UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MCALLEN DIVISION

MARCELA TARAZON, individually and on behalf of those similarly situated, Plaintiff, *vs.* MIDLAND CREDIT MANAGEMENT, INC., MIDLAND FUNDING LLC, and JOHN DOES 1 to 10, Defendants.

Civil Action No.: 7:18-cv-00081

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT AND THE TEXAS DEBT COLLECTION ACT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff, MARCELA TARAZON ("TARAZON"), individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, by way of Complaint against Defendants, MIDLAND CREDIT MANAGEMENT, INC. ("MCM"), MIDLAND FUNDING LLC ("MIDLAND FUNDING"), and JOHN DOES 1 to 10 ("DOES"), says:

I. NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. Plaintiff, on her own behalf and on behalf of the class she seeks to represent, and demanding a trial by jury, brings this action for the illegal practices of the Defendants who used unfair, unconscionable, false, deceptive, and misleading practices, and other illegal practices, in connection with its attempts to collect alleged debts from the Plaintiff and others. Plaintiff alleges that Defendants' collection practices violate the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 (FDCPA), *et seq.* and Texas Debt Collection Act, Tex. Fin. Code § 392, *et seq.* ("TDCA").

Case 7:18-cv-00081 Document 1 Filed in TXSD on 03/15/18 Page 2 of 17

2. The FDCPA regulates the behavior of collection agencies attempting to collect a debt on behalf of another. The United States Congress found abundant evidence of the use of abusive, deceptive, and unfair debt collection practices by many debt collectors, and has determined that abusive debt collection practices contribute to a number of personal bankruptcies, marital instability, loss of jobs, and invasions of individual privacy. Congress enacted the FDCPA to eliminate abusive debt collection practices by debt collectors, to ensure that those debt collectors who refrain from using abusive debt collection practices are not competitively disadvantaged, and to promote uniform State action to protect consumers against debt collection abuses. 15 U.S.C. § 1692(a) - (e).

3. The FDCPA is a strict liability statute, which provides for actual or statutory damages upon the showing of one violation. In reviewing an FDCPA complaint, courts "must evaluate any potential deception in the letter under an unsophisticated or least sophisticated consumer standard, assuming that the plaintiff-debtor is neither shrewd nor experienced in dealing with creditors." *McMurray v. ProCollect, Inc.*, 687 F.3d 665 (5th Cir. 2012).

4. To prohibit deceptive practices, the FDCPA, at 15 U.S.C. § 1692e, outlaws the use of false, deceptive, and misleading collection practices and names a non-exhaustive list of certain *per se* violations of false and deceptive collection conduct. 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(1)-(16). Among these *per se* violations are: making false representations concerning the character, amount, or legal status of any debt, 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(2)(A); the threat to take any action that cannot legally be taken or that is not intended to be taken, 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(5); and the use of any false representation or deceptive means to collect or attempt to collect any debt or to obtain information concerning a consumer, 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(10).

Case 7:18-cv-00081 Document 1 Filed in TXSD on 03/15/18 Page 3 of 17

5. To prohibit unconscionable and unfair practices, the FDCPA at 15 U.S.C.

§ 1692f, outlaws the use of unfair or unconscionable means to collect or attempt to collect any debt and names a non-exhaustive list of certain *per se* violations of unconscionable and unfair collection conduct. 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692f (1)-(8). Among these *per se* violations are: the collection of any amount (including any interest, fee, charge, or expense incidental to the principal obligation) unless such amount is expressly authorized by the agreement creating the debt or permitted by law, 15 U.S.C. § 1692f(1).

6. The TDCA, like the FDCPA, prohibits debt collectors from using deceptive, coercive, threatening, abusive, and other repugnant practices for the purpose of collecting a consumer debt. Tex. Bus. & Com. Code Ann § 17.50; *Cushman v. GC Services, L.P.*, 397 Fed. Appx. 24 (5th Cir. 2010) (discussing the "tie-in" provision between the TDCA and deceptive practices Acts).

7. The Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated, seeks statutory damages, injunctive relief, attorney fees, costs, and all other relief, equitable or legal in nature, as deemed appropriate by this Court, pursuant to the FDCPA, TDCA, and all other common law or statutory regimes.

8. This case involves an obligation, or an alleged obligation, primarily for personal, family, or household purposes, and arising from a transaction or alleged transaction. As such, this action arises out of "consumer debt" as that term is defined by Tex. Fin. Code § 392.001(2).

II. PARTIES

9. TARAZON is a natural person.

10. At all times relevant to the factual allegations of this Complaint, TARAZON was a citizen of, and resided in Hidalgo County, Texas.

Case 7:18-cv-00081 Document 1 Filed in TXSD on 03/15/18 Page 4 of 17

11. At all times relevant to the factual allegations of this Complaint, MCM was a forprofit corporation existing pursuant to the laws of Kansas.

On information and belief, MCM maintains its principal place of business at 3111
 Camino Del Rio North, Suite 1300, San Diego, California 92108.

