1 2 3 4	LAURA A. STOLL (SBN 255023)  lstoll@goodwinlaw.com  GOODWIN PROCTER LLP  601 S Figueroa Street, 41st Floor Los Angeles, California 90017  Tel.: +1 213 426 2500  Fax.: +1 213 623 1673	
5	Attorneys for Defendant CLEAR FINANANCE TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION	7
7		
8		
9		
10		
11	UNITED STATE	CS DISTRICT COURT
12	CENTRAL DISTR	RICT OF CALIFORNIA
13	WESTE	RN DIVISION
14	STILLWATER DESIGN, INC., a	Case No.:
15	California corporation, on behalf of itself and all others similarly situated,	CLASS ACTION
16	Plaintiff,	NOTICE OF REMOVAL
17	v.	NOTICE OF REMOVAL
18	CLEAR FINANCE TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION, a Canadian	Removed from Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No.
19	corporation, and DOES 1 through 25, inclusive,	18STCV04227
20	Defendant.	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

NOTICE OF REMOVAL

1 ||

#### NOTICE OF REMOVAL

3 4

6 7

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Defendant Clear Finance Technology Corporation ("Clear Finance" or "Defendant") hereby removes the above-captioned action, *Stillwater Design, Inc. v. Clear Finance Technology Corporation* (the "Stillwater Action"), which is currently pending in California Superior Court, Los Angeles County, Case No. 18STCV04227, to the United States District Court for the Central District of California, Western Division pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332, 1441, 1446, and 1453.<sup>1</sup> As grounds for removal, Defendant states as follows:

#### **INTRODUCTION**

This case is removable under 28 U.S.C. § 1441 and the Class Action Fairness Act of 2005, P.L. 109-2, as codified at 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d) and 28 U.S.C. § 1453 ("CAFA"). Pursuant to CAFA, federal courts have original jurisdiction over class actions where: 1) the putative class consists of at least 100 members (28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(5)(B)); 2) there is minimal diversity between the parties (28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2)(C)); and 3) the aggregate classwide amount in controversy exceeds \$5,000,000, exclusive of interest and costs (28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2) and (6)). Based upon Plaintiff's allegations (which Clear Finance expressly denies and intends to demonstrate are without merit), removal here is proper because CAFA's requirements are met, no exception to CAFA jurisdiction applies, and Clear Finance has timely removed.

#### **BACKGROUND**

1. On November 8, 2018, Plaintiff Stillwater Design, Inc. ("Stillwater" or "Plaintiff") filed a putative class action Complaint for (1) Violations of California Financial Code § 22000, *et seq.*, (2) Violations of the California Unfair Competition

Defendant sets forth the allegations in Plaintiff's Complaint solely to establish the prerequisites for jurisdiction and removal of this action. By filing this Notice of Removal, Defendant does not waive any objections it may have as to lack of jurisdiction over Defendant, or venue, or any other defenses or objections to the Stillwater Action, including but not limited to the viability of class certification. Defendant intends no admission of fact, law, or liability by this Notice, and reserves all defenses, motions and pleas.

Law (Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200, et seq.) ("UCL"), (3) Violations of the California Consumers Legal Remedies Act, Cal. Civ. Code §§ 1750-1784, et seq. ("CLRA"), and (4) Violations of California Usury Laws (the "Complaint") against Defendant in California Superior Court, Los Angeles County. See Exhibit A.

- 2. Defendant was served with the Summons and Complaint on December 13, 2018.
- 3. Plaintiff alleges that Defendant solicits consumers to accept cash advances that are secured by an interest in all of the consumer's personal property. Plaintiff further alleges that the purported cash advances are actually loans with deceptively high interest rates that must be repaid in a short amount of time, and that these loans violate California's usury, lending, and unfair competition laws. Finally, Plaintiff alleges that Defendant failed to obtain a license authorizing it to conduct a lending business within the State of California.
- 4. Plaintiff seeks civil penalties, restitution, disgorgement, an injunction, the freezing of Defendant's assets, an accounting, prejudgment interest, attorney's fees and costs, and punitive damages.

## REMOVAL IS PROPER UNDER 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d) and 1453 (CAFA JURISDICTION)

5. This Court has original jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332(d) and 1453 on the basis of CAFA jurisdiction because (1) "the matter in controversy exceeds the sum or value of \$5,000,000, exclusive of interest and costs," (2) the citizenship of at least one putative class member is different from that of Defendant, and (3) the putative class consists of more than 100 proposed class members. *See* 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332(d)(2), (d)(2)(C) and (d)(5)(B).

#### Citizenship of the Parties (28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2)(C)).

6. For purposes of diversity of citizenship, Plaintiff is a citizen of California because, as alleged in the Complaint, Plaintiff "is, and at all times herein was, a

resident of Los Angeles County, California with its principle [sic] place of business [in] California." Compl. ¶ 2.

7. For purposes of diversity of citizenship, Defendant is a citizen of Canada because, as alleged in the Complaint, Defendant "is, and at all times mentioned in this complaint was, a resident of Canada operating under the Canada Business Corporations Act, with its principle [sic] place of business [in] Canada." Compl. ¶ 3. Accordingly, the Stillwater Action satisfies the diversity of citizenship requirement. 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2)(C).

#### <u>Putative Class Size (28 U.S.C. §§ 1332(d)(5)(B)).</u>

- 8. Removal is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(5)(B) because the number of members of the proposed class exceeds 100.
  - 9. Plaintiff defines the proposed class as:

All persons who were solicited, offered to purchase, purchased, received monies from, or otherwise engaged in accepting an "investment", loan, cash advance, or monies from the Defendant.

#### Compl. ¶ 12.

- 10. Plaintiff also defines proposed subclasses of:
  - (i) all [class] members who are "consumers" within the definition of California Civil Code § 1761(d) ("The CLRA Subclass") (Compl. ¶ 13);
  - (ii) all [class] members who were solicited, offered, accepted, received, and/or repaid or are in the act of repaying a loan from the Defendant which is a "consumer loan" within the definition of California Financial Code §22203 and §22204 ("The Consumer Loan Subclass") (Compl. ¶ 14); and
  - (iii) all [class] members who were solicited, offered, accepted, received, and/or repaid or are in the act of repaying a loan from the Defendant which is a "commercial loan" within the definition of California Financial Code §22502 ("The Commercial Loan Subclass") (Compl. ¶ 15).

- 11. Plaintiff itself contends that "[u]pon information and belief, there are tens of thousands of class Plaintiff's [sic]," and "upon information and belief, the specifically defined subclasses . . . each comprises countless class Plaintiff's [sic] who have been solicited, offered to purchase, purchased, received monies from, or otherwise engaged in accepting an 'investment', loan, cash advance, or monies from the Defendant." Compl. ¶ 16.
- 12. Defendant's records confirm that the putative class size is in excess of 100.
  - 13. Accordingly, CAFA's numerosity requirement is satisfied. *Amount in Controversy is Satisfied (28 U.S.C. §§ 1332(d)(2)).*
- 14. For removal purposes, establishing the amount in controversy under CAFA requires only that a defendant provide a short and plain statement of the basis for jurisdiction—the equivalent of that required for a plaintiff filing a complaint. *Dart Cherokee Basin Operating Co., LLC v. Owens*, 135 S. Ct. 547, 554 (2014). This means "a defendant's notice of removal need only include a plausible allegation that the amount in controversy exceeds the jurisdictional threshold." *Id*.
- 15. While Defendant denies that Plaintiff is entitled to recover any amount, and denies that certification of any class is proper, Plaintiff's allegations, requests for relief, and putative class definition plausibly place the amount in controversy in this case above CAFA's \$5,000,000 aggregate threshold for jurisdictional purposes. 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332(d)(2) and (6).
- 16. Plaintiff alleges that its claims "are typical of the claims of the members of the class and subclass [sic]. Plaintiff and all members of the class and subclasses sustained injuries and damages arising out of Defendant's wrongful common course of conduct complained of herein." Compl. ¶ 18. Plaintiff further alleges that there are "tens of thousands of class Plaintiff's [sic]." Compl. ¶ 16.
- 17. Plaintiff seeks, on behalf of itself and the putative class "restitution . . . of all monies [Defendant] wrongfully obtained" and "an order requiring [Defendant] . . .

to disgorge their ill-gotten gains" (Compl. ¶ 68). The request for restitution and disgorgement in connection with Defendant's merchant contracts places at least \$5,000,000 in controversy even without consideration of the additional relief Plaintiff seeks. Plaintiff also seeks: civil penalties of up to \$10,000 per violation of the California Financial Code; civil penalties for each violation of the UCL and each violation of the CLRA; an accounting and the imposition of an asset freeze and constructive trust as well as injunctive relief to prevent Defendant's practices; and attorneys' fees. Although it is unclear from the Complaint whether Plaintiff seeks punitive damages and, if so, under which claims, Plaintiff indicated in its Civil Case Cover sheet that it is seeking punitive damages. Thus, the total amount in controversy in this matter surpasses the \$5,000,000 jurisdictional threshold set by 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2).

#### No Exception to CAFA Jurisdiction Exists.

18. Although Defendant denies that it bears the burden of showing that CAFA's exceptions to jurisdiction in 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332(d)(4), (5), and (9) are inapplicable, none apply.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> "In actions seeking . . . injunctive relief, it is well established that the amount in controversy is measured by the value of the object of the litigation." *Hunt v. Washington State Apple Advertising Com*", 432 U.S. 333, 347 (1997).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See Galt G/S v. JSS Scandinavia, 142 F.3d 1150, 1155-56 (9th Cir. 1998) (attorneys' fees properly considered in determining amount in controversy where legal claims may support award of attorney's fees); see also Cal Civ. Code § 1780(e) (prevailing plaintiff may recover attorneys' fees under CLRA); Cal. Code Civ. Pro. § 1021.5 (prevailing plaintiff may recover attorneys' fees for UCL claim in certain circumstances); Compl. at 18 (Plaintiff claims it is entitled to attorneys' fees with respect to UCL claim under section 1021.5).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See Gibson v. Chrysler Corp., 261 F.3d 927, 945-46 (9th Cir. 2001) (punitive damages properly considered in determining amount in controversy where legal claims may support award of punitive damages; even where a plaintiff does not specifically request punitive damages, "the potential for such damages may still be considered for purposes of amount in controversy"); see also Cal Civ. Code § 1780(a)(4) (punitive damages authorized under CLRA); Harris v. Gallant, 183 Cal. App. 2d 94, 101 (1960) (punitive damages available for usury claims); Miller & Starr § 37:44 (4th ed.) (same).

- 19. <u>First</u>, the exceptions in 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(4) do not apply because, as already noted, Defendant is a citizen of Canada, so no defendant is a citizen of the State in which the Complaint was originally filed—California.
- 20. <u>Second</u>, the exception in 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(5)(A) does not apply because Defendant is not a State, State official, or other governmental entity.
- 21. <u>Third</u>, the exception in 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(5)(B) does not apply because, as previously indicated, the number of putative class members is greater than 100.
- 22. <u>Finally</u>, the exception in 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(9) does not apply because this case does not involve a claim under the securities laws and does not "relate[] to the internal affairs or governance of a corporation or other form of business enterprise" or "arise[] under or by virtue of the laws of the State in which such corporation or business enterprise is incorporated or organized." 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(9)(B).

#### Jurisdiction is Mandatory.

23. Jurisdiction is mandatory, not discretionary, under CAFA because Defendant is not a citizen of California, the "state in which th[is] action was originally filed." 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(3).

# OTHER PROCEDURAL REQUIREMENTS FOR REMOVAL ARE SATISFIED.

24. Removal is Timely. This removal is timely under 28 U.S.C. § 1446(b)(1) because Defendant removed the Stillwater Action within 30 days of service of the Complaint. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1446(b)(1) ("The notice of removal of a civil action or proceeding shall be filed within 30 days after the receipt by the defendant, through service or otherwise, of a copy of the initial pleading setting forth the claim for relief upon which such action or proceeding is based, or within 30 days after the service of summons upon the defendant if such initial pleading has then been filed in court and is

was served the Complaint on December 13, 2018.

embracing the place where the Stillwater Action was filed.

not required to be served on the defendant, whichever period is shorter."). Defendant

and 1446(a), this Notice of Removal is being filed in the United States District Court

for the Central District of California, Western Division, which is the "district court"

served upon Defendant in the Stillwater Action are attached hereto as Exhibit A, in

Removal to Proper Court. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332(d), 1441(a),

Signature. This Notice of Removal is signed pursuant to Rule 11 of the

Pleadings and Process. Copies of all process, pleadings and orders

25.

26.

27.

7

12

1314

16

15

18

19

17

2021

2223

24

25

26

27

28

compliance with 28 U.S.C. § 1446(a).

28. Notice of Filing of Notice of Removal. Attached hereto as **Exhibit B** is a copy of the Notice of Filing of Notice of Removal, without exhibits, which will be promptly filed with the Clerk of the Los Angeles County Superior Court in Los Angeles, California, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1446(d).

Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, in compliance with 28 U.S.C. § 1446(a).

- 29. <u>Notice of Removal to All Adverse Parties</u>. Attached hereto as <u>Exhibit C</u> is a copy of the Notice of Removal to All Adverse Parties, which will be promptly served upon Plaintiff's counsel. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1446(d).
- 30. <u>Bond and Verification</u>. Pursuant to Section 1016 of the Judicial Improvements and Access to Justice Act of 1988, no bond is required in connection with this Notice of Removal. Pursuant to Section 1016 of the Act, this Notice need not be verified.

#### **CONCLUSION**

Based upon the foregoing, this Court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332(d), and 1453, and the claims may be removed to this Court under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1441 and 1446.

