MICHAEL FAILLACE & ASSOCIATES, P.C. 60 East 42nd Street, Suite 4510 New York, New York 10165 Telephone: (212) 317-1200 Facsimile: (212) 317-1620

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

JAIME VICTORIANO SIGUENZA RODRIGUEZ and REYNALDO MUNOZ FLORES, individually and on behalf of others similarly situated,

COMPLAINT

Plaintiffs,

-against-

COLLECTIVE ACTION UNDER 29 U.S.C. § 216(b)

WHITESTONE MARBLE & GRANITE CORP. (D/B/A WHITESTONE MARBLE & GRANITE), CRISTOBAL MORENO, and OSVALDO MORENO,

ECF Case

Defendants.
 <u>X</u>

Plaintiffs Jaime Victoriano Siguenza Rodriguez and Reynaldo Munoz Flores, individually and on behalf of others similarly situated (collectively, "Plaintiffs"), by and through their attorneys, Michael Faillace & Associates, P.C., upon their knowledge and belief, and as against Whitestone Marble & Granite Corp. (d/b/a Whitestone Marble & Granite), ("Defendant Corporation"), Cristobal Moreno and Osvaldo Moreno, ("Individual Defendants"), (collectively, "Defendants"), allege as follows:

NATURE OF ACTION

1. Plaintiffs are former employees of Defendants Whitestone Marble & Granite Corp. (d/b/a Whitestone Marble & Granite), Cristobal Moreno, and Osvaldo Moreno.

- 2. Defendants own, operate, or control a construction company, located at 25 Montauk Av., Brooklyn, NY 11208 under the name "Whitestone Marble & Granite".
- 3. Upon information and belief, individual Defendants Cristobal Moreno and Osvaldo Moreno, serve or served as owners, managers, principals, or agents of Defendant Corporation and, through this corporate entity, operate or operated the construction corporation as a joint or unified enterprise.
- 4. Plaintiffs were employed as a chauffeur and a polisher at the construction corporation located at 25 Montauk Av., Brooklyn, NY 11208.
- 5. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Plaintiffs worked for Defendants in excess of 40 hours per week, without appropriate minimum wage and overtime compensation for the hours that they worked.
- 6. Rather, Defendants failed to maintain accurate recordkeeping of the hours worked, failed to pay Plaintiffs appropriately for any hours worked, either at the straight rate of pay or for any additional overtime premium.
 - 7. Furthermore, Defendants repeatedly failed to pay Plaintiffs wages on a timely basis.
- 8. Defendants' conduct extended beyond Plaintiffs to all other similarly situated employees.
- 9. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Defendants maintained a policy and practice of requiring Plaintiffs and other employees to work in excess of forty (40) hours per week without providing the minimum wage and overtime compensation required by federal and state law and regulations.
- 10. Plaintiffs now bring this action on behalf of themselves, and other similarly situated individuals, for unpaid minimum and overtime wages pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act of

1938, 29 U.S.C. § 201 et seq. ("FLSA"), and for violations of the N.Y. Labor Law §§ 190 et seq. and 650 et seq. (the "NYLL"), including applicable liquidated damages, interest, attorneys' fees and costs.

11. Plaintiffs seek certification of this action as a collective action on behalf of themselves, individually, and all other similarly situated employees and former employees of Defendants pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 12. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1331 (federal question) and the FLSA, and supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiffs' state law claims under 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a).
- 13. Venue is proper in this district under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) and (c) because all, or a substantial portion of, the events or omissions giving rise to the claims occurred in this district, Defendants maintain their corporate headquarters and offices within this district, and Defendants operate a construction company located in this district. Further, Plaintiffs were employed by Defendants in this district.

PARTIES

Plaintiffs

- 14. Plaintiff Jaime Victoriano Siguenza Rodriguez ("Plaintiff Siguenza" or "Mr. Siguenza") is an adult individual residing in Kings County, New York.
- 15. Plaintiff Siguenza was employed by Defendants at "Whitestone Marble Granite Corp." from approximately August 1, 2017 until on or about April 16, 2018.
- 16. Plaintiff Reynaldo Munoz Flores ("Plaintiff Munoz" or "Mr. Munoz") is an adult individual residing in Kings County, New York.

17. Plaintiff Munoz was employed by Defendants at "Whitestone Marble Granite Corp." from approximately May 1, 2017 until on or about July 6, 2018.