13. On information and belief, MCM is registered to transact business in the State of Texas.

14. At all times relevant to the factual allegations of this Complaint, MIDLAND FUNDING was a Limited Liability Company formed under the laws of Delaware.

15. On information and belief, MIDLAND FUNDING is registered to transact business in the State of Texas.

16. On information and belief, MIDLAND FUNDING maintains its principal place of business at 3111 Camino Del Rio North, Suite 1300, San Diego, California 92108.

17. DOES are sued under fictitious names as their true names and capacities are yet unknown to Plaintiff. Plaintiff will amend this complaint by inserting the true names and capacities of the DOE defendants once they are ascertained.

18. On information and belief, and based on advice of counsel, DOES are natural persons and/or business entities all of whom reside or are located within the United States who personally created, instituted and, with knowledge that such practices were contrary to law, acted consistent with, conspired with, engaged in, and oversaw the violative policies and procedures used by the employees of the named Defendants that are the subject of this Complaint. DOES personally control, and are engaged in, the illegal acts, policies, and practices utilized by the named Defendants and, therefore, are personally liable for all the wrongdoing alleged in this Complaint.

III. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

19. Jurisdiction of this Court arises under 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d) and 28 U.S.C.
§§ 1331 & 1337

20. Supplemental jurisdiction for Plaintiff's state law claims arises under 28 U.S.C.§ 1367.

21. Venue is appropriate in this federal district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 because the events giving rise to the claims occurred within this federal judicial district, and because the named Defendants each regularly transact business within this federal judicial district and, therefore, reside in the State of Texas within the meaning of 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) and (c).

IV. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

22. By primarily acquiring charged-off consumer credit accounts and, through the use of agents such as MCM, MIDLAND FUNDING's principal purpose is the collection of debts.

23. MCM is regularly engaged in the collection of debts.

24. MCM regularly collects or attempts to collect debts alleged to be owed others.

25. MCM is a business the principal purpose of which is the collection of debts.

26. In attempting to collect debts, MCM uses the mails, telephone, internet, and other instruments of interstate commerce.

27. MCM mailed TARAZON a letter dated March 15, 2017 ("First Letter").

28. A true and correct copy of the First Letter is attached as *Exhibit A*, except that the undersigned counsel has, in accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 5.2, partially redacted the financial account numbers and TARAZON's home address to protect TARAZON's privacy.

29. MCM mailed TARAZON a second letter also dated March 15, 2017 ("Second Letter").

30. A true and correct copy of the Second Letter is attached as *Exhibit B*, except that

Case 7:18-cv-00081 Document 1 Filed in TXSD on 03/15/18 Page 6 of 17

the undersigned counsel has, in accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 5.2, partially redacted the financial account numbers and TARAZON's home address to protect TARAZON's privacy.

31. The First Letter and Second Letter are collectively referred to hereinafter as the "Letters".

32. With the exception of the specific account information, the Letters are substantively identical.

33. On information and belief, the Letters were created by merging information specific to a debt and consumer with a template to create what is commonly called a "form letter."

34. Consequently, on information and belief, the same form letter was mailed by MCM on behalf of MIDLAND FUNDING to others, like TARAZON, using a Texas address in an attempt to collect a debt.

35. Based on the information in the Letters, MCM has asserted TARAZON is obligated to pay money ("Debts") to MIDLAND FUNDING.

36. The alleged Debts arise from one or more credit transactions that were primarily for personal, family, or household purposes.

37. On information and belief, the Debts were acquired by MIDLAND FUNDING for the purpose of collecting it from TARAZON.

38. The Debts were acquired by MIDLAND FUNDING after the Debts were in default.

39. On information and belief, the Debts were in default and the last payments had been made more than four years prior to March 15, 2017.

Case 7:18-cv-00081 Document 1 Filed in TXSD on 03/15/18 Page 7 of 17

40. As of March 15, 2017, neither MIDLAND FUNDING nor MCM had any legal right to sue TARAZON to collect the Debts which had become legally unenforceable due to the lapse of time.

41. The Debts were placed with, obtained by, or assigned to MCM for the sole purpose of collecting or attempting to collect the Debts.

42. MCM contends the Debts were in default at the time they were placed with, obtained by, or assigned to MCM for collection.

43. The Letters are written in Spanish.

44. The Letters offer to settle Debts that are time-barred by the statute of limitations and, therefore, are legally unenforceable.

45. The Letters made multiple settlement offers including offers that encouraged TARAZON to save money by making a lump sum payment, or making a down payment and subsequent monthly payments.

46. Translated to English, the Letters state, in relevant part:

"The law limits the time you can be sued for a debt. Due to the age of your debt, we will not initiate a lawsuit."

47. As used in the Letters, "we" means MCM because, *inter alia*, the Letters are on MCM's letterhead, states it "is from MCM," was only sent by MCM, and only provides MCM's contact information.

