IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

GLENDON D. STUBBS, individually, and	
on behalf of all others similarly situated.	

CIVIL ACTION

Plaintiff,

NO.

v.

(Document Filed Electronically on

December 18, 2020)

BOARDWALK 1000, LLC d/b/a HARD ROCK HOTEL AND CASINO ATLANTIC CITY,

:

Defendant.

:

COLLECTIVE ACTION COMPLAINT

Plaintiff Glendon D. Stubbs ("Plaintiff"), individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, brings this Collective Action Complaint against Defendant Boardwalk 1000, LLC d/b/a Hard Rock Hotel and Casino Atlantic City ("Defendant"), and hereby states and alleges as follows:

INTRODUCTION

- 1. Plaintiff and all other similarly situated employees work or worked for Defendant, a casino located in Atlantic City, New Jersey.
- 2. Pursuant to its casino-wide policies and procedures, Defendant failed to pay Plaintiff, and other similarly situated employees, the mandated federal minimum wage rate for all hours worked and overtime for all hours worked over 40 in a single workweek.
- 3. In particular, Defendant failed to properly inform its tipped employees of the required tip credit provisions prior to paying a sub-minimum direct cash wage.
 - 4. Defendant's systemic violation of federal wage laws was willful.
 - 5. Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, brings this

lawsuit as a collective action under the Fair Labor Standards Act, ("FLSA"), 29 U.S.C. § 201, et seq., to recover unpaid wages owed to Plaintiff and all other similarly situated workers employed by Defendant.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 6. The FLSA authorizes court actions by private parties to recover damages for violation of the FLSA's wage and hour provisions. This Court possesses subject matter jurisdiction over Plaintiff's FLSA claims based upon 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331.
- 7. Venue in this district is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) because a substantial part of the events giving rise to this claim occurred in this judicial district and Defendant is subject to personal jurisdiction in this district.

PARTIES

- 8. Plaintiff is an individual residing in Millville, New Jersey.
- 9. From approximately May 2019 through September 2019, Plaintiff was employed by Defendant at its casino property located at 1000 Boardwalk, Atlantic City, New Jersey 08401. During his employment, Plaintiff worked as a Table Games Dealer, which is an hourly, non-exempt position. Plaintiff's executed Consent to Join pursuant 29 U.S.C. 216(b) is attached hereto and incorporated herein as Exhibit A.
- 10. Defendant is a limited liability company organized under the laws of the State of New Jersey, with its principal place of business in Atlantic City, New Jersey.
- 11. At all relevant times, Defendant was the employer of Plaintiff, and all other similarly situated employees.
- 12. At all times relevant to this action, Defendant acted by and through its agents, servants, and employees, each of whom acted at all times relevant herein in the course and scope

of their employment with and for Defendant.

13. Plaintiff and all similarly situated employees are non-exempt, hourly employees who work or worked for Defendant within the applicable limitations periods.

OVERVIEW OF PLAINTIFF'S CLAIMS

Defendant's Tip Credit Notice Violations

- 14. Under the FLSA, an employer may, in certain circumstances, take a "tip credit" toward its federal minimum wage obligations for tipped employees. Pursuant to the explicit language of the FLSA, a tip credit may not be taken "with respect to any tipped employee unless such employee has been informed by the employer of the provisions of [29 U.S.C. § 203(m)], and all tips received by such employee have been retained by the employee, except that this subsection shall not be construed to prohibit the pooling of tips among employees who customarily and regularly receive tips." 29 U.S.C. § 203(m)(2).
- 15. The federal regulations expand on the language of the FLSA by explaining as follows:

[A]n employer is not eligible to take the tip credit unless it has informed its tipped employees in advance of the employer's use of the tip credit of the provisions of section 3(m) of the Act, i.e.: [1] The amount of the cash wage that is to be paid to the tipped employee by the employer; [2] the additional amount by which the wages of the tipped employee are increased on account of the tip credit claimed by the employer, which amount may not exceed the value of the tips actually received by the employee; [3] that all tips received by the tipped employee must be retained by the employee except for a valid tip pooling arrangement limited to employees who customarily and regularly receive tips; and [4] that the tip credit shall not apply to any employee who has not been informed of these requirements in this section.

See 29 C.F.R. § 531.59(b); see also U.S. Department of Labor, Wage and Hour Division, Fact Sheet #15: Tipped Employees Under the Fair Labor Standards Act (FLSA).