### Case 2:19-cv-00251 Document 1 Filed 01/11/19 Page 9 of 10 Page ID #:9

1	Dated: January 11, 2019	Respectfully submitted,
2	2 a.c. vanaai y 11, 2017	•
3 4		By:/s/ Laura A. Stoll LAURA A. STOLL lstoll@goodwinlaw.com GOODWIN PROCTER LLP 601 S Figueroa Street, 41st Floor Los Angeles, California 90017 Tel.: +1 213 426 2500 Fax.: +1 213 623 1673
5		601 S Figueroa Street, 41st Floor Los Angeles, California 90017
6		Tel.: +1 213 426 2500 Fax.: +1 213 623 1673
7		Attorneys for Defendant CLEAR FINANCE TECHNOLOGY CORP.
8		FINANCE TECHNOLOGY CORP.
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	Nome	8 COE REMOVAL

- 1			
1		PROOF OF S	SERVICE
2 3 4	1	I am employed in the County of Suffol time of service I was over 18 years of age iness address is: 100 Northern Avenue, Bo	
5	belo	On <b>January 11, 2019</b> , I served the follow as follows:	owing document(s) on the person(s)
7		NOTICE OF REMOVAL with EXH	IBITS A-C; CIVIL COVER SHEET
8 9 10	The 346	ne M. Popp (SBN 219668) <b>Law Office of Shane M. Popp</b> 0 Barry Avenue Angeles, California 90066	Counsel for Plaintify Tel.: (310) 930-6051 Fax: (310) 861-1780 shane_popp@hotmail.com
11			
12		The document(s) were served by the fo	llowing means:
13		(OVERNIGHT DELIVERY). By over	ernight delivery. I enclosed the
14		documents in an envelope or package	provided by an overnight delivery carrier
15		package for collection and overnight	
16		utilized drop box of the overnight deli	very carrier.
17			
18	that	I declare under the penalty of perjury us the foregoing is true and correct.	nder the laws of the State of California
19		Executed on January 11, 2019, at Bosto	on, Massachusetts.
20   21			
22			
23		Allison H. Orpilla	alt
24		(Type or print name)	(Signature)
25			
26			
27			
28			
		9 NOTICE OF R	EMOVAL

## EXHIBIT A

## EXHIBIT A

U.S. Department of Justice United States Marshals Service



#### REQUEST FOR SERVICE ABROAD OF JUDICIAL OR EXTRAJUDICIAL DOCUMENTS

DEMANDE

AUX FINS DE SIGNIFICATION OU DE NOTIFICATION À L'ETRANGER D'UN ACTE JUDICIAIRE OU EXTRAJUDICIAIRE

Convention on the service abroad of judicial and extrajudicial documents in civil or commercial matters, signed at The Hague, November 15, 1965.

Convention relative à la signification et à la notification à l'étranger des actes judiciaires ou extrajudiciaires en matière civile ou commerciale, signée à La Haye, le 15 novembre 1965.

Identity and address of the applicant Identité et adresse du requérant

Shane M. Popp, J.D., LL.M. The Law Office of Shane M. Popp 3460 Barry Avenue Los Angeles, CA 90066-2002

(p) 310-930-6051

(e) shane\_popp@hotmail.com

Address of receiving authority Adresse de l'autorité destinataire

Ministry of the Attorney General Ontario Court of Justice 393 Main Street Haileybury, Ontario P0J 1K0 CANADA

The undersigned applicant has the honour to transmit -- in duplicate-- the documents listed below and, in conformity with article 5 of the above-mentioned Convention, requests prompt service of one copy thereof on the addressee, i.e., (identity and address)

Le requérant soussignée à l'honneur de faire parvenir-en double exemplaire--à l'autorité destinataire les documents ci-dessous énumérés, en la priant, conformément à l'article 5 de la Convention précitée, d'en faire remettre sans retard un exemplaire au destinataire, à savoir:

(identité et adresse)

Clear Finance Technology Co	orp., ATTN: CEO, 2 Saint Clair Avenue West, 9th Floor,
Toronto, Ontario M4V 1L5 CA	ANADA
(a) in accordance with the provisions of sub-paragraph (a a) selon les formes légales (article 5 alinéa premier, lettre	
(b) in accordance with the following particular method (su b) selon la forme particulière suivante (article 5, alinéa pr	
(c) by delivery to the addressee, if he accepts it voluntarily c) le cas échéant, par remise simple (article 5, alinéa 2).	
with a certificate as provided on the reverse side.	ed to the applicant a copy of the documents and of the annexes au requérant un exemplaire de l'acte - et de ses annexes - avec
List of documents Enumération des pièces	Done at Los Angeles, California, the 13-Nov-2018
Summons (1 Page)	/  \
Civil Cover Sheet w/ Addendum (5 Pages)	Signature and/or stamp Signature et/ou cachet
Complaint (21 Pages)	Signature evols cachet
Notice of Case Assignment (2 Pages)	
Vol. Efficient Litigation Stipulations (13 Pages)	

\*Delete if inappropriate Rayer les mentions inutiles.

Form USM-94 Est. 11/77

(Formerly OBD-116, which was formerly 184 both P which my till be used)

#### **CERTIFICATE** ATTESTATION

The undersigned authority has the honour to certify, in conformity with article 6 of the Convention, L'autorité soussignée a l'honneur d'attester conformément à l'article 6 de ladite Convention,

	o do idano convenien,	
1) that the document has been served *		
1) que la demande a été exécutée the (date) le (date)		
at (place, street, number) - à (localité, rue, numéro)		
in one of the following methods authorized by article 5: dans une des formes suivantes prévues à l'article 5:	TORCE -	
(a) in accordance with the provisions of sub-paragraph a) selon les formes légales (article 5. alinéa premier, l	a (a) of the first paragraph of art	icle 5 of the Convention*.
(b) in accordance with the following particular method:  b) selon la forme particulière suivante:		
(c) by delivery to the addressee, who accepted it volunes) par remise simple.	starily.*	
The documents referred to in the request have been delivered Les documents mentionnés dans la demande ont été remis à:	to:	
<ul> <li>- (identity and description of person)</li> <li>- (Identité et qualité de la personne)</li> </ul>		
- relationship to the addressee family, business or other - liens de parenté de subordination ou autres avec le destin	nataire de l'acte:	
<ol> <li>that the document has not been served, by reason of the following 2) que la demande n'a pas été exécutée, en raison des faits suivantes et la demande n'a pas été exécutée, en raison des faits suivantes et la demande n'a pas été exécutée, en raison des faits suivantes et la demande n'a pas été exécutée, en raison des faits suivantes et la demande n'a pas été exécutée, en raison des faits suivantes et la demande n'a pas été exécutée, en raison des faits suivantes et la demande n'a pas été exécutée, en raison des faits suivantes et la demande n'a pas été exécutée, en raison des faits suivantes et la demande n'a pas été exécutée, en raison des faits suivantes et la demande n'a pas été exécutée, en raison des faits suivantes et la demande n'a pas été exécutée, en raison des faits suivantes et la demande n'a pas été exécutée, en raison des faits suivantes et la demande n'a pas été exécutée, en raison des faits suivantes et la demande n'a pas été exécutée, en raison des faits suivantes et la demande n'a pas été exécutée, en raison des faits suivantes et la demande n'a pas été exécutée, en raison des faits et la demande n'a pas été exécutée, en raison des faits et la demande n'a pas été exécutée, en raison des faits et la demande n'a pas été exécutée, en raison de la demande n'a pas été exécutée.</li> </ol>	ing facts*: nts:	
In conformity with the second paragraph of article 12 of the Converthe expenses detailed in the attached statement*  Conformément à l'article 12, alinéa 2, de ladite Convention, le requont le détail figure au mémoire ci-joint.		
ANNEXES Annexes		
Documents returned: Pieces renvoyées		
In appropriate cases, documents establishing the service: Le cas échéant, les documents justificatifs de l'exécution:	Done at Fait à Signature and/or stamp	, the, le
	Signature et/ou cachet	

Exhibit A Page 11

#### SUMMARY OF THE DOCUMENT TO BE SERVED ÉLÉMENTS ESSENTIELS DE L'ACTE

Convention on the service abroad of judicial and extrajudicial documents in civil or commercial matters, signed at The Hague, November 15, 1965.

Convention relative à la signification et à la notification à l'étranger des actes judiciaires ou extrajudiciaires en matière civile ou commerciale, signée à La Haye, le 15 novembre 1965.

#### (article 5, fourth paragraph)

(article 5, alinéa quatre)

Name and address of the requesting authority:
Nom et adresse de l'autorité requérante:
Ministry of Attorney Gen., Ontario Ct. of Justice, 393 Main Street, Haileybury, Ontario, P0J 1K0 CANADA
Particulars of the parties:
Identité des parties:
Stillwater Design, Inc. (Plaintiff) v. Clear Finance Technology Corp. (Defendant)
JUDICIAL DOCUMENT
ACTE JUDICIA IRE
Nature and purpose of the document:
Nature et objet de l'acte:
Summons and Complaint for, inter alia, Unfair Business Practices
Nature and purpose of the proceedings and, where appropriate, the amount in dispute:
Nature et objet de l'instance, le cas échéant, le montant du litige:
A civil action for damages relating to violations of Cal. Finance Code, Bus. and Prof. Code, C.L.R.A., etc.
' Total describe demographic troubles of car. I mando occup, pact and 1 for occup, c.c. (1, 1, 1, 1, 1)
Date and place for entering appearance:
Date et lieu de la comparution:
Within thirty (30) calendar days of Service; Cal. Sup. Ct., 111 North Hill Street, Los Angeles, CA 90012
Cavet which has given indementate
Court which has given judgment**: Jundiction qui a rendu la décision:
N/A
Dale of judgment**:
Date de la décision:
N/A
Time limits stated in the document**:
Indication des délais figurant dans l'acte:
Within Thirty (30) Calendar Days of Service
. EXTRAJUDICIAL DOCUMENT
ACTE EXTRAJUDICIAIRE
Nature and purpose of the document: Nature et objet de l'acte:
N/A
Time limits stated in the document:** Indication des délais figurant dans l'acte:
N/A

#### SUM-100

#### SUMMONS (CITACION JUDICIAL)

NOTICE TO DEFENDANT: (AVISO AL DEMANDADO):

(AVISO AL DEMANDADO): Corporation, CLEAR FINANCE TECHNOLOGY—CORP., a Canadian Corporation, and DOES 1-25, inclusive

YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF: (LO ESTÁ DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE):

Stillwater Design, Inc., a California Corporation, on behalf of itself and all others similarly situated

FOR COURT USE ONLY (SOLO PARA USO DE LA CORTE)

CONFORMED COPY ORIGINAL PILED

Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles

NOV 08 2018

Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer/Clerk of Court By: Steven Drew, Deputy

NOTICE! You have been sued. The court may decide against you without your being heard unless you respond within 30 days. Read the information below.

You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court clerk for a fee waiver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court.

There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney referral service. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can locate these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), or by contacting your local court or county bar association. NOTE: The court has a statutory lien for waived fees and costs on any settlement or arbitration award of \$10,000 or more in a civil case. The court's lien must be paid before the court will dismiss the case. ¡AVISO! Lo han demandado. Si no responde dentro de 30 días, la corte puede decidir en su contra sin escuchar su versión. Lea la información a continuación.

Tiene 30 DÍAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entreguen esta citación y papeles legales para presentar una respuesta por escrito en esta corte y hacer que se entregue una copia al demandante. Una carta o una llamada telefónica no lo protegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar en formato legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un formulario que usted pueda usar para su respuesta. Puede encontrar estos formularios de la corte y más información en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California (www.sucorte.ca.gov), en la biblioteca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que le quede más cerca. Si no puede pagar la cuota de presentación, pida al secretario de la corte que le dé un formulario de exención de pago de cuotas. Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corte le podrá quitar su sueldo, dinero y bienes sin más advertencia.

Hay otros requisitos legales. Es recomendable que llame a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no conoce a un abogado, puede llamar a un servicio de remisión a abogados. Si no puede pagar a un abogado, es posible que cumpla con los requisitos para obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un programa de servicios legales sin fines de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en el sitio web de California Legal Services. (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California, (www.sucorte.ca.gov) o poniéndose en contacto con la corte o el colegio de abogados locales. AVISO: Por ley, la corte tiene derecho a reclamar las cuotas y los costos exentos por imponer un gravamen sobre cualquier recuperación de \$10,000 ó más de valor recibida mediante un acuerdo o una concesión de arbitraje en un caso de derecho civil. Tiene que pagar el gravamen de la corte antes de que la corte pueda desechar el caso.