48. The Letters identified MIDLAND FUNDING as the current creditor.

49. The language of the Letters give the false impression to least sophisticated consumers that only MCM has voluntarily chosen not to sue TARAZON, instead of stating that neither MIDLAND FUNDING *nor* MCM may/will sue her to collect the Debts because the statute of limitations had expired and, therefore, the Debts are legally unenforceable.

Case 7:18-cv-00081 Document 1 Filed in TXSD on 03/15/18 Page 8 of 17

50. Additionally, the Letters do not even hint, let alone make clear to the recipient, that if s/he makes a partial payment or even just a promise to make a partial payment, s/he risks loss of the otherwise ironclad protection of the statute of limitations.

51. Rather than state that the *creditor* of the Debts cannot, and will never, sue TARAZON to collect the Debts, the Letters falsely implied that only MCM made a decision not to sue.

52. On information and belief, MCM never sues on any debts it attempts to collect for others. Therefore, the Letters falsely implied to the least sophisticated consumer that MCM can, and does, sue to collect debts.

53. By contrast, MIDLAND FUNDING files thousands of lawsuits to collect debts in the State of Texas, and it is aggressive in pursuing the judgements it obtains by falsely simulating legal process which threaten consumers with imprisonment, fines, and contempt findings; it also tries to have receivers appointed by Texas courts to take possession of consumers property and assets.

54. The Letters failed to state MIDLAND FUNDING neither could, nor would, file a lawsuit to collect the Debts. Therefore, the Letters falsely implied to the least sophisticated consumer that s/he might be sued by the current creditor.

55. The Federal Trade Commission ("FTC") has determined that "Most consumers do not know their legal rights with respect to collection of old debts past the statute of limitations.... When a collector tells a consumer that she owes money and demands payment, it may create the misleading impression that the collector can sue the consumer in court to collect that debt." (See http://www.ftc.gov/opa/2012/01/asset.shtm).

Case 7:18-cv-00081 Document 1 Filed in TXSD on 03/15/18 Page 9 of 17

56. In early 2012, the FTC entered into a consent decree with Asset Acceptance requiring that it disclose to consumers when it is attempting to collect debts that are barred by the statute of limitations. *United States of America (For the Federal Trade Commission) v. Asset Acceptance, LLC*, Case No. 8:12-cv-182-T-27EAJ (M.D.Fla.).

57. On October 1, 2012, the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau, which has taken over much of the FTC's enforcement responsibility and has been granted rule-making authority with respect to debt collection, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, the Federal Reserve Board, and the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency entered into consent orders with three American Express-related entities requiring disclosure that debts they attempt to collect were time-barred. 2012-CFPB-0002; 2012-CFPB-0003; 2012-CFPB-0004. The orders require that "the Bank shall continue to provide disclosures concerning the expiration of the Bank's litigation rights when collecting debt that is barred by the applicable state statutes of limitations...." (2012-CFPB-0002, p. 6 of 35, 2012-CFPB-0003, p. 5 of 28).

58. The October 1, 2012 orders further require disclosure of "all material conditions, benefits and restrictions concerning any offer of settlement. . . ." (2012-CFPB-0002, p. 7 of 35, 2012-CFPB-0003, p. 6 of 28). Thus, they recognize that "settlement offers" that fail to disclose material information may be misleading.

59. On January 30, 2013, the FTC issued its report, *The Structure and Practices of the Debt Buying Industry*, available at http://www.ftc.gov/os/2013/01/ debtbuyingreport.pdf. The report reaffirms its position in the United States of America v. Asset Acceptance, LLC, No. 8:12-cv-182-T-27EAJ (M.D. Fla. 2012), American Express Centurion Bank (FDIC-12-315b, FDIC-12-316k, 2012-CFPB-0002), American Express Bank, FSB (2012-CFPB-0003) and American Express Travel Company, Inc. (2012-CFPB-0004) cases, that a defendant may violate the

Case 7:18-cv-00081 Document 1 Filed in TXSD on 03/15/18 Page 10 of 17

FDCPA by sending a collection letter demanding payment of a time barred debt without disclosing that the debt was time barred.

60. The report cites to a study (Timothy E. Goldsmith & Natalie Martin, *Testing Materiality Under the Unfair Practices Acts: What Information Matters When Collecting Time-Barred Debts?*, 64 Consumer Fin. L.Q. Rep. 372 (2010)) that establishes the disclosure that a debt is time barred in a debt collection letter is material to the consumer.

61. The Fifth Circuit and other courts have also held that a debt collector's
"settlement" offer made to consumers on time-barred debts are misleading. *See, e.g., Daugherty v. Convergent Outsourcing, Inc.*, 836 F.3d 507 (5th Cir. 2016); *Tatis v. Allied Interstate, LLC*,
No. 16-4022, 2018 U.S. App. LEXIS 3238 (3d Cir. Feb. 12, 2018); *Buchanan v. Northland Group, Inc.*, 776 F.3d 393 (6th Cir. 2015); and *McMahon v. LVNV Funding, LLC*, 744 F.3d 1010
(7th Cir. 2014).

