#### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

ELIONORA SIMKHAYEV, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

ARSTRAT, LLC

Defendant.

# <u>CIVIL ACTION</u> CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff ELIONORA SIMKHAYEV (hereinafter, "Plaintiff"), a New York resident, brings this action complaint by and through her attorneys, Joseph H. Mizrahi Law, P.C., against Defendant ARSTRAT, LLC (hereinafter "Defendant"), individually and on behalf of a class of all others similarly situated, pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, based upon information and belief of Plaintiff's counsel, except for allegations specifically pertaining to Plaintiff, which are based upon Plaintiff's personal knowledge.

#### **INTRODUCTION/PRELIMINARY STATEMENT**

- Congress enacted the FDCPA in 1977 in response to the "abundant evidence of the use of abusive, deceptive, and unfair debt collection practices by many debt collectors." 15 U.S.C. § 1692(a). At that time, Congress was concerned that "abusive debt collection practices contribute to the number of personal bankruptcies, to material instability, to the loss of jobs, and to invasions of individual privacy." *Id.* Congress concluded that "existing laws . . . [we]re inadequate to protect consumers," and that "the effective collection of debts" does not require "misrepresentation or other abusive debt collection practices." 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692(b) & (c).
- 2. Congress explained that the purpose of the Act was not only to eliminate abusive debt collection practices, but also to "insure that those debt collectors who refrain from using

abusive debt collection practices are not competitively disadvantaged." *Id.* § 1692(e). After determining that the existing consumer protection laws were inadequate, *id.* § 1692(b), Congress gave consumers a private cause of action against debt collectors who fail to comply with the Act. *Id.* § 1692k.

#### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 3. The Court has jurisdiction over this class action under 28 U.S.C. § 1331, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 *et seq.* and 28 U.S.C. § 2201. If applicable, the Court also has pendent jurisdiction over the state law claims in this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a).
- 4. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2).

#### **NATURE OF THE ACTION**

- 5. Plaintiff brings this class action on behalf of a class of New York consumers seeking redress for Defendant's illegal practices, in connection with the collection of a debt allegedly owed by Plaintiff in violation of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692, *et seq.* ("FDCPA").
- 6. Defendant's actions violated § 1692 *et seq*. of Title 15 of the United States Code, commonly referred to as the "FDCPA," which prohibits debt collectors from engaging in abusive, deceptive and unfair practices.
- 7. Plaintiff is seeking damages, and declaratory and injunctive relief.

#### **PARTIES**

- Plaintiff is a natural person and a resident of the State of New York, and is a "Consumer" as defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692(a)(3).
- Defendant is a collection agency with a principal place of business located in White Plains, New York.
- 10. Upon information and belief, Defendant is a company that uses the mail, telephone, and

#### Case 1:17-cv-06381 Document 1 Filed 11/01/17 Page 3 of 8 PageID #: 3

facsimile and regularly engages in business the principal purpose of which is to attempt to collect debts alleged to be due another.

11. Defendant is a "debt collector," as defined under the FDCPA under 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).

#### **CLASS ALLEGATIONS**

- 12. Plaintiff brings claims, pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure (hereinafter "FRCP") Rule 23, individually and on behalf of the following nationwide consumer class (the "Class"):
  - All New York consumers from whom Defendant improperly required a valid reason for the dispute in violation of 15 U.S.C. §1692 *et seq*.
  - The Class period begins one year to the filing of this Action.

13. The Class satisfies all the requirements of Rule 23 of the FRCP for maintaining a class action:

- Upon information and belief, the Class is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable because there are hundreds and/or thousands of persons whom Defendant has improperly denied the right to dispute a debt in violation of specific provisions of the FDCPA.
- There are questions of law and fact which are common to the Class and which predominate over questions affecting any individual Class member. These common questions of law and fact include, without limitation:
  - a. Whether Defendant violated various provisions of the FDCPA;
  - b. Whether Plaintiff and the Class have been injured by Defendant's conduct;
  - c. Whether Plaintiff and the Class have sustained damages and are entitled to restitution as a result of Defendant's wrongdoing and if so, what is the proper measure and appropriate statutory formula to be applied in determining such damages and restitution; and
  - d. Whether Plaintiff and the Class are entitled to declaratory and/or

injunctive relief.

