FILED

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA JACKSONVILLE DIVISION

2018 FEB - 1 AM 11: 59

CLERK. US DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA JACKSONVILLE DISTRICT

GERALD SINCLAIR, for himself and on behalf of those similarly situated

Plaintiff,

Case No.: 3:18-W-187-J-20808

VS.

UNITED & STRONG III, INC., a Florida Profit Corporation,

Defendant.		

COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff, GERALD SINCLAIR, ("Plaintiff"), for himself and on behalf of those similarly situated, hereby files this Complaint against Defendant, UNITED & STRONG III, INC. ("Defendant"), and states as follows:

JURISDICTION

- 1. Jurisdiction in this Court is proper as the claims are brought pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act, as amended (29 U.S.C. §201, et seq.) ("FLSA") to obtain a judgment against Defendant as to liability, and to recover unpaid wages, liquidated damages, and reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.
- 2. The jurisdiction of the Court over this controversy is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1331, as Plaintiff's claims arise under 29 U.S.C. §216(b).
- 3. Venue is proper in this Court because the acts and omissions alleged in this Complaint took place in this judicial district, and Defendant resides in and regularly

conducts business in this judicial district.

PARTIES

- 4. At all times material to this action, Plaintiff was a resident of Nassau County, Florida.
- 5. At all times material to this action, UNITED & STRONG III, INC. was, and continues to be, a Florida Profit Company. Further, at all times material hereto, UNITED & STRONG III, INC. was, and continues to be, engaged in business in Florida, with a principal place of business in Duval County, Florida.
- 6. At all times material to this action, Plaintiff was an "employee" of Defendant within the meaning of the FLSA.
- 7. At all times material to this action, Defendant was Plaintiff's "employer" within the meaning of the FLSA.
- 8. Defendant was, and continues to be, an "employer" within the meaning of the FLSA.
- 9. At all times material to this action, UNITED & STRONG III, INC. was, and continues to be, an "enterprise engaged in commerce" and an enterprise engaged in the "handling, selling, or otherwise working on goods and materials that have been moved in or produced for commerce by any person" within the meaning of the FLSA.
- 10. Based upon information and belief, the annual gross revenue of UNITED & STRONG III, INC. was in excess of \$500,000.00 per annum during the relevant time periods.
 - 11. At all times material to this action, UNITED & STRONG III, INC. had

- two (2) or more employees handling, selling, or otherwise working on goods or materials that had been moved in or produced for commerce such as vehicles, large equipment, telephones and computers.
- 12. At all times material hereto, the work performed by the Plaintiff was directly essential to the business performed by Defendant.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

- 13. In or about January 2016, Defendant hired Plaintiff to work as an hourly-paid construction employee, specifically, a Carpenter, for Defendant's construction company.
- 14. Plaintiff's job duties included, but were not limited to, performing construction work, specifically, carpentry work, at construction sites.
- 15. At various times material hereto, Plaintiff worked for Defendant in excess of forty (40) hours within a workweek.
- 16. From at least January 2016, through August 2017, Defendant failed to compensate Plaintiff at a rate of one and one-half times Plaintiff's regular rate for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours in a single workweek.
- 17. The policies and practices which led to the failure to pay proper overtime were: 1) Defendant did not count as "hours worked," compensable time spent cleaning the jobsite at the end of the day; and 2) to the extent any overtime hours were counted as hours worked and compensated, Defendant compensated for such overtime hours through a system of "banking" hours, or "comp time," rather than monetary compensation for overtime hours, and counted each overtime hour as only one hour of

banked time.

- 18. Plaintiff should be compensated at the rate of one and one-half times Plaintiff's regular rate for all hours that Plaintiff worked in excess of forty (40) hours per workweek, as required by the FLSA.
- 19. Upon information and belief, the majority of Plaintiff's pay and time records are in the possession of Defendant.
- 20. Plaintiff was denied proper overtime compensation for all overtime hours due to the policies, practices and procedures described in paragraph 17, above.
- 21. The additional persons who may become Plaintiffs in this action also "worked" for Defendant as hourly paid construction employees, worked under the same terms and conditions, and pursuant to the policies, practices, and procedures applicable to Plaintiff, and were denied proper overtime compensation for all overtime hours due to these policies, practices and procedures.
- 22. Defendant has violated Title 29 U.S.C. §207 from at least January 2016 through August 2017, in that:
 - a. Plaintiff, and other hourly paid construction employees, worked in excess of forty (40) hours in one or more workweeks for the period of employment with Defendant;
 - b. No payments or provisions for payment have been made by