62. Defendants' carefully crafted language in the Letters, was chosen to obscure from least sophisticated consumers that the law prohibits Defendants from suing to collect the Debts or even from threatening to do so, is the sort of misleading tactic the FDCPA prohibits.

63. The only reason to use such carefully ambiguous language is the expectation that at least some least sophisticated consumers will misunderstand and will choose to pay on the ancient, time-barred debts because they fear the consequences of not doing so.

64. The Letters fail to inform the least sophisticated consumer that MIDLAND FUNDING will issue a 1099-C form to the Internal Revenue Service if any of the settlement offers are accepted.

65. The Letters also fail to inform the least sophisticated consumer there may be tax consequences if any of the settlement offers are accepted.

page 10 of 17

V. CLASS ALLEGATIONS

66. Plaintiff brings this action individually and as a class action on behalf of all other

persons similarly situated pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23.

67. Subject to discovery and further investigation which may cause Plaintiff to

modify the class definition to be more inclusive or less inclusive, Plaintiff defines the "Class" to

include:

Each natural person to whom MCM mailed a letter during the Class Period to a Texas address in connection with its attempt to collect on an account then-owned by MIDLAND FUNDING which letter (a) was dated on or after March 15, 2017 but on or before April 5, 2018, (b) was not shown in MCM's records as having been returned by the Postal Service as undeliverable, (c) included the following language or substantially similar language:

The law limits how long you can be sued on a debt. Because of the age of your debt, we will not sue you for it.

and (d) failed to warn the consumer of the consequences that might befall the consumer if s/he makes a partial payment or promise to make a partial payment.

68. The Class excludes each person who, prior to the date this action is certified to

proceed as a class action, either (a) died, (b) obtained a discharge in bankruptcy, (c) commenced

an action in any court against Defendants alleging a violation of the FDCPA or the TDCA based

on a letter, or (d) signed a general release of claims against MCM or MIDLAND FUNDING.

The Class also excludes counsel for Plaintiff, or an employee or family member of counsel for

Plaintiff.

69. Based on discovery and further investigation (including, but not limited to,

disclosure of class size and net worth), Plaintiff may, in addition to moving for class certification

using modified definitions of the Class and Class Claims, seek class certification only as to

particular issues as permitted under Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(c)(4).

Case 7:18-cv-00081 Document 1 Filed in TXSD on 03/15/18 Page 12 of 17

70. The identity of each member of the Class is readily ascertainable from the records of Defendants and those records of the entity on whose behalf Defendants sought to collect debts.

71. This action has been brought, and may properly be maintained, as a class action pursuant to the provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a) because there is a well-defined community interest in the litigation in that:

- 71.01. *Numerosity*. The members of the Class are so numerous that joinder of all members would be impractical. On information and belief, there are at least 40 members of the Class.
- 71.02. *Commonality*. Common questions of law and fact exist as to all members of the Class, the principal issues are: whether the conduct of Defendants, as described above under *Factual Allegations*, was the same or substantially similar with respect to the attempts by Defendants to collect debts from Plaintiff and members of the Class; and whether such conduct violated the FDCPA and TDCA.
- 71.03. *Typicality*. The claims of Plaintiff are typical of the claims of the class members. Plaintiff and all members of the Class have claims arising out of the common and uniform course of conduct as set forth in the *Factual Allegations*.
- 71.04. *Adequacy*. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the class members because the interests of Plaintiff are not known or believed to be averse to the absent class members. Plaintiff is committed to vigorously litigating this matter. Plaintiff retained counsel experienced in handling consumer lawsuits, complex legal issues, and class actions.

Case 7:18-cv-00081 Document 1 Filed in TXSD on 03/15/18 Page 13 of 17

72. This action may be maintained as a "B1a-class", a "B2-class", a "B3-class", or a hybrid class however, at the time of commencing this action, certification is expected to be sought under Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(3) because the questions of law and fact common to members of the Class appear to predominate over any questions affecting an individual member, and a class action would be superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy due to individual joinder of all members being impracticable, class action treatment will permit a large number of similarly situated persons to prosecute their common claims in a single forum efficiently and without unnecessary duplication of effort and expense that individual actions would engender, an important public interest will be served by addressing the matter as a class action, substantial expenses to the litigants and to the judicial system will be realized, and difficulties are unlikely in the management of a class action.

VI. COUNT ONE: VIOLATION OF THE FDCPA.

73. The *Factual Allegations* are incorporated by reference.

74. MIDLAND FUNDING is a "debt collector" within the meaning of 15 U.S.C.§ 1692a(6).

75. MCM is a "debt collector" within the meaning of 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).

76. The Debts are each a "debt" within the meaning of 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5).

77. TARAZON is a "consumer" within the meaning of 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3).

78. The Letters are each a "communication" within the meaning of 15 U.S.C.

§ 1692a(2).