The name and address of the court is:

(El nombre y dirección de la corte es): Superior Court of California

CASE NUMBER: 8 STCV0 4227

Stanley Mosk Courthouse

111 North Hill Street, Los Angeles, CA 90012

The name, address, and telephone number of plaintiff's attorney, or plaintiff without an attorney, is: (El nombre, la dirección y el número de teléfono del abogado del demandante, o del demandante que no tiene abogado, es): Shane M. Popp, Esq., LOSMP, 3460 Barry Avenue, Los Angeles, CA 90066 (p) 310-930-6051

DATE: 08-Nov-2018 (Fecha)	Sherri R. Carter, Clerk	Clerk, by (Secretario)	STEVEN DREW	, Deputy (Adjunto
(For proof of service of this sur (Para prueba de entrega de es	nmons, use Proof of Service of S ta citatión use el formulario Prod NOTICE TO THE PERSON S	of of Service of Summ	mons, (POS-010)).	
(SEAL)	as an individual defe     as the person sued u	ndant. Inder the fictitious na		RBANC)
	under: CCP 416.10	(corporation) (defunct corporation (association or part fy):	CCP 416.60 (minor)	vatee)

Form Adopted for Mandatory Use Judicial Council of Califor SUM-100 [Rev. July 1, 2009]

SUMMONS

Code of Civil Procedure §§ 412.20. 465

Case 2:19-cv-00251 Doc	ument 1-1 F	-lied 01/11/19	Page	6 01 55	Page ID #:85	CM-010
ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar nu Shane M. Popp, Esq. (BN219668)	mber, and address):			3= 0,00	FOR COURT USE ONLY	
The Law Office of Shane M. Popp			1			-
3460 Barry Avenue				CO	NFORMED CO	DV
Los Angeles, CA 90066-2002 TELEPHONE NO.: 310-930-6051	FAX NO. 310-8	861-1780	1		JEHNAL EU CE	3 89
ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Stillwater Design, Inc.		001-1700		Supe	erior Court of Califo bunty of Los Angele	Name of the last o
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS				3,500	on tos Andele	es
STREET ADDRESS: Stanley Mosk Courtho			1		NOV 08 2018	
MAILING ADDRESS: 111 North Hill Street			1		00 2010	1
CITY AND ZIP CODE: Los Angeles, CA 9001	.2		1	Sherri R. Car	rter, Executive Officer/Cle	rk of Court
BRANCH NAME: Central District				By:	Steven Drew, Deputy	IN OI COULL
CASE NAME:	. Pinanaa Taal			3 - 3 - 3 - 3 - 3 - 3 - 3 - 3 - 3 - 3 -	biom, Deputy	
Stillwater Design, Inc., et. al., v. Clea				CASE NUMBER		3
CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET  Unlimited Limited	Complex	Case Designation		40CT	CV04227	7
Unlimited Limited (Amount (Amount	Counter	r 🔲 Joinder		1001	010122	
demanded demanded is	Filed with first	appearance by defe	ndant	JUDGE:		
exceeds \$25,000) \$25,000 or less)		s of Court, rule 3.402		DEPT		A Design of the Control of the Contr
		eted (see instruction	s on pag	ge 2).		
1. Check one box below for the case type that		is case:				
Auto Tort	Contract	contract/warrent //CC\			plex Civil Litigation rt, rules 3,400-3,403)	
Auto (22)		contract/warranty (06)			tribles and something and single	
Uninsured motorist (46)	Other collect	collections (09)		Antitrust/I rad	de regulation (03)	
Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort		354 3		Mass tort (40	🜣 🤁	
Asbestos (04)	Other contr	coverage (18)	H	Securities liti	#44 5 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	
Product liability (24)	Real Property	act (37)	Ħ		al/Toxic tort (30)	
Medical malpractice (45)		main/Inverse	Ħ			rom the
Other PI/PD/WD (23)	condemnati			above listed	overage claims arising f provisionally complex	case
Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort	Wrongful ev	viction (33)		types (41)		
Business tort/unfair business practice (07)	Other real p	property (26)	_	ement of Ju		
Civil rights (08)	Unlawful Detaine	5.70	ш	Enforcement	of judgment (20)	
Defamation (13)	Commercia		Misce	llaneous Civ	il Complaint	
Fraud (16)	Residential			RICO (27)		
Intellectual property (19)	Drugs (38)		Ш	Other comple	aint (not specified abov	re) (42)
Professional negligence (25)	Judicial Review		Misce	llaneous Civ	/il Petition	
Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35)	Asset forfei			Partnership a	and corporate governa	nce (21)
Employment Wrongful termination (36)		arbitration award (11)		Other petition	n (not specified above)	(43)
Other employment (15)	Writ of man					
Prince of the second se		ial review (39)	Rules	Court If th	e case is complex, n	nork the
factors requiring exceptional judicial manage	jement:	oo or the California	rules 0	Court. If th	e case is complex, n	nark the
a. Large number of separately repres	Marine Company	d. Large numl	ber of w	itnesses		
b. Extensive motion practice raising of					ns pending in one or	more court
issues that will be time-consuming					untries, or in a federa	
<ul> <li>c. Substantial amount of documentar</li> </ul>	y evidence				cial supervision	
3. Remedies sought (check all that apply): a.	✓ monetany l	b. nonmonetary			/ / )	7 m. militar
4. Number of causes of action (specify): (4)	- Cal Finance	Code Unfair D	, ueciar	atory or inju	DA Managara	punitive
5. This case  is is not a class	s action suit	Code, Chian Bi	us. I Idi	once, CLI	ca, psury laws	
6. If there are any known related cases, file at		of related case. (You	u mav u	e form CM	1-01/5)//	
Date: 08-November-2018			1		111	
Shane M. Popp, Esq.		•	/	$\overline{}$	11/	
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)			(SIGNATU	RE OF PARTY	R AT ORNEY FOR PARTY)	
Plaintiff must file this cover shoot with the St	ret paper filed in t	NOTICE -				720 3
Plaintiff must file this cover sheet with the fill under the Probate Code, Family Code, or Vincentials.	Velfare and Institu	itions Code). (Cal. R	uing (exc Rules of	Court rule	laims cases or cases	s filed
III Salictions.				1, 10	o.zzo.) randre to file	may result
File this cover sheet in addition to any cover of this case is complex under rule 3.400 et al	r sheet required b	by local court rule.		1/		
<ul> <li>If this case is complex under rule 3.400 et so other parties to the action or proceeding.</li> </ul>						
Unless this is a collections case under rule	3.740 or a comple	ex case, this cover s	sheet wil	be used for	or statistical nurnoses	only

SHORT TITLE: Stillwater Design, Inc. v. Clear Finance Techbology Corp.

CASE NUMBER

## CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET ADDENDUM AND STATEMENT OF LOCATION (CERTIFICATE OF GROUNDS FOR ASSIGNMENT TO COURTHOUSE LOCATION)

This form is required pursuant to Local Rule 2.3 in all new civil case filings in the Los Angeles Superior Court.

- **Step 1:** After completing the Civil Case Cover Sheet (Judicial Council form CM-010), find the exact case type in Column A that corresponds to the case type indicated in the Civil Case Cover Sheet.
- Step 2: In Column B, check the box for the type of action that best describes the nature of the case.
- **Step 3:** In Column C, circle the number which explains the reason for the court filing location you have chosen.

#### Applicable Reasons for Choosing Court Filing Location (Column C)

- 1. Class actions must be filed in the Stanley Mosk Courthouse, Central District.
- 2. Permissive filing in central district.
- 3. Location where cause of action arose.
- 4. Mandatory personal injury filing in North District.
- 5. Location where performance required or defendant resides.
- 6. Location of property or permanently garaged vehicle.

- 7. Location where petitioner resides.
- 8. Location wherein defendant/respondent functions wholly.
- 9. Location where one or more of the parties reside.
- 10. Location of Labor Commissioner Office.
- 11. Mandatory filing location (Hub Cases unlawful detainer, limited non-collection, limited collection, or personal injury).

В Α Civil Case Cover Sheet Type of Action Category No. (Check only one) Auto (22) ☐ A7100 Motor Vehicle - Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death Uninsured Motorist (46) ☐ A7110 Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death - Uninsured Motorist □ A6070 Asbestos Property Damage Asbestos (04) □ A7221 Asbestos - Personal Injury/Wrongful Death Product Liability (24) ☐ A7260 Product Liability (not asbestos or toxic/environmental) ☐ A7210 Medical Malpractice - Physicians & Surgeons Medical Malpractice (45) ☐ A7240 Other Professional Health Care Malpractice ☐ A7250 Premises Liability (e.g., slip and fall) Other Personal ☐ A7230 Intentional Bodily Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death (e.g., Injury Property Damage Wrongful assault, vandalism, etc.) Death (23) ☐ A7270 Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress ☐ A7220 Other Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death

Auto

Other Personal Injury/ Property Damage/ Wrongful Death Tort

С

Applicable Reasons

1, 4, 11

1, 4, 11

1, 11

1, 11

1, 4, 11

1, 4, 11

1, 4, 11

1, 4, 11

1, 4, 11

1, 4, 11

1, 4, 11

See Step 3 Above

SHORT TITLE:

Stillwater Design, Inc. v. Clear Finance Techbology Corp.

CASE NUMBER

	A Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No.	B Type of Action (Check only one)	C Applicable Reasons - See Step 3 Above
	Business Tort (07)	☑ A6029 Other Commercial/Business Tort (not fraud/breach of contract)	1, 2, 3
perty Tort	Civil Rights (08)	☐ A6005 Civil Rights/Discrimination	1, 2, 3
y/ Pro Death	Defamation (13)	☐ A6010 Defamation (slander/libel)	1, 2, 3
al Injur ongful	Fraud (16)	☐ A6013 Fraud (no contract)	1, 2, 3
Non-Personal Injury/ Property Damage/ Wrongful Death Tort	Professional Negligence (25)	□ A6017 Legal Malpractice □ A6050 Other Professional Malpractice (not medical or legal)	1, 2, 3 1, 2, 3
N O	Other (35)	☐ A6025 Other Non-Personal Injury/Property Damage tort	1, 2, 3
ent	Wrongful Termination (36)	☐ A6037 Wrongful Termination	1, 2, 3
Employment	Other Employment (15)	□ A6024 Other Employment Complaint Case □ A6109 Labor Commissioner Appeals	1, 2, 3 10
	Breach of Contract/ Warranty, (06) (not insurance)	<ul> <li>□ A6004 Breach of Rental/Lease Contract (not unlawful detainer or wrongful eviction)</li> <li>□ A6008 Contract/Warranty Breach - Seller Plaintiff (no fraud/negligence)</li> <li>□ A6019 Negligent Breach of Contract/Warranty (no fraud)</li> <li>□ A6028 Other Breach of Contract/Warranty (not fraud or negligence)</li> </ul>	2, 5 2, 5 1, 2, 5 1, 2, 5
Contract	Collections (09)	<ul> <li>□ A6002 Collections Case-Seller Plaintiff</li> <li>□ A6012 Other Promissory Note/Collections Case</li> <li>□ A6034 Collections Case-Purchased Debt (Charged Off Consumer Debt Purchased on or after January 1, 2014)</li> </ul>	5, 6, 11 5, 11 5, 6, 11
	Insurance Coverage (18)	☐ A6015 Insurance Coverage (not complex)	1, 2, 5, 8
	Other Contract (37)	□ A6009 Contractual Fraud □ A6031 Tortious Interference □ A6027 Other Contract Dispute(not breach/insurance/fraud/negligence)	1, 2, 3, 5 1, 2, 3, 5 1, 2, 3, 8, 9
2	Eminent Domain/Inverse Condemnation (14)	☐ A7300 Eminent Domain/Condemnation Number of parcels	2, 6
operty	Wrongful Eviction (33)	☐ A6023 Wrongful Eviction Case	2, 6
Real Property	Other Real Property (26)	<ul> <li>□ A6018 Mortgage Foreclosure</li> <li>□ A6032 Quiet Title</li> <li>□ A6060 Other Real Property (not eminent domain, landlord/tenant, foreclosure)</li> </ul>	2, 6 2, 6 2, 6
ē	Unlawful Detainer-Commercial (31)	☐ A6021 Unlawful Detainer-Commercial (not drugs or wrongful eviction)	6, 11
Detain	Unlawful Detainer-Residential (32)	☐ A6020 Unlawful Detainer-Residential (not drugs or wrongful eviction)	6, 11
Unlawful Detainer	Unlawful Detainer- Post-Foreclosure (34)	☐ A6020F Unlawful Detainer-Post-Foreclosure	2, 6, 11
- N	Unlawful Detainer-Drugs (38)	☐ A6022 Unlawful Detainer-Drugs	2, 6, 11

SHORT TITLE:

Stillwater Design, Inc. v. Clear Finance Techbology Corp.

CASE NUMBER

	Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No.	B Type of Action (Check only one)	C Applicable Reasons - See Step 3 Above
	Asset Forfeiture (05)	☐ A6108 Asset Forfeiture Case	2, 3, 6
ew	Petition re Arbitration (11)	☐ A6115 Petition to Compel/Confirm/Vacate Arbitration	2, 5
Judicial Review		☐ A6151 Writ - Administrative Mandamus	2, 8
icial	Writ of Mandate (02)	☐ A6152 Writ - Mandamus on Limited Court Case Matter	2
Jud	SK.	☐ A6153 Writ - Other Limited Court Case Review	2
	Other Judicial Review (39)	☐ A6150 Other Writ /Judicial Review	2, 8
E	Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03)	☐ A6003 Antitrust/Trade Regulation	1, 2, 8
tigatic	Construction Defect (10)	☐ A6007 Construction Defect	1, 2, 3
Provisionally Complex Litigation	Claims Involving Mass Tort (40)	☐ A6006 Claims Involving Mass Tort	1, 2, 8
y Com	Securities Litigation (28)	☐ A6035 Securities Litigation Case	1, 2, 8
sional	Toxic Tort Environmental (30)	☐ A6036 Toxic Tort/Environmental	1, 2, 3, 8
Provi	Insurance Coverage Claims from Complex Case (41)	☐ A6014 Insurance Coverage/Subrogation (complex case only)	1, 2, 5, 8
		☐ A6141 Sister State Judgment	2, 5, 11
<b>#</b> #		☐ A6160 Abstract of Judgment	2, 6
Enforcement of Judgment	Enforcement	☐ A6107 Confession of Judgment (non-domestic relations)	2, 9
forc	of Judgment (20)	☐ A6140 Administrative Agency Award (not unpaid taxes)	2, 8
ᅙᇤ		☐ A6114 Petition/Certificate for Entry of Judgment on Unpaid Tax	2, 8
		A6112 Other Enforcement of Judgment Case	2, 8, 9
e s	RICO (27)	☐ A6033 Racketeering (RICO) Case	1, 2, 8
aneous mplaints		☐ A6030 Declaratory Relief Only	1, 2, 8
ellan	Other Complaints	☐ A6040 Injunctive Relief Only (not domestic/harassment)	2, 8
Miscell: Civil Cor	(Not Specified Above) (42)	☐ A6011 Other Commercial Complaint Case (non-tort/non-complex)	1, 2, 8
<b>2</b> 5		☐ A6000 Other Civil Complaint (non-tort/non-complex)	1, 2, 8
	Partnership Corporation Governance (21)	☐ A6113 Partnership and Corporate Governance Case	2, 8
		☐ A6121 Civil Harassment	2, 3, 9
sno		☐ A6123 Workplace Harassment	2, 3, 9
Miscellaneous Civil Petitions	Other Petitions (Not	☐ A6124 Elder/Dependent Adult Abuse Case	2, 3, 9
scel vil P	Specified Above) (43)	☐ A6190 Election Contest	2
≅ 5	1	☐ A6110 Petition for Change of Name/Change of Gender	2, 7
		☐ A6170 Petition for Relief from Late Claim Law	2, 3, 8
		☐ A6100 Other Civil Petition	2, 9

Stillwater Design, Inc. v. Clear Finance Techbology Corp.	CASE NUMBER	
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		101.00

**Step 4: Statement of Reason and Address:** Check the appropriate boxes for the numbers shown under Column C for the type of action that you have selected. Enter the address which is the basis for the filing location, including zip code. (No address required for class action cases).

