

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

NATALYA TEPLITSKAYA, on behalf of
herself and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

ALLTRAN FINANCIAL, LP

Defendant.

**CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT
AND
DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

Plaintiff NATALYA TEPLITSKAYA (hereinafter, “Plaintiff”), a New York resident, brings this class action complaint by and through her attorneys, Cohen & Mizrahi LLP, against Defendant ALLTRAN FINANCIAL, LP (“Defendant”), individually and on behalf of a class of all others similarly situated, pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, based upon information and belief of Plaintiff’s counsel, except for allegations specifically pertaining to Plaintiff, which are based upon Plaintiff’s personal knowledge.

INTRODUCTION/PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

1. Congress enacted § 1692 *et seq.* of Title 15 of the United States Code, commonly referred to as the Fair Debt Collections Practices Act (“FDCPA”) in 1977 in response to the “abundant evidence of the use of abusive, deceptive, and unfair debt collection practices by many debt collectors.” 15 U.S.C. § 1692(a). At that time, Congress was concerned that “abusive debt collection practices contribute to the number of personal bankruptcies, to marital instability, to the loss of jobs, and to invasions of individual privacy.” *Id.* Congress concluded that “existing laws... [we]re inadequate to protect consumers,” and that “the effective collection of debts” does not require “misrepresentation or other abusive debt collection practices.” 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692(b) & (c).

2. Congress explained that the purpose of the FDCPA was not only to eliminate abusive debt collection practices, but also to “insure that those debt collectors who refrain from using abusive debt collection practices are not competitively disadvantaged.” *Id.*; § 1692(e). After determining that the existing consumer protection laws were inadequate, Congress gave consumers a private cause of action against debt collectors who fail to comply with the Act. *Id.*; § 1692k.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

3. The Court has jurisdiction over this class action under 28 U.S.C. § 1331, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 *et seq.* and 28 U.S.C. § 2201. If applicable, the Court also has pendent jurisdiction over the state law claims in this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a).

4. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2).

NATURE OF THE ACTION

5. Plaintiff brings this class action on behalf of a class of New York consumers seeking redress for Defendant’s actions of using a misleading, deceptive, unfair and unconscionable means to collect a debt.

6. Defendant's actions violated § 1692 *et seq.*, of the FDCPA, which prohibits debt collectors from engaging in abusive, deceptive and unfair practices.

7. Plaintiff is seeking damages, and declaratory and injunctive relief.

PARTIES

8. Plaintiff is a natural person and a resident of the State of New York and is a “Consumer” as defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692a(3).

9. Defendant is a collection agency with its principal office located in Houston, Texas.

10. Defendant is a company that uses the mail, telephone, and facsimile, and regularly engages in business, the principal purpose of which is to attempt to collect debts alleged to be due another.

11. Defendant is a “debt collector” as defined under the FDCPA under 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).

CLASS ALLEGATIONS

12. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations contained in paragraphs numbered “1” through “11” herein with the same force and effect as if set forth at length herein.

13. Plaintiff brings claims, pursuant to the Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a) and 23(b)(3), individually and on behalf of the following consumer class (the “Class”).

14. The Class consists of (a) all individuals with addresses in Queens County in the State of New York (b) to whom Defendant (c) sent a collection letter attempting to collect a consumer debt (d) failing to clearly state the amount of the debt which is due and owing, by implying that a payment sooner rather than later will be more economical for the consumer and by employing false, deceptive and misleading representations in connection with the collection of the debt (e) which letter was sent on or after a date one year prior to filing this action and on or before a date 21 days after filing this action.

15. The identities of all Class members are readily ascertainable from Defendant’s records and those companies and entities on whose behalf they attempt to collect and/or have purchased debts.

16. Excluded from the Class are the Defendants and all officers, members, partners, managers, directors, and employees of the Defendants and their respective immediate families, and legal counsel for all parties to this action and all members of their immediate families.

17. There are questions of law and fact common to the Class, which common issues predominate over any issues involving only individual class members. The principal issue is whether the Defendant’s written communications to consumers, in the form attached as **Exhibit A**, violate 15 U.S.C. § 1962e.

18. The Plaintiff's claims are typical of the Class members, as all are based upon the same facts and legal theories.

19. The Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Class defined in this complaint. The Plaintiff has retained counsel with experience handling in consumer lawsuits, complex legal issues, and class actions, and neither the Plaintiff nor his attorneys have any interests, which might cause them not to vigorously pursue this action.