16. Defendant employs Plaintiff and other similarly situated tipped employees and pays

them a direct cash wage that is less than the FLSA's federal minimum wage (\$7.25 per hour) but failed to notify them of the tip credit requirements of the FLSA prior to paying a sub-minimum direct cash wage. Despite this violation of the FLSA's tip credit notice provisions, Defendant has taken a tip credit toward its obligations to pay the federal minimum wage to Plaintiff and other similarly situated tipped employees. During the relevant time period, Plaintiff was paid a direct cash wage less than \$7.25 per hour and Defendant improperly claimed a tip credit to bridge the gap between the direct cash wage and the required federal minimum wage. Thus, during Plaintiff's employment, Defendant failed to properly compensate Plaintiff for all hours worked at a rate equal to at least the required federal minimum wage.

- 17. Specifically, Plaintiff and other similarly situated employees are not informed, in advance of Defendant's use of the tip credit, of: (1) the additional amount by which the wages of the tipped employee are increased on account of the tip credit claimed by Defendant, which amount may not exceed the value of the tips actually received the employee; (2) that all tips received by the tipped employee must be retained by the employee except for a valid tip pooling arrangement limited to employees who customarily and regularly receive tips; and (3) that the tip credit shall not apply to any employee who has not been informed of these requirements in this section.
- 18. Likewise, when Defendant changes the amount of the tip credit it claims against its obligation to pay Plaintiff and other similarly situated employees the FLSA's required minimum wage, Defendant does not inform Plaintiff and other similarly situated employees of the change in the amount of the tip credit claimed, as is required and must be in writing. *See* 29 CFR § 516.28(a)(3) ("The amount per hour which the employer takes as a tip credit shall be reported to the employee in writing each time it is changed from the amount per hour taken in the preceding week.").

- 19. Defendant's FLSA violations alleged herein were willful in that Defendant either knew of the specific FLSA requirements and prohibitions at issue at the time of the alleged violations and intentionally did not comply with them, or showed reckless disregard for the matter of whether its conduct violated the FLSA.
- 20. As a result of Defendant's above-described FLSA violations, Plaintiff and other similarly situated employees are entitled to recover from Defendant during the applicable three-year limitations period the amount of the sum of (1) the tip credit taken (*i.e.*, the difference between the direct cash wage and the required federal minimum wage), (2) an additional equal amount as liquidated damages, and (3) a reasonable attorneys' fee and costs of this action.

COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS

21. Plaintiff brings his FLSA claim arising out of Defendant's failure to comply with the FLSA's tip credit notice requirement, as an "opt in" collective action pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) on behalf of herself and the following collective:

All persons employed by Defendant during the relevant time period and paid a direct cash wage of less than \$7.25 per hour.

At present, the relevant time period includes the three-year period prior to the filing of this Collection Action Complaint and extends forward to the present. The collective as defined herein remains subject to change or modification based on, among other things, certification-related discovery, agreement of the parties and/or Order of the Court.

- 22. Plaintiff's FLSA claim may be pursued by those who opt-in to this case, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).
- 23. Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, seeks relief on a collective basis challenging Defendant's above-described FLSA violations. The number and identity of other plaintiffs yet to opt-in and consent to be party plaintiffs may be determined from

Defendant's records, and potential opt-in plaintiffs may easily and quickly be notified of the pendency of this action and their right to participate through U.S. Mail, email, text message and posting.

ALLEGATIONS APPLICABLE TO THE FLSA CLAIM (COUNT I)

- 24. At all times material herein, Plaintiff and all others similarly situated have been entitled to the rights, protections, and benefits provided under the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §§ 201, et seq.
- 25. The FLSA regulates, among other things, the payment of minimum wage and overtime pay by employers whose employees are engaged in interstate commerce, or engaged in the production of goods for commerce, or employed in an enterprise engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce. *See* 29 U.S.C. § 206(a); 29 U.S.C. § 207(a)(1).
- 26. Defendant is subject to the minimum wage and overtime pay requirements of the FLSA because it is an enterprise engaged in interstate commerce and its employees are engaged in commerce. At all relevant times, Defendant is or has been an enterprise engaged in commerce or in the production of goods or services for commerce within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 203(s)(1), and, upon information and belief, has had an annual gross volume of sales made or business done of not less than \$500,000.
- 27. During all relevant times to this action, Defendant was the "employer" of Plaintiff and all similarly situated employees within the meaning of the FLSA. 29 U.S.C. § 203(d).
- 28. During all times relevant to this action, Plaintiff and all similarly situated employees were Defendant's "employees" within the meaning of the FLSA. 29 U.S.C. § 203(e).
- 29. Plaintiff and all similarly situated employees are covered, non-exempt employees within the meaning of the FLSA. Accordingly, Plaintiff and all similarly situated employees must be paid minimum wage in accordance with 29 U.S.C. § 206.

