

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

SAMUEL & STEIN

David Stein (DS 2119)
38 West 32nd Street
Suite 1110
New York, New York 10001
(212) 563-9884
dstein@samuelandstein.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff, Individually
and on behalf of all others similarly
situated

Tony Tello, on behalf of
himself and all other persons
similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

- vs. -

A.N.G. Diner Corp. d/b/a
Silver Spoon Diner, Halkios
Restaurant Corp. d/b/a Silver
Spoon Diner, Xiotis Rest.
Corp. d/b/a Silver Spoon
Diner, George Rakitzis, Nick
Rakitzis, and Anna Maidiotis,

Defendants.

DOCKET NO. 17-CV-749

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff Tony Tello, by and through his undersigned attorneys, for his complaint against defendants A.N.G. Diner Corp. d/b/a Silver Spoon Diner, Halkios Restaurant Corp. d/b/a Silver Spoon Diner, Xiotis Rest. Corp. d/b/a Silver Spoon Diner, George Rakitzis, Nick Rakitzis, and Anna Maidiotis alleges as follows, on behalf of himself and on behalf of all other persons similarly situated:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. Plaintiff Tony Tello alleges on behalf of himself and on behalf of other similarly situated current and former employees of defendants A.N.G. Diner Corp. d/b/a Silver Spoon Diner, Halkios Restaurant Corp. d/b/a Silver Spoon Diner, Xiotis Rest. Corp. d/b/a Silver Spoon Diner, George Rakitzis, Nick Rakitzis, and Anna Maidiotis, who elect to opt into this action pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA"), 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), that they are entitled to: (i) unpaid wages from defendants for overtime work for which they did not receive overtime premium pay as required by law; and (ii) liquidated damages pursuant to the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §§ 201 et seq., because defendants' violations lacked a good faith basis.

2. Mr. Tello further complains on behalf of himself and on behalf of a class of other similarly situated current and former employees of defendants, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23, that they are entitled to (i) back wages for overtime work for which defendants willfully failed to pay overtime premium pay as required by the New York Labor Law §§ 650 et seq. and the supporting New York State Department of Labor regulations; (ii) liquidated damages pursuant to New York Labor Law for these

violations; and (iii) compensation for defendants' violation of the Wage Theft Prevention Act.

THE PARTIES

3. Plaintiff Mr. Tello is an adult individual currently residing in East Orange, New Jersey.

4. Mr. Tello consents in writing to be a party to this action pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b); Mr. Tello's written consent is attached hereto and incorporated by reference.

5. Upon information and belief, defendant A.N.G. Diner Corp. d/b/a Silver Spoon Diner is a New York corporation with a principal place of business at 58-21 Junction Boulevard, Elmhurst, New York.

6. At relevant times, defendant A.N.G. Diner Corp. has been, and continues to be, an employer engaged in interstate commerce and/or the production of goods for commerce within the meaning of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 207(a).

7. Upon information and belief, defendant Halkios Restaurant Corp. d/b/a Silver Spoon Diner was a New York corporation with a principal place of business at 58-21 Junction Boulevard, Elmhurst, New York.

8. At all relevant times, defendant Halkios Restaurant Corp. was an employer engaged in interstate

commerce and/or the production of goods for commerce within the meaning of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 207(a).

9. Upon information and belief, defendant A.N.G. Diner Corp. is a successor corporation to Halkios Restaurant Corp.

10. Upon information and belief, there was a substantial continuity of ownership, workforce, assets, and business between A.N.G. Diner Corp. and Halkios Restaurant Corp.

11. Upon information and belief, defendant Xiotis Rest. Corp. d/b/a Silver Spoon Diner is a New York corporation with a principal place of business at 58-21 Junction Boulevard, Elmhurst, New York.

12. At all relevant times, defendant Xiotis Rest. Corp. has been, and continues to be, an employer engaged in interstate commerce and/or the production of goods for commerce within the meaning of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 207(a).

13. Upon information and belief, at relevant times, defendants A.N.G. Diner Corp., Halkios Restaurant Corp., and Xiotis Rest. Corp. (collectively, the "Silver Spoon Defendants") have jointly operated the diner doing business as Silver Spoon Diner, and have constituted a single "enterprise" as defined in the FLSA.

14. Upon information and belief, at all relevant times, the Silver Spoon Defendants have had gross annual revenues in excess of \$500,000.00.