20. This action has been brought, and may properly be maintained, as a class action pursuant to the provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 23 because there is a well-defined community interest in the litigation:

- a. **Numerosity:** The Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that the Class defined above are so numerous that joinder of all members would be impractical.
- b. **Common Questions Predominate:** Common questions of law and fact exist as to all members of the Class and those questions predominate over any questions or issues involving only individual class members. The principal issue is whether the Defendant's written communications to consumers, in the form attached as **Exhibit A**, violate 15 U.S.C. § 1692e.
- c. **Typicality:** The Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the Class members. The Plaintiff and all members of the Class have claims arising out of the Defendant's common uniform course of conduct complained of herein.
- d. **Adequacy:** The Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Class members insofar as Plaintiff has no interest that are adverse to the

absent Class members. Plaintiff is committed to vigorously litigating this matter. Plaintiff has also retained counsel with experience in handling consumer lawsuits, complex legal issues, and class actions. Neither the Plaintiff nor his counsel have any interests which might cause them not to vigorously pursue the instant class action lawsuit.

- e. **Superiority:** A class action is superior to the other available means for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy because individual joinder of all members would be impractical. Class action treatment will permit a large number of similarly situated persons to prosecute their common claims in a single forum efficiently and without unnecessary duplication of effort and expense.

21. Certification of a class under Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(3) is also appropriate in that the questions of law and fact are common to all members of the Class and predominate over any questions affecting an individual member, and a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy.

22. Depending on the outcome of further investigation and discovery, Plaintiff may, at the time of class certification motion, seek to certify a class(es) only as to particular issues pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(c)(4).

ALLEGATIONS PARTICULAR TO NATALYA TEPLITSKAYA

23. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations contained in paragraphs numbered “1” through “22” herein with the same force and effect as if set forth at length herein.

24. Defendant collects and attempts to collect debts incurred or alleged to have been incurred for personal, family or household purposes on behalf of creditors using the United States Postal Services, telephone, facsimile, and Internet.

25. Upon information and belief, within the last year Defendant commenced efforts to collect an alleged consumer “debt” as defined by 15 U.S.C. 1692a(5), when it mailed a collection letter to Plaintiff seeking to collect on an unpaid account originally owed to American Express.

26. On or about February 16, 2018, Defendant sent Plaintiff a collection letter (the “Collection Letter”) seeking to collect a balance allegedly incurred for personal purposes. A copy of the Collection Letter is attached hereto and incorporated herein as **Exhibit A**.

27. The Collection Letter was sent or caused to be sent by persons employed by Defendant as a “debt collector” as defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692a(6).

28. The Collection Letter is a “communication” as defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692a(2).

29. Upon information and belief, Defendant’s Collection Letter is a form letter.

30. Upon information and belief, the Defendant’s Collection Letter is identical to other collection letters sent to consumers, which number in the hundreds.

31. Defendant’s Collection Letter provides, in pertinent part, as follows: “As of the date of this letter, you owe \$788.25.”

32. As a result of the following counts Defendant violated the FDCPA.

First Count
Violation of 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e, 1692e(2), 1692e(10) and 1692e(5)
False or Misleading Representations

33. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations contained in paragraphs numbered “1” through “32” herein with the same force and effect as if set forth at length herein.

34. 15 U.S.C. § 1692e provides, in pertinent part, as follows:

“A debt collector may not use any false, deceptive, or misleading representation or means in connection with the collection of any debt. Without limiting the general application of the foregoing, the following conduct is a violation of this section:

- (2) the false representation of –
 - (A) the character, amount, or legal status of any debt; or...
- (10) the use of any false representation or deceptive means to collect or attempt to collect any debt or to obtain information concerning a consumer.”

35. Defendant’s Collection Letter provides, in pertinent part, as follows: “As of the date of this letter, you owe \$788.25.” This phrase implies that the balance may increase at a later stage. See *Chuway v. Nat’l Action Fin., Servs.*, 362 F.3d 944 (7th Cir. 2004) (Letter stating the balance but inviting the debtor to call to obtain “the most current balance information” creates doubt as to whether the balance stated is increasing and violates the FDCPA unless an explanation is provided).

36. Plaintiff was left uncertain as to whether the balance would increase as there was no disclosure that indicated otherwise.

37. The Collection Letter does not clearly state either that the amount will or will not increase. See *Avila v. Riexinger & Associates, LLC*, 817 F.3d 72 (2d Cir. 2016).

