1	Nicholas D. Kovarik, WSBA #35462							
2	Email: nick@pyklawyers.com PISKEL YAHNE KOVARIK, PLLC							
3	522 W. Riverside Ave., Suite 700							
4	Spokane, Washington 99201 509-321-5930 – Telephone							
5	509-321-5935 – Facsimile							
6	Attorney for Plaintiffs Jerry Singletary, et	al.						
7	U.S. DISTRICT COURT							
8	EASTERN DISTRICT COOKT EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON							
9	JERRY SINGLETARY, Individually	Case No.: <u>2:18-cv-00372</u>						
10	JERRY SINGLETARY, Individually and For Others Similarly Situated.							
11	Plaintiffs,	CLASS AND COLLECTIVE ACTION COMPLAINT						
12		JURY TRIAL DEMANDED						
13	AECOM.							
14 15	Defendant.							
16	Plaintiff Jerry Singletary (Singleta:	ry) is informed and believes, and on that						
17	basis alleges, as follows:							
18	SUMMARY							
19	1. AECOM (AECOM) failed	to pay Singletary and other workers like						
20	1. AECOM (AECOM) failed to pay Singletary, and other workers like							
21	him, overtime as required by the Fair Labor Standards Act (FLSA) and the Revised							
22	Code of Washington, Chapter 49.46 et seq. (RCW), Washington's Minimum Wage							
23	Act (WMWA), and any relevant regulations and/or rules adopted by the Washington							
24								
25	Director of Labor and Industries (collecti	very, wasnington wage Laws).						
26	2. Instead, AECOM pays Sing	letary, and other workers like him, the same						
27	hourly rate for all hours worked, including	g those in excess of 40 in a workweek.						
28								
	CLASS AND COLLECTIVE ACTION	D						

COMPLAINT - 1

Piskel Yahne Kovarik, PLLC

Spokane,Wa 99201

522 W. Riverside Avenue Ste. 700

P 509.321.5930 / F 509.321.5935

- 3. AECOM further failed to pay Singletary, and other workers like him, for all rest breaks, meal breaks in violation of Washington Wage Laws.
- 4. Singletary brings this collective and class action to recover unpaid overtime and other damages.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 5. This Court has original subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).
- 6. The Court has federal jurisdiction over this action pursuant to the jurisdictional provisions of the Class Action Fairness Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d). The Court also has supplemental jurisdiction over any state law sub-class pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367.
- 7. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 a significant portion of the facts giving rise to this lawsuit occurred in this District.

THE PARTIES

- 8. Singletary is an hourly employee of AECOM. His written consent is attached as Exhibit A.
- 9. Singletary seeks conditional and final certification of this FLSA collective action under 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).
- 10. The class of similarly situated employees sought to be certified as a collective action under the FLSA is defined as:



All hourly employees of AECOM who were, at any point in the past 3 years, paid "straight time for overtime." (the "FLSA Class").

- 11. Singletary also seeks certification of a class under Fed. R. Civ. P. 23 to remedy AECOM's violations of the Washington Wage Laws.
- 12. The class of similarly situated employees sought to be certified as a class action for the purposes of pursuing their Washington Wage Laws claims is defined as:

All hourly employees of AECOM who worked in Washington who were, at any point in the past 3 years, paid "straight time for overtime" (the "Washington Class").

- 13. Collectively, the FLSA Class Members and Washington Class Members are referred to as "Class Members."
- 14. AECOM is a multinational corporation with headquarters in Los Angeles, California. AECOM may be served with process by serving its registered agent: C T Corporation System at 111 Eight Ave, 13th Floor, New York, NY 10011.

Coverage Under the FLSA

- 15. At all times hereinafter mentioned, AECOM was and is an employer within the meaning of the Section 3(d) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203(d).
- 16. At all times hereinafter mentioned, AECOM was and is an enterprise within the meaning of Section 3(r) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203(r).
- 17. At all relevant times, AECOM was an enterprise engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce within the meaning of Section 3(s)(1)



of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203(s)(1), because AECOM is an engineering firm providing design, consulting, construction and management services throughout this country. Specifically, AECOM was responsible for maintenance of the nuclear waste treatment facility at issue.