- Plaintiff's claims are typical of the Class, which all arise from the same operative facts and are based on the same legal theories.
- Plaintiff has no interest adverse or antagonistic to the interest of the other members of the Class.
- Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interest of the Class and has retained experienced and competent attorneys to represent the Class.
- A Class Action is superior to other methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the claims herein asserted. Plaintiff anticipates that no unusual difficulties are likely to be encountered in the management of this class action.
- A Class Action will permit large numbers of similarly situated persons to
  prosecute their common claims in a single forum simultaneously and without the
  duplication of effort and expense that numerous individual actions would
  engender. Class treatment will also permit the adjudication of relatively small
  claims by many Class members who could not otherwise afford to seek legal
  redress for the wrongs complained of herein. Absent a Class Action, class
  members will continue to suffer losses of statutory protected rights as well as
  monetary damages. If Defendant's conduct is allowed proceed to without remedy
  they will continue to reap and retain the proceeds of their ill-gotten gains.
- Defendant has acted on grounds generally applicable to the entire Class, thereby making appropriate final injunctive relief or corresponding declaratory relief with respect to the Class as a whole.

#### ALLEGATIONS OF FACT

- 14. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs numbered "1" through "13" herein with the same force and effect as if the same were set forth at length herein.
- 15. Upon information and belief, Defendant, on behalf of a third-party, began efforts to collect an alleged consumer debt from Plaintiff.
- 16. Defendant was attempting to collect on Plaintiff's purportedly overdue account with North Shore University Hospital.
- On June 5, 2017, in an effort to begin collecting on this debt, Defendant send Plaintiff a Collection Letter. See Exhibit A.
- Thereafter, on or around July 14, 2017, Plaintiff called Defendant to inquire about the alleged debt.
- 19. A representative of Defendant answered the phone and identified himself as "Marshanda."
- 20. During that phone call, Plaintiff authorized her representative (hereinafter "Plaintiff"), to discuss the status of the debt with Defendant.
- 21. After discussing Plaintiff's debt at length, and only after Plaintiff's representative stated that Plaintiff disagreed with the balance and asked to dispute the debt, Defendant stated that Plaintiff needed to contact Defendant directly.
- 22. Plaintiff iterated that she had already been authorized to discuss the debt and asked whether she can merely dispute the debt over the phone, to which Defendant responded: "It can be verbal, but she just *needs to give us a reason why*" (emphasis added).
- 23. As set forth in the following Counts Defendant violated the FDCPA.

#### **<u>First Count</u>** 15 U.S.C. §1692e *et seq.* False and Misleading Representations

- 24. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs numbered "1" through "23" herein with the same force and effect as if the same were set forth at length herein.
- 25. Defendant's debt collection efforts attempted and/or directed towards Plaintiff violated various provisions of the FDCPA, including but not limited to § 1692(e) by using false, deceptive, and misleading representations in connection with the collection of a debt.
- 26. Defendant violated said section by requiring a reason for the dispute.
- 27. The FDCPA does not require the consumer to provide any reason at all in order to dispute a debt.<sup>1</sup>
- 28. As the Second Circuit opined, "a debt collector cannot require a consumer to have a valid reason or to submit particular types of documentation in order to dispute a debt." *DeSantis v. Computer Credit, Inc.*, 269 F.3d 159, 162 (2d Cir. 2001).
- 29. Upon information and belief, Defendant and its employees, as a matter of procedural practice and pattern never intend to follow through with the validation rights they purportedly provide in the initial communication.
- 30. Upon information and belief, Defendant and its employees, when receiving written disputes as a matter of procedural practice and pattern do not provide verification of debts since they maintain all disputes must be submitted with a valid reason.
- 31. Upon information and belief, Defendant and its employees, intentionally denied Plaintiff his