Defendants

- 18. At all relevant times, Defendants own, operate, or control a construction company, located at 25 Montauk Av., Brooklyn, NY 11208 under the name "Whitestone Marble & Granite".
- 19. Upon information and belief, Whitestone Marble & Granite Corp. (d/b/a Whitestone Marble & Granite) is a domestic corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of New York. Upon information and belief, it maintains its principal place of business at 25 Montauk Av., Brooklyn, NY 11208.
- 20. Defendant Cristobal Moreno is an individual engaging (or who was engaged) in business in this judicial district during the relevant time period. Defendant Cristobal Moreno is sued individually in his capacity as owner, officer and/or agent of Defendant Corporation. Defendant Cristobal Moreno possesses operational control over Defendant Corporation, an ownership interest in Defendant Corporation, and controls significant functions of Defendant Corporation. He determines the wages and compensation of the employees of Defendants, including Plaintiffs, establishes the schedules of the employees, maintains employee records, and has the authority to hire and fire employees.
- 21. Defendant Osvaldo Moreno is an individual engaging (or who was engaged) in business in this judicial district during the relevant time period. Defendant Osvaldo Moreno is sued individually in his capacity as owner, officer and/or agent of Defendant Corporation. Defendant Osvaldo Moreno possesses operational control over Defendant Corporation, an ownership interest in Defendant Corporation, and controls significant functions of Defendant Corporation. He determines the wages and compensation of the employees of Defendants, including Plaintiffs,

establishes the schedules of the employees, maintains employee records, and has the authority to hire and fire employees.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

Defendants Constitute Joint Employers

- 22. Defendants operate a construction company located in the Cypress Hill section of Brooklyn in New York.
- 23. Individual Defendants, Cristobal Moreno and Osvaldo Moreno, possess operational control over Defendant Corporation, possess ownership interests in Defendant Corporation, and control significant functions of Defendant Corporation.
- 24. Defendants are associated and joint employers, act in the interest of each other with respect to employees, pay employees by the same method, and share control over the employees.
- 25. Each Defendant possessed substantial control over Plaintiffs' (and other similarly situated employees') working conditions, and over the policies and practices with respect to the employment and compensation of Plaintiffs, and all similarly situated individuals, referred to herein.
- 26. Defendants jointly employed Plaintiffs (and all similarly situated employees) and are Plaintiffs' (and all similarly situated employees') employers within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. 201 *et seq.* and the NYLL.
- 27. In the alternative, Defendants constitute a single employer of Plaintiffs and/or similarly situated individuals.
- 28. Upon information and belief, Individual Defendants Cristobal Moreno and Osvaldo Moreno operate Defendant Corporation as either an alter ego of themselves and/or failed to operate

Defendant Corporation as an entity legally separate and apart from themselves, by among other things:

- a) failing to adhere to the corporate formalities necessary to operate Defendant Corporation as a Corporation,
- b) defectively forming or maintaining the corporate entity of Defendant Corporation,
 by, amongst other things, failing to hold annual meetings or maintaining appropriate corporate records,
- c) transferring assets and debts freely as between all Defendants,
- d) operating Defendant Corporation for their own benefit as the sole or majority shareholders,
- e) operating Defendant Corporation for their own benefit and maintaining control over this corporation as a closed Corporation,
- f) intermingling assets and debts of their own with Defendant Corporation,
- g) diminishing and/or transferring assets of Defendant Corporation to avoid full liability as necessary to protect their own interests, and
- h) Other actions evincing a failure to adhere to the corporate form.
- 29. At all relevant times, Defendants were Plaintiffs' employers within the meaning of the FLSA and New York Labor Law. Defendants had the power to hire and fire Plaintiffs, controlled the terms and conditions of employment, and determined the rate and method of any compensation in exchange for Plaintiffs' services.
- 30. In each year from 2017 to 2018, Defendants, both separately and jointly, had a gross annual volume of sales of not less than \$500,000 (exclusive of excise taxes at the retail level that are separately stated).

31. In addition, upon information and belief, Defendants and/or their enterprise were directly engaged in interstate commerce. As an example, numerous items that were used in the construction corporation on a daily basis are goods produced outside of the State of New York.

Individual Plaintiffs

- 32. Plaintiffs are former employees of Defendants who were employed as a chauffeur and a polisher.
- 33. Plaintiffs seek to represent a class of similarly situated individuals under 29 U.S.C. 216(b).