REASON:  ☑ 1. □ 2. ☑ 3. □ 4. □	5. 🗆 6. 🗆 7. 🗆 8. 🗈 9. 1	□ <b>10</b> . □ <b>11</b> .	ADDRESS:
CITY	STATE:	ZIP CODE:	

Step 5: Certification of Assignment: I certify that this case is properly filed in the Central

District of the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles [Code Civ. Proc., §392 et seq., and Local Rule (2.3(a)(1)(E)].

Dated: 08-Nov-18

(SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY/FILING PARTY

PLEASE HAVE THE FOLLOWING ITEMS COMPLETED AND READY TO BE FILED IN ORDER TO PROPERLY COMMENCE YOUR NEW COURT CASE:

- 1. Original Complaint or Petition.
- 2. If filing a Complaint, a completed Summons form for issuance by the Clerk.
- Civil Case Cover Sheet, Judicial Council form CM-010.
- Civil Case Cover Sheet Addendum and Statement of Location form, LACIV 109, LASC Approved 03-04 (Rev. 02/16).
- 5. Payment in full of the filing fee, unless there is court order for waiver, partial or scheduled payments.
- A signed order appointing the Guardian ad Litem, Judicial Council form CIV-010, if the plaintiff or petitioner is a minor under 18 years of age will be required by Court in order to issue a summons.
- Additional copies of documents to be conformed by the Clerk. Copies of the cover sheet and this addendum must be served along with the summons and complaint, or other initiating pleading in the case.

corporation, registered under the laws of the State of California.

25

26

27

28

ORIGINAL COMPLAINT

Exhibit A - Page 19

- 2) Plaintiff is, and at all times herein was, a resident of Los Angeles County, California with its principle place of business located at 719 South Los Angeles Street, Suite 328, Los Angeles, CA 90014.
- 3) Defendant, Clear Finance Technology Corp. ("Defendant") is, and at all times mentioned in this complaint was, a resident of Canada operating under the Canada Business Corporations Act, with its principle place of business located at 2 Saint Clair Avenue West, 9<sup>th</sup> Floor, Toronto, Ontario, Canada and 5566 McFarren Blvd., Mississauga, Ontario, Canada.
- 4) The true names and capacities of Defendant(s) 1 through 25, inclusive, are unknown to Plaintiff who therefore sues said Defendants by such fictitious names pursuant to section 474 of the Code of Civil Procedure. Plaintiff will seek leave of court to amend this cross-complaint when said true names and capacities have been ascertained.
- 5) At all times mentioned herein, each of the Defendants, sued as DOE herein, was the agent, employee and or representative of each of the other Defendants, and in doing the acts alleged in this complaint was acting within the course and scope of that agency, employment or representation, with the knowledge, consent, and approval of each of the other Defendants.
- 6) When the true names, rights, interests, and capacities of DOES 1-25 are ascertained, Plaintiff shall seek leave of court to amend the complaint to reflect this knowledge.
- 7) Defendants, and each of them, while not authorized to do business in California, have sufficient minimum contacts with California, and/or have otherwise intentionally availed themselves of the markets in California through the promotion, marketing, and sale of their products and services in California, to render the exercise of jurisdiction by this Court permissible under traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.

#### **VENUE**

- 8) Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure §395(b) and California Civil Code §1780(c) in that this action arises from Defendants unlawful conduct involving unfair methods of competition and unfair or deceptive acts undertaken against consumers residing in California in violation of Civil Code §1770.
- 9) Further to, and in compliance with Cal. Civ. Code §1780(d), concurrently filed herewith, as **Exhibit A**, is an affidavit stating facts showing the action has been commenced in a county described in this section as a proper place for trial in this action.

#### ALLEGATIONS UNDER CAL. CODE CIV. PRO. §382

- 10) Plaintiff(s) bring this action pursuant to the provisions of California Code of Civil Procedure §382 on behalf of itself and all other person's similarly situated.
- 11) Additionally, Plaintiff(s) bring this action pursuant to the provisions of California Civil Code §1781 on behalf of itself and all other person's similarly situated.
- 12) The class Plaintiff seeks to represent is defined as follows: All persons who were solicited, offered to purchase, purchased, received monies from, or otherwise engaged in accepting an "investment", loan, cash advance, or monies from the Defendant.
- 13) The proposed class includes a subclass, comprising all members who are "consumers" within the definition of California Civil Code §1761(d) ("The CLRA Subclass").
- The proposed class includes a further subclass, comprising all members who were solicited, offered, accepted, received, and/or repaid or are in the act of repaying a loan from the Defendant which is a "consumer loan" within the definition of California Financial Code §22203 and §22204 ("The Consumer Loan Subclass").
- 15) The proposed class includes a further subclass, comprising all members who were solicited, offered, accepted, received, and/or repaid or are in the act of repaying a loan from the Defendant which is a "commercial loan" within the definition of California Financial Code §22502 ("The Commercial Loan Subclass").

- 16) Upon information and belief, there are tens of thousands of class Plaintiff's who have been solicited, offered to purchase, purchased, received monies from, or otherwise engaged in accepting an "investment", loan, cash advance, or monies from the Defendant. Additionally, upon information and belief, the specifically defined subclasses (i.e. The CLRA Subclass, The Consumer Loan Subclass, and the Commercial Loan Subclass) each comprises countless class Plaintiff's who have been solicited, offered to purchase, purchased, received monies from, or otherwise engaged in accepting an "investment", loan, cash advance, or monies from the Defendant. The class is, therefore, so numerous and geographically dispersed that joinder of all class members and subclass members is impractical. While the exact number of class members is unknown to Plaintiff(s) at this time. Plaintiff asserts all class and subclass members can be ascertained through appropriate discovery. In addition, class and subclass members can be identified and notified of the pendency of this action using forms of notice similar to those customarily used in similar actions.
- Upon information and belief, Plaintiff asserts the Defendant(s) have acted with respect to the Plaintiff and members of the proposed class and proposed subclasses in a manner generally applicable to each of them. Accordingly, the interests in fact and law affect all class and subclass members.
- Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the members of the class and subclass. Plaintiff and all members of the class and subclasses sustained injuries and damages arising out of Defendant's wrongful common course of conduct complained of herein. The injuries and damages of each member of the class and subclasses were caused directly by Defendant's wrongful common course of conduct in violation of the laws alleged herein.
- 19) Plaintiff(s) will fairly and adequately represent and protect the interests of the class and subclass, and Plaintiff(s) have no interests which are antagonistic to or in conflict with the interests of the class of subclass members that they seek to protect. Plaintiff(s) have retained competent counsel experienced in the prosecution of similar actions and complex litigation.
- 20) The questions of law and fact common to the class predominate over the questions that may affect individual class and subclass members including, but not limited to the following:

- a. Whether Clear Finance Technology Corp's cash advance contracts are in fact disguised loan transactions;
- b. Whether such loan transactions violate California's usury laws;
- c. Whether, by engaging in the "investment" / cash advance business, Clear Finance Technology Corp. is violating California laws that require it to be qualified and licensed to conduct its lending business in this State;
- d. Whether Clear Finance Technology Corp. is a "finance lender" under California law;
- e. Whether Clear Finance Technology Corp. has engaged in a "commercial banking business" under California law;
- f. Whether, by engaging in the "investment" business, Clear Finance Technology Corp. has engaged, and is engaging, in unlawful, unfair or fraudulent business acts or practices, in violation of the UCL;
- g. The nature and extent of injuries sustained by plaintiffs and members of the Class and the proper measure of damages applicable thereto;
- h. The amount of revenues and profits obtained by Clear Finance Technology Corp. attributable to these unlawful acts;
- i. The equitable relief which plaintiffs and the Class are entitled to obtain; and
- j. Whether Clear Finance Technology Corp. has or is continuing to misrepresent
   the affiliation, connection, or association with, or certification by, another;
- k. Whether Clear Finance Technology Corp. has misrepresented or is continuing to misrepresent that its acts and practices constitute misrepresenting that a transaction confers or involves rights, remedies, or obligations that it does not have or involve, or that are prohibited by law; and
- Whether Clear Finance Technology Corp. is in partnership with Uber, Airbnb, Homeaway, Shopify, Harbortouch, and/or Facebook.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

- 21) At all times herein, Defendant did and does conduct business in California.

  Defendant sometimes does business under different name(s), such as Clearbanc.
- Upon information and belief, Defendant is not authorized to conduct business in California. On the Defendant's webpage, there is a phone number based in California, however, no physical address is listed for its business office(s).
- 23) Upon information and belief, Defendant has never sought nor, has it been granted approval to conduct business as a lender in California. Nor does Defendant conduct itself in accordance with the State of California's laws and regulations pertaining to lenders.
- 24) Defendant Clear Finance Technology Corp., has devised a scheme and executed a plan based on that scheme to which it preys on individual consumers and small businesses that have difficulty obtaining financing from banks and other traditional financial institutions. Upon information and belief, Defendant targets the following consumers and/or small businesses, including but not limited to:
  - a. <u>Uber drivers</u>: Defendant provides cash advances to individual Uber drivers touting a "partnership" and/or affiliation with Uber. In addition, Defendant provides "Instant Pay" whereby Defendant provides advances loaded onto a Defendant issued debit card.
  - b. <u>Airbnb</u>: Defendant provides cash advances to individual or corporate entities who lease out their dwellings using the Airbnb platform. Defendant touts a "partnership" and/or affiliation with Airbnb.

- c. <u>Homeaway</u>: Defendant provides cash advances to individual or corporate entities who lease out their dwellings using the Homeaway platform.

  Defendant touts a "partnership" and/or affiliation with Homeaway.
- d. <u>eCommerce businesses</u>: Defendant provides cash advances to individual or corporate entities who utilize ecommerce (online shopping) platforms such as Shopify to sell products. Defendant touts a "partnership" and/or affiliation with Shopify.
- e. POS (Point-of-Sale) Retail and Restaurant businesses: Defendant provides cash advances to individual or corporate entities who utilize POS (Point-of-Sale) platforms such as Harbortouch to sell products. Defendant touts a "partnership" and/or affiliation with Harbortouch.
- f. <u>Facebook</u>: Defendant provides cash advances to individual or corporate entities who utilize the Facebook advertising platform to advertise and sell products. Defendant touts a "partnership" and/or affiliation with Facebook.
- In exchange for an "investment" from Defendant, in the form of a cash advance, the consumer and/or small business, as the case may be, enters into an Agreement (pre-determined non-negotiable) which obligates the consumers and/or small businesses to repay the full amount of the "investment", plus an additional sum (in realty, interest on the amount advanced), typically calculated by Defendant to be paid back within six (6) to twelve (12) months. This promise to pay the Defendant is unconditionally guaranteed by the consumer and/or small business and is collateralized by a security interest in all of the consumers and/or small business current and after-acquired tangible and intangible personal property.

- Defendant is repaid through the equivalent of a credit card "lock box". Defendant highjacks the consumers and/or small businesses banking information by requiring consumers to use a Defendant issued debit card from a bank located outside the United States and also requires consumers and/or small businesses to use a Defendant issued e-mail address.
- 27) The effective annual interest rates on these "investment" products sold by the Defendant are deceptively high, often exceeding the legal limit for unlicensed brokers, especially due to the exceedingly short time in which the Defendant designs its loans to be repaid.
- Upon information and belief, Defendant believes that it is not subject to California's usury and lending laws because it is not making loans to consumers and/or small businesses but is instead making an "investment" into the consumers and/or small businesses income earning endeavors. Notwithstanding Defendant's mischaracterization of the transactions, the following factors, among others, establish that these "investment" arrangements are in fact loans:
  - a. Defendant requires the consumer and/or small business to undergo a prequalification creditworthiness background examination. Defendant connects and interfaces with the accounts used to run the consumer and/or small business income earning endeavor (e.g. Airbnb, Shopify, Facebook, Uber, Harbortouch, etc.) to analyze the health of the consumer's and/or small businesses income earning endeavors.
  - b. Defendant then analyzes the dollar volume of the consumer and/or small
    businesses previous earned monthly income to determine how much it will
    advance and what the consumer and/or small business will be required to pay

out of its future earnings in order to be paid the full amount within approximately twelve (12) months.