38. While it is typical for a collection letter to state an “amount due” or an “account balance”, it is not typical for a collection letter to state that the amount owed is as of a specific date, as such language implies that the balance will increase at a different date. See *Islam v. Am. Recovery Serv.*, No.: 17-cv-4228-BMC, 2017 WL 4990570 (E.D.N.Y. Oct. 31, 2017) (Language such as the “current balance” or “as of the date of this letter” is insufficient disclosure to a debtor that her balance is either dynamic or static and such ambiguity violates the framework of *Avila*). See also, *Medzhidzade v. Kirschenbaum & Phillips, P.C.*, No.: 17-cv-6452-BMC, 2018 WL 2093116 (E.D.N.Y. May 3, 2018).

39. The FDCPA requires debt collectors, when notifying consumers of their account balance, to disclose that the balance may increase due to interest and fees; failure to include such disclosures harms the consumer such as Plaintiff.

40. Collection letters that state only the “Current Balance Due”, “As of the date of this letter you owe [amount due]”, “The Amount Due above reflects the total balance due as of the date of this letter”, or “As of the date of this notice you owe [amount due]”, but do not disclose that the balance might increase due to interest and fees, are misleading within the meaning of § 1692e.

41. If Defendant is not authorized by the current creditor to collect interest, late fees, or non-interest charges or fees, by stating “As of the date of this letter, you owe \$788.25,” such language leads a debtor to believe that her debt is accruing interest when it actually is not may be materially misleading because it could induce the debtor may pay that debt in lieu of another debt she knows is accruing interest at some lower rate. See *Islam v. Am. Recovery Serv.*, No.: 17-cv-4228-BMC, 2017 WL 4990570 (E.D.N.Y. Oct. 31, 2017) (Language such as the “current balance” or “as of the date of this letter” is insufficient disclosure to a debtor that her balance is either dynamic or static and such ambiguity violates the framework of *Avila*). See also, *Medzhidzade v. Kirschenbaum & Phillips, P.C.*, No.: 17-cv-6452-BMC, 2018 WL 2093116 (E.D.N.Y. May 3, 2018).

42. The Collection Letter falsely implies that the debt is dynamic and not static, leading the consumer to believe that the debt may accrue interest and late fees at a later date.

43. Defendant never intended to add any charges and fees to Plaintiff's account.

44. Defendant misrepresented the character of the alleged debt in violation of 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e and 1692e(2)(a), when it falsely implied charges and fees could accrue on the account.

45. Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e(2)(A) and 1692e(10) by misrepresenting the amount of debt owed by Plaintiff.

46. Because the Collection Letters can reasonably be read by the least sophisticated consumer to have two or more meanings, one of which is inaccurate, as described, they are deceptive under 15 U.S.C. § 1692e.

47. For these reasons, Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e, 1692e(2), and 1692e(10). See *Taylor v. Fin. Recovery Servs., Inc.*, 886 F.3d 212, 215 (2d Cir. 2018) (citing *Chuway v. Nat'l Action Fin. Servs., Inc.*, 362 F.3d 944, 949 (7th Cir. 2004)); see also *Thomas v. Midland Credit Mgmt., Inc.*, No. 2:17-CV-00523(ADS)(ARL), 2017 WL 5714722, at *4 (E.D.N.Y. Nov. 27, 2017); *Islam v. American Recovery Service Incorporated*, 17-CV-4228 (BMC), 2017 WL 4990570, at *2 (E.D.N.Y. Oct. 30, 2017); and *Medzhidzade v. Kirschenbaum & Phillips, P.C.*, No.: 17-cv-6452-BMC, 2018 WL 2093116 (E.D.N.Y. May 3, 2018).

48. At all relevant times, Plaintiff was being contacted by more than one debt collector.

49. Plaintiff attempted to prioritize paying off his debts by focusing foremost on those debts with the highest interest rates.

50. However, Plaintiff was hampered from prioritizing his debts due to Defendant's dunning collection letter which implied that interest and fees may accrue in the future.

51. Defendant's implication that the subject debt may accrue interest and fees materially harmed Plaintiff in his ability to properly allocate his limited funds.

52. As a result, Plaintiff was unsure which debts to prioritize, thereby damaging him in the process. As a result, Plaintiff was materially harmed by Defendant's actions.

