UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA EASTERN DIVISION 2018 DEC 26 A 11: 35

LAWANDA THOMPSON, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated:

HILDLE DISTRICT ALA

Plaintiff.

Civil Action No: 3:18-cv-1069-WKW-SEW

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

-v.-

MRS BPO, L.L.C. dba MRS ASSOCIATES OF NEW JERSEY and JOHN DOES 1-25,

Defendants.

Plaintiff Lawanda Thompson (hereinafter, "Plaintiff" or "Thompson"), an Alabama resident, brings this Class Action Complaint, by and through her attorneys, against Defendant MRS BPO, L.L.C. (hereinafter "Defendant" or "MRS"), individually and on behalf of a class of all others similarly situated, pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, based upon information and belief of Plaintiff's counsel, except for allegations specifically pertaining to Plaintiff, which are based upon Plaintiff's personal knowledge.

INTRODUCTION/PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

1. Congress enacted the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act (hereinafter "the FDCPA" or "the Act") in 1977 in response to the "abundant evidence of the use of abusive, deceptive, and unfair debt collection practices by many debt collectors." 15 U.S.C. §1692(a).

At that time, Congress was concerned that "abusive debt collection practices contribute to the number of personal bankruptcies, to material instability, to the loss of jobs, and to invasions of individual privacy." *Id.* Congress concluded that "existing laws...[we]re inadequate to protect consumers," and that "'the effective collection of debts" does not require "misrepresentation or other abusive debt collection practices." 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692(b) & (c).

2. Congress explained that the purpose of the Act was not only to eliminate abusive debt collection practices, but also to "insure that those debt collectors who refrain from using abusive debt collection practices are not competitively disadvantaged." *Id.* § 1692(e). After determining that the existing consumer protection laws were inadequate. *Id.* § 1692(b), Congress gave consumers a private cause of action against debt collectors who fail to comply with the Act. *Id.* § 1692k.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 3. The Court has jurisdiction over this class action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et. seq. The Court has pendent jurisdiction over any state law claims in this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a).
- 4. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2) as this is where a substantial part of the events and omissions giving rise to the claim occurred.

NATURE OF THE ACTION

- 5. Plaintiff brings this class action on behalf of a class of Alabama consumers under §1692 et seq. of Title 15 of the United States Code, commonly referred to as the Fair Debt Collections Practices Act ("FDCPA"), and
 - 6. Plaintiff is seeking damages and declaratory relief.

PARTIES

- 7. Plaintiff is a resident of the State of Alabama, County of Russell, residing at 10 Honor Drive, Fort Mitchell, AL 36856.
- 8. MRS BPO, L.L.C. is a "debt collector" as the phrase is defined in 15 U.S.C. § 1692(a)(6) and used in the FDCPA with an address at 1930 Olney Avenue, Cherry Hill, NJ 08003.
- 9. Upon information and belief, Defendant is a company that uses the mail, telephone, and facsimile and regularly engages in business the principal purpose of which is to attempt to collect debts alleged to be due another.
- 10. John Does 1-25, are fictitious names of individuals and businesses alleged for the purpose of substituting names of Defendants whose identities will be disclosed in discovery and should be made parties to this action.

CLASS ALLEGATIONS

- 11. Plaintiff brings this claim on behalf of the following case, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a) and 23(b)(3).
 - 12. The Class consists of:
 - a. all individuals with addresses in the state of Alabama;
 - b. to whom MRS BPO, L.L.C. sent a collection letter attempting to collect a consumer debt;
 - c. regarding collection of a Chase Bank U.S.A., N.A. debt;

