MICHAEL FAILLACE & ASSOCIATES, P.C. 60 East 42nd Street, Suite 4510 New York, New York 10165 Telephone: (212) 317-1200

Facsimile: (212) 317-1620 Attorneys for Plaintiff

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

WILLIE SINGLETARY, individually and on behalf of others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

COMPLAINT

-against-

MELOUTA CORP. (D/B/A BZ GRILL), SERAFIM FERDEKLIS, and JEFF DOE,

COLLECTIVE ACTION UNDER 29 U.S.C. § 216(b)

ECF Case

Defen	dants.
	X

Plaintiff Willie Singletary ("Plaintiff Singletary" or "Mr. Singletary"), individually and on behalf of others similarly situated, by and through his attorneys, Michael Faillace & Associates, P.C., upon his knowledge and belief, and as against Melouta Corp. (d/b/a BZ Grill), ("Defendant Corporation"), Serafim Ferdeklis and Jeff Doe, ("Individual Defendants"), (collectively, "Defendants"), alleges as follows:

NATURE OF ACTION

- 1. Plaintiff Singletary is a former employee of Defendants Melouta Corp. (d/b/a BZ Grill), Serafim Ferdeklis, and Jeff Doe.
- 2. Defendants own, operate, or control a Mediterranean restaurant, located at 27-02 Astoria Blvd., Astoria, New York 11102 under the name "BZ Grill".
- 3. Upon information and belief, individual Defendants Serafim Ferdeklis and Jeff Doe, serve or served as owners, managers, principals, or agents of Defendant Corporation and, through this corporate entity, operate or operated the restaurant as a joint or unified enterprise.

- 4. Plaintiff Singletary was an employee of Defendants.
- 5. Plaintiff Singletary was employed as a dishwasher and a porter at the restaurant located at 27-02 Astoria Blvd., Astoria, New York 11102.
- 6. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Plaintiff Singletary worked for Defendants in excess of 40 hours per week, without appropriate minimum wage, overtime, and spread of hours compensation for the hours that he worked.
- 7. Rather, Defendants failed to maintain accurate recordkeeping of the hours worked, failed to pay Plaintiff Singletary appropriately for any hours worked, either at the straight rate of pay or for any additional overtime premium.
- 8. Further, Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff Singletary the required "spread of hours" pay for any day in which he had to work over 10 hours a day.
- 9. Defendants' conduct extended beyond Plaintiff Singletary to all other similarly situated employees.
- 10. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Defendants maintained a policy and practice of requiring Plaintiff Singletary and other employees to work in excess of forty (40) hours per week without providing the minimum wage and overtime compensation required by federal and state law and regulations.
- 11. Plaintiff Singletary now brings this action on behalf of himself, and other similarly situated individuals, for unpaid minimum and overtime wages pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938, 29 U.S.C. § 201 et seq. ("FLSA"), and for violations of the N.Y. Labor Law §§ 190 et seq. and 650 et seq. (the "NYLL"), and the "spread of hours" and overtime wage orders of the New York Commissioner of Labor codified at N.Y. COMP. CODES R. & REGS. tit. 12, § 146-1.6 (herein the "Spread of Hours Wage Order"), including applicable liquidated damages, interest, attorneys' fees and costs.

12. Plaintiff Singletary seeks certification of this action as a collective action on behalf of himself, individually, and all other similarly situated employees and former employees of Defendants pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 13. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1331 (federal question) and the FLSA, and supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff Singletary's state law claims under 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a).
- 14. Venue is proper in this district under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) and (c) because all, or a substantial portion of, the events or omissions giving rise to the claims occurred in this district, Defendants maintain their corporate headquarters and offices within this district, and Defendants operate a Mediterranean restaurant located in this district. Further, Plaintiff Singletary was employed by Defendants in this district.

PARTIES

Plaintiff

- 15. Plaintiff Willie Singletary ("Plaintiff Singletary" or "Mr. Singletary") is an adult individual residing in New York County, New York.
- 16. Plaintiff Singletary was employed by Defendants at BZ Grill from approximately February 2016 until on or about June 2017.
- 17. Plaintiff Singletary consents to being a party plaintiff pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), and brings these claims based upon the allegations herein as a representative party of a prospective class of similarly situated individuals under 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

Defendants

18. At all relevant times, Defendants own, operate, or control a Mediterranean restaurant, located at 27-02 Astoria Blvd., Astoria, New York 11102 under the name "BZ Grill".

