reports that are sold for an employment purpose, which are designed to protect consumers like the Plaintiff. Defendant prepared an employment-purposed consumer report regarding the Plaintiff and provided it to her potential consumer, but did not follow the FCRA's requirements for such a report.

JURISDICTION

2. The Court has jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 15 U.S.C. § 1681p.

PARTIES

- 3. The Plaintiff is a natural person, and at all times relevant to the Complaint was a "consumer" as defined by the Fair Credit Reporting Act at § 1681a. The Plaintiff resides in Phoenix, Arizona.
- 4. Defendant First Advantage is a consumer reporting agency ("CRA") as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1681a, with its principal place of business in Atlanta, Georgia. At all times relevant to this Complaint, First Advantage operated as a CRA as governed by the FCRA. It is regularly engaged in the business of assembling, evaluating, and disbursing consumer information reports to third parties, including and within this District and Division.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

- 5. In or around November 2015, Plaintiff applied for a job with Diversant, LLC.
- 6. In connection with Plaintiff's application, Diversant procured Plaintiff's employment-purposed consumer report ("consumer report") from First Advantage.

- 7. The consumer report that First Advantage furnished to Diversant contained public record information likely to have an adverse effect upon Plaintiff's ability to obtain employment. First Advantage furnished this information to Diversant for the purpose of determining Plaintiff's eligibility for employment.
- 8. Despite providing a report that contained public record information likely to have an adverse effect upon Plaintiff's ability to obtain employment for employment purposes, First Advantage failed to provide notice to Plaintiff that it was doing so along with the name and address of the person to whom such information was being reported.
- 9. First Advantage also did not and cannot comply with 15 U.S.C. § 1681k(a)(2). If at-the-time notice is not utilized when providing adverse public record information in an employment-purposed consumer report, a CRA must employ "strict procedures" designed to ensure that the information it reports is "complete and up-to-date." 15 U.S.C. § 1681k(a)(2). First Advantage cannot meet the strict procedures requirement because the public-record information it obtains for use in its background reports is never the true court record from the original courthouse source. Instead, First Advantage buys bulk data consisting of incomplete and outdated abstracts of courthouse records, which do not meet the requirements of § 1681k(a)(2).
- 10. Furthermore, the consumer report that Defendant First Advantage furnished regarding the Plaintiff was materially inaccurate.
- 11. By way of example only, First Advantage reported that Plaintiff had one or more criminal convictions, including for a violation of Section 273A(B) of the California Penal Code in 2002.

- 12. This was inaccurate. That case was dismissed.
- 13. Accordingly, First Advantage's report regarding the Plaintiff was inaccurate, materially incomplete, and also patently misleading so as to be false.
- 14. In furnishing this inaccurate consumer report about the Plaintiff, First Advantage failed to follow reasonable procedures to assure maximum possible accuracy of the information in the report.
- 15. The criminal record information that the Defendant reported about the Plaintiff was also more than seven years old. Under the FCRA, the Defendant was not permitted to report a record of arrest that was more than seven years old.
- 16. First Advantage's failures to provide the required FCRA information to Plaintiff and the putative class members injured the Plaintiff and putative class members because it deprived them of timely information that Congress mandated they receive. It also deprived them of the ability to dispute inaccurate information in their employment-purposed consumer background reports before Diversant took adverse action against them.
- 17. Plaintiff and the putative class members have a common law right to know the information in their consumer reports. Congress sought to enhance the protection of that right by enacting the FCRA and incorporating many consumer-oriented safeguards, including that an employer provide them with a copy of their consumer report and a summary of rights before taking any adverse action based in whole or in part on that report. The FCRA expresses Congress's mandate that "consumer reporting agencies adopt reasonable procedures for meeting the needs of commerce for consumer credit, personnel,

insurance, and other information in a manner which is fair and equitable to the consumer, with regard to the confidentiality, accuracy, relevancy, and proper utilization of such information in accordance with the requirements of this subchapter." 15 U.S.C. § 1681(b).