79. Defendants failed to comply with the FDCPA with respect to Plaintiff.

80. Such failure includes but is not limited to:

80.01. Using false, deceptive, or misleading representations and/or means in connection with the collection of any debt, which constitutes a violation of 15

U.S.C. §1692e; and

- 80.02. Using unfair or unconscionable means to collect or attempt to collect a debt in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692f;
- 81. The Defendants' illegal conduct invaded the rights of Plaintiff which are protected by the FDCPA, the invasion of which caused injury-in-fact.

82. Based on a single violation of the FDCPA, Defendants are each liable to Plaintiff and, if this case is maintained as a class action under Fed. R. Civ. P. 23, to the Class for such relief as is allowed under 15 U.S.C. § 1692k.

VII. COUNT TWO: VIOLATION OF THE TDCA

83. The *Factual Allegations* are incorporated by reference.

84. MIDLAND FUNDING is engaged in the act and/or practice of "debt collection" as that term is defined by Tex. Fin. Code § 392.001(5).

85. MIDLAND FUNDING is a "debt collector" within the meaning of Tex. Fin. Code§ 392.001(5).

86. MCM is engaged in the act and/or practice of "debt collection" as that term is defined by Tex. Fin. Code § 392.001(5).

87. MCM is a "debt collector" within the meaning of Tex. Fin. Code § 392.001(5).

88. MCM is a "third-party debt collector" as that term is defined by Tex. Fin. Code § 392.001(7).

89. The Debts are each a "consumer debt" as defined by Tex. Fin. Code § 392.001(2).

90. TARAZON is a "consumer" within the meaning of Tex. Fin. Code § 392.001(1).

- 91. Defendants violated the TDCA including but not limited to:
 - 91.01. Tex. Fin. Code § 392.301(a)(8) by using threats, coercion or attempts to coerce employing threats to take action prohibited by law;

- 91.02. Tex. Fin. Code § 392.304(a)(8) by misrepresenting the character, extent, or amount of a consumer debt and whether a legal obligation exists for the consumer to pay it; and
- 91.03. Tex. Fin. Code § 392.304(a)(19) by using false, deceptive, and misleading representations and/or deceptive means to collect or attempt to collect any debt or to obtain information concerning a consumer.

92. The Defendants' illegal conduct invaded the rights of Plaintiff which are protected by the TDCA, the invasion of which caused injury-in-fact.

VIII. PRAYER FOR RELIEF.

93. WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests the Court enter judgment against Defendants, MIDLAND CREDIT MANAGEMENT, INC., MIDLAND FUNDING LLC, and JOHN DOES 1 to 10, jointly and severally, as follows:

A. With respect to Court One:

- 93.01. Certifying this action may be maintained as a class action pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23 including defining the Class, defining the class claims, and appointing Plaintiff's attorneys as class counsel;
- 93.02. Awarding such actual damages as may be proven to Plaintiff and to the members of the Class pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(1);
- 93.03. Awarding statutory damages for Plaintiff pursuant to 15 U.S.C.
 § 1692k(a)(2)(A) and § 1692k(a)(2)(B)(i);
- 93.04. Awarding of statutory damages for the Class pursuant to 15 U.S.C.§ 1692k(a)(2)(B)(ii);
- 93.05. An incentive award for Plaintiff, in connection with her services to the

Class in an amount to be determined by the Court after judgment is entered in favor of the Class;

- 93.06. Adjudging this action to be a successful action under 15 U.S.C.
 § 1692k(a)(2)(B)(3) and awarding reasonable attorneys' fees including litigation expenses;
- 93.07. Awarding costs of suit as allowed by law; and
- 93.08. For such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

B. With respect to Count Two:

- 93.09. Certifying this action may be maintained as a class action pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23 including defining the class, defining the class claims, and appointing Plaintiff's attorneys as class counsel;
- 93.10. Awarding injunctive relief to prevent or restrain further violations of Chapter 392 of the Texas Finance Code pursuant to Tex. Fin. Code § 392.403(a)(1);
- 93.11. Awarding such actual damages as may be proven to Plaintiff and to the members of the Class pursuant to Tex. Fin. Code § 392.403(a)(2);
- 93.12. An incentive award for Plaintiff, in connection with her services to the Class in an amount to be determined by the Court after judgment is entered in favor of the Class;
- 93.13. Adjudging Plaintiff to have successfully maintained an action under Tex.
 Fin. Code § 392.403(a), and awarding reasonable attorney's fees and costs
 pursuant to Tex. Fin. Code § 392.403(b);
- 93.14. Awarding costs of suit as allowed by law; and

93.15. For such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

IX. JURY DEMAND.

94. Demand is hereby made for trial by jury.

Dated: March 15, 2018

Respectfully submitted,

s/ Andrew T. Thomasson Andrew T. Thomasson, Attorney-in-Charge NJ Bar No. 048362011; SDTX No. 2347873 Philip D. Stern NJ Bar No. 045921984; SDTX No. 3063738 STERN•THOMASSON LLP 150 Morris Avenue, 2nd Floor Springfield, NJ 07081-1315 Telephone: (973) 379-7500 Facsimile: (973) 532-5868 E-Mail: andrew@sternthomasson.com E-Mail: philip@sternthomasson.com William M. Clanton TX Bar No. 24049436; SDTX No. 1420489 LAW OFFICE OF BILL CLANTON, P.C. 926 Chulie Drive