- c. The consumer and/or small business is also required to submit EIN's, Social Security Numbers, banking information, and Driver's License information so Defendant can assess the creditworthiness of consumer's and/or small businesses.
- d. Defendant allows for more than one "investment" to be made after an initial repayment of at least fifty (50%) of the prior loan. Thus, at any given time, a consumer and/or small business may have more than one loan in repayment.
- e. Defendant obtains a security interest in the consumer's and/or small businesses' present and after-acquired tangible and intangible personal property, including but not limited to, future receivables.
- 29) In an effort to evade California's usury, lending, and unfair competition laws,
  Defendant has included in its standard-form Agreements unfair waivers of rights by consumers
  and small businesses, including, at various times, in contravention of fundamental policies of the
  law of California that seek to immunize Defendant from liability for usury and lending law
  violations solely to the benefit of Defendant.
- 30) The Agreements at issue in this case are contracts of adhesion. Defendant, which drafted the contracts, exercises unequal bargaining power over the Plaintiff and other consumers and small businesses.
- 31) The Agreement waivers are unfair, unconscionable, and otherwise unenforceable.
  These provisions are oppressive, and otherwise unenforceable. The provisions are oppressive and

unreasonably seek to favor Defendant, facilitate Defendant's violations of the laws of California, and violate California's fundamental public policy. They are for these reasons unenforceable.

#### STATEMENT OF FACTS SPECIFICALLY RELATING TO PLAINTIFF

- 32) On or about August 20, 2018, Defendant solicited via a "cold call" e-mail solicitation an interest in providing an "investment" in Plaintiff's business. The solicitation came without any prospectus of the "investment" product.
- 33) On or about August 21, 2018, Plaintiff and Defendant engaged in a telephone call whereby Plaintiff was actively solicited by Defendant to accept an "investment" into Plaintiff's business.
- 34) Defendant requested access to Plaintiff's Shopify account and other banking records, as well as, business documents, EIN's and Social Security numbers.
- 35) Defendant then stated to Plaintiff that the "investment" was actually a fixed fee cash advance and the payment options and amount varied depending on the revenue from the Plaintiff's Shopify Platform.
  - 36) Defendant stated that it was in partnership with Shopify.

#### FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Violations of California Financial Code §22000, et. seq.)

- 37) Plaintiff re-alleges paragraph(s) 1-36 of the Complaint and incorporates by reference the allegation(s) herein.
- 38) The California Finance Lenders Law (Ca. Fin. Code §22000-22780, et. seq.) shall be liberally construed and applied to promote its underlying purposes and policies, which are:

- a. "To protect borrowers against unfair practices by some lenders, having due regard for the interests of legitimate and scrupulous lenders" Ca. Fin. Code §22001(4); and
- b. "To permit and encourage the development of fair and economically sound lending practices." Ca. Fin. Code §22001(5).
- 39) Upon information and belief, Defendant is a "finance lender" as defined by Ca. Fin. Code §22009.
- 40) By illegally operating outside the confines of the California Finance Lenders Law,

  Defendant has engaged and continues to engage in the following harmful and illegal acts, among others:
  - Failing to obtain a license from the California Department of Business
     Oversight authorizing it to conduct its lending business in the State;
  - b. Failing to post in its place of business a license from the California Department of Business Oversight;
  - Failing to maintain only one place of business under a duplicate or original
     license issued pursuant to Ca. Fin. Code §22101 or §22102;
  - d. Failing to file with the California Department of Business Oversight, and provide for public inspection, information concerning Defendant's business within the State;
  - e. Advertising, publishing, and broadcasting false, misleading, incomplete, and deceptive information concerning the interest rates, terms, and conditions of its

Exhibit A - Page 30

- d. Advertising, publishing, and broadcasting false, misleading, incomplete, and deceptive information concerning the interest rates, terms, and conditions of its loans;
- e. Violation of state and federal banking laws for engaging in a "commercial banking business" as defined in Section 105.2 of the California Financial Code by issuing non-exempt loans to consumers, small businesses, and other merchants in California without being authorized to do so by the California Department of Financial Institutions or any other state of federal agency.
- f. Violation of California's usury laws under Section 1 of Article XV of the California Constitution, for charging interest on money loans that exceeds California's legal interest limits.
- 47) Upon information and belief, Plaintiff's harm and damage caused by Defendant's conduct outweighs any benefits that the conduct may have given to Defendant.
- By reason of Defendant's deceptive, unfair, and other wrongful conduct as herein alleged, said Defendant has violated and continues to violate California Business and Professions Code §17200, et. seq. by consummating an unlawful, unfair, and fraudulent business practice, designed to deprive Plaintiff of its property and monies. Plaintiff asks the Court for leave to amend this Complaint when facts pertinent to the dealings to this cause of action are further known.
- 49) By reason of the foregoing, Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer damages in a sum which is, as yet unascertained. Plaintiff will ask leave of court to amend this Complaint when the true nature and extent of the damages have been ascertained.

#### THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

(Violation(s) of the California Consumers Legal Remedies Act ("CLRA") Cal. Civ. Code §1750-1784, et. seq.)

- 50) Plaintiff re-alleges paragraph(s) 1-49 of the Complaint and incorporates by reference the allegation(s) herein.
- 51) The CLRA provides protection for California consumers against unfair, deceptive and unlawful practices, and unconscionable commercial practices in connection with the solicitation or sale of any goods or services. Further to Cal. Civ. Code §1760, "This title should be liberally construed and applied to promote its underlying purposes, which are to protect consumers against unfair and deceptive business practices and to provide efficient and economic procedures to secure such protection."
- 52) Plaintiff CLRA Subclass is a consumer as defined by Cal. Civ. Code §1761(d). The "investments" solicited and sold by Defendant are "goods" and "services" as defined by Cal. Civ. Code §1761(a) and (b).
- 53) Defendant's ongoing solicitation, sale, and imposition of other deceptive transactions relating to "investments" into Plaintiff's small business violates the following subsections of Cal. Civ. Code §1770 in these respects:
  - Defendant's acts and practices constitute misrepresenting the affiliation,
     connection, or association with, or certification by, another;
  - b. Defendant's acts and practices constitute misrepresenting that the goods or
     services have sponsorship, approval, characteristics, ingredients, uses, benefits,
     or quantities that they do not have or that a person has sponsorship, approval,
     status, affiliation, or connection that he or she does not have;

- c. Defendant's acts and practices constitute misrepresenting that a transaction confers or involves rights, remedies, or obligations that it does not have or involve, or that are prohibited by law;
- d. Defendant's acts and practices constitute misrepresenting that the subject of a transaction has been supplied in accordance with a previous representation when it has not; and
- e. Defendant's acts and practices constitute inserting an unconscionable provision in the contract.
- 54) By reason of the foregoing, Plaintiff has been irreparably harmed entitling it to injunctive relief. .
- 55) Pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code §1782(d), an action may be commenced without compliance to Cal. Civ. Code §1782(a).

#### FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

#### (Violation(s) of the California Usury Laws)

- 56) Plaintiff re-alleges paragraph(s) 1-55 of the Complaint and incorporates by reference the allegation(s) herein.
- 57) Clear Finance Technology Corp. has devised and engaged in a scheme pursuant to which it solicits and issues usurious loans to persons or entities owning or operating small businesses in California under their merchant advance / "investment" business. Clear Finance Technology Corp. has disguised and masked the true nature of such transactions by mischaracterizing them as "investments" and purchases of receivables in an attempt to evade California's usury laws.

- Finance Technology Corp. and each of the Class members has executed or been solicited a personal guarantee guarantying the full amount of the debt allegedly owed to Clear Finance Technology Corp.
- 59) Notwithstanding the misrepresentations made by Clear Finance Technology Corp., the cash advances / "investments" are, in reality, loans.
- 60) Clear Finance Technology Corp. is not a lender exempt from the prohibitions os usury laws in California, nor are the transactions that are subject to this action exempt under California's usury laws.
- 61) The interest charged by Clear Finance Technology Corp. in connection with these loan transactions is in excess of the statutory maximum rates of interest permitted in California for these transactions, and Plaintiff's and Class members' obligation to repay such interest, is in fact and in practice, unconditional.
- 62) Clear Finance Technology Corp. willfully intended to enter into each of the described usurious transactions with the Class members.
- 63) The parties to the cash advance arrangements intended and considered the transactions to be loans.
- As a direct and proximate result of the foregoing, members of the Class have been damaged in an amount t be proven at trial.
- 65) Class members seek and are entitled to damages in the amount of interest paid during two years immediately prior to the filing of this action and for a judgment cancelling all allegedly future payment obligations that will become due for the remainder of the term of the

contracts.

- 66) In addition, Class members are entitled to damages equal to three times the interest paid by the members of the Class in the twelve months preceding the filing of this action and continuing to the time of judgment therein.
- 67) Clear Finance Technology Corp. intended to injure Class members by its willful acts and Clear Finance Technology Corp. conduct was oppressive, fraudulent and malicious, entitling the Class members an award of punitive damages.
- Further, Class members seek and are entitled to an order of restitution from Clear Finance Technology Corp. to all Class members of all monies Clear Finance Technology Corp. wrongfully obtained, as well as an order enjoining Clear Finance Technology Corp. wrongful acts and practices, including, but not limited to, an order requiring Clear Finance Technology Corp. to give notice to the Class and Sub-Classes of the true nature of the transactions, and to disgorge their ill-gotten gains, as well as such other relief that the Court deems just and proper.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment as follows:

# ON THE FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

- 1. An injunction preventing the Defendant from unlawfully soliciting loans to citizens within the State of California;
  - 2. For an order certifying that the action may be maintained as a class action;
  - 3. Civil penalties not to exceed \$10,000.00 per occurrence;
  - 4. For costs of suit incurred herein;
  - 5. For reasonable attorney's fees; and
  - 6. For any such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

1 ON THE SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION 2 3 1. Injuctive relief as may be necessary to prevent the use or employment by the 4 Defendant of any practice which constitutes unfair competition; 5 2. For an order certifying that the action may be maintained as a class action; 6 Civil penalties per occurrence as the Court deems proper; 7 3. 8 4. Restitution pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code §17200; 9 5. Prejudgment interest at the legal rate: 10 11 Attorneys fees of the Plaintiff under Cal. Code of Civ. Pro. §1021.5; 6. 12 7. Costs of this action; and 13 8. Any other and further relief that the court considers proper. 14 ON THE THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION 15 1. Injunctive relief as may be necessary to prevent the use or employment by the 16 Defendant of any practice which constitutes unfair competition, false advertising, or false 17 18 affiliation; 19 For an order certifying that the action may be maintained as a class action; 2. 20 Civil penalties per occurrence as the Court deems proper; 3. 21 22 4. Restitution under the statutory provisions of the CLRA; 23 5. Prejudgment interest at the legal rate; 24 6. Attorney's fees; 25 7. 26 Costs of this action; and 27 8. Any other and further relief that the court considers proper. 28

# ON THE FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

- Injunctive relief as may be necessary to prevent the use or employment by the
   Defendant of any practice which constitutes a violation under California law;
  - 2. For an order certifying that the action may be maintained as a class action;
- For an accounting and the imposition of an asset freeze and constructive trust over all interest and other monies that Defendant obtained through its illegal, unfair, and deceptive practices;
- 4. For equitable relief in the form of an order requiring Defendant to disgorge all interest and profits earned as a result of engaging in its illegal and unfair scheme(s) as alleged above;
  - 5. For equitable relief in the form of an order voiding Defendant's outstanding loans;
  - 6. Attorney's fees;
  - 7. Costs of this action; and
  - 8. Any other and further relief that the court considers proper.

DATED: November 8, 2018

The Law Office of Shane M. Popp

Shane M. Popp. Esq.

Attorney for Plaintin(s), Stillwater Design, Inc.

26

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

27

28

# AFFIDAVIT PURSUANT TO CIVIL CODE SECTION 1780(d)

I, Shane M. Popp, acting as the attorney of record for the Plaintiff Stillwater Design, Inc. in the above, commenced action, act now with agency and authority to execute this Affidavit on its behalf. This action is brought in the County of Los Angeles, in which the Plaintiff has a principle place of business, and in which Defendant, Clear Technology Finance Corp. and DOES 1-25 is doing business or otherwise operating its business, and in which the underlying transaction relating to the actions under C.L.R.A. occurred. I hereby declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing are true and correct.

Executed this 8th day of November 2018

Shane M. Popp

ORIGINAL COMPLAINT

Exhibit A - Page 39

# Case 2:19-cv-00251 Document 1-1 Filed 01/11/19 Page 32 of 55 Page ID #:111

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES	Reserved for Clerk's File Stamp
COURTHOUSE ADDRESS: Spring Street Courthouse 312 North Spring Street, Los Angeles, CA 90012	FILED Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles
NOTICE OF CASE ASSIGNMENT UNLIMITED CIVIL CASE	11/08/2018 Sharri R. Carter, Executive Officer / Clerk of Court  By: Steve Drew Deputy
Your case is assigned for all purposes to the judicial officer indicated below.	CASE NUMBER: 18STCV04227

# THIS FORM IS TO BE SERVED WITH THE SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT

	ASSIGNED JUDGE	DEPT	ROOM	ASSIGNED JUDGE	DEPT	ROOM
~	Ann I. Jones	11	1.6			

Given to the Plaintiff/Cross-Complainant/Attorney of Record on 11/08/2018

By Steve Drew, Deputy Clerk

LACIV 190 (Rev 6/18) LASC Approved 05/06

# Case 2:19-cv-00251 Document 1-1 Filed 01/11/19 Page 33 of 55 Page ID #:112

### INSTRUCTIONS FOR HANDLING UNLIMITED CIVIL CASES

The following critical provisions of the California Rules of Court, Title 3, Division 7, as applicable in the Superior Court, are summarized for your assistance.