53. Plaintiff suffered an injury in fact by being subjected to unfair and abusive practices of the Defendant.

54. Plaintiff suffered actual harm by being the target of the Defendant's misleading debt collection communications.

55. Defendant violated the Plaintiff's right not to be the target of misleading debt collection communications.

56. Defendant violated the Plaintiff's right to a truthful and fair debt collection process.

57. Defendant used materially false, deceptive, misleading representations and means in its attempted collection of Plaintiff's alleged debt.

58. Defendant's communications were designed to cause the debtor to suffer a harmful disadvantage in charting a course of action in response to Defendant's collection efforts.

59. The FDCPA ensures that consumers are fully and truthfully apprised of the facts and of their rights. The FDPCA enables consumers to understand, make informed decisions about, and participate fully and meaningfully in the debt collection process. One purpose of the FDPCA, among others, is to provide information that helps consumers choose intelligently. The Defendant's false representations misled the Plaintiff in a manner that deprived her of her right to enjoy these benefits. As a result, Defendant's materially misleading statements trigger liability under § 1692e of the FDCPA.

60. Defendant's deceptive communications additionally violate the FDPCA since they frustrate the consumer's ability to intelligently choose his or her response.

61. As an actual and proximate result of the acts and omissions of Defendant, Plaintiff has suffered, including, without limitation, fear, stress, mental anguish, emotional stress and acute embarrassment for which he should be compensated in an amount to be established by a jury.

62. As a result of the foregoing Count, Defendant violated the FDCPA.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendants as follows:

- (a) Declaring that this action is properly maintainable as a Class Action and certifying Plaintiff as Class representative and Cohen & Mizrahi LLP, as Class Counsel;
- (b) Awarding Plaintiff and the Class statutory damages;
- (c) Awarding Plaintiff and the Class actual damages;
- (d) Awarding Plaintiff costs of this Action, including reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses;
- (e) Awarding pre-judgment interest and post-judgment interest; and
- (f) Awarding Plaintiff and the Class such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper.

COHEN & MIZRAHI LLP

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
October 22, 2018

By: /s/ Daniel C. Cohen
Daniel C. Cohen, Esq.
Cohen & Mizrahi LLP
300 Cadman Plaza W, 12th floor
Brooklyn, New York 11201
Phone: (929) 575-4175
Fax: (929) 575-4195
Email: dan@cml.legal
Attorneys for Plaintiff

DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY

Pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff hereby requests a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

/s/ Daniel C. Cohen
Daniel C. Cohen, Esq.

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS

NATALYA TEPLITSKAYA, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated

(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Kings (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)

(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)

COHEN & MIZRAHI LLP, 300 Cadman Plaza West, 12th Fl, Brooklyn NY 11201 (929) 575-4175

DEFENDANTS

ALLTRAN FINANCIAL, LP,

County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)

NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.

Attorneys (If Known)

II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

- 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff, 2 U.S. Government Defendant, 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party), 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)

III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)

Table with columns for Plaintiff (PTF) and Defendant (DEF) citizenship: Citizen of This State, Citizen of Another State, Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country, Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State, Incorporated and Principal Place of Business In Another State, Foreign Nation.

IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

Large table with categories: CONTRACT, REAL PROPERTY, CIVIL RIGHTS, TORTS, PRISONER PETITIONS, FORFEITURE/PENALTY, LABOR, IMMIGRATION, BANKRUPTCY, SOCIAL SECURITY, FEDERAL TAX SUITS, OTHER STATUTES.

V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

- 1 Original Proceeding, 2 Removed from State Court, 3 Remanded from Appellate Court, 4 Reinstated or Reopened, 5 Transferred from Another District (specify), 6 Multidistrict Litigation - Transfer, 8 Multidistrict Litigation - Direct File

VI. CAUSE OF ACTION

Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity): 15 USC 1692

Brief description of cause: Defendant violated the FDCPA

VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:

CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. DEMAND \$

CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: JURY DEMAND: Yes No

VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY

(See instructions):

JUDGE DOCKET NUMBER

DATE SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD

10/22/18 /s/ Daniel Cohen

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

RECEIPT # AMOUNT APPLYING IFP JUDGE MAG. JUDGE

CERTIFICATION OF ARBITRATION ELIGIBILITY

Local Arbitration Rule 83.10 provides that with certain exceptions, actions seeking money damages only in an amount not in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs, are eligible for compulsory arbitration. The amount of damages is presumed to be below the threshold amount unless a certification to the contrary is filed.