15. Upon information and belief, at all relevant times herein, the Silver Spoon Defendants have used goods and materials produced in interstate commerce, and have employed at least two individuals who handled such goods and materials.

16. Upon information and belief, defendant George Rakitzis is an owner or part owner and principal of the Silver Spoon Defendants, who has the power to hire and fire employees, set wages and schedules, and maintain their records.

17. Defendant George Rakitzis was involved in the day-to-day operations of the Silver Spoon Defendants and played an active role in managing the business.

18. Upon information and belief, defendant Nick Rakitzis is an owner or part owner and principal of the Silver Spoon Defendants, who has the power to hire and fire employees, set wages and schedules, and maintain their records.

19. Defendant Nick Rakitzis was involved in the day-to-day operations of the Silver Spoon Defendants and played an active role in managing the business.

20. Upon information and belief, defendant Anna Maidiotis is an owner or part owner and principal of the Silver Spoon Defendants, who has the power to hire and fire employees, set wages and schedules, and maintain their records.

21. Defendant Anna Maidiotis was involved in the day-to-day operations of the Silver Spoon Defendants and played an active role in managing the business.

22. Defendant George Rakitzis hired plaintiff and set his schedule, while George Rakitzis and Nick Rakitzis both supervised plaintiff, and George Rakitzis and Anna Maidiotis both set plaintiff's pay.

23. Defendants constituted "employers" of plaintiff as that term is used in the Fair Labor Standards Act and New York Labor Law.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

24. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1337 and supplemental jurisdiction over Mr. Tello's state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367. In addition, the Court has jurisdiction over Mr. Tello's claims under the FLSA pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

25. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 because defendants' business is located in this district.

COLLECTIVE ACTION AND CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

26. Pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 207, Mr. Tello seeks to prosecute his FLSA claims as a collective action on behalf of himself and a collective defined as follows:

All persons who are or were employed by defendants in the United States at any time since February 3, 2014, to the entry of judgment in this case (the "Collective Action Period"), and who were not paid overtime compensation at rates at least one-and-one-half times the regular rate of pay for hours worked in excess of forty hours per workweek (the "Collective Action Members").

27. Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a), (b)(2), and (b)(3), Mr. Tello seeks to prosecute his New York Labor Law claims on behalf of himself and a class defined as follows:

All persons who are or were employed by defendants in the United States at any time since February 3, 2011, to the entry of judgment in this case (the "Class Period"), and who were not properly paid overtime compensation, and/or who were not provided with appropriate wage notices or weekly wage statements (the "Class Members").

28. Prosecution of this matter as a class is necessary because the persons in the putative Class identified above are so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable.

29. Although the precise number of such persons is unknown, their identities are readily ascertainable from records within the sole control of defendants, and upon information and belief there are more than 40 members of the putative class during the Class Period, most of whom would not be likely to file individual suits because they lack adequate financial resources, access to attorneys, or knowledge of their claims.

30. Mr. Tello will fairly and adequately protect the interests of both the putative Class Members and the Collective Action Members and has retained counsel that is experienced and competent in the fields of employment law and class action litigation.

31. Mr. Tello's claims are typical of the claims of the putative Class and Collective Action Members, and plaintiff has no interests that are contrary to, or in conflict with, those of the putative members of this class action or collective action.

32. Furthermore, inasmuch as the damages suffered by individual putative Class Members and Collective Action Members may be relatively small, the expense and burden of individual litigation make it virtually impossible for the members of the putative class and collective actions to individually seek redress for the wrongs done to them.

33. Questions of law and fact common to the members of the putative class and collective actions predominate over questions that may affect only individual members because defendants have acted on grounds generally applicable to all members.

34. Among the common questions of law and fact under the FLSA and New York wage and hour laws common to Mr. Tello and other putative Class/Collective Action Members are the following:

- a. Whether defendants failed and/or refused to pay Mr. Tello and the Collective Action Members premium pay for hours worked in excess of forty per workweek, in violation of the FLSA and the regulations promulgated thereunder;
- b. Whether defendants failed and/or refused to pay Mr. Tello and the putative Class Members premium pay for hours worked in excess of forty per workweek, in violation of New York wage and hour laws and the regulations promulgated thereunder;
- c. Whether defendants failed and/or refused to provide Mr. Tello and the putative Class Members with the wage notices and weekly pay statements required by New York Labor Law §§ 195.1, 195.3, and the Wage Theft Prevention Act;

- d. Whether defendants' violations of the FLSA were willful, or not made in good faith, as those terms are used within the context of the FLSA; and
- e. Whether defendants' violations of New York Labor Law were willful, or not made in good faith, as those terms are used within the context of New York Labor Law.