### APPLICATION

The Division 7 Rules were effective January 1, 2007. They apply to all general civil cases.

### PRIORITY OVER OTHER RULES

The Division 7 Rules shall have priority over all other Local Rules to the extent the others are inconsistent.

## CHALLENGE TO ASSIGNED JUDGE

A challenge under Code of Civil Procedure Section 170.6 must be made within 15 days after notice of assignment for all purposes to a judge, or if a party has not yet appeared, within 15 days of the first appearance.

### TIME STANDARDS

Cases assigned to the Independent Calendaring Courts will be subject to processing under the following time standards:

## **COMPLAINTS**

All complaints shall be served within 60 days of filing and proof of service shall be filed within 90 days.

### CROSS-COMPLAINTS

Without leave of court first being obtained, no cross-complaint may be filed by any party after their answer is filed. Cross-complaints shall be served within 30 days of the filing date and a proof of service filed within 60 days of the filing date.

## STATUS CONFERENCE

A status conference will be scheduled by the assigned Independent Calendar Judge no later than 270 days after the filing of the complaint. Counsel must be fully prepared to discuss the following issues: alternative dispute resolution, bifurcation, settlement, trial date, and expert witnesses.

## FINAL STATUS CONFERENCE

The Court will require the parties to attend a final status conference not more than 10 days before the scheduled trial date. All parties shall have motions in limine, bifurcation motions, statements of major evidentiary issues, dispositive motions, requested form jury instructions, special jury instructions, and special jury verdicts timely filed and served prior to the conference. These matters may be heard and resolved at this conference. At least five days before this conference, counsel must also have exchanged lists of exhibits and witnesses, and have submitted to the court a brief statement of the case to be read to the jury panel as required by Chapter Three of the Los Angeles Superior Court Rules.

### **SANCTIONS**

The court will impose appropriate sanctions for the failure or refusal to comply with Chapter Three Rules, orders made by the Court, and time standards or deadlines established by the Court or by the Chapter Three Rules. Such sanctions may be on a party, or if appropriate, on counsel for a party.

This is not a complete delineation of the Division 7 or Chapter Three Rules, and adherence only to the above provisions is therefore not a guarantee against the imposition of sanctions under Trial Court Delay Reduction. Careful reading and compliance with the actual Chapter Rules is imperative.

#### **Class Actions**

Pursuant to Local Rule 2.3, all class actions shall be filed at the Stanley Mosk Courthouse and are randomly assigned to a complex judge at the designated complex courthouse. If the case is found not to be a class action it will be returned to an Independent Calendar Courtroom for all purposes.

### \*Provisionally Complex Cases

Cases filed as provisionally complex are initially assigned to the Supervising Judge of complex litigation for determination of complex status. If the case is deemed to be complex within the meaning of California Rules of Court 3.400 et seq., it will be randomly assigned to a complex judge at the designated complex courthouse. If the case is found not to be complex, it will be returned to an Independent Calendar Courtroom for all purposes.



Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles



Los Angeles County Bar Association Litigation Section

Los Angeles County Bar Association Labor and Employment Law Section





Southern California Defense Counsel





California Employment Lawyers Association

# **VOLUNTARY EFFICIENT LITIGATION STIPULATIONS**

The Early Organizational Meeting Stipulation, Discovery Resolution Stipulation, and Motions in Limine Stipulation are voluntary stipulations entered into by the parties. The parties may enter into one, two, or all three of the stipulations; however, they may not alter the stipulations as written, because the Court wants to ensure uniformity of application. These stipulations are meant to encourage cooperation between the parties and to assist in resolving issues in a manner that promotes economic case resolution and judicial efficiency.

The following organizations endorse the goal of promoting efficiency in litigation and ask that counsel consider using these stipulations as a voluntary way to promote communications and procedures among counsel and with the court to fairly resolve issues in their cases.

- **♦**Los Angeles County Bar Association Litigation Section**♦** 
  - ◆ Los Angeles County Bar Association Labor and Employment Law Section ◆
  - **♦**Consumer Attorneys Association of Los Angeles **♦** 
    - ◆Southern California Defense Counsel◆
    - ◆Association of Business Trial Lawyers◆
    - ◆California Employment Lawyers Association◆

NAME AND ADDRESS OF ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY:	STATE BAR NUMBER	Reserved for Clerk's File Stamp
E. Carriero America		
TELEPHONE NO.: FAX NO. (O E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional): ATTORNEY FOR (Name):	ptional):	
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COU	NTY OF LOS ANGELES	
COURTHOUSE ADDRESS:		jū.
PLAINTIFF:		
DEFENDANT:		
STIPULATION - EARLY ORGANIZAT	TIONAL MEETING	CASE NUMBER:

This stipulation is intended to encourage cooperation among the parties at an early stage in the litigation and to assist the parties in efficient case resolution.

# The parties agree that:

- The parties commit to conduct an initial conference (in-person or via teleconference or via videoconference) within 15 days from the date this stipulation is signed, to discuss and consider whether there can be agreement on the following:
  - a. Are motions to challenge the pleadings necessary? If the issue can be resolved by amendment as of right, or if the Court would allow leave to amend, could an amended complaint resolve most or all of the issues a demurrer might otherwise raise? If so, the parties agree to work through pleading issues so that a demurrer need only raise issues they cannot resolve. Is the issue that the defendant seeks to raise amenable to resolution on demurrer, or would some other type of motion be preferable? Could a voluntary targeted exchange of documents or information by any party cure an uncertainty in the pleadings?
  - b. Initial mutual exchanges of documents at the "core" of the litigation. (For example, in an employment case, the employment records, personnel file and documents relating to the conduct in question could be considered "core." In a personal injury case, an incident or police report, medical records, and repair or maintenance records could be considered "core.");
  - c. Exchange of names and contact information of witnesses;
  - d. Any insurance agreement that may be available to satisfy part or all of a judgment, or to indemnify or reimburse for payments made to satisfy a judgment;
  - e. Exchange of any other information that might be helpful to facilitate understanding, handling, or resolution of the case in a manner that preserves objections or privileges by agreement;
  - f. Controlling issues of law that, if resolved early, will promote efficiency and economy in other phases of the case. Also, when and how such issues can be presented to the Court;
  - g. Whether or when the case should be scheduled with a settlement officer, what discovery or court ruling on legal issues is reasonably required to make settlement discussions meaningful, and whether the parties wish to use a sitting judge or a private mediator or other options as

SHORT TITLE		CASE NUMBER:
	discussed in the "Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) complaint;	Information Package" served with the
h.	Computation of damages, including documents, not privulent such computation is based;	ileged or protected from disclosure, on
i.	Whether the case is suitable for the Expedited Jury www.lacourt.org under "Civil" and then under "General	
2.	The time for a defending party to respond to a complato for the complaint, and	(INSERT DATE) for the cross-
	complaint, which is comprised of the 30 days to respon- and the 30 days permitted by Code of Civil Procedure been found by the Civil Supervising Judge due to the of this Stipulation. A copy of the General Order can be click on "General Information", then click on "Voluntary"	e section 1054(a), good cause having case management benefits provided by found at <a href="www.lacourt.org">www.lacourt.org</a> under "Civil",
3.	The parties will prepare a joint report titled "Joint Status and Early Organizational Meeting Stipulation, and if d results of their meet and confer and advising the Couefficient conduct or resolution of the case. The parties the Case Management Conference statement, and statement is due.	esired, a proposed order summarizing it of any way it may assist the parties' shall attach the Joint Status Report to
4.	References to "days" mean calendar days, unless other any act pursuant to this stipulation falls on a Saturday, for performing that act shall be extended to the next Co	Sunday or Court holiday, then the time
The ic	ollowing parties stipulate:	
Date:	· ·	
Date:	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	(ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF)
Date:	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	(ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT)
Date:	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	(ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT)
Date:	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	(ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT)
Date:	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	ATTORNEY FOR)
Date:	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	ATTORNEY FOR)
	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	ATTORNEY FOR)

LACIV 229 (Rev 02/15) LASC Approved 04/11

NAME AND ADDRESS OF ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY:	STATE BAR NUMBER	Reserved for Clerk's File Stamp	
E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional): ATTORNEY FOR (Name):  SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, CO	(Optional):		
COURTHOUSE ADDRESS:  PLAINTIFF:  DEFENDANT:			
STIPULATION - DISCOVERY	RESOLUTION	CASE NUMBER:	

This stipulation is intended to provide a fast and informal resolution of discovery issues through limited paperwork and an informal conference with the Court to aid in the resolution of the issues.

# The parties agree that:

- Prior to the discovery cut-off in this action, no discovery motion shall be filed or heard unless the moving party first makes a written request for an Informal Discovery Conference pursuant to the terms of this stipulation.
- At the Informal Discovery Conference the Court will consider the dispute presented by parties
  and determine whether it can be resolved informally. Nothing set forth herein will preclude a
  party from making a record at the conclusion of an Informal Discovery Conference, either
  orally or in writing.
- Following a reasonable and good faith attempt at an informal resolution of each issue to be presented, a party may request an Informal Discovery Conference pursuant to the following procedures:
  - a. The party requesting the Informal Discovery Conference will:
    - File a Request for Informal Discovery Conference with the clerk's office on the approved form (copy attached) and deliver a courtesy, conformed copy to the assigned department;
    - ii. Include a brief summary of the dispute and specify the relief requested; and
    - iii. Serve the opposing party pursuant to any authorized or agreed method of service that ensures that the opposing party receives the Request for Informal Discovery Conference no later than the next court day following the filing.
  - b. Any Answer to a Request for Informal Discovery Conference must:
    - Also be filed on the approved form (copy attached);
    - ii. Include a brief summary of why the requested relief should be denied;

SHORT TITLE:	CASE NUMBER:

- iii. Be filed within two (2) court days of receipt of the Request; and
- iv. Be served on the opposing party pursuant to any authorized or agreed upon method of service that ensures that the opposing party receives the Answer no later than the next court day following the filing.
- No other pleadings, including but not limited to exhibits, declarations, or attachments, will be accepted.
- d. If the Court has not granted or denied the Request for Informal Discovery Conference within ten (10) days following the filing of the Request, then it shall be deemed to have been denied. If the Court acts on the Request, the parties will be notified whether the Request for Informal Discovery Conference has been granted or denied and, if granted, the date and time of the Informal Discovery Conference, which must be within twenty (20) days of the filing of the Request for Informal Discovery Conference.
- e. If the conference is not held within twenty (20) days of the filing of the Request for Informal Discovery Conference, unless extended by agreement of the parties and the Court, then the Request for the Informal Discovery Conference shall be deemed to have been denied at that time.
- 4. If (a) the Court has denied a conference or (b) one of the time deadlines above has expired without the Court having acted or (c) the Informal Discovery Conference is concluded without resolving the dispute, then a party may file a discovery motion to address unresolved issues.
- 5. The parties hereby further agree that the time for making a motion to compel or other discovery motion is tolled from the date of filing of the Request for Informal Discovery Conference until (a) the request is denied or deemed denied or (b) twenty (20) days after the filing of the Request for Informal Discovery Conference, whichever is earlier, unless extended by Order of the Court.
  - It is the understanding and intent of the parties that this stipulation shall, for each discovery dispute to which it applies, constitute a writing memorializing a "specific later date to which the propounding [or demanding or requesting] party and the responding party have agreed in writing," within the meaning of Code Civil Procedure sections 2030.300(c), 2031.320(c), and 2033.290(c).
- 6. Nothing herein will preclude any party from applying ex parte for appropriate relief, including an order shortening time for a motion to be heard concerning discovery.
- Any party may terminate this stipulation by giving twenty-one (21) days notice of intent to terminate the stipulation.
- 8. References to "days" mean calendar days, unless otherwise noted. If the date for performing any act pursuant to this stipulation falls on a Saturday, Sunday or Court holiday, then the time for performing that act shall be extended to the next Court day.

# Case 2:19-cv-00251 Document 1-1 Filed 01/11/19 Page 39 of 55 Page ID #:118

SHORT TITLE:		CASE NUMBER:
The follo	wing parties stipulate:	
Date:		>
Date:	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	(ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF)
Date:	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	(ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT)
Date:	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	(ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT)
Date:	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	(ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT)
Date:	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	(ATTORNEY FOR
Date:	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	(ATTORNEY FOR
	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	(ATTORNEY FOR

	A CONTRACT OF THE CONTRACT OF		
NAME AND A	DDRESS OF ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY:	STATE BAR NUMBER	Reserved for Clerk's File Stamp
	TELEPHONE NO.: FAX NO. (Op DDRESS (Optional): DRNEY FOR (Name):	tional):	
SUPE	RIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COU	NTY OF LOS ANGELES	
COURTHO	DUSE ADDRESS:		
PLAINTIF	F.		
DEFENDA	NT:		
	INFORMAL DISCOVERY CON (pursuant to the Discovery Resolution Stipula		CASE NUMBER:
1.	This document relates to:		
	Request for Informal Discovery Answer to Request for Informal		
2.	Deadline for Court to decide on Request: the Request).	(insert da	ate 10 calendar days following filing of
3.	Deadline for Court to hold Informal Discovidays following filing of the Request).	very Conference:	(insert date 20 calendar
5.74	For a Request for Informal Discover discovery dispute, including the facts Request for Informal Discovery Confethe requested discovery, including the	and legal arguments at rence, briefly describe when the second in the se	issue. For an Answer to ny the Court should deny
50			
			E de la companya de l
	2		**************************************
			and the second s

LACIV 094 (new) LASC Approved 04/11 For Optional Use

(pursuant to the Discovery Resolution Stipulation of the parties)

NAME AND ADDRESS OF ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY:	STATE BAR NUMBER	Reserved for Clerk's File Stamp
-		
E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional): ATTORNEY FOR (Name):	NO. (Optional):	
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COURTHOUSE ADDRESS:	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES	
PLAINTIFF:		
DEFENDANT:		
STIPULATION AND ORDER -	MOTIONS IN LIMINE	CASE NUMBER:

This stipulation is intended to provide fast and informal resolution of evidentiary issues through diligent efforts to define and discuss such issues and limit paperwork.