- 18. At all relevant times, AECOM had an annual gross volume of sales made in excess of \$1,000,000,000.00.
- 19. At all times hereinafter mentioned, Singletary and the Putative Class Members (as defined below) were engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce per 29 U.S.C. §§ 206-207.

THE FACTS

- 20. AECOM is a multi-billion dollar engineering firm that provides design, consulting, construction, and management services to clients across the United States.
 - 21. In order to provide these services, it employs individuals like Singletary.
 - 22. Singletary was an hourly employee of AECOM.
 - 23. Singletary was hired around May 2016.
 - 24. Singletary was a Maintenance Superintendent for AECOM.
 - 25. AECOM paid Singletary by the hour.
 - 26. AECOM paid Singletary \$60.57 per hour.
 - 27. Singletary reports the hours he works to AECOM on a regular basis.

7

11

27



41. AECOM's failure to pay overtime to these hourly workers was, and is, a willful violation of the FLSA.

FLSA VIOLATIONS

- 42. By failing to pay Singletary and the FLSA Class Members overtime at one-and-one-half times their regular rates, AECOM violated the FLSA's overtime provisions.
- 43. AECOM owes Singletary and the FLSA Class Members the difference between the rate actually paid and the proper overtime rate.
- 44. Any differences in job duties do not detract from the fact that these hourly workers are entitled to overtime pay.
- 45. Because AECOM knew, or showed reckless disregard for whether, its pay practices violated the FLSA, AECOM owes these wages for at least the past three years.
- 46. AECOM is liable to Singletary and the FLSA Class Members an amount equal to all unpaid overtime wages as liquidated damages.
- 47. Singletary and the FLSA Class Members are entitled to recover all reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred in this action.
- 48. The workers impacted by AECOM's "straight time for overtime" scheme should be notified of this action and given the chance to join pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).



WASHINGTON WAGE LAW VIOLATIONS

- 49. Singletary realleges and reincorporates all allegations above as if incorporated herein.
 - 50. The foregoing conduct, as alleged, violate the Washington Wage Laws.
- 51. At all relevant times, AECOM has been, and continue to be, an "employer" within the meaning of the Washington Wage Laws. At all relevant times, AECOM employed "employee[s]," including Singletary and the Washington Class, within the meaning of the Washington Wage Laws.
- 52. RCW §49.52.070 provides that employers who violate Washington's minimum wage laws under the circumstances present in this case are liable for double the amount of wages improperly withheld.
 - 53. Pursuant to RCW §49.52.080, there exists a presumption of willfulness.
- 54. The Washington Wage Laws require an employer, such as AECOM to pay overtime compensation to all non-exempt employees. Singletary and the Washington Class are not exempt from overtime pay requirements under the Washington Wage Laws.
- 55. More specifically, the Washington Class members' claims are subject to the three-year statute of limitations applicable to the WMWA and implied contracts, as provided under RCW § 4.16.080(3). See e.g., Seattle Prof'l Eng'g Employees Ass'n v. Boeing Co., 139 Wash. 2d 824, 838, 991 P.2d 1126, 1134, opinion corrected on denial



of reconsideration, 1 P.3d 578 (Wash. 2000); Mitchell v. PEMCO Mut. Ins. Co., 134 Wash. App. 723, 737, 142 P.3d 623 (2006).

- 56. At all relevant times, AECOM had a policy and practice of failing and refusing to pay overtime pay to Singletary for his hours worked in excess of forty hours per workweek.
- 57. AECOM violated Washington Wage Laws including, but not necessarily limited to, RCW, WMWA, by failing to pay the Washington Class on a salary basis.
- 58. At all relevant times, AECOM did not pay the Washington Class on a salary basis, so the Washington Class was not exempt under Wash. Admin. Code §296-128-510 (executive), Wash. Admin. Code §296-128-520 (administrative), Wash. Admin. Code §296-128-530 (professional), and Wash. Admin. Code §296-128-532 (salary basis and deductions).
- 59. With regards to the Class Members, AECOM did not comply with Washington Admin. Code §296-126-092(4) which provides: "Employees shall be allowed a rest period of not less than ten minutes, on the employer's time, for each four hours of working time."
- 60. At all relevant times, Defendants willfully failed and refused, and continues to willfully fail and refuse, to pay Singletary and Class Members the amounts owed. Specifically, Defendant claws back all hourly advances not paid for



rest/meal break time. This conduct violates Washington Wage Laws as alleged in this cause of action.