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Sambor v. Omnia Credit Servs., 183 F. Supp. 2d 1234 (D. Haw. 2002), Mendez v. M.R.S. Assoc., 2004 WL 1745779 \*2 (N.D. Ill. Aug. 3, 2004). (A consumer is entitled to dispute the validity of a debt for a good reason, a bad reason, or no reason at all), Whitten v. ARS National Servs. Inc., 2002 WL 1050320 \*4 (N.D. 111 May 23, 2002). (Imposing a requirement that a consumer have a `valid' reason to dispute the debt is inconsistent with FDCPA), Castro v. ARS National Servs., Inc., 2000 WL 264310 (S.D.N.Y. Mar. 8, 2000), Frey v. Satter, Beyer & Spires., 1999 WL 301650 (N.D. Ill. May 3, 1999), DeSantis v. Computer Credit, Inc., 269 f.3d 159 (2nd Cir. 2001), Mejia v. Marauder Corporation., 2007 WL 806486 (N.D. Cal. 2007). (Unlawful to suggest that proof of payment required for dispute).

#### Case 1:17-cv-06381 Document 1 Filed 11/01/17 Page 7 of 8 PageID #: 7

dispute rights afforded to him under the FDCPA.

- 32. Upon information and belief, Defendant and its employees, wrongfully implied to Plaintiff that a dispute needs to be deemed valid in order for it to be considered a dispute.
- 33. Upon information and belief, Defendant and its employee, by intentionally denying Plaintiff and any other debtor to dispute the debt without a valid reason unfairly intimidate and force debtors in to paying disputed debts.
- 34. Defendant's employee who spoke with Plaintiff intended to speak said words to Plaintiff.
- 35. The acts and omissions of Defendant and its employees done in connection with efforts to collect a debt from Plaintiff were done intentionally and willfully.
- 36. Upon information and belief, Defendant and its employees intentionally and willfully violated the FDCPA and do so as a matter of pattern and practice by maintaining that the debtors have a valid reason to dispute any debt contrary to the FDCPA and the rights given by Defendant purportedly in the validation notice.
- 37. As an actual and proximate result of the acts and omissions of Defendant and its employees, Plaintiff has suffered actual damages and injury, including but not limited to, fear, stress, mental anguish, emotional stress, acute embarrassment and suffering for which she should be compensated in an amount to be established by a jury at trial.

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendant as follows:

- (a) Declaring that this action is properly maintainable as a Class Action and certifying Plaintiff as Class representative, and Joseph H. Mizrahi, Esq., as Class Counsel;
- (b) Awarding Plaintiff and the Class statutory damages;
- (c) Awarding Plaintiff and the Class actual damages;

- (d) Awarding Plaintiff costs of this Action, including reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses;
- (e) Awarding pre-judgment interest and post-judgment interest; and
- (f) Awarding Plaintiff and the Class such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

By: <u>/s/ Joseph H. Mizrahi</u> Joseph H. Mizrahi, Esq. Joseph H. Mizrahi Law, P.C. 300 Cadman Plaza West, 12 Floor Brooklyn, New York 11201 Phone: (917) 299-6612 Fax: (347) 665-1545 Email: Joseph@Jmizrahilaw.com *Attorney for Plaintiff* 

## **DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY**

Pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff hereby requests a

trial by jury on all issues so triable.