35. Mr. Tello knows of no difficulty that will be encountered in the management of this litigation that will preclude its maintenance as a collective action or class action.

36. The Collective Action Members are similarly situated to Mr. Tello in that they were employed by Silver Spoon Diner as non-exempt diner workers, and were denied premium overtime pay for hours worked beyond forty hours in a week.

37. They are further similarly situated in that Silver Spoon Diner had a policy and practice of knowingly and willfully refusing to pay them overtime.

38. Plaintiff and the Collective Action Members and Class Members perform or performed the same or similar primary duties, and were subjected to the same policies and practices by Silver Spoon Diner.

39. The exact number of such individuals is presently unknown, but is known by defendants and can be ascertained through appropriate discovery.

FACTS

40. At all relevant times herein, defendants owned and operated a diner in Queens.

41. Mr. Tello was employed at Silver Spoon Diner from approximately May 2003 to September 2016.

42. For at least the last six years, Mr. Tello has been employed as a counter person at Silver Spoon Diner; he would, inter alia, act as cashier, take phone orders, and pack delivery orders for the delivery people.

43. In addition, for approximately the last three years, Mr. Tello has split his time between working the counter and assisting in the kitchen, preparing food.

44. Mr. Tello's work was performed in the normal course of defendants' business and was integrated into the business of defendants, and did not involve executive or administrative responsibilities.

45. At all relevant times herein, Mr. Tello was an employee engaged in commerce and/or in the production of goods for commerce, as defined in the FLSA and its implementing regulations.

46. Mr. Tello regularly worked six days each week of his employment at Silver Spoon.

47. On days when he worked the counter, he would work from 6:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m., which amounted to 9½ hours per day after accounting for his half-hour meal break.

48. On days when he worked in the kitchen, he would work from 6:00 a.m. to 3:30 p.m., or nine hours per day after accounting for his meal break.

49. As a result, in weeks when he worked exclusively at the counter, he would work roughly 57 hours per week, while in weeks in which he split time between the counter and kitchen, he would work roughly 55½ hours per week.

50. Defendants did not provide a time clock, sign in sheet, or any other method for Mr. Tello to track his time worked. (On days when he worked the counter, he was required to punch in a code on the computer when he started working; however, he did not do this on days when he worked in the kitchen, and he did not punch out at the end of his shift.)

51. Mr. Tello was paid a weekly salary during his employment at Silver Spoon.

52. By 2011, Mr. Tello was being paid \$480 per week by Silver Spoon.

53. Commencing approximately three years ago, when Mr. Tello began working part of his time in the kitchen, Silver Spoon began paying Mr. Tello \$575 per week.

54. Mr. Tello received these amounts each week, regardless of the exact number of hours he worked that week.

55. Defendants' payment of a weekly salary to Mr. Tello, rather than paying them on an hourly basis, also violated 12 N.Y.C.R.R. § 146-2.5.

56. As a result, Silver Spoon Diner failed to pay Mr. Tello any overtime "bonus" for hours worked beyond 40 hours in a workweek, in violation of the FLSA, the New York Labor Law, and the supporting New York State Department of Labor regulations.

57. Defendants' failure to pay Mr. Tello the overtime bonus for overtime hours worked was willful, and lacked a good faith basis.

58. Mr. Tello was paid in cash.

59. Until approximately three years ago, Mr. Tello was not provided with any paystubs or wage statements providing any of the information required by New York law, such as regular and overtime hours worked or regular and overtime pay.

60. Upon information and belief, approximately three years ago the Department of Labor investigated defendants' labor practices.

61. As a response to this investigation, Silver Spoon began providing Mr. Tello with a paystub with his cash pay.

62. However, the information on the paystub was completely fictional; neither the hours nor the pay listed were accurate.