- 61. AECOM has denied Singletary and the Washington Class wages and benefits of employment, including contractual vacation pay, as alleged herein. AECOM's deduction of Singletary and the Washington Class members vacation pay for wages results in depriving Singletary and Washington Class members of their vacation pay, in violation of RCW §49.52.050. AECOM is, therefore, liable to Singletary and the Washington Class for all such vacation pay and other improperly deducted or rebated wages or earnings, and double damages, under RCW §49.52.070.
- 62. Singletary and the Washington Class seek recovery of attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses of this action to be paid by Defendants.
- 63. Singletary and the Washington Class seek damages in the amount of the respective unpaid wages earned and due at the regular hourly wage rate, and at a rate not less than one and one-half times the regular rate of pay for work performed in excess of forty hours in a workweek; actual damages; penalty damages; and such other legal and equitable relief as the Court deems just and proper.

CLASS AND COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS

64. AECOM's illegal "straight time for overtime" policy extends beyond Singletary.



- 65. It is the "straight time for overtime" payment plan that violates the FLSA in this collective and class action.
- 66. AECOM pays hundreds of hourly employees according to the same unlawful scheme.
- 67. Any differences in job duties do not detract from the fact that these hourly workers were entitled to overtime pay.
- 68. Singletary and the Class Members impacted by AECOM's "straight time for overtime" scheme should be notified of this action and given the chance to join pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).
 - 69. AECOM has accurate records of the wages paid to its hourly workers.
- 70. The Class Members are geographically disbursed, residing, and working in states across the country.
- 71. Singletary's experiences are typical of the experiences of all Class Members.
- 72. Singletary has no interests contrary to, or in conflict with, the members of the Class Members. Like each member of the proposed classes, Singletary has an interest in obtaining the unpaid overtime wages owed under state and/or federal law.
- 73. A class and collective action, such as the instant one, is superior to other available means for fair and efficient adjudication of the lawsuit.

- 74. Absent this action, many members of the FLSA Class and Washington Class likely will not obtain redress of their injuries and AECOM will retain the proceeds of their violations of the FLSA and Washington Wage Laws.
- 75. Furthermore, individual litigation would be unduly burdensome to the judicial system. Concentrating the litigation in one forum will promote judicial economy and parity among the claims of individual members of the classes and provide for judicial consistency.
- 76. The questions of law and facts common to each of the FLSA and Washington Class Members predominate over any questions affecting solely the individual members. Among the common questions of law and fact are:
 - a. Whether AECOM employed the FLSA and Washington Class Members within the meaning of the FLSA and Washington Wage Laws;
 - b. Whether the FLSA and Washington Class Members were exempt from overtime;
 - c. Whether AECOM's decision not to pay overtime to the FLSA and Washington Class Members was made in good faith; and
 - d. Whether AECOM's violation of the FLSA and Washington Wage Laws was willful.
- 77. Singletary's claims are typical of the FLSA and Washington Class Members since both have sustained damages arising out of AECOM's illegal and uniform employment pay policy.



- 78. Singletary knows of no difficulty that will be encountered in the management of this litigation that would preclude its ability to go forward as a class or collective action.
- 79. Although the issue of damages may be somewhat individual in character, there is no detraction from the common nucleus of liability facts. Therefore, this issue does not preclude class or collective action treatment.
- 80. Concentrating the litigation in one forum will promote judicial economy and parity among the claims of individual members of the classes and provide for judicial consistency.