# The parties agree that:

- At least \_\_\_\_ days before the final status conference, each party will provide all other parties with a list containing a one paragraph explanation of each proposed motion in limine. Each one paragraph explanation must identify the substance of a single proposed motion in limine and the grounds for the proposed motion.
- 2. The parties thereafter will meet and confer, either in person or via teleconference or videoconference, concerning all proposed motions in limine. In that meet and confer, the parties will determine:
  - a. Whether the parties can stipulate to any of the proposed motions. If the parties so stipulate, they may file a stipulation and proposed order with the Court.
  - b. Whether any of the proposed motions can be briefed and submitted by means of a short joint statement of issues. For each motion which can be addressed by a short joint statement of issues, a short joint statement of issues must be filed with the Court 10 days prior to the final status conference. Each side's portion of the short joint statement of issues may not exceed three pages. The parties will meet and confer to agree on a date and manner for exchanging the parties' respective portions of the short joint statement of issues and the process for filing the short joint statement of issues.
- All proposed motions in limine that are not either the subject of a stipulation or briefed via a short joint statement of issues will be briefed and filed in accordance with the California Rules of Court and the Los Angeles Superior Court Rules.

# Case 2:19-cv-00251 Document 1-1 Filed 01/11/19 Page 42 of 55 Page ID #:121

SHORT TITLE:				CASE NUMBER:
				4 = 1
The follo	owing parties stipulate:			
THE TOIL	owning parties stipulate.			
Date:				
1 1			_	
Date:	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)			(ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF)
Date.		,		
	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)		-	(ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT)
Date:		Aa o .	a	
	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)		_	(ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT)
Date:	(THE OKPANIC)			(ATTOMACT FOR DET ENDAMY)
	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)			(ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT)
Date:				
			-	
Date:	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)			(ATTORNEY FOR)
Date.				
	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)		-	(ATTORNEY FOR)
Date:		N 2		
	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)		_	(ATTORNEY FOR)
	(TITE OKT KINT NAME)			(ATTORNET FOR
THE CO	URT SO ORDERS.			
Date:		and the first	_	The state of the s
				JUDICIAL OFFICER

# Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles



# ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION (ADR) INFORMATION PACKET

The person who files a civil lawsuit (plaintiff) must include the ADR information Packet with the complaint when serving the defendant. Cross-complainants must serve the ADR Information Packet on any new parties named to the action together with the cross-complaint.

There are a number of ways to resolve civil disputes without having to sue someone. These alternatives to a lawsuit are known as alternative dispute resolution (ADR).

In ADR, trained, impartial persons decide disputes or help parties decide disputes themselves. These persons are called neutrals. For example, in mediations, the neutral is the mediator. Neutrals normally are chosen by the disputing parties or by the court. Neutrals can help resolve disputes without having to go to court.

## Advantages of ADR

- · Often faster than going to trial
- Often less expensive, saving the litigants court costs, attorney's fees and expert fees.
- May permit more participation, allowing parties to have more control over the outcome.
- · Allows for flexibility in choice of ADR processes and resolution of the dispute.
- Fosters cooperation by allowing parties to work together with the neutral to resolve the dispute and mutually agree to remedy.
- There are fewer, if any, court appearances. Because ADR can be faster and save money, it can reduce stress.

## Disadvantages of ADR - ADR may not be suitable for every dispute.

- If ADR is binding, the parties normally give up most court protections, including a decision by a judge or
  jury under formal rules of evidence and procedure, and review for legal error by an appellate court.
- ADR may not be effective if it takes place before the parties have sufficient information to resolve the dispute.
- The neutral may charge a fee for his or her services.
- If the dispute is not resolved through ADR, the parties may then have to face the usual and traditional
  costs of trial, such as attorney's fees and expert fees.

# The Most Common Types of ADR

#### Mediation

In mediation, a neutral (the mediator) assists the parties in reaching a mutually acceptable resolution of their dispute. Unlike lawsuits or some other types of ADR, the parties, rather than the mediator, decide how the dispute is to be resolved.

- Mediation is particularly effective when the parties have a continuing relationship, like neighbors or business people. Mediation is also very effective where personal feelings are getting in the way of a resolution. This is because mediation normally gives the parties a chance to express their feelings and find out how the other sees things.
- Mediation may not be effective when one party is unwilling to cooperate or compromise or when one of the parties has a significant advantage in power over the other. Therefore, it may not be a good choice if the parties have a history of abuse or victimization.

## Arbitration

In arbitration, a neutral person called an "arbitrator" hears arguments and evidence from each side and then decides the outcome of the dispute. Arbitration is typically less formal than a trial, and the rules of evidence may be relaxed. Arbitration may be either "binding" or "non-binding." Binding arbitration means the parties waive their right to a trial and agree to accept the arbitrator's decision as final. Non-binding arbitration means that the parties are free to request a trial if they reject the arbitrator's decision.

Arbitration is best for cases where the parties want another person to decide the outcome of their dispute for them but would like to avoid the formality, time, and expense of a trial. It may also be appropriate for complex matters where the parties want a decision-maker who has training or experience in the subject matter of the dispute.

# Mandatory Settlement Conference (MSC)

Settlement Conferences are appropriate in any case where settlement is an option. Mandatory Settlement Conferences are ordered by the Court and are often held near the date a case is set for trial. The parties and their attorneys meet with a judge who devotes his or her time exclusively to preside over the MSC. The judge does not make a decision in the case but assists the parties in evaluating the strengths and weaknesses of the case and in negotiating a settlement.

The Los Angeles Superior Court Mandatory Settlement Conference (MSC) program is free of charge and staffed by experienced sitting civil judges who devote their time exclusively to presiding over MSCs. The judges participating in the judicial MSC program and their locations are identified in the List of Settlement Officers found on the Los Angeles Superior Court website at <a href="http://www.lacourt.org/">http://www.lacourt.org/</a>. This program is available in general jurisdiction cases with represented parties from independent calendar (IC) and Central Civil West (CCW) courtrooms. In addition, on an ad hoc basis, personal injury cases may be referred to the program on the eve of trial by the personal injury master calendar courts in the Stanley Mosk Courthouse or the asbestos calendar court in CCW.

In order to access the Los Angeles Superior Court MSC Program the judge in the IC courtroom, the CCW Courtroom or the personal injury master calendar courtroom must refer the parties to the program. Further, all parties must complete the information requested in the Settlement Conference Intake Form and email the completed form to mscdept18@lacourt.org.

# Additional Information

To locate a dispute resolution program or neutral in your community:

- Contact the California Department of Consumer Affairs (<u>www.dca.ca.gov</u>) Consumer Information Center toll free at 800-952-5210, or;
- Contact the local bar association (http://www.lacba.org/) or;
- · Look in a telephone directory or search online for "mediators; or "arbitrators."

There may be a charge for services provided by private arbitrators and mediators.

A list of approved State Bar Approved Mandatory Fee Arbitration programs is available at http://calbar.ca.gov/Attorneys/MemberServices/FeeArbitration/ApprovedPrograms.aspx#19

To request information about, or assistance with, dispute resolution, call the number listed below. Or you may call a Contract Provider agency directly. A list of current Contract Provider agencies in Los Angeles County is available at the link below.

http://css.lacounty.gov/programs/dispute-resolution-program-drp/

County of Los Angeles Dispute Resolution Program 3175 West 6th Street, Room 406 Los Angeles, CA 90020-1798 TEL: (213) 738-2621 FAX: (213) 386-3995

# Case 2:19-cv-00251 Document 1-1 Filed 01/11/19 Page 47 of 55 Page ID #:126

# SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES Civil Division

Central District, Spring Street Courthouse, Department 11

18STCV04227 STILLWATER DESIGN, INC. vs CLEAR FINANCE TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION November 30, 2018 10:18 AM

Judge: Honorable Ann I. Jones

Judicial Assistant: D. Wortham

CSR: None
ERM: None

Courtroom Assistant: C. Concepcion Deputy Sheriff: None

## APPEARANCES:

For Plaintiff(s): No Appearances
For Defendant(s): No Appearances

### **NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS:** Court Order

By this order, the Court determines this case to be Complex according to Rule 3.400 of the California Rules of Court. The Clerk's Office has randomly assigned this case to this department for all purposes.

By this order, the Court stays the case, except for service of the Summons and Complaint. The stay continues at least until the Initial Status Conference. Initial Status Conference is set for 02/26/19 at 09:00 AM in this department. At least 10 days prior to the Initial Status Conference, counsel for all parties must discuss the issues set forth in the Initial Status Conference Order issued this date. The Initial Status Conference Order is to help the Court and the parties manage this complex case by developing an orderly schedule for briefing, discovery, and court hearings. The parties are informally encouraged to exchange documents and information as may be useful for case evaluation.

Responsive pleadings shall not be filed until further Order of the Court. Parties must file a Notice of Appearance in lieu of an Answer or other responsive pleading. The filing of a Notice of Appearance shall not constitute a waiver of any substantive or procedural challenge to the Complaint. Nothing in this order stays the time for filing an Affidavit of Prejudice pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure Section 170.6.

Counsel are directed to access the following link for information on procedures in the Complex litigation Program courtrooms: http://www.lacourt.org/division/civil/CI0037.aspx

According to Government Code section 70616 subdivisions (a) and (b), each party shall pay a fee of \$1,000.00 to the Los Angeles Superior Court within 10 calendar days from this date.

The plaintiff must serve a copy of this minute order and the attached Initial Status Conference

# SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES Civil Division

Central District, Spring Street Courthouse, Department 11

18STCV04227 STILLWATER DESIGN, INC. vs CLEAR FINANCE TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION November 30, 2018 10:18 AM

Judge: Honorable Ann I. Jones CSR: None Judicial Assistant: D. Wortham ERM: None

Courtroom Assistant: C. Concepcion Deputy Sheriff: None

Order on all parties forthwith and file a Proof of Service in this department within 7 days of service.

Certificate of Mailing is attached.

Minute Order Page 2 of 2

# Case 2:19-cv-00251 Document 1-1 Filed 01/11/19 Page 49 of 55 Page ID #:128

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES	Reserved for Clerk's File Stamp
COURTHOUSE ADDRESS: Spring Street Courthouse 312 North Spring Street, Los Angeles, CA 90012	FILED Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles 11/30/2018
PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: Stillwater Design, Inc.	Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer / Clerk of Court  By: Dejane Wortham Deputy
DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: Clear Finance Technology Corporation	
CERTIFICATE OF MAILING	CASE NUMBER: 18STCV04227

I, the below-named Executive Officer/Clerk of the above-entitled court, do hereby certify that I am not a party to the cause herein, and that on this date I served the Minute Order, Initial Status Conference Order upon each party or counsel named below by placing the document for collection and mailing so as to cause it to be deposited in the United States mail at the courthouse in Los Angeles, California, one copy of the original filed/entered herein in a separate sealed envelope to each address as shown below with the postage thereon fully prepaid, in accordance with standard court practices.

Shane M Popp 3460 Barry Ave Los Angeles, CA 90066

Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer / Clerk of Court

By: Dejane Wortham

Dated: 11/30/2018 Deputy Clerk

FILED

Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles

NOV 3 0 2018

Sherri R. Carter, Executive OfficeriClerk of Court

By Legar Wortham, Deputy

Dejane Wortham

# SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

STILLWATER DESIGN, INC.,

Plaintiff,

٧.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22 23

25

26

27

28

CLEAR FINANCE TECHNOLOGY CORP.,

Defendant.

Case No. 18STCV04227

INITIAL STATUS CONFERENCE ORDER (COMPLEX LITIGATION PROGRAM)

Case Assigned for All Purposes to Judge Ann I. Jones

Department: SS11

Date: February 26, 2019

Time: 9:00 a.m.

This case has been assigned for all purposes to Judge Ann I. Jones in the Complex Litigation Program. An Initial Status Conference is set for February 26, 2019 at 9:00 a.m. in Department SS11 located in the Spring Street Superior Courthouse at 312 N. Spring Street, Los Angeles, California 90012. Counsel for all parties are ordered to attend.

The court orders counsel to prepare for the Initial Status Conference by identifying and discussing the central legal and factual issues in the case. Counsel for plaintiff is ordered to initiate contact with counsel for defense to begin this process. Counsel then must negotiate and agree, as much as possible, on a case management plan. To this end, counsel must file a Joint Initial Status Conference Class Action Response Statement five court days before the Initial Status Conference. The Joint Response Statement must be filed on line-numbered pleading paper and must specifically answer each

1

Exhibit A - Page 58

21, 22, 23, 24

of the below-numbered questions. Do not use the Judicial Council Form CM-110 (Case Management Statement).