- 19. Upon information and belief, Melouta Corp. (d/b/a BZ Grill) is a domestic corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of New York. Upon information and belief, it maintains its principal place of business at 27-02 Astoria Blvd., Astoria, New York 11102.
- 20. Defendant Serafim Ferdeklis is an individual engaging (or who was engaged) in business in this judicial district during the relevant time period. Defendant Serafim Ferdeklis is sued individually in his capacity as owner, officer and/or agent of Defendant Corporation. Defendant Serafim Ferdeklis possesses operational control over Defendant Corporation, an ownership interest in Defendant Corporation, and controls significant functions of Defendant Corporation. He determines the wages and compensation of the employees of Defendants, including Plaintiff Singletary, establishes the schedules of the employees, maintains employee records, and has the authority to hire and fire employees.
- 21. Defendant Jeff Doe is an individual engaging (or who was engaged) in business in this judicial district during the relevant time period. Defendant Jeff Doe is sued individually in his capacity as owner, officer and/or agent of Defendant Corporation. Defendant Jeff Doe possesses operational control over Defendant Corporation, an ownership interest in Defendant Corporation, and controls significant functions of Defendant Corporation. He determines the wages and compensation of the employees of Defendants, including Plaintiff Singletary, establishes the schedules of the employees, maintains employee records, and has the authority to hire and fire employees.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

Defendants Constitute Joint Employers

22. Defendants operate a Mediterranean restaurant located in the Astoria section of Queens in New York City.

- 23. Individual Defendants, Serafim Ferdeklis and Jeff Doe, possess operational control over Defendant Corporation, possess ownership interests in Defendant Corporation, and control significant functions of Defendant Corporation.
- 24. Defendants are associated and joint employers, act in the interest of each other with respect to employees, pay employees by the same method, and share control over the employees.
- 25. Each Defendant possessed substantial control over Plaintiff Singletary's (and other similarly situated employees') working conditions, and over the policies and practices with respect to the employment and compensation of Plaintiff Singletary, and all similarly situated individuals, referred to herein.
- 26. Defendants jointly employed Plaintiff Singletary (and all similarly situated employees) and are Plaintiff Singletary's (and all similarly situated employees') employers within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. 201 *et seq.* and the NYLL.
- 27. In the alternative, Defendants constitute a single employer of Plaintiff Singletary and/or similarly situated individuals.
- 28. Upon information and belief, Individual Defendants Serafim Ferdeklis and Jeff Doe operate Defendant Corporation as either alter egos of themselves and/or fail to operate Defendant Corporation as an entity legally separate and apart from themselves, by among other things:
 - a) failing to adhere to the corporate formalities necessary to operate Defendant Corporation as a Corporation,
 - b) defectively forming or maintaining the corporate entity of Defendant Corporation,
 by, amongst other things, failing to hold annual meetings or maintaining
 appropriate corporate records,
 - c) transferring assets and debts freely as between all Defendants,
 - d) operating Defendant Corporation for their own benefit as the sole or majority shareholders,

- e) operating Defendant Corporation for their own benefit and maintaining control over this corporation as a closed Corporation,
- f) intermingling assets and debts of their own with Defendant Corporation,
- g) diminishing and/or transferring assets of Defendant Corporation to avoid full liability as necessary to protect their own interests, and
- h) Other actions evincing a failure to adhere to the corporate form.
- 29. At all relevant times, Defendants were Plaintiff Singletary's employers within the meaning of the FLSA and New York Labor Law. Defendants had the power to hire and fire Plaintiff Singletary, controlled the terms and conditions of employment, and determined the rate and method of any compensation in exchange for Plaintiff Singletary's services.
- 30. In each year from 2016 to 2017, Defendants, both separately and jointly, had a gross annual volume of sales of not less than \$500,000 (exclusive of excise taxes at the retail level that are separately stated).
- 31. In addition, upon information and belief, Defendants and/or their enterprise were directly engaged in interstate commerce. As an example, numerous items that were used in the restaurant on a daily basis are goods produced outside of the State of New York.

Individual Plaintiff

32. Plaintiff Singletary is a former employee of Defendants who was employed as a dishwasher and a porter. Plaintiff Singletary seeks to represent a class of similarly situated individuals under 29 U.S.C. 216(b).