- d. that implies that a consumer may enhance her likelihood of approval for future credit products by paying the claimed debt in full, rather than the reduced settlement amount;
- e. which letter was sent on or after a date one (1) year prior to the filing of this action and on or before a date twenty-one (21) days after the filing of this action.
- 13. The identities of all class members are readily ascertainable from the records of Defendants and those companies and entities on whose behalf they attempt to collect and/or have purchased debts.
- 14. Excluded from the Plaintiff Class are the Defendants and all officer, members, partners, managers, directors and employees of the Defendants and their respective immediate families, and legal counsel for all parties to this action, and all members of their immediate families.
- 15. There are questions of law and fact common to the Plaintiff Class, which common issues predominate over any issues involving only individual class members. The principal issue is whether the Defendants' written communications to consumers, in the forms, attached as Exhibit A, violates 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e.
- 16. The Plaintiff's claims are typical of the class members, as all are based upon the same facts and legal theories. The Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Plaintiff Class defined in this complaint. The Plaintiff has retained counsel with experience in handling consumer lawsuits, complex legal issues, and class actions, and neither the Plaintiff nor his attorneys have any interests which might cause them not to vigorously pursue this action.

- 17. This action has been brought, and may properly be maintained, as a class action pursuant to the provisions of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure because there is a well-defined community interest in the litigation:
 - a. <u>Numerosity:</u> The Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that the Plaintiff Class defined above is so numerous that joinder of all members would be impractical.
 - b. Common Questions Predominate: Common questions of law and fact exist as to all members of the Plaintiff Class and those questions predominance over any questions or issues involving only individual class members. The principal issue is whether the Defendants' written communications to consumers, in the forms attached as Exhibit A, violates 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e.
 - c. <u>Typicality:</u> The Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the class members. The Plaintiff and all members of the Plaintiff Class have claims arising out of the Defendants' common uniform course of conduct complained of herein.
 - d. Adequacy: The Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the class members insofar as Plaintiff has no interests that are adverse to the absent class members. The Plaintiff is committed to vigorously litigating this matter. Plaintiff has also retained counsel experienced in handling consumer lawsuits, complex legal issues, and class actions. Neither the Plaintiff nor his counsel have any interests which might cause them not to vigorously pursue the instant class action lawsuit.

- e. <u>Superiority:</u> A class action is superior to the other available means for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy because individual joinder of all members would be impracticable. Class action treatment will permit a large number of similarly situated persons to prosecute their common claims in a single forum efficiently and without unnecessary duplication of effort and expense that individual actions would engender.
- 18. Certification of a class under Rule 23(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure is also appropriate in that the questions of law and fact common to members of the Plaintiff Class predominate over any questions affecting an individual member, and a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy.
- 19. Depending on the outcome of further investigation and discovery, Plaintiff may, at the time of class certification motion, seek to certify a class(es) only as to particular issues pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(c)(4).

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 20. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs numbered above herein with the same force and effect as if the same were set forth at length herein.
- 21. Some time prior to January 8, 2018, an obligation was allegedly incurred to Chase Bank U.S.A., N.A. ("Chase").
- 22. The Chase obligation arose out of a transaction in which money, property, insurance or services, which are the subject of the transaction, are primarily for personal,

family or household purposes. Specifically, Plaintiff used the funds of the Chase account to purchase items for personal, family and household purposes.

- 23. The alleged Chase Bank U.S.A., N.A. obligation is a "debt" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5).
 - 24. Chase is a "creditor" as defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692a(4).
- 25. Chase, or a subsequent owner of the Chase debt, contracted with Defendant to collect the alleged debt.
- 26. Defendant collects and attempts to collect debts incurred or alleged to have been incurred for personal, family or household purposes on behalf of creditors using the United States Postal Service, telephone and internet.

<u>Violation – January 8, 2018 Collection Letter</u>

- 27. On or about January 8, 2018, Defendant sent Plaintiff a collection letter (the "Letter") regarding the alleged debt owed to Chase Bank U.S.A., N.A., with an outstanding balance due in the amount of \$9,654.86. See a true and accurate copy of the Letter attached as Exhibit A.
- 28. Defendant's January 8, 2018 Collection Letter contained language that implies that the consumer may enhance her likelihood of future approval for credit products by paying the alleged debt in full, rather than accept a reduced settlement amount.
- 29. The third and fourth paragraphs of Defendant's letter contained offers to settle the \$9,654.86 balance for a reduced amount. Either for, \$2,896.46, payable in one payment, or two payments of \$1,930.97 each.

