Electronically FILED by Superior Court of California, Frank S. Hedin (SBN 291289) 1 HEDIN HALL LLP County of Los Angeles 4/20/2023 9:50 AM 2 Four Embarcadero Center, Suite 1400 David W. Slayton, Executive Officer/Clerk of Court, San Francisco, CA 94104 By D. Jackson Aubry, Deputy Clerk Telephone: (415) 766-3534 4 Facsimile: (415) 402-0058 Email: fhedin@hedinhall.com 5 6 Counsel for Plaintiff and the Putative Class 7 [Additional Counsel on Signature Page] 8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 10 11 12 DAMON TATE, INDIVIDUALLY CASE NO.: 23STCV08774 AND ON BEHALF OF ALL OTHERS 13 CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT SIMILARLY SITUATED; 14 FOR VIOLATIONS OF: 15 PLAINTIFF, UNFAIR COMPETITION LAW, 16 CAL. BUS. & PROF. CODE §§ 17200, ET SEQ. 17 800-FLOWERS, INC., D/B/A 1-800-FLOWERS.COM, HARRY & DAVID, 18 FALSE ADVERTISING LAW, PERSONALIZATION MALL, 19 CAL. BUS. & PROF. CODE §§ SHARI'S BERRIES, 1-800-17500, ET SEO. BASKETS.COM, SIMPLY 20 CHOCOLATE, FRUIT 21 BOUQUETS.COM, CHERYL'S COOKIES, THE POPCORN 22 DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL FACTORY, WOLFERMAN'S 23 BAKERY, AND VITAL CHOICE, 24 25 DEFENDANT. 26 27 28

10

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

20 21

22 23

24 25

26

27 28

Plaintiff Damon Tate, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, complains and alleges as follows based on personal knowledge as to himself, on the investigation of his counsel, and on information and belief as to all other matters.

NATURE OF THE ACTION

- 1. Plaintiff brings this Class Action Complaint against 800-Flowers, Inc. ("Defendant") for engaging in an illegal "automatic renewal" scheme with respect to its Celebrations Passport product, which Defendant sells on its network of websites. Defendant is an online retailer of flowers and gifts. Defendant's Celebrations Passport is a membership program that provides customers free shipping and no service fees for the first year at a cost of \$19.99, and then automatically renews every year after the initial year at a cost of \$29.99 plus tax (the "Automatic Renewal Fee"), which is charged to the consumer's stored credit card, debit card or third-party payment account (collectively the "Payment Method"). Prior to assessing the Automatic Renewal Fees, Defendant not only failed to obtain Plaintiff's and other California consumers' consent to be charged these fees - Defendant failed to even disclose the existence of the fee to them in a clear and conspicuous manner. By assessing the Automatic Renewal Fees to Plaintiff and other California consumers without providing adequate notice or obtaining the requisite consent, Defendant violated California's Automatic Renewal Law ("ARL"), Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17600, et seq.
- The ARL requires online retailers like Defendant that sell automatically renewing subscriptions to California consumers to, inter alia: (a) obtain affirmative consent prior to the consumer's purchase; (b) provide the complete auto-renewal terms in a clear and conspicuous manner and in visual proximity to the request for consent prior to the purchase; and (c) provide customers

notice of an automatic renewal between 15 and 45 days before the offer renews. As is set forth herein, Defendant has violated all three of these requirements.

- 3. Specifically, Defendant systematically violates the ARL by: (i) failing to present the automatic renewal offer terms applicable to the Celebrations Passport in a clear and conspicuous manner and in visual proximity to the request for consent to the offer before the subscription or purchasing agreement is fulfilled, in violation of Section 17602(a)(1); (ii) charging consumers' Payment Method without first obtaining affirmative consent to the agreement containing the automatic renewal offer terms, in violation of Section 17602(a)(2); and (iii) failing to provide notice of the renewal between 15 and 45 days before the automatic renewal occurs, in direct violation of Section17602(b)(2). Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17602(a)(1), (a)(2), and (b)(2). As a result, all goods, wares, merchandise, or products sent to Plaintiff and the Class through the unlawful automatic renewal of a continuous service agreement are deemed to be "unconditional gifts" under the ARL, Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17603.
- 4. Accordingly, Plaintiff brings this action individually and on behalf of all California purchasers of Celebrations Passport whose stored Payment Method was charged an Automatic Renewal Fee during the class period. Based on Defendant's unlawful conduct, Plaintiff seeks damages, restitution, injunctive relief, and reasonable attorneys' fees and costs, for: (i) violation of California's Unfair Competition Law ("UCL"), Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17200, et seq.; and (ii) violation of California's False Advertising Law ("FAL"), Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17500, et seq