- 30. Pursuant to the FLSA, employees are also entitled to be compensated at a rate of not less than one and one-half times the regular rate at which such employees are employed for all work performed in excess of 40 hours in a workweek. *See* 29 U.S.C. § 207(a).
- 31. Although the FLSA contains some exceptions (or exemptions) from the minimum wage and overtime requirements, none of those exceptions (or exemptions) applies here.
- 32. Plaintiff and all similarly situated employees are victims of uniform or substantially similarly compensation policies and practices.
- 33. Plaintiff and all similarly situated employees are entitled to damages equal to the mandated minimum wage and overtime premium pay within the three (3) years preceding the filing of the Collective Action Complaint to the present date because Defendant acted willfully and knew, or showed reckless disregard of whether its conduct was prohibited by the FLSA. Under principles of equitable tolling or as otherwise warranted under applicable law, the effective date of consents to join this action by similarly situated employees should be deemed retroactive to the date of Plaintiff's filing of this Collective Action Complaint or such other date as may be determined by the Court.
- 34. Defendant has acted neither in good faith nor with reasonable grounds to believe that its actions and omissions were not a violation of the FLSA, and as a result, Plaintiff and other similarly situated employees are entitled to recover an award of liquidated damages in an amount equal to the amount of unpaid wages as described by Section 16(b) of the FLSA, codified at 29 U.S.C. § 216(b). Alternatively, should the Court find Defendant acted in good faith or with reasonable grounds in failing to pay minimum wage and overtime compensation, Plaintiff and all similarly situated employees are entitled to an award of prejudgment interest at the applicable legal rate.

35. As a result of these violations of the FLSA's minimum wage and overtime pay provisions, compensation has been unlawfully withheld by Defendant from Plaintiff and all similarly situated employees. Accordingly, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), Defendant is liable for the unpaid minimum wages and overtime premium pay along with an additional amount as liquidated damages, pre-judgment and post-judgment interest, reasonable attorneys' fees, and costs of this action.

COUNT I - FLSA (Unpaid Minimum Wages)

Arising Out of Defendant's Unlawful Tip Credit Notice Policy

(Brought Against Defendant by Plaintiff Individually and on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated)

- 36. Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated, re-alleges and incorporates by reference the paragraphs above as if they were set forth again herein.
- 37. Defendant violated the FLSA by failing to pay Plaintiff and all others similarly situated minimum wages for all hours worked in a workweek.
- 38. Specifically, Defendant paid Plaintiff and others similarly situated below the federal minimum wage rate without complying with the "tip credit" rules required for an employer to pay a direct cash wage less than the federal minimum wage.
- 39. In particular, Plaintiff and other similarly situated tipped employees were not informed, in advance of Defendant's use of the tip credit, of: (1) the additional amount by which the wages of the tipped employee are increased on account of the tip credit claimed by Defendant, which amount may not exceed the value of the tips actually received the employee; (2) that all tips received by the tipped employee must be retained by the employee except for a valid tip pooling arrangement limited to employees who customarily and regularly receive tips; and (3) that the tip credit shall not apply to any employee who has not been informed of these requirements in this

section.

- 40. Defendant failed to comply with the notification requirements set forth within the express language of the FLSA and supporting federal regulations. *See* 29 U.S.C. § 203(m)(2); 29 C.F.R. § 531.59(b).
- 41. As Defendant has failed to properly inform Plaintiff and other similarly situated tipped employees of the required tip credit provisions and is not entitled to claim a tip credit, Defendant has willfully violated federal law by failing and refusing to pay all minimum wages due and owing to Plaintiff and all other similarly situated employees.
- 42. Defendant's practice was to unlawfully and willfully fail to comply with the requirements for its entitlement to a tip credit and therefore, Plaintiff and the similarly situated tipped employees were not properly paid minimum wages pursuant to the FLSA.
- 43. WHEREFORE, on Count I of this Collective Action Complaint, Plaintiff and all similarly situated employees demand judgment against Defendant and pray this Court:
 - a. Issue notice to all similarly situated employees of Defendant informing them of their right to file consents to join the FLSA portion of this action;
 - b. Award Plaintiff and all similarly situated employees damages for unpaid minimum wages under 29 U.S.C. § 216(b);
 - c. Award Plaintiff and all similarly situated employees liquidated damages under 29 U.S.C. § 216(b);
 - d. Award Plaintiff and all similarly situated employees pre-judgment and post-judgment interest as provided by law;
 - e. Award Plaintiff and all similarly situated employees attorneys' fees and costs under 29 U.S.C. § 216(b); and
 - f. Award Plaintiff and all similarly situated employees such other relief as the Court deems fair and equitable.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Pursuant to Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff hereby demands a jury trial on all the issues so triable.