- 30. Defendant's Collection Letter then concludes: "If we settle this debt with you for less than the full outstanding balance, Chase may offer you less favorable terms in the future for some Chase products or services or may deny your application."
- This language implies that if the Plaintiff settles the stated balance for a reduced amount, as opposed to paying the stated balance in full, Plaintiff may reduce her likelihood of receiving future credit products from Chase Bank or reduce her overall creditworthiness.
- 32. This language is false and deceptive, since approval in the future has to do with a person's credit, and by implying that paying in full will help your chance of being approved is a false statement.
- 33. This statement is deceptive and contradictory, thus preventing the Plaintiff from making payment as she could not be certain if it was better or worse to settle the debt.
- 34. As a result of Defendant's deceptive, misleading and unfair debt collection practices, Plaintiff has been damaged.

COUNT I VIOLATIONS OF THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT 15 U.S.C. §1692e et seq.

- 35. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs above herein with the same force and effect as if the same were set forth at length herein.
- 36. Defendant's debt collection efforts attempted and/or directed towards the Plaintiff violated various provisions of the FDCPA, including but not limited to 15 U.S.C. § 1692e.
- 37. Pursuant to 15 U₂S.C. §1692e, a debt collector may not use any false, deceptive, or misleading representation or means in connection with the collection of any debt.
 - 38. Defendant violated said section by:

- a. Making a false and misleading representation in violation of §1692e(10).
- b. Specifically, by falsely implying that payment in-full (rather than settlement) of the claimed debt would have enhanced Plaintiff's likelihood of receiving future credit products or enhanced her overall creditworthiness.
- 39. By reason thereof, Defendant is liable to Plaintiff for judgment that Defendant's conduct violated Section 1692e et seq. of the FDCPA, actual damages, statutory damages, costs and attorneys' fees.

DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY

Pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff hereby requests a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Lawanda Thompson, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated demands judgment from Defendant MRS BPO, L.L.C. d/b/a MRS Associates of New Jersey as follows:

- 1. Declaring that this action is properly maintainable as a Class Action and certifying Plaintiff as Class representative, and David I. Schoen, Esq. as Class Counsel;
 - 2. Awarding Plaintiff and the Class statutory damages;
 - 3. Awarding Plaintiff and the Class actual damages;
- 4. Awarding Plaintiff costs of this Action, including reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses;
 - 5. Awarding pre-judgment interest and post-judgment interest; and

6. Awarding Plaintiff and the Class such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper.

Dated: December 17, 2018

Respectfully Submitted,

By: David I. Schoen, Esq., Alabama Bar No. 0860-O42D 2800 Zelda Road, Suite 100-6 Montgomery, AL, 36106

Phone: 334-395-6611 Fax: 917-591-7586

Email: Schoenlawfirm@gmail.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff

Yaakov Saks, Esq. Stein Saks, PLLC 285 Passaic Street Hackensack, NJ 07601 Phone: (201) 282-6500

Fax: (201) 282-6501 ysaks@steinsakslegal.com Attorneys For Plaintiff

PRO HAC VICE APPLICATION TO BE FILED

EXHIBIT A



3:18-cv-01069-WKW-SRW Document 1-1 Filed 12/26/18 Page 2 of 2

1930 OLNEY AVE. CHERRY HILL, NJ 08003 800-949-3208

Office Hours:

CREDITOR ACCT#: xxxxxxxxxxxxxxx8379 ACCOUNT BALANCE: \$9,654.86

January 8, 2018

Monday - Thursday 9am - 9pm ET 9am - 5pm ET Friday

Dear LAWANDA THOMPSON.