63. Moreover, the paystubs did not contain all the information required by the Wage Theft Prevention Act.

64. Defendants failed to provide Mr. Tello with a written notice providing the information required by the Wage Theft Prevention Act - including, *inter alia*, defendants' contact information, his regular and overtime rates, and intended allowances claimed - and failed to obtain his signature acknowledging the same, upon his hiring or at any time thereafter, in violation of the Wage Theft Prevention Act in effect at the time.

65. Defendants failed to provide Mr. Tello with accurate weekly records of his compensation and hours worked, in violation of the Wage Theft Prevention Act.

66. Upon information and belief, throughout the period of Mr. Tello's employment, both before that time (throughout the Class and Collective Action Periods) and

continuing until today, defendants have likewise employed other individuals like Mr. Tello (the Class and Collective Action Members) in positions at defendants' diner that required little skill, no capital investment, and with duties and responsibilities that did not include any managerial responsibilities or the exercise of independent judgment.

67. Upon information and belief, these other individuals have worked in excess of forty hours per week, yet the diner has likewise failed to pay them overtime compensation of one-and-one-half times their regular hourly rate, in violation of the FLSA and the New York Labor Law.

68. Upon information and belief, these other individuals were not provided with required wage notices or accurate weekly wage statements as specified in New York Labor Law §§ 195.1, 195.3, and the Wage Theft Prevention Act.

69. Upon information and belief, while defendants employed Mr. Tello and throughout all relevant time periods, defendants failed to maintain accurate and sufficient time records or provide accurate records to employees.

70. Upon information and belief, while defendants employed Mr. Tello and through all relevant time periods,

defendants failed to post or keep posted accurate notices explaining the minimum wage and overtime pay rights provided by the FLSA or New York Labor Law.

COUNT I

(Fair Labor Standards Act - Overtime)

71. Mr. Tello, on behalf of himself and all Collective Action Members, repeats, realleges, and incorporates by reference the foregoing allegations as if set forth fully and again herein.

72. At all relevant times, defendants employed Mr. Tello and each of the Collective Action Members within the meaning of the FLSA.

73. At all relevant times, defendants had a policy and practice of refusing to pay overtime compensation to their employees for hours they worked in excess of forty hours per workweek.

74. As a result of defendants' willful failure to compensate their employees, including Mr. Tello and the Collective Action Members, at a rate not less than one-and-one-half times the regular rate of pay for work performed in excess of forty hours per workweek, defendants have violated, and continue to violate, the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §§ 201 et seq., including 29 U.S.C. §§ 207(a)(1) and 215(a).

75. The foregoing conduct, as alleged, constitutes a willful violation of the FLSA within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 255(a), and lacks a good faith basis within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 260.

76. Due to defendants' FLSA violations, Mr. Tello and the Collective Action Members are entitled to recover from defendants their unpaid overtime compensation, liquidated damages, interest, reasonable attorneys' fees, and costs and disbursements of this action, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

COUNT II

(New York Labor Law - Overtime)

77. Mr. Tello, on behalf of himself and the members of the Class, repeats, realleges, and incorporates by reference the foregoing allegations as if set forth fully and again herein.

78. At all relevant times, Mr. Tello and the members of the Class were employed by defendants within the meaning of the New York Labor Law, §§ 2 and 651.

79. Defendants willfully violated the rights of Mr. Tello and the members of the Class by failing to pay them full overtime compensation at rates not less than one-and-one-half times the regular rate of pay for each hour worked in excess of forty hours per workweek in violation of the

New York Labor Law §§ 650 et seq. and its supporting regulations in 12 N.Y.C.R.R. § 137 and 12 N.Y.C.R.R. § 146.

80. Defendants' failure to pay overtime was willful, and lacked a good faith basis, within the meaning of New York Labor Law § 198, § 663 and supporting regulations.

81. Due to defendants' New York Labor Law violations, Mr. Tello and the members of the Class are entitled to recover from defendants their unpaid overtime compensation, liquidated damages, interest, reasonable attorneys' fees, and costs and disbursements of the action, pursuant to New York Labor Law § 198, and § 663(1).

COUNT III

(New York Labor Law - Wage Theft Prevention Act)

82. Mr. Tello, on behalf of himself and the members of the Class, repeats, realleges, and incorporates by reference the foregoing allegations as if set forth fully and again herein.

83. At all relevant times, Mr. Tello and the members of the Class were employed by defendants within the meaning of the New York Labor Law, §§ 2 and 651.