- 18. The conduct that Defendant engaged in is precisely the type of conduct that Congress sought to prevent with the restrictions it has imposed on access to consumers' sensitive, personal information.
- 19. Plaintiff and the putative class members therefore suffered a concrete, infact injury that is directly traceable to Defendant's conduct and that is likely to be redressed by a favorable decision here.
- 20. In addition, Plaintiff was individually injured by the publication of inaccurate and misleading information in the consumer report that delayed her employment and ultimately resulted in termination.
- 21. Plaintiff was also individually injured by First Advantage's failure to provide her with timely notice that her employment purposed consumer report had been provided to Diversant and that it contained public record information that was likely to have an adverse impact on employment and a copy of the report as required by the FCRA.
- 22. Due to the inaccuracy in the report caused by First Advantage's failure to use reasonable procedures to assure maximum possible accuracy, Plaintiff suffered deprivation of timely information, loss of employment and invasion of privacy that resulted in emotional distress, inconvenience, damage to reputation, anxiety and humiliation.
 - 23. First Advantage's conduct against the Plaintiff was willful.

- 24. As a matter of practice, First Advantage regularly and consistently: (a.) fails to establish or to follow reasonable procedures to assure the maximum possible accuracy of the consumer reports that it publishes and maintains; (b.) reports records of arrest that, from the date of entry, antedate the report by more than seven years; and (c.) fails to either provide the notice required by 15 U.S.C. § 1681k(a)(1) or maintain strict procedures designed to insure that whenever public record information is likely to have an adverse effect of a consumer's ability to obtain employment is reported it is complete and up to date.
- 25. First Advantage's procedures and conduct were carried out as First Advantage intended and were not a mere accident or mistake. First Advantage's actions constituted its standard procedures and policy of conducting business.
- 26. First Advantage is well aware of its legal obligations under the FCRA. These obligations are well established in the plain language of the FCRA, judicial decisions interpreting the Act, and in the promulgations of the Federal Trade Commission and the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau.
- 27. Further, upon information and belief, First Advantage obtained or had available substantial written materials that informed it of its duties under the FCRA.
- 28. Despite knowing of these legal obligations, First Advantage acted consciously and willfully in breaching its known duties and depriving the Plaintiff of her rights under the FCRA.
- 29. Plaintiff alleges that First Advantage's conduct as alleged herein was consistent with its established and systematically executed procedures and policies of

- 35. **Typicality**. Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of each Class member and all are based on the same facts and legal theories. Upon information and belief, it is First Advantage's standard practice to obtain and report information prohibited by § 1681c. For purposes of class certification only, Plaintiff seeks only statutory and punitive damages. Plaintiff would seek individual or actual damages only if class certification is denied. In addition, Plaintiff is entitled to the relief under the same causes of action as the other members of the Class.
- 36. Adequacy. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the class. Plaintiff has retained counsel experienced in handling actions involving unlawful practices against consumers and class actions. Neither Plaintiff nor her counsel have any interests that might cause them not to vigorously pursue the action. Plaintiff is aware of her responsibilities to the putative class and has accepted such responsibilities.
- 37. Certification of the class under Rule 23(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure is appropriate in that:
- a. As alleged above, the questions of law or fact common to the members of the classes predominate over any questions affecting an individual member. Each of the common facts and legal questions in the case overwhelm the more modest individual damages issues. The statutory and punitive damages sought by each member are such that the individual prosecution would prove burdensome and expensive given the complex and extensive litigation necessitated by First Advantage's conduct. Further, those individual issues that do exist can be effectively streamlined and resolved in a manner that minimizes the individual complexities and differences of proof in the case.

- b. A class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy. Consumer claims generally are ideal for class treatment as they involve many, if not most, consumers who are otherwise disempowered and unable to afford and bring such claims individually. Further, most consumers about whom First Advantage reported this type of information are likely unaware of their rights under the law or who they could find to represent them in federal litigation. Individual litigation of the uniform issues in this case would be a waste of judicial resources as well. The issues at the core of this case are class wide and should be resolve at one time. One win for one consumer would set the law for every similarly situated consumer.
- 38. First Advantage violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681c by reporting records of arrest that predated the consumer reports that it issued about the Plaintiff and the putative class members by more than seven years.
- 39. Plaintiff seeks statutory and punitive damages as well as attorney's fees and costs for herself and all other similarly situated individuals for First Advantage's willful violation pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n.
- 40. In the alternative, Plaintiff seeks actual damages as well as attorney's fees and costs for herself and all others similarly situated for First Advantages' negligent violation pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681o.