I, Daniel Cohen, counsel for PLAINTIFF, do hereby certify that the above captioned civil action is ineligible for compulsory arbitration for the following reason(s):

- monetary damages sought are in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs,
- the complaint seeks injunctive relief,
- the matter is otherwise ineligible for the following reason Question of law rather than questions of fact predominates

DISCLOSURE STATEMENT - FEDERAL RULES CIVIL PROCEDURE 7.1

Identify any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation that owns 10% or more of its stocks:

NONE

RELATED CASE STATEMENT (Section VIII on the Front of this Form)

Please list all cases that are arguably related pursuant to Division of Business Rule 50.3.1 in Section VIII on the front of this form. Rule 50.3.1 (a) provides that "A civil case is "related" to another civil case for purposes of this guideline when, because of the similarity of facts and legal issues or because the cases arise from the same transactions or events, a substantial saving of judicial resources is likely to result from assigning both cases to the same judge and magistrate judge." Rule 50.3.1 (b) provides that " A civil case shall not be deemed "related" to another civil case merely because the civil case: (A) involves identical legal issues, or (B) involves the same parties." Rule 50.3.1 (c) further provides that "Presumptively, and subject to the power of a judge to determine otherwise pursuant to paragraph (d), civil cases shall not be deemed to be "related" unless both cases are still pending before the court."

NY-E DIVISION OF BUSINESS RULE 50.1(d)(2)

- 1.) Is the civil action being filed in the Eastern District removed from a New York State Court located in Nassau or Suffolk County? Yes No
- 2.) If you answered "no" above:
 - a) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in Nassau or Suffolk County? Yes No
 - b) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in the Eastern District? Yes No
 - c) If this is a Fair Debt Collection Practice Act case, specify the County in which the offending communication was received: **KINGS COUNTY** .

If your answer to question 2 (b) is "No," does the defendant (or a majority of the defendants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County, or, in an interpleader action, does the claimant (or a majority of the claimants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County? Yes No
(Note: A corporation shall be considered a resident of the County in which it has the most significant contacts).

BAR ADMISSION

I am currently admitted in the Eastern District of New York and currently a member in good standing of the bar of this court.

Yes No

Are you currently the subject of any disciplinary action (s) in this or any other state or federal court?

Yes (If yes, please explain) No

I certify the accuracy of all information provided above.

Signature: /s/ Daniel Cohen

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of New York

NATALYA TEPLITSKAYA, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff(s)

v.

ALLTRAN FINANCIAL, LP

Defendant(s)

Civil Action No.

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address) ALLTRAN FINANCIAL, LP
C/O CT CORPORATION SYSTEM
111 EIGHTH AVENUE
NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10011

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are:

COHEN & MIZRAHI LLP
300 CADMAN PLAZA WEST, 12 FLOOR
BROOKLYN, NEW YORK 11201

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

DOUGLAS C. PALMER
CLERK OF COURT

Date:

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

Civil Action No. _____

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

This summons for *(name of individual and title, if any)* _____
was received by me on *(date)* _____ .

I personally served the summons on the individual at *(place)* _____
_____ on *(date)* _____ ; or

I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with *(name)* _____
_____, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,
on *(date)* _____ , and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or

I served the summons on *(name of individual)* _____ , who is
designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of *(name of organization)* _____
_____ on *(date)* _____ ; or

I returned the summons unexecuted because _____ ; or

Other *(specify)*: _____

My fees are \$ _____ for travel and \$ _____ for services, for a total of \$ _____ 0.00 _____ .

I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.

Date: _____

Server's signature

Printed name and title

Server's address

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

PO BOX 4043
CONCORD CA 94524-4043

Alltran Financial, LP



February 16, 2018

ADDRESS SERVICE REQUESTED

Creditor: American Express Co.
Account: XXXXXXXXXXX81003
Alltran ID [REDACTED]
Balance Due as of February 16, 2018: \$788.00
Partial Account Number for Your Security [REDACTED]



Natalya Teplitskaya

[REDACTED]
REGO PARK NY 11374-3647



Alltran Financial, LP
PO BOX 722929
HOUSTON TX 77272-2929



Please detach at perforation and return with your payment.

Dear Natalya Teplitskaya,

This agency has been retained by American Express Co. to help collect your balance. As of the letter date above, you owe \$788.00.