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

5. Plaintiff brings this class action pursuant to Cal. Civ. Proc. Code § 382.

- 6. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction under Cal Civ. Proc. Code § 410.10 and Section 10, Article VI of the California Constitution. The amount in controversy exceeds any applicable jurisdictional minimum.
- 7. The Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant pursuant to California's "long-arm" statute, Cal. Civ. Proc. Code § 410.10, because Defendant intentionally markets, promotes, and sells its products and services, including its Celebrations Passport product, to Plaintiff and other consumers in California, and knowingly collects substantial revenue from such transactions in California, such that Defendant conducts significant business in California and otherwise intentionally and purposefully avails itself of the markets and the benefits of doing business in California.
- 8. Under California Civil Code Section 1780(c) venue is proper in Los Angeles County because Defendant conducts significant business in Los Angeles County, engages in substantial transactions in Los Angeles County, and because the transactions complained of herein occurred in Los Angeles County including, specifically, the transactions between Plaintiff and Defendant and many of the transactions between Defendant and the Class members.

THE PARTIES

- 9. Plaintiff Damon Tate is a citizen and resident of Los Angeles County, California. Defendant purchased products from Defendant, including the Celebrations Passport, for personal, family, or household purposes, and is therefore "consumer" under Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17601(d).
- 10. Defendant 800-Flowers, Inc. is an online retailer of flowers and gifts. Defendant is organized under the laws of New York and maintains its corporate headquarters and principal place of business in Jericho, New York.

28

California's Autorenewal LAW ("ARL") Cal. Bus. Prof. Code § 17600, et. seq.

- 11. In the 2009 Legislative Session the California Legislature passed the ARL, which was intended "to end the practice of ongoing charging of consumer credit or debit cards or third-party payment accounts without the consumers' explicit consent for ongoing shipments of a product or ongoing deliveries of service." Cal. Bus. Prof. Code § 17600.
- 12. The ARL addresses "increasingly common" consumer complaints of unwanted credit charges for products or services consumers did not explicitly request or know they were agreeing to, "often the result of agreements enumerated in the "fine print" on an order or advertisement that the consumer responded to." California Bill Analysis, S.B. 340 Sen., 4/21/2009.
- 13. Under the ARL an "automatic renewal means a plan or arrangement in which a paid subscription or purchasing agreement is automatically renewed at the end of a definite term for a subsequent term." Cal. Bus. Prof. Code § 17601(a).
- 14. The ARL requires that the following disclosures pertaining to an automatic renewal be "clear and conspicuous":
 - That the subscription or purchasing agreement will continue until the consumer cancels;
 - 2) The description of the cancellation policy that applies to the offer;
 - 3) The recurring charges that will be charged to the consumer's credit or debit card or payment account with a third party as part of the automatic renewal plan or arrangement, and that the amount of the charge may change, if that is the case, and the amount to which the charge will change, if known;
 - 4) The length of the automatic renewal term or that the service is continuous, unless the length of the term is chosen by the consumer;

 5) The minimum purchase obligation, if any.

