et seq. False or Misleading Representations as to Status of Debt

- 22. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs numbered "1" through "21" herein with the same force and effect as if the same were set forth at length herein.
- 23. Defendant's debt collection efforts attempted and/or directed towards Plaintiff violated various provisions of the FDCPA, including but not limited to 15 U.S.C. § 1692e.
- 24. Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692e, a debt collector is prohibited from using false, deceptive, or misleading representation in connection with the collection of a debt.
- 25. While § 1692e specifically prohibits certain practices, the list is non-exhaustive, and does not preclude a claim of falsity or deception based on non-enumerated practice.
- 26. Collection notices are deceptive if they can be reasonably read to have two or more different meanings, one of which is inaccurate.
- 27. The question of whether a collection letter is deceptive is determined from the perspective of the "least sophisticated consumer."
- 28. Defendant's conduct constitutes a false, deceptive and misleading means and representation in connection with the collection of the debt, in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692e.
- 29. The Letter can reasonably be read by the least sophisticated consumer to have two or more meanings concerning the actual balance due, one of which must be inaccurate, in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692e.
- 30. By stating "As of the date of this letter, you owe \$1,947.90," Defendant falsely suggested that immediate payment of the balance would benefit Plaintiff by implying that the Balance would be subject to change, and could be subject to additional interest.¹

6

¹ I recognize that ambiguity can be indicative of a misleading or deceptive communication. See *Easterling v. Collecto, Inc.*, 692 F.3d 229, 233 (2d Cir. 2012). But Avila compels the conclusion that any ambiguity as to post-

- 31. Plaintiff's account was not subject to the accrual of interest.
- 32. In the alternative, Plaintiff's account was subject to the accrual of interest, but Defendant's communication failed to adequately disclose same.
- 33. Defendant's debt collection efforts attempted and/or directed towards Plaintiff violated various provisions of the FDCPA, including but not limited to § 1692(e).
- 34. By reason thereof, Defendant is liable to Plaintiff for judgment that Defendant's conduct violated Section 1692e *et seq.* of the FDCPA, actual damages, statutory damages, costs and attorneys' fees.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendants as follows:

- (a) Declaring that this action is properly maintainable as a Class Action and certifying Plaintiff as Class representative and Joseph H. Mizrahi Law, P.C., as Class Counsel;
- (b) Awarding Plaintiff and the Class statutory damages;
- (c) Awarding Plaintiff and the Class actual damages;
- (d) Awarding Plaintiff costs of this Action, including reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses;
- (e) Awarding pre-judgment interest and post-judgment interest; and
- (f) Awarding Plaintiff and the Class such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper.

7

dated accruals in a collection notice gives rise to a claim under the general prohibition of § 1692e – even if the ambiguity does no harm or even inures to the benefit of the debtor. *FATEMA ISLAM, Individually and on behalf of a class, Pl., v. AMERICAN RECOVERY SERVICE INCORPORATED*, Def.., 17-CV-4228 (BMC), 2017 WL 4990570, at *2 (E.D.N.Y. Oct. 31, 2017).

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Joseph H. Mizrahi
Joseph H. Mizrahi, Esq.
Joseph H. Mizrahi Law, P.C.
300 Cadman Plaza West. 12 Floor
Brooklyn, New York 11201

Phone: (917) 299-6612 Fax: (718) 425-8954

Email: Joseph@Jmizrahilaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff

DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY

Pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff hereby requests a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

/s/ Joseph H. Mizrahi
Joseph H. Mizrahi, Esq.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York January 9, 2018