 Defendant to properly compensate Plaintiff, or other hourly paid

 construction employees, at the statutory rate of one and one-half

 times his regular rate for all hours worked in excess of forty (40)

- hours per workweek, as provided by the FLSA, due to the policies and practices described in paragraph 17, above; and
- c. Defendant failed to maintain proper time records as mandated by the FLSA.
- 23. Defendant's failure and/or refusal to properly compensate Plaintiff and other hourly paid construction employees at the rates and amounts required by the FLSA was willful, as Defendant is and has been aware of the FLSA mandates and their applicability to Plaintiff's employment, but chose not to pay him at an overtime rate for all of his overtime hours.
- 24. Defendant failed and/or refused to properly disclose or apprise Plaintiff of his rights under the FLSA.

COUNT I RECOVERY OF OVERTIME COMPENSATION

- 25. Plaintiff re-alleges paragraphs 1 through 24 of the Complaint, as if fully set forth herein.
- 26. From at least January 2016, through August 2017, Plaintiff worked hours in excess of forty (40) in one or more workweeks for which Plaintiff was not compensated at the statutory rate of one and one-half times Plaintiff's regular rate of pay.
- 27. Plaintiff was, and is, entitled to be paid at the statutory rate of one and one-half times Plaintiff's regular rate of pay for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours in a workweek.
 - 28. Defendant failed to properly disclose or apprise Plaintiff of Plaintiff's

rights under the FLSA.

- 29. Defendant failed to maintain proper time records as mandated by the FLSA.
- 30. Defendant's actions were willful and/or showed reckless disregard for the provisions of the FLSA, as evidenced by its failure to compensate Plaintiff, and those similarly situated, at the statutory rate of one and one-half times his regular rate of pay for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per workweek when it knew, or with reasonable diligence should have known, such was, and is, due.
- 31. Due to the intentional, willful, and unlawful acts of Defendant, Plaintiff, and those similarly situated suffered, and continue to suffer damages and lost compensation for certain hours worked over forty (40) hours in a workweek, plus liquidated damages.
- 32. The hourly paid construction employees were also not paid proper overtime for hours worked in excess of forty (40) in one or more workweeks pursuant to the same policies, plans and decisions applicable Plaintiff.
- 33. Plaintiff is entitled to an award of reasonable attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216(b).

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, for himself and on behalf of other hourly paid construction employees request conditional certification; pursuant to Section 216(b) of the FLSA, of a collective of hourly-paid construction employees who worked for Defendant over 40 hours in one or more workweeks in the three years prior to the filing of this Complaint; an order permitting Notice to all potential class members; a

Declaration that Defendant's policy violates the FLSA; entry of judgment in Plaintiff's and any opt-in's favor and against Defendant for actual and liquidated damages, as well as costs, expenses and attorneys' fees and such other relief deemed proper by this Court.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff demands trial by jury on all issues so triable as a matter of right by jury.

Respectfully submitted this 30 day of January, 2018

Angeli/Murthy, Esq.

Florida Bar No.: 088758 Morgan & Morgan.

600 North Pine Island Road

Suite 400

Plantation, Florida 33324

Telephone: (954) 318-0268 Facsimile: (954) 327-3016 amurthy@forthepeople.com

Trial Attorney for Plaintiff

7

JS 44 (Rev. 06/17) Case 3:18-cv-00187-HES-PDB Document 1-1 Filed 02/01/18 - Fige (18) 1 File Page (18) 1 Fil

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket cheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT BACK OF THIS FORM)