Cal. Bus. Prof. Code § 17601(b)

- 15. "Clear and conspicuous" or "clearly conspicuous" means in larger type than the surrounding text, or in contrasting type, font, or color to the surrounding text of the same size, or set off from the surrounding text of the same size by symbols or other marks, in a manner that clearly calls attention to the language. Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17601(c).
- 16. The ARL makes it unlawful for a business to (1) make an automatic renewal offer that fails to present the "automatic renewal offer terms" in a "clear and conspicuous manner" "before the subscription or purchasing agreement is fulfilled and in visual proximity . . . to the request for consent to the offer"; and (2) to charge the consumer's Payment Method without first obtaining the consumer's "affirmative consent" to the automatic renewal. Cal. Bus. Prof. Code § 17602(a)(1) & (2).
- 17. Under the ARL, where the initial term of an autorenewal offer is one year or longer, the consumer must be provided notice of renewal of the offer at least 15 days before, but not more than 45 days before, the offer renews. Cal Bus. & Prof. Code § 17601(b)(2).

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS COMMON TO ALL CLAIMS

(a) The Celebrations Passport

18. Defendant operates multiple consumer brands including 1-800-Flowers.com, Harry & David, Personalization Mall, Shari's Berries, 1-800-Baskets.com, Simply Chocolate, Fruit Bouquets.com, Cheryl's Cookies, the Popcorn Factory, Wolferman's Bakery, and Vital Choice. These different brands offer products in various categories for the purpose of gift giving, such as flowers, gift baskets, cookies, healthy snacks, and fruit arrangements.

- 19. Defendant charges customers shipping and handling fees for gift deliveries, and service fees for floral deliveries, across all of its brands. Defendant's "Celebrations Passport" product gives customers free shipping and allows customers to avoid service fees for one year for all orders made on Defendant's various websites.
- 20. On its network of websites Defendant advertises that it costs \$19.99 to purchase the Celebrations Passport and touts the benefits of free shipping and waived service fees. But what Defendant's offer deceptively conceals from consumers is that each year following the purchase of the Celebrations Passport, Defendant automatically charges the customer an Automatic Renewal Fee of \$29.99 \$10.00 more (plus tax) than the initial fee to the Payment Method supplied by the customer (and stored by Defendant) at enrollment. Defendant's offer also deceptively conceals from consumers that they may cancel the Celebration Passport service before it automatically renews.
- 21. Defendant has used various means to induce customers to add the Celebrations Passport to their orders on the various websites where it does business. One tactic Defendant has used is to automatically add Celebrations Passport to customers' carts without customers requesting the Celebrations Passport be added. Defendant has also used a check box in its check-out flow, which requires a customer to select the Celebrations Passport as an item to be included in his or her cart. But regardless of the means Defendant has used to induce consumers to purchase the Celebrations Passport, Defendant has uniformly failed to disclose the key, statutorily required autorenewal terms, in a clear and conspicuous manner, *prior to* the customer's completion of the check-out process namely: (a) the fact that the Celebrations Passport automatically renews, (b) that the renewal fee is \$29.99, \$10.00 higher than the introductory fee, and (c) that the customer's stored

10 11

9

12 13

14

15 16

17 18

19

20 21

23

22

25

24

26 27

28

payment method will be charged every year, each of which is an independent violation of the ARL Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §17602(a)(1).

- It is unlawful under the ARL for Defendant to charge customers' Payment Method an Automatic Renewal Fee without first obtaining the consumers' affirmative consent to agreement containing the automatic renewal offer terms. Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17602(a)(2). To the extent Defendant's checkout flow has included the necessary automatic renewal terms, these disclosures were not "clear and conspicuous" as required under the ARL and as such did not manifest a customer's consent to the autorenewal terms. "Clear and Conspicuous" means the disclosure of key terms is presented in larger type than the surrounding text, or in contrasting type, font, or color to the surrounding text of the same size, or set off from the surrounding text of the same size by symbols or other marks, in a manner that clearly calls attention to the language. Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17601(c).
- Defendant's websites do not display the required autorenewal 23. disclosures in larger type than the surrounding text, or in contrasting type, font, or color to the surrounding text of the same size, or set off from the surrounding text of the same size by symbols or other marks, in a manner that clearly calls attention to the language. Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17601(c).
- Rather, to capture more revenue per transaction, Defendant designed its websites to present the terms of the Celebrations Passport in an intentionally inconspicuous manner, including by hiding the fact that Celebrations Passport is automatically renewed each year and that a customer's stored Payment Method will be automatically charged each year (and that the customer can cancel at any time) - such as, by displaying such language in font type that is smaller than and not otherwise in contrast to surrounding text, and not otherwise in a manner that clearly calls attention to such language – and by falsely representing to consumers that the product costs \$19.99/year when in fact it renews every year after the initial year at the price at \$29.99 plus tax.