84. Defendants willfully violated the rights of Mr. Tello and the members of the Class by failing to provide them with the wage notices required by the Wage Theft

Prevention Act when they were hired, or at any time thereafter.

85. Defendants willfully violated the rights of Mr. Tello and the members of the Class by failing to provide them with accurate weekly wage statements required by the Wage Theft Prevention Act at any time during their employment.

86. Due to defendants' New York Labor Law violations relating to the failure to provide wage statements, Mr. Tello and the members of the Class are entitled to recover from the defendants statutory damages of \$100 per week through February 26, 2015, and \$250 per day from February 27, 2015 through the end of their employment, up to the maximum statutory damages.

87. Due to defendants' New York Labor Law violations relating to the failure to provide wage notices, Mr. Tello and the members of the Class are entitled to recover from the defendants statutory damages of \$50 per week through February 26, 2015, and \$50 per day from February 27, 2015 to the termination of their employment, up to the maximum statutory damages.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Mr. Tello, on behalf of himself and the members of the collective and class actions, respectfully requests that this Court grant the following relief:

- a. Certification of this action as a class action pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.P. 23(b)(2) and (3) on behalf of members of the Class and appointing Mr. Tello and his counsel to represent the Class;
- b. Designation of this action as a collective action on behalf of the Collective Action Members and prompt issuance of notice pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) to all similarly situated members of an FLSA Opt-In Class, apprising them of the pendency of this action, permitting them to assert timely FLSA claims in this action by filing individual Consents to Sue pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), and appointing Mr. Tello and his counsel to represent the Collective Action members;
- c. A declaratory judgment that the practices complained of herein are unlawful under the FLSA and the New York Labor Law;

- d. An injunction against defendants and their officers, agents, successors, employees, representatives, and any and all persons acting in concert with them, as provided by law, from engaging in each of the unlawful practices, policies, and patterns set forth herein;
- e. A compensatory award of unpaid compensation, at the statutory overtime rate, due under the FLSA and the New York Labor Law;
- f. An award of liquidated damages as a result of defendants' willful failure to pay statutory overtime compensation pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216;
- g. Liquidated damages for defendants' New York Labor Law violations;
- h. Statutory damages for defendants' violation of the New York Wage Theft Prevention Act;
- i. Back pay;
- j. Punitive damages;
- k. An award of prejudgment and postjudgment interest;

l. An award of costs and expenses of this action together with reasonable attorneys' and expert fees; and

m. Such other, further, and different relief as this Court deems just and proper.

Dated: February 3, 2017



David Stein
SAMUEL & STEIN
38 West 32nd Street
Suite 1110
New York, New York 10001
(212) 563-9884

Attorneys for Plaintiff,
Individually and on behalf of
others similarly situated

EXHIBIT A

CONSENT TO SUE

By my signature below, I hereby authorize the filing and prosecution of claims in my name and on my behalf to contest the failure of A N G Diner a/k/a Silver Spoon Diner and its owners and affiliates to pay me, *inter alia*, minimum wage and overtime wages as required under state and/or federal law and also authorize the filing of this consent in the lawsuit challenging such conduct, and consent to being named as a representative plaintiff in this action to make decisions on behalf of all other plaintiffs concerning all aspects of this lawsuit. I have been provided with a copy of a retainer agreement with the law firm of Samuel & Stein, and I agree to be bound by its terms.

Con mi firma abajo, autorizo la presentación y tramitación de reclamaciones en mi nombre y de mi parte para impugnar el fallo de A N G Diner a/k/a Silver Spoon Diner y sus propietarios y afiliados a me pagan, entre otras cosas, el salario mínimo y pago de horas extras, requerida en el estado y / o la ley federal y también autorizan la presentación de este consentimiento en la demanda contra ese tipo de conducta, y el consentimiento para ser nombrado como demandante representante en esta acción para tomar decisiones en nombre de todos los demás demandantes en relación con todos aspectos de esta demanda. Se me ha proporcionado una copia de un acuerdo de retención con la firma de abogados de Samuel y Stein, y estoy de acuerdo en estar obligado por sus términos..