San Antonio, TX 78216 Telephone: (210) 226-0800 Facsimile: (210) 338-8660 E-Mail: bill@clantonlawoffice.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff, Marcela Tarazon

Case 7:18-cv-00081 Document 1-1 Filed in TXSD on 03/15/18 Page 1 of 3

EXHIBIT "A"

original
Page 2 of 3
de MCM
48
\$2,381.
Midland Funding I
un descuento del 40%! Llame ahora:
(888) 422-5178
¡Los beneficios de
pagar!
 Ahorre hasta \$952.41 al elegi la Opción 1.
Fecha de vencimiento de la oferta: 04-14-2017
01010104142017
ILLÁMENOS HOY!
(888) 422-5178

Tim Bolin, Gerente de división

La ley limita el tiempo en que puede ser demandado por una deuda. Debido a la antigüedad de su deuda, no iniciaremos una demanda. Si no paga la deuda, es posible que sigamos informándola como impaga a las agencias de informes crediticios.

No e	estamos obligados a renovar	ninguna oferta realizada.
(888) 422-5178	midlandcree	Aitonline.com Midland Credit Management, Inc. P.O. Box 60578 Los Angeles, CA 90060-0578
CONSULTE LA INFO	RMACIÓN IMPORTAN	TE SOBRE DIVULGACIÓN AL DORSO
Número de cuenta de MCM Saldo actual	4880 \$2,381.04	Administre su Cuenta en Línea midlandcreditonline.com
Total Remitido		Información de Pago Importante
Envíe sus Pagos por correo a:		<u>Confeccione cheques pagaderos a:</u> Midland Credit Management Ingrese su N.° de Cuenta MCM en todos los pagos
Midland Credit Management, Inc. P.O. Box 60578		(888) 422-5178
Los Angeles, CA 90060-0578		For English Call (800) 321-3809
12	4880 9 OI4286	2 041417 9 111876537 B469 DOE7-SP

cobrar una deuda. Cualquier información que se obtenga se utilizará para ese propósito.								
Para informar sobre cualquier imprecisión o cuestionar esta deuda, llame al (888) 422-5178 Las llamadas realizadas hacia la compañía y desde ella pueden monitorearse o grabarse.								
		Informa	ción básica					
Acreedor original	Target National Bank		Número de cuenta de MCM		4880			
Número de la cuenta original		2560	Fecha de la mora		11-17-2010			
Acreedor actual El único propietario de esta deuda	Midland F	unding LLC	Administrador actual		Midland Credit Management, Inc.			
Información importante del contacto								
Enviar pagos a:escriba aMidland Credit Management, Inc.At.: ConsP.O. Box 605782365 NorLos Angeles, CA 90060-0578Suite 300		escriba a:	or discrepancias llame al (888) 422-5178 o criba a: : Consumer Support Services 865 Northside Drive hite 300		Pagos físicos para los residentes de Colorado: 80 Garden Center Suite 3 Broomfield, CO 80020 Teléfono (303) 920-4763			

En virtud de las leyes estatales, debemos notificar los siguientes derechos a los consumidores. Esta lista no incluye todos los derechos que tienen los consumidores en virtud de las leyes estatales y federales:

Por medio del presente documento se le notifica que, si no cumple con los términos de sus obligaciones crediticias, podrá presentarse un informe negativo en su registro crediticio a una agencia de informes crediticios.

SI VIVE EN MASSACHUSETTS, SE APLICARÁ LO SIGUIENTE: AVISO DE DERECHOS IMPORTANTES: Usted tiene derecho a solicitar en forma escrita u oral que no se realicen llamadas telefónicas en relación con la deuda a su lugar de trabajo. Cualquier solicitud oral será válida solo por diez (10) días, a menos que proporcione una confirmación por escrito de la solicitud con sello postal o entregada dentro de los siete (7) días de dicha solicitud. Puede cancelar esta solicitud al escribir a MCM.

SI VIVE EN MINNESOTA, SE APLICARÁ LO SIGUIENTE: Esta agencia de cobros está autorizada para operar por el Departamento de Comercio de Minnesota.

SI VIVE EN LA CIUDAD DE NUEVA YORK, SE APLICARÁ LO SIGUIENTE: Número de licencia del Departamento de Asuntos del Consumidor de la Ciudad de Nueva York: 1140603, 1207829, 1207820, 1227728, 2022587, 2023151, 2023152, 2027429, 2027430, 2027431

SI VIVE EN CAROLINA DEL NORTE, SE APLICARÁ LO SIGUIENTE: Permiso del Departamento de Seguro de Carolina del Norte: n. 9 101659, 4182, 4250, y 3777, 111895, y 112039. Midland Credit Management, Inc. 2365 Northside Drive, Suite 300, San Diego, California 92108

SI VIVE EN TENNESSEE, SE APLICARÁ LO SIGUIENTE: Esta agencia de cobro está autorizada por la Junta de Servicios de Cobro del Departamento de Comercio y Seguro.