14

15 16

18 19

17

20

21

22 23 24

25 26

27 28

25. Further, once a customer is enrolled in the Celebrations Passport, Defendant does not send customers who are enrolled in service a notice between 15 and 45 days before Celebrations Passport automatically renews for another year, as required under Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17602(b)(2) - even though Defendant previously obtained these customers' mailing addresses, email addresses, and phone numbers at the time of their initial purchases and could thus readily provide them with such notices.

(b) Plaintiff's Purchase of Celebrations Passport

- 26. In 2020, Plaintiff made a purchase from Defendant on its 1-800-Flowers.com website, and such purchase included the Celebrations Passport. Plaintiff was assessed \$19.99 (plus taxes) for the Celebration Passport. Plaintiff provided his address, email address, and telephone number to Defendant at the time of his purchase
- At the time of his 2020 purchase, Defendant stored Plaintiff's Visa 27. credit card information.
- Defendant then automatically charged Plaintiff's Visa credit card 28. \$33.06 (representing the \$29.99 Automatic Renewal Fee plus taxes). For example, on or about March 10, 2023, Defendant charged Plaintiff's Visa card a \$33.06 Automatic Renewal Fee for Celebrations Passport.
- Plaintiff was not aware of either the Automatic Renewal Fee or its tendollar price increase because Defendant failed to present the Celebration Passport's "automatic renewal offer terms" in "a clear and conspicuous manner" on its 1-800-Flowers.com website. Indeed, Defendant's 1-800-Flowers.com website did not display the required autorenewal disclosures in larger type than the surrounding text, or in contrasting type, font, or color to the surrounding text of the same size, or set off from the surrounding text of the same size by symbols or other marks, in

5 6

7

8 9

11

12

13

10

14 15

17

18

16

19 20

21

23

22

24 25

26 27

28

a manner that clearly calls attention to the language. Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17601(c).

- Plaintiff did not affirmatively consent to his credit card information 30. being stored, his credit card being charged the Automatic Renewal Fee for the Celebrations Passport, or the increased price for the Automatic Renewal Fee, because such terms were not adequately disclosed when he made his initial purchase in 2020..
- 31. Nor did Defendant provide Plaintiff notice between 15 and 45 days before Celebrations Passport automatically renewed and Defendant charged Plaintiff's Visa credit card \$33.06 on or about March 10, 2023.
- Had Plaintiff known that his initial purchase in 2020, which included 32. the Celebrations Passport, was subject to automatic renewal or the Automatic Renewal Fee, that his Visa card would automatically be charged each year, or that Automatic Renewal Fee was \$10.00 more than the stated fee for the initial year, Plaintiff would not have purchased the Celebrations Passport in the first place, due to, among other reasons, the hassle of monitoring a subscription and timely cancelling. Plaintiff did not know these facts because of the deceptive marketing Defendant used (and continues to use) to sell Celebrations Passport, including Defendant's failure to present the automatic renewal offer terms clearly and conspicuously in a manner which clearly calls attention to the auto renewal terms of Defendant's offer before Plaintiff agreed to purchase Celebrations Passport. Moreover, Plaintiff could not reasonably cancel his Celebrations Passport before it automatically renewed because, in addition to the foregoing, Defendant failed to notify Plaintiff of the Celebration Passport's renewal between 15 and 45 days in advance of the automatic renewal as required by the ARL.
- The facts giving rise to Plaintiff's claims are materially the same as the Class he seeks to represent.