Tony Tello

Date: February 2, 2017

JS 44 (Rev. 07/16)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS

Tony Tello, on behalf of himself and all other persons similarly situated

(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Essex County, NJ

(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)

(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)

Samuel & Stein / 38 West 32nd Street, Suite 1110 / New York,
NY 10001 / (212) 563-9884**DEFENDANTS**

A.N.G. Diner Corp. d/b/a Silver Spoon Diner, Halkios Restaurant Corp. d/b/a Silver Spoon Diner, Xiotis Rest. Corp. d/b/a Silver Spoon Diner, George Rakitzis, Nick Rakitzis, and Anna Maidiotis

County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Queens County, NY

(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)

NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.

Attorneys (If Known)

II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

- ☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff
- ☒ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)
- ☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant
- ☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)

III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)

- | | PTF | DEF | | PTF | DEF |
|---|----------------------------|----------------------------|---|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| Citizen of This State | <input type="checkbox"/> 1 | <input type="checkbox"/> 1 | Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State | <input type="checkbox"/> 4 | <input type="checkbox"/> 4 |
| Citizen of Another State | <input type="checkbox"/> 2 | <input type="checkbox"/> 2 | Incorporated and Principal Place of Business In Another State | <input type="checkbox"/> 5 | <input type="checkbox"/> 5 |
| Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country | <input type="checkbox"/> 3 | <input type="checkbox"/> 3 | Foreign Nation | <input type="checkbox"/> 6 | <input type="checkbox"/> 6 |

IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

CONTRACT	TORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
<input type="checkbox"/> 110 Insurance <input type="checkbox"/> 120 Marine <input type="checkbox"/> 130 Miller Act <input type="checkbox"/> 140 Negotiable Instrument <input type="checkbox"/> 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment <input type="checkbox"/> 151 Medicare Act <input type="checkbox"/> 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) <input type="checkbox"/> 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits <input type="checkbox"/> 160 Stockholders' Suits <input type="checkbox"/> 190 Other Contract <input type="checkbox"/> 195 Contract Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 196 Franchise	PERSONAL INJURY <input type="checkbox"/> 310 Airplane <input type="checkbox"/> 315 Airplane Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 320 Assault, Libel & Slander <input type="checkbox"/> 330 Federal Employers' Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 340 Marine <input type="checkbox"/> 345 Marine Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 350 Motor Vehicle <input type="checkbox"/> 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 360 Other Personal Injury <input type="checkbox"/> 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice	<input type="checkbox"/> 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 367 Health Care/Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY <input type="checkbox"/> 370 Other Fraud <input type="checkbox"/> 371 Truth in Lending <input type="checkbox"/> 380 Other Personal Property Damage <input type="checkbox"/> 385 Property Damage Product Liability	<input type="checkbox"/> 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 <input type="checkbox"/> 690 Other LABOR <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 710 Fair Labor Standards Act <input type="checkbox"/> 720 Labor/Management Relations <input type="checkbox"/> 740 Railway Labor Act <input type="checkbox"/> 751 Family and Medical Leave Act <input type="checkbox"/> 790 Other Labor Litigation <input type="checkbox"/> 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION <input type="checkbox"/> 462 Naturalization Application <input type="checkbox"/> 465 Other Immigration Actions	<input type="checkbox"/> 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 <input type="checkbox"/> 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS <input type="checkbox"/> 820 Copyrights <input type="checkbox"/> 830 Patent <input type="checkbox"/> 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY <input type="checkbox"/> 861 HIA (1395ff) <input type="checkbox"/> 862 Black Lung (923) <input type="checkbox"/> 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) <input type="checkbox"/> 864 SSID Title XVI <input type="checkbox"/> 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS <input type="checkbox"/> 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) <input type="checkbox"/> 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	<input type="checkbox"/> 375 False Claims Act <input type="checkbox"/> 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) <input type="checkbox"/> 400 State Reapportionment <input type="checkbox"/> 410 Antitrust <input type="checkbox"/> 430 Banks and Banking <input type="checkbox"/> 450 Commerce <input type="checkbox"/> 460 Deportation <input type="checkbox"/> 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations <input type="checkbox"/> 480 Consumer Credit <input type="checkbox"/> 490 Cable/Sat TV <input type="checkbox"/> 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange <input type="checkbox"/> 890 Other Statutory Actions <input type="checkbox"/> 891 Agricultural Acts <input type="checkbox"/> 893 Environmental Matters <input type="checkbox"/> 895 Freedom of Information Act <input type="checkbox"/> 896 Arbitration <input type="checkbox"/> 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision <input type="checkbox"/> 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes

V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

- ☒ 1 Original Proceeding
- ☐ 2 Removed from State Court
- ☐ 3 Remanded from Appellate Court
- ☐ 4 Reinstated or Reopened
- ☐ 5 Transferred from Another District (specify)
- ☐ 6 Multidistrict Litigation - Transfer
- ☐ 8 Multidistrict Litigation - Direct File

VI. CAUSE OF ACTIONCite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity):
29 U.S.C. § 201, et seq.Brief description of cause:
Failure to pay overtime**VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:**☒ CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.