Plaintiff Willie Singletary

- 33. Plaintiff Singletary was employed by Defendants from approximately February 2016 until on or about June 2017.
 - 34. Defendants employed Plaintiff Singletary as a dishwasher and a porter.

- 35. Plaintiff Singletary regularly handled goods in interstate commerce, such as food and other supplies produced outside the State of New York.
- 36. Plaintiff Singletary's work duties required neither discretion nor independent judgment.
- 37. Throughout his employment with Defendants, Plaintiff Singletary regularly worked in excess of 40 hours per week.
- 38. From approximately February 2016 until on or about June 2017, Plaintiff Singletary worked as a dishwasher and a porter from approximately 4:00 p.m. until on or about 2:00 a.m. to 3:00 a.m., Mondays through Saturdays (typically 60 to 66 hours per week).
- 39. Throughout his employment, Defendants paid Plaintiff Singletary his wages by personal check.
- 40. From approximately February 2016 until on or about June 2017, Defendants paid Plaintiff Singletary a fixed salary of \$550 per week.
- 41. Plaintiff Singletary's pay did not vary even when he was required to stay later or work a longer day than his usual schedule.
- 42. For example, Defendants required Plaintiff Singletary to work an additional 1 hour past his scheduled departure time every day, and did not pay him for the additional time he worked.
 - 43. Defendants never granted Plaintiff Singletary any breaks or meal periods of any kind.
- 44. Plaintiff Singletary was not required to keep track of his time, nor to his knowledge, did the Defendants utilize any time tracking device such as punch cards, that accurately reflected his actual hours worked.
- 45. No notification, either in the form of posted notices or other means, was ever given to Plaintiff Singletary regarding overtime and wages under the FLSA and NYLL.
- 46. Defendants did not provide Plaintiff Singletary an accurate statement of wages, as required by NYLL 195(3).

- 47. Defendants did not give any notice to Plaintiff Singletary of his rate of pay, employer's regular pay day, and such other information as required by NYLL §195(1).
- 48. Defendants required Plaintiff Singletary to purchase "tools of the trade" with his own funds—including two pair of boots.

Defendants' General Employment Practices

- 49. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Defendants maintained a policy and practice of requiring Plaintiff Singletary (and all similarly situated employees) to work in excess of 40 hours a week without paying him appropriate minimum wage, spread of hours pay, and overtime compensation as required by federal and state laws.
- 50. Plaintiff Singletary was a victim of Defendants' common policy and practices which violate his rights under the FLSA and New York Labor Law by, *inter alia*, not paying him the wages he was owed for the hours he worked.
- 51. Defendants' pay practices resulted in Plaintiff Singletary not receiving payment for all his hours worked, and resulting in Plaintiff Singletary's effective rate of pay falling below the required minimum wage rate.
- 52. Defendants habitually required Plaintiff Singletary to work additional hours beyond his regular shifts but did not provide him with any additional compensation.
- 53. Defendants willfully disregarded and purposefully evaded recordkeeping requirements of the FLSA and NYLL by failing to maintain accurate and complete timesheets and payroll records.
 - 54. Plaintiff Singletary was paid his wages by personal checks.
- 55. Defendants failed to post at the workplace, or otherwise provide to employees, the required postings or notices to employees regarding the applicable wage and hour requirements of the FLSA and NYLL.

- 56. Upon information and belief, these practices by Defendants were done willfully to disguise the actual number of hours Plaintiff Singletary (and similarly situated individuals) worked, and to avoid paying Plaintiff Singletary properly for his full hours worked.
- 57. Defendants engaged in their unlawful conduct pursuant to a corporate policy of minimizing labor costs and denying employees compensation by knowingly violating the FLSA and NYLL.
- 58. Defendants' unlawful conduct was intentional, willful, in bad faith, and caused significant damages to Plaintiff Singletary and other similarly situated former workers.
- 59. Defendants failed to provide Plaintiff Singletary and other employees with accurate wage statements at the time of their payment of wages, containing: the dates of work covered by that payment of wages; name of employee; name of employer; address and phone number of employer; rate or rates of pay and basis thereof, whether paid by the hour, shift, day, week, salary, piece, commission, or other; gross wages; deductions; allowances, if any, claimed as part of the minimum wage; net wages; the regular hourly rate or rates of pay; the overtime rate or rates of pay; the number of regular hours worked; and the number of overtime hours worked, as required by NYLL §195(3).
- 60. Defendants failed to provide Plaintiff Singletary and other employees, at the time of hiring and on or before February 1 of each subsequent year, a statement in English and the employees' primary language, containing: the rate or rates of pay and basis thereof, whether paid by the hour, shift, day, week, salary, piece, commission, or other; allowances, if any, claimed as part of the minimum wage, including tip, meal, or lodging allowances; the regular pay day designated by the employer; the name of the employer; any "doing business as" names used by the employer; the physical address of the employer's main office or principal place of business, and a mailing address if different; and the telephone number of the employer, as required by New York Labor Law §195(1).