/s/ Joseph H. Mizrahi Joseph H. Mizrahi, Esq.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York November 1, 2017

# JS 44 (Rev. 06/17) Case 1:17-cv-06381 Document Cover Street 7 Page 1 of 2 PageID #: 9

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. *(SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)* 

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS ELIONORA SIMKHAYEV situated	, on behalf of herself	and all others simila	arly ARSTRAT L			
( <b>b</b> ) County of Residence of <i>(E2</i> )	f First Listed Plaintiff <u>G</u> <i>KCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA</i>	Queens ASES)		(IN	st Listed Defendant <i>U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES O</i> MNATION CASES, USE TI AND INVOLVED.	
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A	Address, and Telephone Numbe	r)	Attorneys (I	<sup>c</sup> Known)		
JOSEPH H. MIZRAHI LA NY 11201, (917) 299-661		Plz W, 12 Fl, Brool	klyn,			
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in C	One Box Only)			CIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff
□ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government)	Not a Party)	(For Diversity Cas	PTF	DEF 1 Incorporated or Pri of Business In T	
2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	□ 2	Incorporated and F of Business In A	
			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country		□ 3 Foreign Nation	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		aly)	FORFEITURE/PEN	ALTY	Click here for: <u>Nature of</u> BANKRUPTCY	of Suit Code Descriptions. OTHER STATUTES
<ul> <li>CONTRACT</li> <li>110 Insurance</li> <li>120 Marine</li> <li>130 Miller Act</li> <li>140 Negotiable Instrument</li> <li>150 Recovery of Overpayment &amp; Enforcement of Judgment</li> <li>151 Medicare Act</li> <li>152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans)</li> <li>153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits</li> <li>160 Stockholders' Suits</li> <li>190 Other Contract</li> <li>195 Contract Product Liability</li> <li>196 Franchise</li> </ul> <b>REAL PROPERTY</b> <ul> <li>210 Land Condemnation</li> <li>220 Foreclosure</li> <li>230 Rent Lease &amp; Ejectment</li> <li>245 Tort Product Liability</li> <li>290 All Other Real Property</li> </ul>	PERSONAL INJURY ☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 315 Airplane Product Liability ☐ 320 Assault, Libel &	PERSONAL INJUR  PERSONAL INJUR  Gamma Stress	Y       □       625 Drug Related Seio of Property 21 U         □       690 Other         □       690 Other         □       710 Fair Labor Stand Act         □       720 Labor/Management Relations         □       740 Railway Labor A         □       751 Family and Media Leave Act         NS       □         □       790 Other Labor Litig         □       791 Employee Retire Income Security         □       1462 Naturalization A	zure   42 SC 881   42   82   83   83   83   83   83   84   86 ards   86 ards   86 ct   86 ct   86 ct   87 N   87   87	BANKKUFTCH     B	<ul> <li>OTHER STATUTES</li> <li>375 False Claims Act</li> <li>376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a))</li> <li>400 State Reapportionment</li> <li>410 Antitrust</li> <li>430 Banks and Banking</li> <li>450 Commerce</li> <li>460 Deportation</li> <li>470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations</li> <li><b>X</b> 480 Consumer Credit</li> <li>490 Cable/Sat TV</li> <li>850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange</li> <li>890 Other Statutory Actions</li> <li>891 Agricultural Acts</li> <li>893 Environmental Matters</li> <li>895 Freedom of Information Act</li> <li>896 Arbitration</li> <li>899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision</li> <li>950 Constitutionality of State Statutes</li> </ul>
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in				•		
		Remanded from Appellate Court	☐ 4 Reinstated or ☐ 5 Reopened	Another Dist		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	DN 15 USC 1692 Brief description of ca Defendant violate	ause: ed the FDCPA	re filing (Do not cite jurisdice	(specify) tional statutes un	nless diversity):	
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2	IS A <b>CLASS ACTION</b> 3, F.R.Cv.P.	N DEMAND \$		JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint:
VIII. RELATED CASH IF ANY	<b>E(S)</b> (See instructions):	JUDGE		D	OCKET NUMBER	
DATE 11/01/2017 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		signature of at /s/ Joseph H. N	torney of record Aizrahi			
	40UNT	APPLYING IFP	JI	UDGE	MAG. JUD	DGE

## Case 1:17-cv-06381 Document 1-1 Filed 11/01/17 Page 2 of 2 PageID #: 10 CERTIFICATION OF ARBITRATION ELIGIBILITY

Local Arbitration Rule 83.10 provides that with certain exceptions, actions seeking money damages only in an amount not in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs, are eligible for compulsory arbitration. The amount of damages is presumed to be below the threshold amount unless a certification to the contrary is filed.