8

JS 44 (Rev. 11/27/17

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of initiating the civil do	ocket sheet. (SEE INSTRUC	TIONS ON NEXT PAGE O	F THIS FO	RM.)	, 1					
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS						
FRANCISCO TINEO, on	behalf of himself and a	Il others similarly sit	uated	FRONTLINE ASSET STRATEGIES, LLC						
(b) County of Residence of (E)	of First Listed Plaintiff CACCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA		County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.							
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, F	Address, and Telephone Numbe	r)		Attorneys (If Known)						
JOSEPH H. MIZRAHI LA NY 11201, (917) 299-661		Plz W, 12 Fl., Broo	oklyn,							
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)		TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPA	L PARTIES		-		
□ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	•			(For Diversity Cases Only) and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF Citizen of This State \square 1 \square 1 Incorporated or Principal Place \square 4 \square 4 of Business In This State						
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship)	Citize	Citizen of Another State					5		
				en or Subject of a reign Country	3 🗖 3	Foreign Nation		□ 6	□ 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT			FC	DEFITIDE/DENIALTV		here for: Nature of				
CONTRACT ☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	PERSONAL INJURY □ 310 Airplane □ 315 Airplane Product Liability □ 320 Assault, Libel &	PERSONAL INJUR 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPEF 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITIO Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Oth 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Conditions of Confinement	1	DRFEITURE/PENALTY 5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 0 Other LABOR 0 Fair Labor Standards Act 0 Labor/Management Relations 0 Railway Labor Act 1 Family and Medical Leave Act 0 Other Labor Litigation 11 Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION 2 Naturalization Application 5 Other Immigration Actions	422 Appe	SC 157 RTY RIGHTS rights tt t - Abbreviated Drug Application emark SECURITY (1395ff) t Lung (923) C/DIWW (405(g)) Title XVI 405(g)) ML TAX SUITS s (U.S. Plaintiff efendant)	★ 480 Consume □ 490 Cable/Sa □ 850 Securitie Exchang □ 890 Other Sta □ 891 Agricultu □ 893 Environn □ 895 Freedom Act □ 896 Arbitratie □ 899 Administ	ims Act (31 USC) apportionm d Banking ce ion r Influence Organizatic t TV s/Commod e atutory Act atutory Act arral Acts central Matt of Informa on con crative Proc ew or App oecision ionality of	ed and ons lities/ tions ters ation cedure	
	moved from	Appellate Court		1 11411510	r District	☐ 6 Multidistr Litigation Transfer	-	Multidist Litigatior Direct File	n -	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	15 USC 1692	use:		one jurisuctional state	es umess un	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	UNDER RULE 2	IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv.P.	N D	EMAND \$		HECK YES only URY DEMAND:		complain □No	ıt:	
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKE	T NUMBER				
DATE 01/09/2018		signature of at /s/ Joseph H. N		OF RECORD						
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY RECEIPT # AM	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JUD	OGE			

CERTIFICATION OF ARBITRATION ELIGIBILITY

Local Arbitration Rule 83.10 provides that with certain exceptions, actions seeking money damages only in an amount not in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs, are eligible for compulsory arbitration. The amount of damages is presumed to be below the threshold amount unless a certification to the contrary is filed.

-,	H. MIZRAHI	anulcory arhi	tration for the fo		counsel for PLAINTIFF			, do hereby certify that the above captioned civil action	
	is ineligible for compulsory arbitration for the following reason(s):								
F	monetary damages sought are in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs, the complaint seeks injunctive relief,								
Ţ		·	•		aa fallawing raaaan (Question of	low r	other than question of fact prodominates	
			_		_			ather than question of fact predominates	
		DIS	CLOSURE	STAT	<u>EMENT - FEDE</u>	RAL RUL	ES C	CIVIL PROCEDURE 7.1	
NONE	=	Idei	ntify any parent	corporation	on and any publicly he	eld corporation	that o	wns 10% or more or its stocks:	
NONE									
		RE	LATED CA	SE ST	ATEMENT (Sec	ction VIII	on th	ne Front of this Form)	
to another substantial deemed "re "Presumpt	civil case for al saving of ju related" to an	r purposes of the dicial resources of the civil case of the civil case of the power	is guideline when s is likely to result merely because t	, because from assig he civil cas	of the similarity of facts a ning both cases to the sa se: (A) involves identical I	nd legal issues ame judge and n legal issues, or i	or becar nagistra (B) invol	ont of this form. Rule 50.3.1 (a) provides that "A civil case is "related" use the cases arise from the same transactions or events, a te judge." Rule 50.3.1 (b) provides that "A civil case shall not be lives the same parties." Rule 50.3.1 (c) further provides that shall not be deemed to be "related" unless both cases are still	
				NY-E [DIVISION OF BUS	SINESS RU	JLE 5	0.1(d)(2)	
1.)	Is the ci		eing filed in t	he Eas	tern District remo	ved from a	New	York State Court located in Nassau or Suffolk	
2.)				giving r	rise to the claim on No	r claims, or	a sub	ostantial part thereof, occur in Nassau or Suffolk	
	b) Did the District?		or omissions Yes	giving r	rise to the claim of No	r claims, or	a sub	ostantial part thereof, occur in the Eastern	
	c) If this is a Fair Debt Collection Practice Act case, specify the County in which the offending communication was received: Queens County								
Suffolk (r, in <u>an</u> inte <u>r</u>						nts, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or ants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or	
		corporation	shall be cons	idered a	resident of the Cou	ınty in which	it has	the most significant contacts).	
BAR ADMISSION									
	I am currently admitted in the Eastern District of New York and currently a member in good standing of the bar of this court.								
			V	Yes		ı		No	
	Are you	currently t	— he subject of		sciplinary action (s) in this or	any c	other state or federal court?	
	,	,	п [′]	Yes	(If yes, please e	, ,		No	
				163	(ii yes, piease e	λριαιιι ι	_	NO	
	I certify	the accura	cy of all infor	mation	provided above.				
	Signatu	ıro. <i>l</i> e	/ Joseph H	Mizrah	i				