- PARTIES AND COUNSEL: Please list all presently-named class representatives and presently-named defendants, together with all counsel of record, including counsel's contact and email information.
- STATUS OF PLEADINGS: Please indicate whether defendant has filed a Notice of Appearance
  or an Answer to the Complaint, and, if so, indicate the filing date(s).
- 3. POTENTIAL ADDITIONAL PARTIES: Indicate whether any plaintiff presently intends to add additional class representatives, and, if so, the name(s) and date by which these class representatives will be added. Indicate whether any plaintiff presently intends to name additional defendants, and, if so, the name(s) and date by which the defendant(s) will be added. Indicate whether any appearing defendant presently intends to file a cross-complaint and, if so, the names of cross-defendants and the date by which the cross-complaint will be filed.
- 4. IMPROPERLY NAMED DEFENDANT(S): If the complaint names the wrong person or entity, please explain why the named defendant is improperly named and the proposed procedure to correct this error.
- 5. ADEQUACY OF PROPOSED CLASS REPRESENTATIVE(S): If any party believes one or more named plaintiffs might not be an adequate class representative, including reasons of conflict of interest as described in Apple Computer v. The Superior Court of Los Angeles County (2005) 126 Cal.App.4<sup>th</sup> 1253, please explain. No prejudice will attach to these responses.
- 6. ESTIMATED CLASS SIZE: Please discuss and indicate the estimated class size.
- 7. OTHER ACTIONS WITH OVERLAPPING CLASS DEFINITIONS: Please list other cases with overlapping class definitions. Please identify the court, the short caption title, the docket number, and the case status.

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

- 8. POTENTIALLY RELEVANT ARBITRATION AND/OR CLASS ACTION WAIVER
  CLAUSES: Please state whether arbitration is an issue in this case and attach a sample of any relevant clause of this sort. Opposing parties must summarize their views on this issue.
- 9. POTENTIAL EARLY CRUCIAL MOTIONS: Opposing counsel should identify and describe the significant core issues in the case, and then identify efficient ways to resolve those issues, including one or more of the following:
  - Motion to Compel Arbitration,
  - Early motions in limine,
  - Early motions about particular jury instructions and verdict forms,
  - Demurrers,
  - Motions to strike,
  - Motions for judgment on the pleadings, and
  - Motions for summary judgment and summary adjudication.
- 10. CLASS CONTACT INFORMATION: Counsel should discuss whether obtaining class contact information from defendant's records is necessary in this case and, if so, whether the parties consent to an "opt-out" notice process (as approved in *Belaire-West Landscape, Inc. v. Superior Court* (2007) 149 Cal.App.4th 554, 561). Counsel should address timing and procedure, including allocation of cost and the necessity of a third party administrator.
- 11. PROTECTIVE ORDERS: Parties considering an order to protect confidential information from general disclosure should begin with the model protective orders found on the Los Angeles Superior Court Website under "Civil Tools for Litigators."
- 12. DISCOVERY: Please discuss a discovery plan. If the parties cannot agree on a plan, summarize each side's views on discovery. The court generally allows discovery on matters relevant to class certification, which (depending on circumstances) may include factual issues also touching the

merits. The court generally does not permit extensive or expensive discovery relevant only to the merits (for example, detailed damages discovery) at the initial stage unless a persuasive showing establishes early need. If any party seeks discovery from absent class members, please estimate how many, and also state the kind of discovery you propose<sup>1</sup>.

- 13. INSURANCE COVERAGE: Please state if (1) there is insurance for indemnity or reimbursement, and (2) whether there are any insurance coverage issues which might affect settlement.
- **14. ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION:** Please discuss ADR and state each party's position about it. If pertinent, how can the court help identify the correct neutral and prepare the case for a successful settlement negotiation?
- 15. TIMELINE FOR CASE MANAGEMENT: Please recommend dates and times for the following:
  - The next status conference,
  - A schedule for alternative dispute resolution, if it is relevant,
  - A filing deadline for the motion for class certification, and
  - Filing deadlines and descriptions for other anticipated non-discovery motions.
- 16. ELECTRONIC SERVICE OF PAPERS: For efficiency the complex program requires the parties in every new case to use a third-party cloud service. Please agree on one and submit the parties' choice when filing the Joint Initial Status Conference Class Action Response Statement. If there is agreement, please identify the vendor. If parties cannot agree, the court will select the vendor at the Initial Status Conference. Electronic service is not the same as electronic filing. Only traditional methods of filing by physical delivery of original papers or by fax filing are presently acceptable.

# Reminder When Seeking To Dismiss Or To Obtain Settlement Approval:

"A dismissal of an entire class action, or of any party or cause of action in a class action, requires

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See California Rule of Court, Rule 3.768.

court approval. . . . Requests for dismissal must be accompanied by a declaration setting forth the facts on which the party relies. The declaration must clearly state whether consideration, direct or indirect, is being given for the dismissal and must describe the consideration in detail." If the parties have settled the class action, that too will require judicial approval based on a noticed motion (although it may be possible to shorten time by consent for good cause shown).

# Reminder When Seeking Approval of a Settlement:

Plaintiff(s) must address the issue of any fee splitting agreement in their motion for preliminary approval and demonstrate compliance with California Rule of Court 3.769, and the Rules of Professional Conduct 2-200(a) as required by Mark v. Spencer (2008) 166 Cal.App. 4<sup>th</sup> 219.

Pending further order of this Court, and except as otherwise provided in this Initial Status

Conference Order, these proceedings are stayed in their entirety. This stay precludes the filing of any answer, demurrer, motion to strike, or motions challenging the jurisdiction of the Court; however, any defendant may file a Notice of Appearance for purposes of identification of counsel and preparation of a service list. The filing of such a Notice of Appearance is without prejudice to any challenge to the jurisdiction of the Court, substantive or procedural challenges to the Complaint, without prejudice to any affirmative defense, and without prejudice to the filing of any cross-complaint in this action. This stay is issued to assist the Court and the parties in managing this "complex" case through the development of an orderly schedule for briefing and hearings on procedural and substantive challenges to the complaint and other issues that may assist in the orderly management of these cases. This stay does not preclude the parties from informally exchanging documents that may assist in their initial evaluation of the issues presented in this case, however it stays all outstanding discovery requests.

Plaintiff's counsel is directed to serve a copy of this Initial Status Conference Order along with a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> California Rule of Court, Rule 3.770(a)

# Case 2:19-cv-00251 Document 1-1 Filed 01/11/19 Page 55 of 55 Page ID #:134

copy of the attached Guidelines for Motions for Preliminary and Final Approval of Class Settlement on counsel for all parties, or if counsel has not been identified, on all parties, within five (5) days of service of this order. If any defendant has not been served in this action, service is to be completed within twenty (20) days of the date of this order.

If all parties have been served, have conducted the required meet and confer, and are ready to fully participate in the status conference prior to the assigned date, counsel may contact the clerk of Department SS11 and request an earlier date for the Initial Status Conference.

Dated: November 30, 2018

ANN I. JONES ANN I. JONES

Judge of the Los Angeles Superior Court

# EXHIBIT B

EXHIBIT B

1	LAURA A. STOLL (SBN 255023) lstoll@goodwinlaw.com		
2	GOODWIN PROCTER LLP 601 S Figueroa Street, 41st Floor		
3 4	Los Angeles, California 90017 Tel.: +1 213 426 2500 Fax.: +1 213 623 1673		
5	Attorney for Defendant		
6	CLEAR FINANANCE TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION		
7			
8			
9			
10	SUPERIOR COURT OF TI	HE STATE OF CAI	LIFORNIA
11	FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS A		
12	STILLWATER DESIGN, INC., a California	Case No.: 18STCV	
13	corporation, on behalf of itself and all others similarly situated,	CLASS ACTION	
14	Plaintiff,	CLINDS TICTION	
15	V.	NOTICE OF FILE REMOVAL	ING OF NOTICE OF
16	CLEAR FINANCE TECHNOLOGY	Complaint Filed:	November 8, 2018
17	CORPORATION, a Canadian corporation, and DOES 1 through 25, inclusive,		
18	Defendant.		
19			
20			
21 22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

1 To: **Los Angeles County Superior Court Civil Clerk's Office** 2 111 North Hill Street Los Angeles, California 90012 3 4 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on January 11, 2019, Defendant Clear Finance Technology 5 Corporation ("Clear Finance" or "Defendant") filed a Notice of Removal of this action to the United 6 States District Court for the Central District of California, Western Division. A true and correct 7 copy of the Notice of Removal is attached hereto. The Notice of Removal and all exhibits will be 8 served on all counsel of record. Furthermore, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1446(d), this matter shall 9 proceed no further unless and until the case is remanded to this Court by the United States District 10 Court. 11 12 Respectfully submitted, 13 Dated: January 11, 2019 14 By: /s/ Laura A. Stoll LAURA A. STOLL 15 lstoll@goodwinlaw.com GOODWIN PROCTER LLP 16 601 S Figueroa Street, 41st Floor Los Angeles, California 90017 17 Tel.: +1 213 426 2500 Fax.: +1 213 623 1673 18 Attorneys for Defendant CLEAR FINANCE 19 TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

1	PROOF OF SERVICE					
2 3	I am employed in the County of Suffolk, Commonwealth of Massachusetts. At the time of ervice I was over 18 years of age and not a party to this action. My business address is: 100 orthern Avenue, Boston, Massachusetts 02210.					
4	On <b>January 11, 2019</b> , I served the following document(s) on the person(s) below as f	follows:				
5	NOTICE OF FILING NOTICE OF REMOVAL					
6	6 Shane M. Popp (SBN 219668)  Counsel for	Plaintiff				
7	7   The Law Office of Shane M. Popp Tel.: (310) 93	30-6051				
8	3460 Barry Avenue Fax: (310) 86 Los Angeles, California 90066 shane_popp@hotm					
9	9					
10	The document(s) were served by the following means:					
11	(O VERTICITY DELIVERY). By overling it derivery. I enclosed the documents in an					
12	envelope or package provided by an overnight delivery carrier and addressed to the pattern at the addresses listed. I placed the envelope or package for collection and overnight					
13	delivery at an office or a regularly utilized drop box of the overnight delivery carrier	,				
14	I declare under the penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the					
15	foregoing is true and correct.					
16	Executed on January 11, 2019, at Boston, Massachusetts.					
17	7					
18	Allison H. Orpilla					
19	(Type or print name) (Signature)					
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						
	2					

# EXHIBIT C

# EXHIBIT C

- 1					
1 2 3	LAURA A. STOLL (SBN 255023)  lstoll@goodwinlaw.com  GOODWIN PROCTER LLP  601 S Figueroa Street, 41st Floor Los Angeles, California 90017				
4	Tel.: +1 213 426 2500 Fax.: +1 213 623 1673				
5	Attorney for Defendant CLEAR FINANANCE TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION				
7					
8					
9					
10	SUPERIOR COURT OF T	HE STATE OF CA	LIFORNIA		
11	FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES – CENTRAL DISTRICT				
12	STILLWATER DESIGN, INC., a California	Case No.: 18STCV			
13	corporation, on behalf of itself and all others similarly situated,	CLASS ACTION	V.227		
14	Plaintiff,				
15	v.	NOTICE OF REMOVAL TO ALL ADVERSE PARTIES			
16 17	CLEAR FINANCE TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION, a Canadian corporation, and DOES 1 through 25, inclusive,	Complaint Filed:	November 8, 2018		
18	Defendant.				
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					

1	To: Shane M. Popp The Law Office of Shane M	A. Ponn			
2	3460 Barry Avenue Los Angeles, California 900				
3	Lus Angeles, Camornia 900	000-2002			
4	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE	that on January 11, 2019, Defendant Clear Finance Technology			
5	Corporation ("Clear Finance" or "Defendant") filed a Notice of Removal of this action to the United				
6	States District Court for the Central District of California, Western Division. A true and correct				
7	copy of the Notice of Removal is attached hereto. This Notice is served upon you as counsel of				
8	record for Plaintiff in compliance with 28 U.S.C. § 1446.				
9					
10	Dated: January 11, 2019	Respectfully submitted,			
11	·				
12		By: <u>/s/ Laura A. Stoll</u> LAURA A. STOLL			
13		lstoll@goodwinlaw.com GOODWIN PROCTER LLP			
14		601 S Figueroa Street, 41st Floor Los Angeles, California 90017			
15		Tel.: +1 213 426 2500 Fax.: +1 213 623 1673			
16		Attorneys for Defendant CLEAR FINANCE			
17		TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION			
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
		1			

1	<u>PROOF OF SERVICE</u>				
2 3	am employed in the County of Suffolk, Commonwealth of Massachusetts. At the time of service I was over 18 years of age and not a party to this action. My business address is: 100 Northern Avenue, Boston, Massachusetts 02210.				
4	On <b>January 11, 2019</b> , I served the following	g document(s) on the person(s) below as follows			
5	NOTICE OF REMOVAL TO ALL ADVERSE PARTIES				
6 7 8	Shane M. Popp (SBN 219668) <b>The Law Office of Shane M. Popp</b> 3460 Barry Avenue  Los Angeles, California 90066	Counsel for Plainti Tel.: (310) 930-605 Fax: (310) 861-178 shane_popp@hotmail.cor			
9					
10	The document(s) were served by the following	ng means:			
11 12 13 14	✓ (OVERNIGHT DELIVERY). By overnight envelope or package provided by an overnight at the addresses listed. I placed the enveloped delivery at an office or a regularly utilized	ght delivery carrier and addressed to the persons be or package for collection and overnight			
15 16	I declare under the penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.  Executed on January 11, 2019, at Boston, Massachusetts.				
17					
18   19	Allison H. Orpilla	aft			
20	(Type or print name)	(Signature)			
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
	2				

# **ClassAction.org**

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Clearbanc Engages in Unlicensed Loan Activity, Lawsuit Alleges</u>