Plaintiff Jaime Victoriano Siguenza Rodriguez

- 34. Plaintiff Siguenza was employed by Defendants from approximately August 1, 2017 until on or about April 16, 2018.
 - 35. Defendants employed Plaintiff Siguenza as a chauffeur.
- 36. Plaintiff Siguenza regularly handled goods in interstate commerce, such as construction materials and other supplies produced outside the State of New York.
- 37. Plaintiff Siguenza's work duties required neither discretion nor independent judgment.
- 38. Throughout his employment with Defendants, Plaintiff Siguenza regularly worked in excess of 40 hours per week.
- 39. From approximately August 1, 2017 until on or about April 16, 2018, Plaintiff Siguenza worked from approximately 7:00 a.m. until on or about 7:30 p.m., 5 days a week and from approximately 7:00 a.m. until on or about 6:00 p.m., one day a week (typically 73.5 hours per week).
 - 40. Throughout his employment, Defendants paid Plaintiff Siguenza his wages in cash.

- 41. From approximately August 1, 2017 until on or about April 16, 2018, Defendants paid Plaintiff Siguenza a fixed salary of \$750 per week.
 - 42. Defendants never granted Plaintiff Siguenza any breaks or meal periods of any kind.
- 43. Plaintiff Siguenza was not required to keep track of his time, nor to his knowledge, did the Defendants utilize any time tracking device such as punch cards, that accurately reflected his actual hours worked.
 - 44. Defendants took improper and illegal deductions from Plaintiff Siguenza's wages.
- 45. Specifically, defendants deducted approximately \$270 from Plaintiff Siguenza's wages for tools that were broken or damaged at the work place.
- 46. No notification, either in the form of posted notices or other means, was ever given to Plaintiff Siguenza regarding overtime and wages under the FLSA and NYLL.
- 47. Defendants did not provide Plaintiff Siguenza an accurate statement of wages, as required by NYLL 195(3).
- 48. Defendants did not give any notice to Plaintiff Siguenza, in English and in Spanish (Plaintiff Siguenza's primary language), of his rate of pay, employer's regular pay day, and such other information as required by NYLL §195(1).

Plaintiff Reynaldo Munoz Flores

- 49. Plaintiff Munoz was employed by Defendants from approximately May 1, 2017 until on or about July 6, 2018.
 - 50. Defendants employed Plaintiff Munoz as a polisher.
- 51. Plaintiff Munoz regularly handled goods in interstate commerce, such as construction materials and other supplies produced outside the State of New York.
 - 52. Plaintiff Munoz's work duties required neither discretion nor independent judgment.

- 53. Throughout his employment with Defendants, Plaintiff Munoz regularly worked in excess of 40 hours per week.
- 54. From approximately May 1, 2017 until on or about July 6, 2018, Plaintiff Munoz worked from approximately 7:00 a.m. until on or about 7:00 p.m. to 7:30 p.m., 6 days a week (typically 69 to 72 hours per week).
- 55. From approximately May 1, 2017 until on or about April 2018, Defendants paid Plaintiff Munoz his wages in cash.
- 56. From approximately May 2018 until on or about July 6, 2018, Defendants paid Plaintiff Munoz his wages by personal check.
- 57. From approximately May 1, 2017 until on or about July 6, 2018, Defendants paid Plaintiff Munoz a fixed salary of \$720 per week.
- 58. For approximately 6 hours of his work, Defendants did not pay Plaintiff Munoz any wages for his work.
 - 59. Defendants never granted Plaintiff Munoz any breaks or meal periods of any kind.
- 60. Plaintiff Munoz was not required to keep track of his time, nor to his knowledge, did the Defendants utilize any time tracking device such as punch cards, that accurately reflected his actual hours worked.
 - 61. Defendants took improper and illegal deductions from Plaintiff Munoz's wages.
- 62. Specifically, defendants deducted money from Plaintiff Munoz's wages for tools that had disappeared or were damaged at the work place.
- 63. No notification, either in the form of posted notices or other means, was ever given to Plaintiff Munoz regarding overtime and wages under the FLSA and NYLL.