JURY DEMAND

81. Pursuant to F.R.C.P. 38, Singletary demands a trial by jury.

PRAYER

- 82. WHEREFORE, Singletary prays for relief as follows:
- a. An order designating this lawsuit as a collective action and authorizing notice pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) to the proposed Class Members to permit them to join this action by filing a written notice of consent;
- b. For an Order designating the state law classes as class actions pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23 under Washington Wage Laws;

1	c. Judgment against AECOM awarding Singletary and the Class						
2	Members all unpaid overtime compensation, liquidated damages, attorneys'						
3							
4	fees and costs.						
5	d. An award of pre- and post-judgment interest on all amounts						
6 7	awarded at the highest rate allowable by law; and						
8	e. All such other and further relief to which Singletary and the						
9	Class Members may show themselves to be justly entitled.						
10	Respectfully submitted,						
11	Respectivity subtricted,						
12	By: /s/ Nicholas D. Kovarik						
13	Nicholas D. Kovarik WA Bar No. 35462						
14	nick@pyklawyers.com						
15	PISKEL YAHNE KOVARIK, PLLC						
	522 W. Riverside Ave., Suite 700 Spokane, Washington 99201						
16	509-321-5930 — Telephone						
17	509-321-5935 – Facsimile						
18	Michael A Josephson						
19	Michael A. Josephson Texas Bar No. 24014780						
20	mjosephson@mybackwages.com						
21							
22	Andrew Dunlap Texas Bar No. 24078444						
23	adunlap@mybackwages.com						
24							
	Richard M. Schreiber Texas Bar No. 24056278						
25	Josephson Dunlap, LLP						
26	11 Greenway Plaza, Suite 3050						
27	Houston, Texas 77046 713-352-1100 – Telephone						
28	713-352-1100 — Telephone 713-352-3300 — Facsimile						
	CLASS AND COLLECTIVE ACTION						

Lawyers

1	Pro Hac Vice Forthcoming
2	AND
3	
4	Richard J. (Rex) Burch Texas Bar No. 24001807
5 6	rburch@brucknerburch.com BRUCKNER BURCH, PLLC
7	8 Greenway Plaza, Suite 1500 Houston, Texas 77046
8	713-877-8788 — Telephone 713-877-8065 — Facsimile
9	Pro Hac Vice Forthcoming
10	ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFFS
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	



EXHIBIT A

CONSENT TO JOIN WAGE CLAIM

Print	Name: Jerry Singletary
1.	I hereby consent to participate in a collective action lawsuit against AECOM to pursue my claims of unpaid overtime during the time that I worked with the company.
2.	I understand that this lawsuit is brought under the Fair Labor Standards Act, and consent to be bound by the Court's decision.
3.	I designate the law firm and attorneys at JOSEPHSON DUNLAP as my attorneys to prosecute my wage claims.
4.	I authorize the law firm and attorneys at JOSEPHSON DUNLAP to use this consent to file my claim in a separate lawsuit, class/collective action, or arbitration against the company.
Signa	ture: Jerry Singletary Date Signed: Jul 20, 2018

 $_{
m JS~44~(Rev.~08/18)}$ Case 4:18-cv-05187-SMJ CTCTN2 OVER SHEET 18 PageID.17 Page 1 of 2