provided by local rules of court purpose of initiating the civil d	i. This form, approved by the ocket sheet. (SEE INSTRUC)	ie Judicial Conference TIONS ON NEXT PAGE O	of the Uni OF THIS FO	ted States in September 1 PRAL.)	974, is requi	red for the use of t	the Clerk of Co	art for the	e
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS						
GERALD SINCLAIR, for himself and on behalf of those similarly situated,				UNITED & STRONG III, INC., a Florida Profit Corporation,					
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Nassau				County of Residence	of First Listo	d Defendant			
(E.	XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA.	SES)		(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)					
				NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.					
				Attorneys (If Known)					
Angeli Murthy, Esc 600 N. Pine Island (954) 318-0268	q., Morgan & Morgan F I Road, S-400, Plantati	P.A. ion, FL 33324							
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)			III. CI	<u> </u> TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPA	L PARTIES	Place an "X" in (One Box fo	r Plainti
☐ 1 U.S. Government 🔀 3 Federal Question			(For Diversity Cases Only) P1	rf def		and One Box for	<i>r Defendan</i> PT F	nt) DEF	
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government Not a Party)		Citize	en of This State		Incorporated or Print of Business In Ti		3 4	0 4
2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		Citize	en of Another State	2 0 2	Incorporated and Proof Business In A		O 5	O 5
				Citizen or Subject of a					5 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT						here for: <u>Nature o</u>			
☐ 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	RTS PERSONAL INJUR		ORFEITURE/PENALTY		KRUPTCY	OTHERS	_	S
☐ 120 Marine	☐ 310 Airplane	🗇 365 Personal Injury -		5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881	☐ 423 Withd		☐ 375 False Cla☐ 376 Qui Tam		
 □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument 	315 Airplane Product Liability	Product Liability 367 Health Care/	O 69	0 Other	28 USC 157		3729(a)) 3729(a)) 400 State Reapportionment		
☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	☐ 320 Assault, Libel &	Pharmaceutical				TYRIGHTS	🗇 410 Antitrust	• •	
nited Liability Con	ipany,				☐ 820 Copyr ☐ 830 Patent		430 Banks an450 Commerce		ı
•						t - Abbreviated Drug Application	460 Deportati470 Racketee		ed and
(Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment	345 Marine Product Liability	Liability PERSONAL PROPE		LABOR	☐ 840 Trade	mark		Organizatio	
of Veteran's Benefits	☐ 350 Motor Vehicle	370 Other Fraud	20 71	0 Fair Labor Standards	O 861 HIA (☐ 490 Cable/Sat	1TV	
160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract	355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability	☐ 371 Truth in Lending ☐ 380 Other Personal		Act O Labor/Management	☐ 862 Black ☐ 863 DIW	Lung (923) C/DIWW (405(g))	☐ 850 Securities Exchange		lities/
195 Contract Product Liability	☐ 360 Other Personal	Property Damage	l	Relations	☐ 864 SSID	Title XVI	390 Other Sta	tutory Act	ions
□ 196 Franchise	Injury 362 Personal Injury -	☐ 385 Property Damage Product Liability		O Railway Labor Act I Family and Medical	□ 865 RSI (4	105(g))	☐ 891 Agricultu ☐ 893 Environm		ers
REAL PROPERTY	Medical Malpractice	PRISONER PETITIO	NS: C 70	Leave Act O Other Labor Litigation	EPDED A	L TAX SUITS	☐ 895 Freedom Act	of informa	ation
210 Land Condemnation	☐ 440 Other Civil Rights	Habeas Corpus:		1 Employee Retirement		(U.S. Plaintiff	□ 896 Arbitratio	วก	
220 Foreclosure	☐ 441 Voting	O 463 Alien Detainee		Income Security Act		fendant)	☐ 899 Administ		
 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 	☐ 442 Employment ☐ 443 Housing/	510 Motions to Vacate Sentence	⁵		□ 871 IRS 26 US	C 7609	Acukevic Agency D	ew or Appe Decision	201 01
245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	530 General 535 Death Penalty	7	IMMIGRATION			950 Constituti State Stat		,
,	Employment	Other:		2 Naturalization Application	1				
	446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other	540 Mandamus & Oth550 Civil Rights	ler D 46	5 Other Immigration Actions					
	☐ 448 Education	555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee	ĺ						
		Conditions of							
W ODYCYN		Confinement							
	moved from 🗖 3 I	Remanded from Appellate Court		oened Anothe	erred from er District	O 6 Multidistri	- 1	Multidisti Litigation	1 -
	28115 C 8 1331			(specify) Do not cite jurisdictional state and 216(b)		Transfer ersity):		Direct File	<u> </u>
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	Brief description of car Unpaid Wages		,,						
VII. REQUESTED IN CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.			•	EMAND \$ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: nknown JURY DEMAND: OX Yes ONo					
VIII. RELATED CASI	(See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKE	Γ NUMBER			_
DATE 1/30/18			TORNEY	FRECORD					
FOR OFFICE USE/ONLY RECEIPT # AMOUNT \$ 400 APPLYING IFP JUDGE 20 MAG. JUDGE 20									
JA402663°			······································						

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Lawsuit Claims Florida Construction Company Shaves Off Employees' Due Wages</u>