FLSA COLLECTIVE ACTION CLAIMS

- 61. Plaintiff Singletary brings his FLSA minimum wage, overtime compensation, and liquidated damages claims as a collective action pursuant to FLSA Section 16(b), 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), on behalf of all similarly situated persons (the "FLSA Class members"), i.e., persons who are or were employed by Defendants or any of them, on or after the date that is three years before the filing of the complaint in this case (the "FLSA Class Period").
- 62. At all relevant times, Plaintiff Singletary and other members of the FLSA Class were similarly situated in that they had substantially similar job requirements and pay provisions, and have been subject to Defendants' common practices, policies, programs, procedures, protocols and plans including willfully failing and refusing to pay them the required minimum wage, overtime pay at a one and one-half their regular rates for work in excess of forty (40) hours per workweek under the FLSA, and willfully failing to keep records required by the FLSA.
- 63. The claims of Plaintiff Singletary stated herein are similar to those of the other employees.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

VIOLATION OF THE MINIMUM WAGE PROVISIONS OF THE FLSA

- 64. Plaintiff Singletary repeats and realleges all paragraphs above as though fully set forth herein.
- 65. At all times relevant to this action, Defendants were Plaintiff Singletary's employers within the meaning of the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 203(d). Defendants had the power to hire and fire Plaintiff Singletary (and the FLSA Class Members), controlled the terms and conditions of their employment, and determined the rate and method of any compensation in exchange for their employment.
- 66. At all times relevant to this action, Defendants were engaged in commerce or in an industry or activity affecting commerce.

- 67. Defendants constitute an enterprise within the meaning of the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 203 (r-s).
- 68. Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff Singletary (and the FLSA Class members) at the applicable minimum hourly rate, in violation of 29 U.S.C. § 206(a).
- 69. Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiff Singletary (and the FLSA Class members) at the applicable minimum hourly rate was willful within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 255(a).
- 70. Plaintiff Singletary (and the FLSA Class members) were damaged in an amount to be determined at trial.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

VIOLATION OF THE OVERTIME PROVISIONS OF THE FLSA

- 71. Plaintiff Singletary repeats and realleges all paragraphs above as though fully set forth herein.
- 72. Defendants, in violation of 29 U.S.C. § 207(a)(1), failed to pay Plaintiff Singletary (and the FLSA Class members) overtime compensation at a rate of one and one-half times the regular rate of pay for each hour worked in excess of forty hours in a work week.
- 73. Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiff Singletary (and the FLSA Class members), overtime compensation was willful within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 255(a).
- 74. Plaintiff Singletary (and the FLSA Class members) were damaged in an amount to be determined at trial.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

VIOLATION OF THE NEW YORK MINIMUM WAGE ACT

- 75. Plaintiff Singletary repeats and realleges all paragraphs above as though fully set forth herein.
- 76. At all times relevant to this action, Defendants were Plaintiff Singletary's employers within the meaning of the N.Y. Lab. Law §§ 2 and 651. Defendants had the power to hire and fire

Plaintiff Singletary, controlled the terms and conditions of his employment, and determined the rates and methods of any compensation in exchange for his employment.

- 77. Defendants, in violation of NYLL § 652(1) and the supporting regulations of the New York State Department of Labor, paid Plaintiff Singletary less than the minimum wage.
- 78. Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiff Singletary the minimum wage was willful within the meaning of N.Y. Lab. Law § 663.
 - 79. Plaintiff Singletary was damaged in an amount to be determined at trial.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

VIOLATION OF THE OVERTIME PROVISIONS

OF THE NEW YORK STATE LABOR LAW

- 80. Plaintiff Singletary repeats and realleges all paragraphs above as though fully set forth herein.
- 81. Defendants, in violation of N.Y. Lab. Law § 190 *et seq.*, and supporting regulations of the New York State Department of Labor, failed to pay Plaintiff Singletary overtime compensation at rates of one and one-half times the regular rate of pay for each hour worked in excess of forty hours in a work week.
- 82. Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiff Singletary overtime compensation was willful within the meaning of N.Y. Lab. Law § 663.
 - 83. Plaintiff Singletary was damaged in an amount to be determined at trial.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