Class Action Allegations

34. Plaintiff brings this action on Plaintiff's own behalf and on behalf of all persons similarly situated as a class action pursuant to Cal Civ. Proc. Code §382.
The Class which Plaintiff seeks to represent is comprised of and defined as:

All persons in California who, within the applicable statutory period, up to and including the date of final judgment in this action, incurred an Automatic Renewal Fee in connection with Celebrations Passport.

- 35. Excluded from the Class are Defendant, its corporate parents, subsidiaries, franchisees and affiliates, officers and directors, any entity in which Defendant has a controlling interest, and the legal representatives, successors or assigns of any such excluded person or entities, and the Court to which this action is assigned.
- 36. Plaintiff reserves the right under Rule 3.765 of the California Rules of Court to amend or modify the class description with greater specificity or further division into subclasses or limitation to particular issues based upon discovery or further investigation.
- 37. Numerosity. The members of the class are so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable. While the exact number of class members is unknown to Plaintiff at this time, on information and belief the Class comprises at least thousands of consumers throughout California. The precise number of Class members and their identities are unknown to Plaintiff at this time but may be determined through discovery. Class members may be notified of the pendency of this action by postal or electronic mail and/or publication through the Defendant's sales records.

- 38. Well-Defined Community of Interest. There is a well-defined community of interest among the members of the class because common questions of law and fact exist as to all members of the class and predominate over any questions affecting solely individual members of the class. Common question of law and fact include, but are not limited to:
 - (a) Whether Defendant's annual renewal of Celebrations Passport constitutes an "automatic renewal" within the meaning of Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17601(a);
 - (b) Whether Defendant failed to present the automatic renewal offer terms, or continuous service offer terms, in a clear and conspicuous manner before the subscription or purchasing agreement was fulfilled and in visual proximity to the request for consent to the offer, in violation of Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17602(a)(l);
 - (c) Whether Defendant charged Plaintiff's and Class members' stored Payment Method an Automatic Renewal Fee without first obtaining their affirmative consent to the automatic renewal offer terms in violation of Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §17602(a)(2);
 - (d) Whether the goods and services provided by Defendant are deemed "unconditional gifts" in accordance with Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17603;
 - (e) Whether Defendant's conduct alleged herein violated California's Unfair Competition Law ("UCL"), Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17200, et seq. and/or California's False Advertising Law ("FAL"), Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17500, et seq.;
 - (f) Whether Plaintiff and the Class are entitled to, and the amount of any, damages and/or restitution;
 - (g) Whether Defendant should be enjoined from further engaging in the misconduct alleged herein; and

- (h) Whether Plaintiff and the Class are entitled to attorneys' fees and costs under Cal. Civ. Proc. Code § 1021.5.
- 39. Typicality. Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the Class in that Plaintiff and the Class sustained damages as a result of Defendant's uniform wrongful conduct, based upon Defendant's failure to obtain Plaintiff's and the Class's affirmative consent to automatic renewal offer terms or continuous service offer terms before charging their Payment Methods.
- 40. Adequacy. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect Class members' interests. Plaintiff has no interests antagonistic to Class members' interests, and Plaintiff has retained counsel that have considerable experience and success in prosecuting complex class-actions and consumer-protection cases.
- 41. Superiority. A class action is superior to all other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy because joinder of all members is impracticable, the likelihood of individual class members prosecuting separate claims is remote, and individual class members do not have a significant interest in individually controlling the prosecution of separate actions. Relief concerning Plaintiff's rights under the laws alleged herein and with respect to the class as a whole would be appropriate. Plaintiff knows of no difficulty to be encountered in the management of this action that would preclude its maintenance as a class action.
- 42. Defendant has acted or failed to act on grounds generally applicable to the Class, thereby making appropriate final injunctive relief with respect to the Class as a whole.
- 43. Without a class action, Defendant will continue a course of action that will result in further damages to Plaintiff and members of the Class and will likely retain the benefits of its wrongdoing.

44. Based on the foregoing allegations, Plaintiff's claims for relief include those set forth below.

CLAIMS FOR RELIEF

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF Violation of California's Unfair Competition Law ("UCL"), (Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17200, et seq.)