EXHIBIT "B"

Case 7:18-cv-00081 Document 1-2 Filed in TXSD on 03/15/18 Page 1 of 3

	Numero de cuenta	original
03-15-2017 Case 7:18-cv-00081 Document 1-2 File		
00102017	Número de cuenta	de MCM
	310	
	Saldo actual	
		\$1,:
P32T1227 001	Titular actual	
5508 Coco Dr		Midland Fundi
Weslaco, TX 78599-4545	iTiene preaprobado	un descuento del 40%! Llame and
փիկիսիովունիններըը, որորդենին հայրորինին		(888) 422-5178
Elija la opción más convenier	nte para usted.	¡Los beneficios
EF. Fia Card Services, N.A.		pagar!
		pagar.
timado/a Marcela:		> Ahorre hasta \$440.41 al e
elicitaciones! Ha sido preaprobado para un programa de descuento:	s diseñado para ahorrar	la Opción 1.
inero. Llame al (888) 422-5178 y actúe ahora para maximizar sus aho	prros y dejar atrás esta	
euda. Pague en línea hoy en www.midlandcreditonline.com.		in the second
Opción 1: 40%		> Fecha de vencimiento de
DE DESCUENTO	Usted solo paga	oferta: 04-14-2017
Fecha de vencimiento de pago: 04-14-2017	\$660.62	
	5 Pagos mensuales de solo	The second second second second second
Primera fecha de vencimiento del pago: 04-14-2017	\$146.80	and an and the state
Opción 3: Pagos mensuales desde :	Falls approved the	
[†] Ulame hoy para analizar sus opciones y obtener más detalles.	\$50 por mes [†]	
		ILLÁMENOS HOY!
estas opciones no le convienen, llame a uno de nuestros gerentes de	e cuenta para que lo	(888) 422-5178
ude a catable control in the state of the		
ude a establecer un plan de pagos que sí le convenga.		
ude a establecer un plan de pagos que sí le convenga. entamente,		
rude a establecer un plan de pagos que sí le convenga. entamente, - WBOUW		

(888) 422-5178		creditonline.com Midland Credit Management, Inc. P.O. Box 60578 Los Angeles, CA 90060-0578
CONSULTE LA IN	FORMACIÓN IMPOR	TANTE SOBRE DIVULGACIÓN AL DORSO
Número de cuenta de MCM Saldo actual	8559874458 \$1,101.04	Administre su Cuenta en Línea midlandcreditonline.com
Total Remitido		Información de Pago Importante
Envíe sus Pagos por correo a:		Confeccione cheques pagaderos a: Midland Credit Management Ingrese su N.° de Cuenta MCM en todos los pagos
Midland Credit Managemen P.O. Box 60578		(888) 422-5178
Los Angeles, CA 90060-057	В	For English Call (877) 231-8886

cobrar una deuda. Cualquier información que se obtenga se utilizará para ese propósito.								
Para inforn Las llama	nar sobre das realiz	cualquier imprecisión o adas hacia la compañía	cuestionar esta deu y desde ella pueden	ida, mo	llame al (888) 422-5178 nitorearse o grabarse.			
		Informa	ción básica					
Acreedor original	Fia Card S	Fia Card Services, N.A. Número de cuenta de M		ICM	M 4458			
Número de la cuenta original	03916		Fecha de la mora		11-30-2010			
Acreedor actual El único propietario de esta deuda	Midland Funding LLC		Administrador actual		Midland Credit Management, Inc.			
Información importante del contacto								
Enviar pagos a:Por discrepancias llame al escriba a:Midland Credit Management, Inc.At.: Consumer Support Se 2365 Northside DriveP.O. Box 60578Suite 300 San Diego, CA 92108			80 0 Suit Bro	os físicos para los residentes de Colorado: Garden Center se 3 omfield, CO 80020 éfono (303) 920-4763				

En virtud de las leyes estatales, debemos notificar los siguientes derechos a los consumidores. Esta lista no incluye todos los derechos que tienen los consumidores en virtud de las leyes estatales y federales:

Por medio del presente documento se le notifica que, si no cumple con los términos de sus obligaciones crediticias, podrá presentarse un informe negativo en su registro crediticio a una agencia de informes crediticios.

SI VIVE EN MASSACHUSETTS, SE APLICARÁ LO SIGUIENTE: AVISO DE DERECHOS IMPORTANTES: Usted tiene derecho a solicitar en forma escrita u oral que no se realicen llamadas telefónicas en relación con la deuda a su lugar de trabajo. Cualquier solicitud oral será válida solo por diez (10) días, a menos que proporcione una confirmación por escrito de la solicitud con sello postal o entregada dentro de los siete (7) días de dicha solicitud. Puede cancelar esta solicitud al escribir a MCM.

SI VIVE EN MINNESOTA, SE APLICARÁ LO SIGUIENTE: Esta agencia de cobros está autorizada para operar por el Departamento de Comercio de Minnesota.