CERTIFICATION

It is hereby certified that, pursuant to L.Civ.R. 11.2, that to the best of Plaintiff's counsel's knowledge, the matter in controversy is not presently the subject of any other action pending in any court, or of any pending arbitration or administrative proceeding.

Dated: December 18, 2020 s/R. Andrew Santillo

WINEBRAKE & SANTILLO, LLC

R. Andrew Santillo, Esq. (NJ ID #025512004) Mark J. Gottesfeld, Esq. (NJ ID #027652009) Twining Office Center, Suite 211 715 Twining Road Dresher, PA 19025

Telephone: 215-884-2491 Facsimile: 215-884-2492

Email: asantillo@winebrakelaw.com Email: mgottesfeld@winebrakelaw.com

STUEVE SIEGEL HANSON LLP

George A. Hanson, Esq. pro hac vice application forthcoming Alexander T. Ricke, Esq. pro hac vice application forthcoming 460 Nichols Road, Suite 200 Kansas City, Missouri 64112 Telephone: 816-714-7100

Facsimile: 816-714-7101 Email: hanson@stuevesiegel.com Email: ricke@stuevesiegel.com

McCLELLAND LAW FIRM, P.C.

Ryan L. McClelland, Esq. pro hac vice application forthcoming Michael J. Rahmberg, Esq. pro hac vice application forthcoming The Flagship Building 200 Westwoods Drive Liberty, Missouri 64068

Telephone: 816-781-0002 Facsimile: 816-781-1984

Email: ryan@mcclellandlawfirm.com Email: mrahmberg@mcclellandlawfirm.com

COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

GLENDON D. STUBBS, individually, and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	: :
Plaintiff,	:
	: CIVIL ACTION
v.	:
	: NO
BOARDWALK 1000, LLC d/b/a HARD	:
ROCK HOTEL AND CASINO	:
ATLANTIC CITY,	:
	:
Defendant.	:

CONSENT TO JOIN Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b)

I CONSENT TO JOIN THIS LAWSUIT as a Party Plaintiff seeking unpaid wages and/or overtime against Defendant Boardwalk 1000, LLC d/b/a Hard Rock Hotel and Casino Atlantic City, and any other associated entities ("Defendants"). If this case does not proceed collectively, I also consent to join any subsequent action against any Defendant(s) for unpaid wages and/or overtime. By joining this lawsuit, I designate the Named Plaintiff(s) as my representatives, and allow them, to the fullest extent possible, to make decisions on my behalf concerning the case, the method and manner of conducting the case, including settlement, the entering of an agreement with Plaintiffs' Counsel regarding payment of attorneys' fees and court costs, and all other matters pertaining to the this lawsuit to the fullest extent permitted by law. I understand that I will be bound by any ruling, settlement, or judgment whether favorable or unfavorable. For purposes of this lawsuit, I choose to be represented by Stueve Siegel Hanson LLP and McClelland Law Firm, P.C., and any other attorneys with whom they may associate.

09/28/2020	Alandon D Stills
Date	Signature
	Glendon D. Stubbs
	Full Legal Name (print)

Case 1:20-cv-19798-RMB-KMW. Document 1-1. Filed 12/18/20 Page 1 of 2 PageID: 13 $_{\rm JS~44~(Rev.~06/17)}$