VIOLATION OF THE SPREAD OF HOURS WAGE ORDER

OF THE NEW YORK COMMISSIONER OF LABOR

84. Plaintiff Singletary repeats and realleges all paragraphs above as though fully set forth herein.

- 85. Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff Singletary one additional hour's pay at the basic minimum wage rate before allowances for each day Plaintiff Singletary's spread of hours exceeded ten hours in violation of NYLL §§ 650 *et seq.* and 12 N.Y.C.R.R. §§ 146-1.6.
- 86. Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiff Singletary an additional hour's pay for each day Plaintiff Singletary's spread of hours exceeded ten hours was willful within the meaning of NYLL § 663.
 - 87. Plaintiff Singletary was damaged in an amount to be determined at trial.

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

VIOLATION OF THE NOTICE AND RECORDKEEPING

REQUIREMENTS OF THE NEW YORK LABOR LAW

- 88. Plaintiff Singletary repeats and realleges all paragraphs above as though fully set forth herein.
- 89. Defendants failed to provide Plaintiff Singletary with a written notice, in English, containing: the rate or rates of pay and basis thereof, whether paid by the hour, shift, day, week, salary, piece, commission, or other; allowances, if any, claimed as part of the minimum wage, including tip, meal, or lodging allowances; the regular pay day designated by the employer; the name of the employer; any "doing business as" names used by the employer; the physical address of the employer's main office or principal place of business, and a mailing address if different; and the telephone number of the employer, as required by NYLL §195(1).
- 90. Defendants are liable to Plaintiff Singletary in the amount of \$5,000, together with costs and attorneys' fees.

SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

VIOLATION OF THE WAGE STATEMENT PROVISIONS OF THE NEW YORK LABOR LAW

- 91. Plaintiff Singletary repeats and realleges all paragraphs above as though fully set forth herein.
- 92. With each payment of wages, Defendants failed to provide Plaintiff Singletary with an accurate statement listing each of the following: the dates of work covered by that payment of wages; name of employee; name of employer; address and phone number of employer; rate or rates of pay and basis thereof, whether paid by the hour, shift, day, week, salary, piece, commission, or other; gross wages; deductions; allowances, if any, claimed as part of the minimum wage; net wages; the regular hourly rate or rates of pay; the overtime rate or rates of pay; the number of regular hours worked; and the number of overtime hours worked, as required by NYLL 195(3).
- 93. Defendants are liable to Plaintiff Singletary in the amount of \$5,000, together with costs and attorneys' fees.

EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION

RECOVERY OF EQUIPMENT COSTS

- 94. Plaintiff Singletary repeats and realleges all paragraphs above as though fully set forth herein.
- 95. Defendants required Plaintiff Singletary to pay, without reimbursement, the costs and expenses for purchasing and maintaining equipment and "tools of the trade" required to perform his job, further reducing his wages in violation of the FLSA and NYLL. 29 U.S.C. § 206(a); 29 C.F.R. § 531.35; N.Y. Lab. Law §§ 193 and 198-b.
 - 96. Plaintiff Singletary was damaged in an amount to be determined at trial.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Singletary respectfully requests that this Court enter judgment against Defendants by:

(a) Designating this action as a collective action and authorizing prompt issuance of notice pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) to all putative class members apprising them of the pendency

Case 1:18-cv-02376 Document 1 Filed 04/23/18 Page 15 of 18 PageID #: 15 of this action, and permitting them to promptly file consents to be Plaintiffs in the FLSA claims in this action;