- 45. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference every allegation set forth in the preceding paragraphs as though alleged in this claim.
- 46. Plaintiff brings this claim individually and on behalf of the members of the proposed Class against Defendant.
- 47. Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200, et seq. (the "UCL") prohibits acts of 'unfair competition," including any unlawful and unfair business acts or practices.
- 48. Under the "unlawful" prong of the UCL, a violation of another law is reated as unfair competition and is independently actionable.
- 49. Defendant committed unlawful practices in violation of the UCL by violating Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17600, et seq., California's Automatic Purchase Renewal Statute, which declares unlawful "the practice of ongoing charging of consumer credit or debit cards or third-party payment accounts without the consumers' explicit consent for ongoing shipments of a product or ongoing deliveries of service," in each of the following ways:
 - A. Defendant failed to present the terms of its automatic renewal or continuous service offer in a clear and conspicuous manner before fulfilling the subscription and in visual proximity to the request for consent to the offer, as required by Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17602(a)(1);

- B. Defendant charged Plaintiff's and the Class's credit or debit cards, or the consumer's account with a third party, for an automatic renewal or continuous service without first obtaining the consumer's affirmative consent to the agreement containing the automatic renewal offer terms or continuous offer terms, as required by Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17602(a)(2); and
- C. Defendant failed to provide notice of the automatic renewal of an automatic renewal offer or continuous service offer with an initial term of one year or longer, that automatically renews unless the consumer cancels the automatic renewal or continuous service, at least 15 days and not more than 45 days before the automatic renewal offer or continuous service offer renews, as required by Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17602(b)(2).
- 50. Under the "unfair" prong of the UCL, a business practice is unfair if that practice offends an established public policy or when the practice is immoral, unethical, oppressive, unscrupulous or substantially injurious to consumers.
- 51. Defendant committed unfair acts and practices in violation of the UCL by, inter alia, mispresenting in marketing materials and creating the false impression that the Celebration Passport's fee was \$19.99 for each and every year when, in fact, the fee automatically increased to \$29.99 after the first year.
- 52. Plaintiffs and the Class reserve the right to allege other violations of law which constitute unlawful, unfair, or fraudulent business acts or practices as Defendant's conduct is ongoing and continues to this date.
- 53. All products received from Defendant in violation of the ARL constitute "unconditional gifts." See Cal. Bus. Prof. Code § 17603. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's unlawful and/or unfair practices described herein, Defendant has received, and continues to hold, unlawfully obtained property and

money belonging to Plaintiff and the Class in the form of automatic renewal payments made by Plaintiff and the Class for Celebrations Passport. Defendant has profited from its unlawful and/or unfair acts and practices in the amount of the monies obtained from those unlawful renewals and interest accrued thereon.

- 54. Defendant's acts and omissions as alleged herein violate obligations imposed by statute, are substantially injurious to consumers, offend public policy, and are immoral, unethical, oppressive and unscrupulous as the gravity of the conduct outweighs any alleged benefits attributable to such conduct.
- 55. There were reasonably available alternatives to further Defendant's legitimate business interests, other than the conduct described herein.
- 56. Defendant's acts, omissions, nondisclosures, and misleading statements as alleged herein were and are false, misleading, and/or likely to deceive the consuming public.
- 57. As a result of Defendant's unlawful and unfair business practices, Plaintiffs and the Class have suffered an injury in fact and have lost money in an amount to be determined at the trial of this action.
- 58. Pursuant to Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §17203, Plaintiff and the other members of the Class are entitled to an order: (1) requiring Defendant to make restitution to Plaintiff and the Class; (2) enjoining Defendant from charging Plaintiff's and Class members' credit cards, debit cards, and/or third party payment accounts until such time as Defendant obtains the consumer's affirmative consent to an agreement that contains clear and conspicuous disclosures of all automatic renewal or continuous service offer terms; and (3) enjoining Defendant from making automatic renewal or continuous service offers in the State of California that do not comply with the ARL.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

Violations of California's False Advertising Law ("FAL"), (Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17500, et seq.)