We recognize that a possible hardship or pitfall may have prevented you from satisfying your obligation. We are presenting three options to resolve your balance. We are not obligated to renew this offer.

Option 1: A monthly payment plan on the full balance of the account.

Option 2: You pay \$2,896.46 in ONE PAYMENT to be received in this office on or before 01/24/2018.

Option 3: You make TWO PAYMENTS of \$1,930.97 each. The first payment to be received in this office on or before 01/24/2018 and the second payment on or before 02/22/2018.

Payment may be made by calling 800-949-3208, mailing to the above address or by using our online payment website at https://mrspay.webview.com (internet connection required).

When you call please let our representative know that you have received the CHASE BANK USA N.A. Option Letter.

Sincerely, MRS BPO, L.L.C. 800-949-3206 LU4.**3518766**.10100355

This is an attempt to collect a debt and any information obtained will be used for that purpose. This communication is from a debt collector.

If we settle this debt with you for less than the full outstanding balance. Chase may offer you less favorable terms in the future for some Chase products or services, or may deny your application.

STL002wWP

Cake #18-1401069-WKW-SRW Document 1-2 Filed 12/26/18 Page 1 of 1

JS 44 (Rev. 08/18)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS		T " 1	MESEPOPANTS	ba MRS ASSOCIATES (OF NEW JERSEY and
LAWANDA THOMPSON situated;	, individually and on be		imilarly JOHN DOES 1-25		or New Serioe / Gira
(b) County of Residence of	of First Listed Plaintiff	Russell 7918 DE	COUNTY of Residence	of First Listed Defendant	
•	XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA	(SES)		(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES O	NLY)
,=,		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	P HACKENOTE CINEAND CO	ONDEMNATION CASES, USE TH	HE LOCATION OF
			P HACKSTOTE CLASAND CO STRICT COURTHETRACT	OF LAND INVOLVED.	
			E DIS RIAttorneys (If Known)		
David IASCHOVER, PESQUINC.	Address, and Telephone Number	7)	E STO TW Attorneys (If Known)		
2800 Zelda Road, Suite 1					
Montgomery, AL, 36106		•		•	
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)	III. CITIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff
v		(For Diversity Cases Only)		and One Box for Defendant)	
☐ 1 U.S. Government	★ 3 Federal Question ■ The state of t			rf déf	PTF DEF
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government l	Not a Partý)	Citizen of This State	1 ☐ 1 Incorporated or Pri of Business In T	
				of Business III I	nis State
2 U.S. Government	Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		Citizen of Another State	•	•
Defendant				of Business In A	Another State
			Citizen or Subject of a	3	06 06
			Foreign Country		
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box Or	ılv)		Click here for: Nature of	of Suit Code Descriptions.
CONTRACT	TO	RTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	
110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJUR	Y	D 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	375 False Claims Act
☐ 120 Marine	☐ 310 Airplane	☐ 365 Personal Injury -	of Property 21 USC 881	☐ 423 Withdrawal	376 Qui Tam (31 USC
☐ 130 Miller Act	315 Airplane Product	Product Liability	☐ 690 Other	28 USC 157	3729(a))
☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	Liability	367 Health Care/			☐ 400 State Reapportionment
☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	320 Assault, Libel &	Pharmaceutical		PROPERTY RIGHTS	1 410 Antitrust
& Enforcement of Judgment		Personal Injury		☐ 820 Copyrights ☐ 830 Patent	☐ 430 Banks and Banking ☐ 450 Commerce
151 Medicare Act	330 Federal Employers' Liability	Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal	í Í	835 Patent - Abbreviated	460 Deportation
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans	340 Marine	Injury Product	'	New Drug Application	☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and