DEMAND \$

CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint:

JURY DEMAND: ☐ Yes ☒ No**VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY**

(See instructions):

JUDGE

DOCKET NUMBER

DATE

February 3, 2017

SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

RECEIPT # _____ AMOUNT _____ APPLYING IFP _____ JUDGE _____ MAG. JUDGE _____

CERTIFICATION OF ARBITRATION ELIGIBILITY

Local Arbitration Rule 83.10 provides that with certain exceptions, actions seeking money damages only in an amount not in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs, are eligible for compulsory arbitration. The amount of damages is presumed to be below the threshold amount unless a certification to the contrary is filed.

I, David Stein, counsel for plaintiff, do hereby certify that the above captioned civil action is ineligible for compulsory arbitration for the following reason(s):

- ☐ monetary damages sought are in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs,
- ☐ the complaint seeks injunctive relief,
- ☐ the matter is otherwise ineligible for the following reason

DISCLOSURE STATEMENT - FEDERAL RULES CIVIL PROCEDURE 7.1

Identify any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation that owns 10% or more of its stocks:

None

RELATED CASE STATEMENT (Section VIII on the Front of this Form)

Please list all cases that are arguably related pursuant to Division of Business Rule 50.3.1 in Section VIII on the front of this form. Rule 50.3.1 (a) provides that "A civil case is "related" to another civil case for purposes of this guideline when, because of the similarity of facts and legal issues or because the cases arise from the same transactions or events, a substantial saving of judicial resources is likely to result from assigning both cases to the same judge and magistrate judge." Rule 50.3.1 (b) provides that "A civil case shall not be deemed "related" to another civil case merely because the civil case: (A) involves identical legal issues, or (B) involves the same parties." Rule 50.3.1 (c) further provides that "Presumptively, and subject to the power of a judge to determine otherwise pursuant to paragraph (d), civil cases shall not be deemed to be "related" unless both cases are still pending before the court."

NY-E DIVISION OF BUSINESS RULE 50.1(d)(2)

- 1.) Is the civil action being filed in the Eastern District removed from a New York State Court located in Nassau or Suffolk County? NO
- 2.) If you answered "no" above:
- a) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in Nassau or Suffolk County? NO
- b) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in the Eastern District? Yes

If your answer to question 2 (b) is "No," does the defendant (or a majority of the defendants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County, or, in an interpleader action, does the claimant (or a majority of the claimants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County? N/A

(Note: A corporation shall be considered a resident of the County in which it has the most significant contacts).

BAR ADMISSION

I am currently admitted in the Eastern District of New York and currently a member in good standing of the bar of this court.

☒ Yes

☐ No

Are you currently the subject of any disciplinary action (s) in this or any other state or federal court?

☐ Yes

(If yes, please explain)

☒ No

I certify the accuracy of all information provided above.

Signature: David Stein

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

Civil Action No. 17-cv-749

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

This summons for *(name of individual and title, if any)* _____
was received by me on *(date)* _____.

☐ I personally served the summons on the individual at *(place)* _____
_____ on *(date)* _____; or

☐ I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with *(name)* _____
_____, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,
on *(date)* _____, and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or

☐ I served the summons on *(name of individual)* _____, who is
designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of *(name of organization)* _____
_____ on *(date)* _____; or

☐ I returned the summons unexecuted because _____; or

☐ Other *(specify)*:

My fees are \$ _____ for travel and \$ _____ for services, for a total of \$ _____ 0 _____.

I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.

Date: _____

Server's signature

Printed name and title

Server's address

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Tony Tello, on behalf of himself and all other persons
similarly situated

Plaintiff(s)

v.