- 59. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference every allegation set forth in the paragraphs 1- 44 of this Complaint as though alleged in this claim.
- Plaintiff brings this claim individually and on behalf of the members of the proposed Class against Defendant.
- 61. California's False Advertising Law, Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17500, et seq., makes it "unlawful for any person to make or disseminate or cause to be made or disseminated before the public in this state, . . . in any advertising device . . . or in any other manner or means whatever, including over the Internet, any statement, concerning . . . personal property or services, professional or otherwise, or performance or disposition thereof, which is untrue or misleading and which is known, or which by the exercise of reasonable care should be known, to be untrue or misleading.
- 62. Defendant committed acts of false advertising, as defined by § 17500, by intentionally making and disseminating statements to consumers in California and the general public concerning Celebrations Passport, as well as circumstances and facts connected to such products and services, which are untrue and misleading on their face and by omission, and which are known (or which by the exercise of reasonable care should be known) by Defendant to be untrue or misleading, as alleged above. Defendant has also intentionally made or disseminated such untrue or misleading statements and material omissions to consumers in California and to the public as part of a plan or scheme with intent not to sell those services as advertised, as alleged above.

- 63. As set forth above, Defendant's statements include but are not limited to representations and omissions made to consumers before the purchase of Celebrations Passport regarding the terms of payment and cancellation of a consumer's automatic payments. Such representations and omissions in Defendant's marketing materials constitute false and deceptive advertisements.
- Defendant violated CAL. BUS. & PROF. CODE § 17500 by, interalia:
 - A. Stating and creating the false impression that the Celebrations Passport cost \$19.99 annually when after the first year Celebrations Passport cost \$29.99 (plus tax);
 - B. Omitting the fact that Celebrations Passport would be automatically renewed;
 - C. Omitting the fact that Defendant's stored customers' Payment Methods and said Payment Methods would be automatically charged; and
 - Omitting the means and method by which a customer may cancel their Celebrations Passport subscription.
- 65. Defendant's actions in violation of § 17500, as described herein, were false and misleading such that the general public is and was likely to be deceived.
- 66. Plaintiff and the members of the Class were deceived by Defendant's statements and omissions made online when they signed up and paid for Celebrations Passport. There is a strong probability that other California consumers and members of the public were also or are likely to be deceived as well. Any reasonable consumer would be misled by Defendant's false and misleading statements and material omissions. Plaintiff and other members of the Class did not learn of Defendant's automatic payment or cancellation policies until after they had

already purchased Celebrations Passport and were wrongly charged a renewal fee.

As such, they relied on Defendant's statements and omissions to their detriment.

- 67. Plaintiff and the Class lost money or property as a result of Defendant's violations of CAL. BUS. & PROF. CODE § 17500 because they would not have purchased Celebrations Passport on the same terms if the true facts were known about the product as Celebrations Passport does not have the characteristics represented by Defendant.
- 68. Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of all similarly situated California consumers, seeks individual, representative, and public injunctive relief and any other necessary orders or judgments that will prevent Defendant from continuing with its false and deceptive advertisements and omissions; restitution that will restore the full amount of their money or property; disgorgement of Defendant's relevant profits and proceeds; and an award of costs and reasonable attorneys' fees.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for relief and judgment, as follows:

- A. For an order certifying Plaintiff as a Class representative under Cal. Civ. Proc. Code § 382 and appointing Plaintiff's counsel as Class counsel;
- B. For an order finding in favor of Plaintiff and the Class on all claims alleged herein;
- C. For actual, compensatory, statutory, and/or punitive damages in amounts to be determined by the Court and/or jury;
- D. For prejudgment interest on all amounts awarded;
- E. For an order of restitution and all other forms of equitable monetary relief;
- F. For injunctive relief as pleaded or as the Court may deem proper; and
- G. For an order awarding Plaintiff and the Class their reasonable attorneys' fees expenses, and costs of suit; and

Case 2:23-cv-04340 Document 1-2 Filed 06/02/23 Page 23 of 23 Page ID #:43

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>1-800-Flowers Celebrations Passport Program Auto-Renewals Violate California Law, Class Action Says</u>