- (b) Declaring that Defendants violated the minimum wage provisions of, and associated rules and regulations under, the FLSA as to Plaintiff Singletary and the FLSA Class members;
- (c) Declaring that Defendants violated the overtime wage provisions of, and associated rules and regulations under, the FLSA as to Plaintiff Singletary and the FLSA Class members;
- (d) Declaring that Defendants violated the recordkeeping requirements of, and associated rules and regulations under, the FLSA with respect to Plaintiff Singletary's and the FLSA Class members' compensation, hours, wages, and any deductions or credits taken against wages;
- (e) Declaring that Defendants' violations of the provisions of the FLSA were willful as to Plaintiff Singletary and the FLSA Class members;
- (f) Awarding Plaintiff Singletary and the FLSA Class members damages for the amount of unpaid minimum wage, overtime compensation, and damages for any improper deductions or credits taken against wages under the FLSA as applicable;
- (g) Awarding Plaintiff Singletary and the FLSA Class members liquidated damages in an amount equal to 100% of his damages for the amount of unpaid minimum wage and overtime compensation, and damages for any improper deductions or credits taken against wages under the FLSA as applicable pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b);
- (h) Declaring that Defendants violated the minimum wage provisions of, and rules and orders promulgated under, the NYLL as to Plaintiff Singletary;
- (i) Declaring that Defendants violated the overtime wage provisions of, and rules and orders promulgated under, the NYLL as to Plaintiff Singletary;

- (j) Declaring that Defendants violated the spread-of-hours requirements of the NYLL and supporting regulations as to Plaintiff Singletary;
- (k) Declaring that Defendants violated the notice and recordkeeping requirements of the NYLL with respect to Plaintiff Singletary's compensation, hours, wages and any deductions or credits taken against wages;
- (l) Declaring that Defendants' violations of the provisions of the NYLL and the spread of hours wage order are willful as to Plaintiff Singletary;
- (m) Awarding Plaintiff Singletary damages for the amount of unpaid minimum wage and overtime compensation, and for any improper deductions or credits taken against wages, as well as awarding spread of hours pay under the NYLL as applicable
- (n) Awarding Plaintiff Singletary damages for Defendants' violation of the NYLL notice and recordkeeping provisions, pursuant to NYLL §§198(1-b), 198(1-d);
- (o) Awarding Plaintiff Singletary liquidated damages in an amount equal to one hundred percent (100%) of the total amount of minimum wage, overtime compensation, and spread of hours pay shown to be owed pursuant to NYLL § 663 as applicable; and liquidated damages pursuant to NYLL § 198(3);
- (p) Awarding Plaintiff Singletary and the FLSA Class members pre-judgment and post-judgment interest as applicable;
- (q) Awarding Plaintiff Singletary and the FLSA Class members the expenses incurred in this action, including costs and attorneys' fees;
- (r) Providing that if any amounts remain unpaid upon the expiration of ninety days following issuance of judgment, or ninety days after expiration of the time to appeal and no appeal is then pending, whichever is later, the total amount of judgment shall automatically increase by fifteen percent, as required by NYLL § 198(4); and
 - (s) All such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff Singletary demands a trial by jury on all issues triable by a jury.

Dated: New York, New York April 23, 2018

MICHAEL FAILLACE & ASSOCIATES, P.C.

By: /s/ Michael Faillace

Michael Faillace [MF-8436] 60 East 42nd Street, Suite 4510 New York, New York 10165 Telephone: (212) 317-1200 Facsimile: (212) 317-1620 Attorneys for Plaintiff

Michael Faillace & Associates, P.C.

Employment and Litigation Attorneys

60 E 42nd Street, Suite 4510 New York, New York 10165 Telephone: (212) 317-1200 Facsimile: (212) 317-1620

Faillace@employmentcompliance.com

April 13, 2018

BY HAND

TO: Clerk of Court,

I hereby consent to join this lawsuit as a party plaintiff.

Name / Nombre:

Willie J Singletary

Legal Representative / Abogado:

Michael Faillace & Associates, P.C.

Signature / Firma:

April 13, 2018

Date / Fecha:

JS 44 (Rev. 1/2013) Case 1:18-cv-02376 Document 1 VEIR 04/23/18 Page 1 of 2 PageID #: 19