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither

provided by local rules of court purpose of initiating the civil de	t. This form, approved by the cocket sheet. (SEE INSTRUC	ne Judicial Conference of th TIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF TH	ne United States in September 1 HIS FORM.)	974, is required for the use of	the Clerk of Court for the
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS		_
GLENDON D. STUBBS (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Cumberland County, No. (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)			BOARDWALK 1000, LLC d/b/a HARD ROCK HOTEL AND CASINO ATLANTIC CITY County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Atlantic County, NJ (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF		
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A	C, 715 Twining Road,	Suite 211, Dresher, P	THE TRACT Attorneys (If Known)	OF LAND INVOLVED.	
19025; Ph: (215) 884-24	·	· .			_
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	ICTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)	I. CITIZENSHIP OF P. (For Diversity Cases Only)	RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintif and One Box for Defendant)
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	★ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government I	Not a Party)		 TF DEF 1 □ 1 Incorporated or Pr of Business In T 	
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh.)	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	2	
			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3	□ 6 □ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		aly) ORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	Click here for: Nature of BANKRUPTCY	of Suit Code Descriptions. OTHER STATUTES
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 360 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education	PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITIONS Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Other 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	LABOR X 710 Fair Labor Standards Act 720 Labor/Management Relations 740 Railway Labor Act 751 Family and Medical Leave Act 790 Other Labor Litigation 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION 462 Naturalization Application 465 Other Immigration Actions	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS □ 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent □ 835 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application □ 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	□ 375 False Claims Act □ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) □ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations □ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 895 Freedom of Information Act □ 896 Arbitration □ 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision □ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
	moved from 3 Cite the U.S. Civil State Fair Labor Stands Brief description of ca	Appellate Court tutte under which you are fi ards Act	Reopened Anothe (specify, ling (Do not cite jurisdictional state)		
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:		IS A CLASS ACTION	DEMAND \$	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND	if demanded in complaint: Yes □No
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER	
DATE 12/18/2020 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		signature of attor s/ R. Andrew San			
	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JUI	DGE

Reset

Print

Save As...

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- **I.(a) Plaintiffs-Defendants.** Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.
 - United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here. United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.
 - Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.
 - Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; **NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.**)
- **III. Residence** (citizenship) of Principal Parties. This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If there are multiple nature of suit codes associated with the case, pick the nature of suit code that is most applicable. Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions.
- **V. Origin.** Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.
 - Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.
 - Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.
 - Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.
 - Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date. Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.
 - Multidistrict Litigation Transfer. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407
 - Multidistrict Litigation Direct File. (8) Check this box when a multidistrict case is filed in the same district as the Master MDL docket. **PLEASE NOTE THAT THERE IS NOT AN ORIGIN CODE 7.** Origin Code 7 was used for historical records and is no longer relevant due to changes in statue.
- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity. Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- **VII. Requested in Complaint.** Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P. Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction. Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

	District of _	New Jersey
GLENDON D. STUBBS, et al.		
V. BOARDWALK 1000, LLC d/b/a HARD ROCK HOTEL AND CASINO ATLANTIC CITY		SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION
TIOTEL AND GASING ATLANTIC CITY	CASE N	NUMBER:
TO: (Name and address of Defendant)		
Boardwalk 1000, LLC d/b/a F c/o Corporation Service Com Princeton South Corporate C 100 Charles Ewing Blvd Ewing, New Jersey 08628	pany	d Casino Atlantic City
YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED and a WINEBRAKE & SANTILLO, I R. Andrew Santillo, Esq. Mark J. Gottesfeld, Esq. Twining Office Center, Suite 715 Twining Road Dresher, PA 19025 Telephone: 215-884-2491 Facsimile: 215-884-2492 Email: asantillo@winebrakel Email: mgottesfeld@winebra	LLC 211 aw.com	n PLAINTIFF'S ATTORNEY (name and address)
an answer to the complaint which is served on you of this summons on you, exclusive of the day of ser	with this summon vice. If you fail to swer that you serv	days after service do so, judgment by default will be taken against you e on the parties to this action must be filed with the
CLERK (By) DEPUTY CLERK	DATE	

Case 1:20-cv-19798-RMB-KMW Document 1-2 Filed 12/18/20 Page 2 of 2 PageID: 16

SAO 440 (Rev. 8/01) Summons in a Civil Action

RETURN OF SERVICE		
Service of the Summons and complaint was made by me ⁽¹⁾	DATE	
NAME OF SERVER (PRINT)	TITLE	
Check one box below to indicate appropriate metho	od of service	
☐ Served personally upon the defendant. Place		
☐ Left copies thereof at the defendant's dwellin discretion then residing therein.	ng house or usual place of abode with a person of so	uitable age and
Name of person with whom the summons and	d complaint were left:	
☐ Returned unexecuted:		
☐ Other (specify):		
STA	TEMENT OF SERVICE FEES	
TRAVEL SERVICES		TOTAL \$0.00
DI	ECLARATION OF SERVER	φυ.υυ
contained in the Return of Service and Statement Executed on	er the laws of the United States of America that the nt of Service Fees is true and correct. Signature of Server	
Date S	ignature of server	
\overline{A}	Address of Server	

(1) As to who may serve a summons see Rule 4 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Print Save As... Export as FDF Retrieve FDF File Reset

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Lawsuit Claims Hard Rock Hotel and Casino Atlantic City Failed to Properly Pay Tipped Employees</u>