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of illitiating the civil do	Seket sheet. (SEE INSTRUC	TIONS ON NEXT FAGE O	T IIIIS FO	N.W.)					
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANT	S				
JERRY SINGLETARY, Individually and For Others Similarly Situa				d AECOM					
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Bladen (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.					
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A	Address, and Telephone Numbe	r)		Attorneys (If Known	1)				
Piskel Yahne Kovarik, 52 99201; (509) 321 - 5930.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ite. 700, Spokane V	WA						
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)		TIZENSHIP OF		AL PARTIES			
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff				PTF DEF	Incorporated <i>or</i> Pri of Business In T		or Defenda PTF □ 4	unt) DEF □ 4	
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citiz	en of Another State	1 2	Incorporated and F of Business In A		□ 5	□ 5
				en or Subject of a reign Country	3 3	Foreign Nation		□ 6	□ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box On	aly)				there for: Nature of	of Suit Code De	scription	ı <u>S</u> .
CONTRACT		RTS PERSONAL INJUR		ORFEITURE/PENALTY		NKRUPTCY		STATUT	ES
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 345 Mortor Vehicle 345 Motor Vehicle 345 Motor Vehicle 345 Motor Vehicle 346 Mortor Vehicle 347 Mortor Vehicle 348 Mortor Vehicle 348 Mortor Vehicle 349 Mortor Vehicle 349 Mortor Vehicle 349 Mortor Vehicle 340 Mort		65 75 77 78 79 79 79	LABOR O Other LABOR O Fair Labor Standards Act Labor/Management Relations Railway Labor Act Family and Medical Leave Act O Other Labor Litigation Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION Description of the Act Of Other Labor Litigation Control of the Labor Litigation Co	423 With 28 U PROPE 820 Cop 830 Pate 835 Pate New 840 Trac SOCIAI 861 HIA 862 Blac 863 DIW 865 RSI FEDER 870 Taxo 871 IRS- 26 U	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS □ 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent □ 835 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application □ 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609		□ 375 False Claims Act □ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC □ 3729(a)) □ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antirust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and □ Corrupt Organizations □ 480 Consumer Credit □ 485 Telephone Consumer □ Protection Act □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 895 Freedom of Information Act □ 896 Arbitration □ 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision □ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	
		Remanded from Appellate Court	⊐ 4 Rein Reoj	. I I WIII	ner District	☐ 6 Multidistr Litigation Transfer	. =	Multidis Litigatio Direct Fi	n -
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	28 U.S.C. § 1331	, 29 U.S.C. § 216(k	re filing (1 O)	Do not cite jurisdictional st	tatutes unless d	iversity):			
	Brief description of ca unpaid overtime								
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2	IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv.P.	N D	EMAND \$		CHECK YES only URY DEMAND:		complain	nt:
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKI	ET NUMBER			
DATE 11/30/2018		signature of at /s/ Nicholas D.							-
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY RECEIPT # AM	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JUD	OGE		

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- **I.(a) Plaintiffs-Defendants.** Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.

 United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here.

United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here. United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.

Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

- Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; **NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.**)
- **III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties.** This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If there are multiple nature of suit codes associated with the case, pick the nature of suit code that is most applicable. Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.

Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date. Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation – Transfer. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407.

Multidistrict Litigation – Direct File. (8) Check this box when a multidistrict case is filed in the same district as the Master MDL docket. **PLEASE NOTE THAT THERE IS NOT AN ORIGIN CODE 7.** Origin Code 7 was used for historical records and is no longer relevant due to changes in statue

- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. **Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity.** Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.

 Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction. Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of Washington							
JERRY SINGLETARY, Individually Similarly Situated	and For Others))						
Plaintiff(s))						
V.)	Civil Action No. 2:18-cv-00372					
AECOM)						
)						
)						
Defendant(s))						
	SUMMONS IN A CI	VIL ACTION					
To: (Defendant's name and address) AECOM Registered Agent: C T Corporation System 111 Eighth Ave., 13th Floor New York, NY 10011							
A lawsuit has been filed a	gainst you.						
are the United States or a United St. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must ser the Federal Rules of Civil Procedu whose name and address are: At 52	States agency, or an officer or eve on the plaintiff an answer to						
If you fail to respond, judg You also must file your answer or		ed against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.					
		CLERK OF COURT					
Date		SEAN F. McAVOY, Clerk					

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No. 2:18-cv-00372

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (1))

was re	This summons for (name received by me on (date)	ne of individual and title, if a						
	☐ I personally served	the summons on the in-	dividual at (place) on (date)		; or			
	☐ I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with (name) , a person of suitable age and discretion who re				^			
	on (date) , and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or							
		ons on (name of individual) accept service of proces	s on behalf of (name of orga	anization)	, W	ho is		
			on (date)		; or			
	☐ I returned the sumn	nons unexecuted because	se			; or		
	☐ Other (specify):							
	My fees are \$	for travel and	\$ for se	rvices, for a total of \$	0.00			
	I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.							
Date		-	Server's signature					
		-	Printed name and title					
		-	Server's address					

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Aecom's 'Payment Scheme' Shorted Hourly Workers on Overtime Wages, Lawsuit Claims</u>