(Excludes Veterans)	345 Marine Product	Liability		☐ 840 Trademark	Corrupt Organizations
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability	PERSONAL PROPER	RTY LABOR	SOCIAL SECURITY	■ 480 Consumer Credit
of Veteran's Benefits	☐ 350 Motor Vehicle	370 Other Fraud	710 Fair Labor Standards	☐ 861 HIA (1395ff)	☐ 485 Telephone Consumer
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits	☐ 355 Motor Vehicle	371 Truth in Lending	Act	☐ 862 Black Lung (923)	Protection Act
190 Other Contract	Product Liability	☐ 380 Other Personal	☐ 720 Labor/Management	☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	490 Cable/Sat TV
195 Contract Product Liability	☐ 360 Other Personal	Property Damage		☐ 864 SSID Title XVI ☐ 865 RSI (405(g))	☐ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange
☐ 196 Franchise	Injury 362 Personal Injury -	☐ 385 Property Damage Product Liability	740 Kanway Labor Act	5 603 K31 (403(g))	☐ 890 Other Statutory Actions
	Medical Malpractice	Troduct Editority	Leave Act		☐ 891 Agricultural Acts
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIO	NS 🗵 🗖 790 Other Labor Litigation	FEDERAL TAX SUITS	☐ 893 Environmental Matters
☐ 210 Land Condemnation	440 Other Civil Rights	Habeas Corpus:	☐ 791 Employee Retirement	☐ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	☐ 895 Freedom of Information
☐, 220 Foreclosure	☐ 441 Voting	☐ 463 Alien Detainee	Income Security Act	or Defendant)	Act
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	☐ 442 Employment	510 Motions to Vacate	e	871 IRS—Third Party	□ 896 Arbitration
240 Torts to Land	443 Housing/	Sentence		26 USC 7609	☐ 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of
☐ 245 Tort Product Liability ☐ 290 All Other Real Property	Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	☐ 530 General ☐ 535 Death Penalty	IMMIGRATION	ā	Agency Decision
5 290 Air Other Real Froperty	Employment	Other:	☐ 462 Naturalization Application	<i>i</i> 1	950 Constitutionality of
	☐ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities -				State Statutes
	Other	550 Civil Rights	Actions		
	☐ 448 Education	555 Prison Condition			
		560 Civil Detaince - Conditions of			
		Confinement			
V OBICIN & WILLIAM			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" i				-	
	moved from	Remanded from (Appellate Court	4 Reinstated or 5 Transform Reopened Another	erred from	
Proceeding Sta	ne Court	Appenaie Court	(specify)		Direct File
	Cite the U.S. Civil Str	atute under which you a	re filing (Do not cite jurisdictional stat		
	1 15 U.S.C. &1692-	- Fair Debt Collection	on Practices Act		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	Brief description of ca	use:			
		and deceptive deb	t collection letter		
VII. REQUESTED IN		IS A CLASS ACTION			
COMPLAINT: UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.			JURY DEMAND: Yes ONo		
				TORT DEMAND.	2 100 110
VIII. RELATED CASI					
IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER	
DATE			TORKEY OF KEGORD		
VZ (ZO 1.18		SIGNATURE AT	TO THE TOTAL PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PA		
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY			1 0	12 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
FOR OFFICE USE UNLI		•			
RECEIPT # AN	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP	. JÜDĞE	MAG. JÚĎ)ĠE

Court Name: U S DISTRICT COURT - AL/M Division: 2 Receipt Number: 4602051844 Cashier ID: estrong Transaction Date: 12/27/2018 Payer Name: DAVID SCHOEN

CIVIL FILING FEE For: DAVID SCHOEN Case/Party: D-ALM-3-18-CV-001069-001 Amount: \$400.00

CHECK Check/Money Order Num: 944 Amt Tendered: \$400.00

Total Due: \$400.00 Total Tendered: \$400.00 Change Amt: \$0.00

3:18-cv-01069

Thompson v. MRS BPO, L.L.C.

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: MRS Associates of New Jersey Sued Over Allegedly Misleading Collection Letter