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of initiating the civil de	ocket sheet. (SEE INSTRUC	TIONS ON NEXT PAGE O	F THIS FO	ORM.)	, 1		
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS WILLIE SINGLETARY, individually and on behalf of others similarl situated,				DEFENDANTS MELOUTA CORP. (d/b/a BZ GRILL), SERAFIM FERDEKLIS , and JEFF DOE,			
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff New York (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Queens (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.			
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A Michael A. Faillace. Mich 60 East 42nd Suite 4510 New York, NY 10165	ael Faillace & Associa			Attorneys (If Known)			
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	ICTION (Place an "X" in C	ne Box Only)			RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintij	
☐ 1 U.S. Government				(For Diversity Cases Only) P1 en of This State			
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citize	en of Another State	2		
				en or Subject of a reign Country	3	□ 6 □ 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT			FO	DEFITIIDE/DENAT TV	DANKDUDTCV	OTHER STATISTES	
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	PERSONAL INJURY ☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 315 Airplane Product Liability ☐ 320 Assault, Libel &	PERSONAL INJUR 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability Product Liability Product Liability Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITION Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Othe 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition	X	DRFEITURE/PENALTY 25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 20 Other LABOR 10 Fair Labor Standards Act 20 Labor/Management Relations 10 Railway Labor Act 51 Family and Medical Leave Act 20 Other Labor Litigation 21 Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION 52 Naturalization Application 55 Other Immigration Actions	BANKRUPTCY □ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS □ 820 Copyrights □ 840 Trademark □ 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	OTHER STATUTES □ 375 False Claims Act □ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations □ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 895 Freedom of Information Act □ 896 Arbitration □ 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision □ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only) X 1 Original Proceeding 2 Removed from Appellate Court 4 Reinstated or Reopened 5 Transferred from Another District (specify) VI. CAUSE OF ACTION Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity): Plaintiff seeks unpaid overtime wages pursuant to The Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938, 29 U.S.C. § 201 et seq. Brief description of cause:							
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	· ·						
VIII. RELATED CASI			A 1.		JURY DEMAND:		
IF ANY	(======================================	JUDGE Judge Kiyo			DOCKET NUMBER 17	-cv-6848-KAM-ST	
DATE 04/23/2018		signature of att		OF RECORD			
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY							
RECEIPT # AM	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE	MAG. JUI	DGE	

CERTIFICATION OF ARBITRATION ELIGIBILITY

Local Arbitration Rule 83.10 provides that with certain exceptions, actions seeking money damages only in an amount not in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs, are eligible for compulsory arbitration. The amount of damages is presumed to be below the threshold amount unless a certification to the contrary is filed.

I, Michael Fai	for compulsory arbitration for the following reason(s): , counsel for Plaintiff , do hereby certify that the above captioned civil action is
×	monetary damages sought are in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs,
	the complaint seeks injunctive relief,
	the matter is otherwise ineligible for the following reason
	DISCLOSURE STATEMENT - FEDERAL RULES CIVIL PROCEDURE 7.1
	Identify any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation that owns 10% or more or its stocks:
	RELATED CASE STATEMENT (Section VIII on the Front of this Form)
provides that because the same judge case: (A) in	Il cases that are arguably related pursuant to Division of Business Rule 50.3.1 in Section VIII on the front of this form. Rule 50.3.1 (a) at "A civil case is "related" to another civil case for purposes of this guideline when, because of the similarity of facts and legal issues or cases arise from the same transactions or events, a substantial saving of judicial resources is likely to result from assigning both cases to the and magistrate judge." Rule 50.3.1 (b) provides that "A civil case shall not be deemed "related" to another civil case merely because the civil volves identical legal issues, or (B) involves the same parties." Rule 50.3.1 (c) further provides that "Presumptively, and subject to the power of determine otherwise pursuant to paragraph (d), civil cases shall not be deemed to be "related" unless both cases are still pending before the
	NY-E DIVISION OF BUSINESS RULE 50.1(d)(2)
	the civil action being filed in the Eastern District removed from a New York State Court located in Nassau or Suffolk ounty: No
a)	you answered "no" above: Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in Nassau or Suffolk ounty? NO
	Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in the Eastern istrict? Yes
Suffolk Co	wer to question 2 (b) is "No," does the defendant (or a majority of the defendants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or ounty, or, in an interpleader action, does the claimant (or a majority of the claimants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau County? N/A (Note: A corporation shall be considered a resident of the County in which it has the most significant contacts).
	BAR ADMISSION
T	
I am currer	ntly admitted in the Eastern District of New York and currently a member in good standing of the bar of this court. No No
Are you cu	rrently the subject of any disciplinary action (s) in this or any other state or federal court? Yes (If yes, please explain) No
T COA	
•	e accuracy of all information provided above.
Signature:	/s/ Michael Faillace