SI VIVE EN LA CIUDAD DE NUEVA YORK, SE APLICARÁ LO SIGUIENTE: Número de licencia del Departamento de Asuntos del Consumidor de la Ciudad de Nueva York: 1140603, 1207829, 1207820, 1227728, 2022587, 2023151, 2023152, 2027429, 2027430, 2027431

SI VIVE EN CAROLINA DEL NORTE, SE APLICARÁ LO SIGUIENTE: Permiso del Departamento de Seguro de Carolina del Norte: n. 9 101659, 4182, 4250, y 3777, 111895, y 112039. Midland Credit Management, Inc. 2365 Northside Drive, Suite 300, San Diego, California 92108

SI VIVE EN TENNESSEE, SE APLICARÁ LO SIGUIENTE: Esta agencia de cobro está autorizada por la Junta de Servicios de Cobro del Departamento de Comercio y Seguro.

JS 44 (Rev. 06/17) Case 7:18-cv-00081 Decument Cover Sheet on 03/15/18 Page 1 of 1

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. *(SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)*

I. (a) PLAINTIEFS MARCELA TARAZON, individually and on behalf of those similarly situated				DEFENDANTS MIDLAND CREDIT MANAGEMENT, INC., MIDLAND FUNDING LLC, and JOHN DOES 1 to 10						
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff <u>Hidalgo</u> (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence NOTE: IN LAND C THE TRAC	(IN U.S. F	PLAINTIFF CASES O		OF		
(c) Attorneys (<i>Firm Name, 2</i> Stern Thomasson LLP 150 Morris Avenue, 2nd (973) 379-7500	-			Attorneys (If Known	ı)					
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)		TIZENSHIP OF		AL PARTIES (
□ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	~) PTF DEF	Incorporated <i>or</i> Pri of Business In T		for Defendo PTF ☐ 4	ant) DEF □ 4	
2 U.S. Government Defendant	I 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)					Incorporated <i>and</i> P of Business In A Foreign Nation				
				en or Subject of a f reign Country		Foreign Nation		0.0	0.0	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		ly) RTS	F	ORFEITURE/PENALTY		t here for: <u>Nature o</u> NKRUPTCY	of Suit Code Descriptions. OTHER STATUTES			
CONTRACT CONTRACT Ito Insurance 120 Marine 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Forcelosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	IO PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle 356 Other Personal Injury 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education	PERSONAL INJUR PERSONAL INJUR 365 Personal Injury Product Liability Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPEI 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Property Damage 530 General 530 General 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Oth 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	Y 0 62 0 69 1 XTY 0 71 0 72 0 72 0 75 0 7	25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 20 Other 20 Other 20 Labor Standards Act 20 Labor/Management Relations 40 Railway Labor Act 21 Family and Medical Leave Act 20 Other Labor Litigation 21 Employee Retirement Income Security Act 22 Naturalization Application 25 Other Immigration Actions	□ 422 App □ 423 With 28 U PROPE □ 820 Cop □ 830 Pate □ 835 Pate New □ 840 Trad SOCIAL □ 861 HIA □ 862 Blac □ 863 DIW □ 864 SSII □ 865 RSI ■ 870 Taxa or E 871 IRS- 26 U	eal 28 USC 158 ddrawal JSC 157 RTY RIGHTS yrights nt nt - Abbreviated Drug Application lemark JSECURITY (1395ff) k Lung (923) /C/DIWW (405(g)) D Title XVI	 375 False C 376 Qui Tai 3729(a 400 State R 410 Antitru 430 Banks a 450 Comme 460 Deporta 470 Racketa Corrupt 480 Consun 490 Cable/S 850 Securiti Exchar 890 Other S 891 Agricul 895 Freedon Act 896 Arbitra 899 Admini Act/Rev 	laims Act m (31 USC)) eapportions st and Bankin, erce ation eer Influenc Organizati ner Credit sat TV ies/Commo nge statutory Act tural Acts mental Mat mof Inform tion istrative Proview or App Decision utionality o	ment g ced and ions dities/ ctions tters nation peedure peed of	
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in	n One Box Only)	1								
	te Court	Appellate Court		pened Anoth (specif	her District fy)	□ 6 Multidistr Litigation Transfer		Multidis Litigatio Direct Fi	on -	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	DN 15 U.S.C. § 1692 Brief description of ca Violations of the 1	, et seq. ^{Juse:} he Fair Debt Collec	ction Pra	Do not cite jurisdictional st actices Act and Tex	as Debt Co	ollection Act				
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	UNDER RULE 2	IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv.P.	N D	EMAND \$ 1,000,000.00		CHECK YES only URY DEMAND:		n complai No	nt:	
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKI	ET NUMBER				
DATE		SIGNATURE OF AT								
03/15/2018 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		s/ Andrew T. T	homas	son						
RECEIPT # AM	/IOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JUD	GE			

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Texas Woman Takes Issue with Collection Letters Regarding Time-Barred Debt</u>