COUNT TWO: VIOLATION OF 15 U.S.C. § 1681k <u>Individual Claim</u>

- 41. Plaintiff restates each of the allegations in the preceding paragraphs as if set forth at length herein.
 - 42. First Advantage violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681k(a)(1) by failing to provide the

43. As a result of Defendant First Advantage's conduct, action, and inaction, Plaintiff suffered actual damages.

- 44. First Advantage's conduct, actions, and inaction were willful, rendering it liable for punitive damages in an amount to be determined by the Court pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n. In the alternative, First Advantage was negligent, entitling the Plaintiff to recover under 15 U.S.C. § 1681o.
- 45. Plaintiff is entitled to recover actual, statutory and punitive damages, costs, and her attorneys' fees from First Advantage in an amount to be determined by the Court pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n and/or 15 U.S.C. § 1681o

COUNT TWO: VIOLATION OF 15 U.S.C. § 1681e(b) <u>Individual Claim</u>

- 46. Plaintiff restates each of the allegations in the preceding paragraphs as if set forth at length herein.
- 47. Defendant First Advantage violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681e(b) by failing to establish or to follow reasonable procedures to assure maximum possible accuracy in the preparation of the consumer report and credit files it published and maintained concerning the Plaintiff.
- 48. As a result of Defendant First Advantage's conduct, action, and inaction, Plaintiff suffered actual damages.
- 49. Defendant First Advantage's conduct, actions, and inaction were willful, rendering it liable for punitive damages in an amount to be determined by the Court

	Case 2:17-cv-01710-DGC Document 1 Filed 06/02/17 Page 11 of 11
1	pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n. In the alternative, it was negligent, entitling the Plaintif
2	to recover under 15 U.S.C. § 1681o.
3	
4	and the receiver actual damages, statutory damages, costs, and
5	her attorney's fees from Defendant First Advantage in an amount to be determined by the
6	Court pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n and/or 15 U.S.C. § 1681o.
7	WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and the putative class members.
8	moves for statutory, actual, and punitive damages against the Defendant; for attorney's
9	
10	fees and costs; for pre-judgment and post-judgment interest at the legal rate; and such
11	other relief the Court deems just, equitable, and proper.
12	TRIAL BY JURY IS DEMANDED.
13	Respectfully submitted, LUTICIA TAYLOR, on behalf of herself and of all similarly situated individuals By:
1415	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

Civil Cover Sheet

This automated JS-44 conforms generally to the manual JS-44 approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974. The data is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. The information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law. This form is authorized for use only in the District of Arizona.

The completed cover sheet must be printed directly to PDF and filed as an attachment to the Complaint or Notice of Removal.

Plaintiff(s): Luticia Taylor, et al

Defendant(s): First Advantage Background Services, Corp.

County of Residence: Maricopa

County of Residence: Maricopa

County Where Claim For Relief Arose: Maricopa

Plaintiff's Atty(s):

Defendant's Atty(s):

Susan Mary Rotkis, Attorney Consumer Litigation Associates West, PLLC 328 South Convent Avenue Tucson, Arizona 85701 520-622-2481

II. Basis of Jurisdiction:

3. Federal Question (U.S. not a party)

III. Citizenship of Principal

Parties (Diversity Cases Only)

Plaintiff:- N/A Defendant:-N/A

IV. Origin:

1. Original Proceeding

V. Nature of Suit:

480 Consumer Credit

VI.Cause of Action:

15 U.S.C. §1681 Fair Credit Reporting Act

VII. Requested in Complaint

Class Action: Yes Dollar Demand: Jury Demand: Yes

VIII. This case is not related to another case.

Signature: Susan M. Rotkis

Date: June 2, 2017

If any of this information is incorrect, please go back to the Civil Cover Sheet Input form using the *Back* button in your browser and change it. Once correct, save this form as a PDF and include it as an attachment to your case opening documents.

Revised: 01/2014

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Lawsuit: First Advantage Reports Inaccurate</u>, <u>False Information</u>