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of New York

Eastern District of New Tork				
WILLIE SINGLETARY, individually and on behalf of others similarly situated,				
Plaintiff(s)				
V.)	Civil Action No.			
)	Civil Metion 110.			
MELOUTA CORP. (D/B/A BZ GRILL), SERAFIM) FERDEKLIS , and JEFF DOE,)				
)				
Defendant(s)				
SUMMONS IN A	CIVIL ACTION			
To: (Defendant's name and address) Melouta Corp. (d/b/a BZ Grill) 7-02 Astoria Blvd. Astoria, New York 11102				
A lawsuit has been filed against you. Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are:				
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court. DOUGLAS C. PALMER CLERK OF COURT				
Date:				
	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk			

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

was ra	This summons for (no ceived by me on (date)	ame of individual and title, if a	ny)				
was re	cerved by the on (aate)		·				
	☐ I personally served the summons on the individual at (place)						
			on (date)	; or			
	☐ I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with (name)						
			, a person of suitable age and discretion who res	sides there,			
	on (date)	, and mailed a	copy to the individual's last known address; or				
	☐ I served the sumn	nons on (name of individual)		, who is			
	designated by law to	o accept service of process	s on behalf of (name of organization)				
			on (date)	; or			
	☐ I returned the sum	nmons unexecuted becaus	e	; or			
	☐ Other (specify):						
	My fees are \$	for travel and	\$ for services, for a total of \$	0.00			
	I declare under penal	lty of perjury that this info	ormation is true.				
Date:							
			Server's signature				
		-	Printed name and title				
		-	Server's address				

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

Print Save As... Reset

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of New York

Eastern District of New Tork				
WILLIE SINGLETARY, individually and on behalf of others similarly situated,				
Plaintiff(s)				
V.)	Civil Action No.			
)	Civil Action No.			
MELOUTA CORP. (D/B/A BZ GRILL), SERAFIM) FERDEKLIS , and JEFF DOE,)				
) Defendant(s))				
SUMMONS IN A CI	IVIL ACTION			
To: (Defendant's name and address) Serafim Ferdeklis 7-02 Astoria Blvd. Astoria, New York 11102				
A lawsuit has been filed against you. Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are:				
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court. DOUGLAS C. PALMER CLERK OF COURT				
Date:				
	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk			

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

was rec	This summons for (name ceived by me on (date)	ne of individual and title, if any	y)			
	☐ I personally served	the summons on the indi	<u> </u>			
			on (date)	; or		
	☐ I left the summons		nce or usual place of abode with (name)			
		,	a person of suitable age and discretion who res	ides there,		
	on (date)	on (date), and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or				
	☐ I served the summo	ons on (name of individual)		, who	o is	
	designated by law to a	accept service of process	on behalf of (name of organization)			
			on (date)	; or		
	☐ I returned the summ	nons unexecuted because		;	or	
	☐ Other (specify):					
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00		
	I declare under penalty	y of perjury that this infor	rmation is true.			
Date:		_				
			Server's signature			
			Printed name and title			
		_	Server's address			

Print Save As... Reset

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of New York

Eastern District of New Tork				
WILLIE SINGLETARY, individually and on behalf of others similarly situated,				
Plaintiff(s)				
v.)	Civil Action No.			
MELOUTA CORP. (D/B/A BZ GRILL), SERAFIM) FERDEKLIS , and JEFF DOE,)				
Defendant(s)				
Defendant(s)				
SUMMONS IN A CI	VIL ACTION			
To: (Defendant's name and address) Jeff Doe 7-02 Astoria Blvd. Astoria, New York 11102				
A lawsuit has been filed against you. Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are:				
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court. DOUGLAS C. PALMER CLERK OF COURT				
Date:				
	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk			

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

was ra	This summons for (no ceived by me on (date)	ame of individual and title, if a	ny)				
was re	cerved by the on (aate)		·				
	☐ I personally served the summons on the individual at (place)						
			on (date)	; or			
	☐ I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with (name)						
			, a person of suitable age and discretion who res	sides there,			
	on (date)	, and mailed a	copy to the individual's last known address; or				
	☐ I served the sumn	nons on (name of individual)		, who is			
	designated by law to	o accept service of process	s on behalf of (name of organization)				
			on (date)	; or			
	☐ I returned the sum	nmons unexecuted becaus	e	; or			
	☐ Other (specify):						
	My fees are \$	for travel and	\$ for services, for a total of \$	0.00			
	I declare under penal	lty of perjury that this info	ormation is true.				
Date:							
			Server's signature				
		-	Printed name and title				
		-	Server's address				

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

Print Save As... Reset

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Queens Eatery BZ Grill Hit with Ex-Dishwasher's Wage and Hour Case</u>