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of New York

Eastern District of New York							
FRANCISCO TINEO, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated,							
Plaintiff(s)							
v.)	Civil Action No.						
FRONTLINE ASSET STRATEGIES, LLC))							
Defendant(s)							
SUMMONS IN A	CIVIL ACTION						
To: (Defendant's name and address) FRONTLINE ASSET STRATI C/O CORPORATION SYSTE 111 EIGHTH AVENUE NEW YORK, NEW YORK 106	M						
A lawsuit has been filed against you.							
Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney,							
whose name and address are: JOSEPH H. MIZRAHI LAW, P.C. 300 CADMAN PLAZA WEST, 12TH FLOOR BROOKLYN, NEW YORK 11201							
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be er You also must file your answer or motion with the court.	ntered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.						
	DOUGLAS C. PALMER CLERK OF COURT						
Date:							
Date:	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk						

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

	This summons for (nam	ne of individual and title, if any)							
was re	ceived by me on (date)								
	☐ I personally served	the summons on the individua	al at (place)						
			on (date)						
	☐ I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with (name)								
	, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,								
	on (date)								
	\square I served the summons on (name of individual)								
	designated by law to a	accept service of process on be	ehalf of (name of organization)						
		on (date)							
	☐ I returned the sumn	; or							
	☐ Other (specify):								
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00					
	I declare under penalty	of perjury that this information	on is true.						
Date:									
			Server's signature						
			Printed name and title						
			Server's address						

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:



TO MAKE AN ONLINE PAYMENT ARRANGEMENT VISIT WWW.PAYFRONTLINE.COM

FRANCISCO TINEO 000816

Frontline Asset Strategies, LLC 2700 Snelling Ave N. Ste 250 Roseville, MN 55113

Date: 01/03/2017

Current Creditor to whom the debt is owed: LVNV FUNDING LLC

Original Creditor:

CAPITAL ONE, N.A.

Account Description:

BANKCARD

Original Creditor#:

xxxxxxxxxxx6194

Charge-off Date: FAST #:

11/30/2016

0536 \$1,947.90

Total Amount Due: Last Pay Date:

04/17/2016

Total Due as of Charge-off:

\$1947.9

Total Interest Accrued Since Charge-off: Total non-interest Charges or

\$0

Fee Accrued Since Charge-off:

\$0

Total Paid on Debt Since Charge-off:

\$0

Dear FRANCISCO TINEO:

Debt Collectors, in accordance with the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq., are prohibited from engaging in abusive, deceptive, and unfair debt collection efforts, including but not limited to:

The use or threat of violence, the use of obscene or profane language; and repeated phone calls made with the intent to annoy, abuse, or harass.

If a creditor or debt collector receives a money judgment against you in court, state and federal laws may prevent the following types of income from being taken to pay the debt:

1) Supplemental security income, (SSI); 2) Social security; (3) Public assistance (welfare); (4) Spousal support, maintenance (alimony), or child support; (5)Unemployment benefits; (6) Disability benefits; (7) Workers' compensation benefits; (8) Public or private pensions; (9) Veterans' benefits; (10) Federal student loans, federal student grants, and federal work study funds; and (11) Ninety percent of your wages or salary earned in the last sixty days.

Your current creditor has placed the above-mentioned account in our office to resolve. Your lack of communication may result in the enforcement of your current creditor's rights on your contractual agreement. Please note that a negative credit bureau report reflecting on your credit record may be submitted to a credit reporting agency by the current account owner if you fail to fulfill the terms of your credit obligations. This notice in no way affects any rights you may have.

As of the date of this letter, you owe \$1,947.90. Go to our secure website, www.payfrontline.com, make payment in full or to see what payment options may be available to you.

If you cannot make payment in full, please call our office at 877-258-1590 to discuss alternate payment arrangements. Remember, we here at Frontline Asset Strategies are here to assist you in resolving this outstanding debt and moving forward. We strive to work with you, not against you.

IMPORTANT NOTICE

This communication is from a debt collector and is an attempt to collect a debt. Any information obtained will be used for that purpose.

Please see the reverse side or next page for important consumer notices. Frontline Asset Strategies, LLC • 2700 Snelling Ave N. • Ste 250 • Roseville, MN 55113 Toll Free: 877-258-1590 Fax: 6516212879





Thank you for your time and attention regarding the resolution of this debt. Nothing contained in this letter changes or afters your consumer rights. Calls to or from this company, along with communications via live chat, may be monitored or recorded.

Sincerely, Troy Tratar 877-258-1590 Frontline Asset Strategies, LLC

Unless you notify this office within 30 days after receiving this notice that you dispute the validity of this debt, or any portion thereof, this office will assume this debt is valid. If you notify this office in writing within 30 days from receiving this notice that you dispute the validity of this debt, or any portion thereof, this office will obtain verification of the debt or obtain a copy of a judgment and mail you a copy of such judgment or verification. If you request, in writing, of this office within 30 days after receiving this notice this office will provide you with the name and address of the original creditor, if different from the current creditor.

City of New York, Department of Consumer Affairs License Number is 1301837.

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: FDCPA Suit Filed Against Frontline Asset Strategies