I,	JOSEPH H. MIZRAHI	, counsel for	PLAINTIFF	, do hereby certify that the above captioned civil action is
in	eligible for compulsory arbi	tration for the	e following reason(s):	

- $\mathbf{X}$ monetary damages sought are in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs,
- the complaint seeks injunctive relief,
- Question of law rather than question of the matter is otherwise ineligible for the following reason  $\mathbf{X}$ fact predominates **DISCLOSURE STATEMENT - FEDERAL RULES CIVIL PROCEDURE 7.1**

NONE

Identify any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation that owns 10% or more or its stocks:

#### **RELATED CASE STATEMENT (Section VIII on the Front of this Form)**

Please list all cases that are arguably related pursuant to Division of Business Rule 50.3.1 in Section VIII on the front of this form. Rule 50.3.1 (a) provides that "A civil case is "related" to another civil case for purposes of this guideline when, because of the similarity of facts and legal issues or because the cases arise from the same transactions or events, a substantial saving of judicial resources is likely to result from assigning both cases to the same judge and magistrate judge." Rule 50.3.1 (b) provides that "A civil case shall not be deemed "related" to another civil case merely because the civil case: (A) involves identical legal issues, or (B) involves the same parties." Rule 50.3.1 (c) further provides that "Presumptively, and subject to the power of a judge to determine otherwise pursuant to paragraph (d), civil cases shall not be deemed to be "related" unless both cases are still pending before the court."

#### NY-E DIVISION OF BUSINESS RULE 50.1(d)(2)

- Is the civil action being filed in the Eastern District removed from a New York State Court located in Nassau or Suffolk 1.) County: NO
- 2.) If you answered "no" above: a) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in Nassau or Suffolk County?<sup>NO</sup>

b) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in the Eastern District?YES

If your answer to question 2 (b) is "No," does the defendant (or a majority of the defendants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County, or, in an interpleader action, does the claimant (or a majority of the claimants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County?

(Note: A corporation shall be considered a resident of the County in which it has the most significant contacts).

#### **BAR ADMISSION**

I am currently admitted in the Eastern District of New York and currently a member in good standing of the bar of this court. X Yes No

Are you currently the subject of any disciplinary action (s) in this or any other state or federal court? (If yes, please explain)

	5
X	No

I certify the accuracy of all information provided above.

Yes

Signature: /s/ Joseph H. Mizrahi

Case 1:17-cv-06381 Document 1-2 Filed 11/01/17 Page 1 of 2 PageID #: 11

AO 440 (Rev. 12/09) Summons in a Civil Action

# **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

for the

Eastern District of New York

)

))

) ) )

ELIONORA SIMKHAYEV, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated

Plaintiff

V. ARSTRAT LLC Civil Action No.

Defendant

#### SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address) PENN CREDIT CORPORATION CORPORATION SERVICE COMPANY 80 STATE STREET ALBANY, NEW YORK 12207

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are:

JOSEPH H. MIZRAHI LAW, P.C. 300 CADMAN PLAZA WEST 12TH FLOOR BROOKLYN, NY 11201

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

CLERK OF COURT

Date:

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

# Case 1:17-cv-06381 Document 1-2 Filed 11/01/17 Page 2 of 2 PageID #: 12

AO 440 (Rev. 12/09) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

#### **PROOF OF SERVICE**

## (This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

	This summons for (no	ame of individual and title,	if any)			
was re	ceived by me on (date)					
	□ I personally serve	d the summons on the	individual at (place)			
				on (date)	; or	
	□ I left the summon	s at the individual's re-	sidence or usual pla	ce of abode with (name)		
			-	le age and discretion who resid		
	on (date)	, and mailed	d a copy to the indiv	vidual's last known address; or		
	$\Box$ I served the summ	nons on (name of individu	<i>al</i> )			, who is
	designated by law to	accept service of proc	ess on behalf of (na	me of organization)		
				on (date)	; or	
	$\Box$ I returned the sum	mons unexecuted beca	ause			; or
	<b>Other</b> ( <i>specify</i> ):					
	My fees are \$	for travel an	nd \$	_ for services, for a total of \$	0.0	. 00
	I declare under penal	lty of perjury that this	information is true.			
Date:						
Duter				Server's signature		
				Printed name and title		

Server's address

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

Case 1:17-cv-06381 Document 1-3 Filed 11/01/17 Page 1 of 1 PageID #: 13

Arstrat 9800 Centre Parkway Suite 1100 Houston, TX 77036

#### CHANGE SERVICE REQUESTED

June 5, 2017



Web: https://usapaymentexchange.com/ARS Toll Free: (866) 763-2906

#### SEND PAYMENTS TO:

ArStrat, LLC P.O. Box 33720 Detroit, MI 48232-3720 դրդկոլոլիսուլու (լ.), հերթերին (լ.), հերթերին (լ.), հերթերին (լ.), հերթերին (լ.), հերթերին (լ.), հերթերին (լ.),

Account #:	328-0
Reference #:	1319
Balance Due:	\$553.07

Client Name	Account Number	Balance Due	Date of Service
North Shore University Hosp-Manhasset	<b>328-0</b>	\$553.07	02/01/17

#### Dear ELIONORA SIMKHAYEV,

North Shore University Hosp-Manhasset has placed this account with our office for collection.

Unless you notify this office within thirty (30) days after receiving this notice that you dispute the validity of the debt or any portion thereof, this office will assume the debt to be valid. If you notify this office in writing within the thirty (30) day period that the debt or any portion thereof is disputed, this office will obtain verification of the debt or a copy of a judgment and mail you a copy of such verification or judgment. If you request this office in writing within the thirty (30) day period you with the name and address of the original creditor, if different from the current creditor. This is an attempt to collect a debt and any information we obtain will be used for that purpose.

To pay by phone, please call 866-763-2906 and follow the automated prompts. To pay via the internet, please log onto <u>https://usapaymentexchange.com/ARS</u> or scan the barcode below and follow the website's payment instructions.

This is an attempt to collect a debt and any information obtained will be used for that purpose. This notice has been sent by a debt collector.

The above creditor has referred the above account to us for collection. This account had previously been placed with Ingram & Associates. The creditor has now engaged ARSTRAT to collect this account.

If you have any questions or would like to discuss this matter, please contact us at 1-866-763-2906. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely, Brunella Eaglin 1-866-763-2906 Recovery Analyst

Debt Collectors, in accordance with the FDCPA, are prohibited from engaging in abusive, deceptive, and unfair debt collection efforts, including but not limited to:

•The use of threat of violence • The use of obscene or profane language • Repeated phone calls made with the intent to annoy, abuse, or harass.

If a creditor or debt collector receives a money judgment against you in court, state and federal laws may prevent the following types of income from being taken to pay the debt:

- 1. Supplemental security income, (SSI); 3. Public assistance (welfare);
- 2. Social security;
- 4. Spousal support, maintenance (alimony) or child support;
- 5. Unemployment benefits;
- 7. Workers' compensation benefits;
- 9. Veterans' benefits;

- 6. Disability benefits;
- Public or private pensions;
- 10. Federal student loans, federal student grants, and federal work study funds; and

11. Ninety percent of your wages or salary earned in the last sixty days.

20NREGC011400

SCAN FOR MOBILE PAYMENT



# **ClassAction.org**

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Suit Claims Arstrat Unlawfully Demands Explanation for Consumer Debt Dispute</u>