As of the date of this letter, you owe \$788.00. For further information, write the undersigned or call 866-740-3108, extension 3063.

Unless you notify this office within 30 days after receiving this notice that you dispute the validity of the debt or any portion thereof, this office will assume this debt is valid. If you notify this office in writing within the thirty day period that the debt, or any portion thereof, is disputed, this office will: obtain verification of the debt or obtain a copy of a judgment and mail you a copy of such judgment or verification. If you request this office in writing within 30 days from receiving this notice, this office will provide you the name and address of the original creditor, if different from the current creditor.

Please see the reverse side of this letter for important notices concerning your rights.

Sincerely,

LAUREN LAMBUS
Alltran Financial, LP
P.O. Box 722929
HOUSTON TX 77272-2929

This communication is from a debt collector. This is an attempt to collect a debt and any information obtained will be used for that purpose.

Looking for a secure and more convenient way to pay your account? Make payments with your Debit Card using your mobile phone or other electronic device at www.alltranfinancialpay.com to take advantage of this simple and free service available 24 hours a day.

Office Hours (all times Central)

Monday-Thursday: 8 AM to 9 PM • Friday: 8 AM to 4 PM • Saturday: 7 AM to 11 AM

If you write to us and ask us to stop communicating with you about this debt, we will, but if you owe this debt, you will still owe it and the debt may still be collected from you. If you have a complaint about the way we are collecting this debt, you may write to our Contact Center, 5800 North Course Drive, Houston, TX 77072 or call our toll-free Complaint Hotline at (800) 326-8040 between 7 AM and 4 PM (Central Time) Monday-Friday.

State Disclosures

California

The State Rosenthal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act and the Federal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act require that, except under unusual circumstances, collectors may not contact you before 8 A.M. or after 9 P.M. They may not harass you by using threats of violence or arrest or by using obscene language. Collectors may not use false or misleading statements or call you at work if they know or have a reason to know that you may not receive personal calls at work. For the most part, collectors may not tell another person, other than your attorney or spouse, about your debt. Collectors may contact another person to confirm your location or enforce a judgment. For more information about debt collection activities, you may contact the Federal Trade Commission at 1-877- FTC-HELP or WWW.FTC.GOV.

Colorado

A consumer has the right to request in writing that a debt collector or collection agency cease further communication with the consumer. A written request to cease communication will not prohibit the debt collector or collection agency from taking any other action authorized by law to collect the debt. FOR INFORMATION ABOUT THE COLORADO FAIR DEBT COLLECTIONS PRACTICES ACT, SEE WWW.COAG.GOV/CAR.

Colorado Manager, Inc., BUILDING B, 80 Garden Center STE 3, Broomfield, CO 80020 - (303) 920-4763

Massachusetts

If you notify this office within 30 days after receiving this notice that the debt or any portion thereof is disputed, this office will obtain verification of the debt and provide to you or your attorney, additional information described in 940 CMR 7.08(2).

Minnesota

THIS COLLECTION AGENCY IS LICENSED BY THE MINNESOTA DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE.

New York

Debt collectors, in accordance with the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq., are prohibited from engaging in abusive, deceptive, and unfair debt collection efforts, including but not limited to:

- (i) the use or threat of violence;
- (ii) the use of obscene or profane language; and
- (iii) repeated phone calls made with the intent to annoy, abuse, or harass.

If a creditor or debt collector receives a money judgment against you in court, state and federal laws may prevent the following types of income from being taken to pay the debt:

- | | |
|---|--|
| 1. Supplemental security income, (SSI); | 7. Workers' compensation benefits; |
| 2. Social security; | 8. Public or private pensions; |
| 3. Public assistance (welfare); | 9. Veterans' benefits; |
| 4. Spousal support, maintenance (alimony) or child support; | 10. Federal student loans, federal student grants, and federal work study funds; and |
| 5. Unemployment benefits; | 11. Ninety percent of your wages or salary earned in the last sixty days. |
| 6. Disability benefits; | |

New York City

NEW YORK CITY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS LICENSE NUMBER 1304511, 1304544, 1304538.

North Carolina

Alltran Financial, LP holds North Carolina Department of Insurance Permit Numbers 103199, 103166, 103195.

Tennessee

THIS COLLECTION AGENCY IS LICENSED BY THE COLLECTION SERVICE BOARD OF THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE AND INSURANCE.

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: [Alltran Financial 'Misrepresented' Debt Amount, Consumer Alleges](#)