A.N.G. Diner Corp. d/b/a Silver Spoon Diner, Halkios
Restaurant Corp. d/b/a Silver Spoon Diner, Xiotis Rest.
Corp. d/b/a Silver Spoon Diner, George Rakitzis, Nick
Rakitzis, and Anna Maidiotis

Defendant(s)

Civil Action No. 17-cv-749

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: *(Defendant's name and address)*

Halkios Restaurant Corp. d/b/a Silver Spoon Diner
58-21 Junction Boulevard
Corona, New York 11373

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are:

David Stein, Esq.
Samuel & Stein
38 West 32nd Street, Suite 1110
New York, NY 10001

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

DOUGLAS C. PALMER
CLERK OF COURT

Date: _____

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

Civil Action No. 17-cv-749

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

This summons for *(name of individual and title, if any)* _____
was received by me on *(date)* _____.

☐ I personally served the summons on the individual at *(place)* _____
_____ on *(date)* _____; or

☐ I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with *(name)* _____
_____, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,
on *(date)* _____, and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or

☐ I served the summons on *(name of individual)* _____, who is
designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of *(name of organization)* _____
_____ on *(date)* _____; or

☐ I returned the summons unexecuted because _____; or

☐ Other *(specify)*:

My fees are \$ _____ for travel and \$ _____ for services, for a total of \$ _____ 0 _____.

I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.

Date: _____

Server's signature

Printed name and title

Server's address

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

Eastern District of New York

Civil Action No. 17-cv-749

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

Civil Action No. 17-cv-749

PROOF OF SERVICE*(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))*

This summons for *(name of individual and title, if any)* _____
 was received by me on *(date)* _____.

☐ I personally served the summons on the individual at *(place)* _____
 _____ on *(date)* _____; or

☐ I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with *(name)* _____
 _____, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,
 on *(date)* _____, and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or

☐ I served the summons on *(name of individual)* _____, who is
 designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of *(name of organization)* _____
 _____ on *(date)* _____; or

☐ I returned the summons unexecuted because _____; or

☐ Other *(specify)*:

My fees are \$ _____ for travel and \$ _____ for services, for a total of \$ _____ 0 _____.

I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.

Date: _____

Server's signature

Printed name and title

Server's address

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

Eastern District of New York

Civil Action No. 17-cv-749

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

Civil Action No. 17-cv-749

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

This summons for *(name of individual and title, if any)* _____
was received by me on *(date)* _____.

☐ I personally served the summons on the individual at *(place)* _____
_____ on *(date)* _____; or

☐ I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with *(name)* _____
_____, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,
on *(date)* _____, and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or

☐ I served the summons on *(name of individual)* _____, who is
designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of *(name of organization)* _____
_____ on *(date)* _____; or

☐ I returned the summons unexecuted because _____; or

☐ Other *(specify)*:

My fees are \$ _____ for travel and \$ _____ for services, for a total of \$ _____ 0 _____.

I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.

Date: _____

Server's signature

Printed name and title

Server's address

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

Civil Action No. 17-cv-749

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

This summons for *(name of individual and title, if any)* _____
was received by me on *(date)* _____ .

☐ I personally served the summons on the individual at *(place)* _____
_____ on *(date)* _____ ; or

☐ I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with *(name)* _____
_____, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,
on *(date)* _____ , and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or

☐ I served the summons on *(name of individual)* _____ , who is
designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of *(name of organization)* _____
_____ on *(date)* _____ ; or

☐ I returned the summons unexecuted because _____ ; or

☐ Other *(specify)*: _____

My fees are \$ _____ for travel and \$ _____ for services, for a total of \$ _____ 0 _____ .

I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.

Date: _____

Server's signature

Printed name and title

Server's address

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

Eastern District of New York

Civil Action No. 17-cv-749

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

Civil Action No. 17-cv-749

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

This summons for *(name of individual and title, if any)* _____
was received by me on *(date)* _____.

☐ I personally served the summons on the individual at *(place)* _____
_____ on *(date)* _____; or

☐ I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with *(name)* _____
_____, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,
on *(date)* _____, and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or

☐ I served the summons on *(name of individual)* _____, who is
designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of *(name of organization)* _____
_____ on *(date)* _____; or

☐ I returned the summons unexecuted because _____; or

☐ Other *(specify)*:

My fees are \$ _____ for travel and \$ _____ for services, for a total of \$ _____ 0 _____.

I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.

Date: _____

Server's signature

Printed name and title

Server's address

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: [NY's Silver Spoon Diner Hit FLSA Lawsuit](#)