- 64. Defendants did not provide Plaintiff Munoz an accurate statement of wages, as required by NYLL 195(3).
- 65. Defendants did not give any notice to Plaintiff Munoz, in English and in Spanish (Plaintiff Munoz's primary language), of his rate of pay, employer's regular pay day, and such other information as required by NYLL §195(1).

Defendants' General Employment Practices

- 66. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Defendants maintained a policy and practice of requiring Plaintiffs (and all similarly situated employees) to work in excess of 40 hours a week without paying them appropriate minimum wage and overtime compensation as required by federal and state laws.
- 67. Plaintiffs were victims of Defendants' common policy and practices which violate their rights under the FLSA and New York Labor Law by, *inter alia*, not paying them the wages they were owed for the hours they worked.
- 68. Defendants' pay practices resulted in Plaintiffs not receiving payment for all their hours worked, and resulted in Plaintiffs' effective rate of pay falling below the required minimum wage rate.
- 69. Defendants willfully disregarded and purposefully evaded recordkeeping requirements of the FLSA and NYLL by failing to maintain accurate and complete timesheets and payroll records.
 - 70. Defendants paid Plaintiffs their wages in cash and by personal checks.
- 71. Defendants failed to post at the workplace, or otherwise provide to employees, the required postings or notices to employees regarding the applicable wage and hour requirements of the FLSA and NYLL.

- 72. Upon information and belief, these practices by Defendants were done willfully to disguise the actual number of hours Plaintiffs (and similarly situated individuals) worked, and to avoid paying Plaintiffs properly for their full hours worked.
- 73. Defendants engaged in their unlawful conduct pursuant to a corporate policy of minimizing labor costs and denying employees compensation by knowingly violating the FLSA and NYLL.
- 74. Defendants' unlawful conduct was intentional, willful, in bad faith, and caused significant damages to Plaintiffs and other similarly situated former workers.
- 75. Defendants failed to provide Plaintiffs and other employees with accurate wage statements at the time of their payment of wages, containing: the dates of work covered by that payment of wages; name of employee; name of employer; address and phone number of employer; rate or rates of pay and basis thereof, whether paid by the hour, shift, day, week, salary, piece, commission, or other; gross wages; deductions; allowances, if any, claimed as part of the minimum wage; net wages; the regular hourly rate or rates of pay; the overtime rate or rates of pay; the number of regular hours worked; and the number of overtime hours worked, as required by NYLL §195(3).
- 76. Defendants failed to provide Plaintiffs and other employees, at the time of hiring and on or before February 1 of each subsequent year, a statement in English and the employees' primary language, containing: the rate or rates of pay and basis thereof, whether paid by the hour, shift, day, week, salary, piece, commission, or other; allowances, if any, claimed as part of the minimum wage, including tip, meal, or lodging allowances; the regular pay day designated by the employer; the name of the employer; any "doing business as" names used by the employer; the physical address of the employer's main office or principal place of business, and a mailing address

if different; and the telephone number of the employer, as required by New York Labor Law \$195(1).

FLSA COLLECTIVE ACTION CLAIMS

- 77. Plaintiffs bring their FLSA minimum wage, overtime compensation, and liquidated damages claims as a collective action pursuant to FLSA Section 16(b), 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), on behalf of all similarly situated persons (the "FLSA Class members"), i.e., persons who are or were employed by Defendants or any of them, on or after the date that is three years before the filing of the complaint in this case (the "FLSA Class Period").
- 78. At all relevant times, Plaintiffs and other members of the FLSA Class were similarly situated in that they had substantially similar job requirements and pay provisions, and have been subject to Defendants' common practices, policies, programs, procedures, protocols and plans including willfully failing and refusing to pay them the required minimum wage, overtime pay at a one and one-half their regular rates for work in excess of forty (40) hours per workweek under the FLSA, and willfully failing to keep records as required under the FLSA.
 - 79. The claims of Plaintiffs stated herein are similar to those of the other employees.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

VIOLATION OF THE MINIMUM WAGE PROVISIONS OF THE FLSA

- 80. Plaintiffs repeat and reallege all paragraphs above as though fully set forth herein.
- 81. At all times relevant to this action, Defendants were Plaintiffs' employers within the meaning of the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 203(d). Defendants had the power to hire and fire Plaintiffs (and the FLSA Class Members), controlled the terms and conditions of their employment, and determined the rate and method of any compensation in exchange for their employment.

- 82. At all times relevant to this action, Defendants were engaged in commerce or in an industry or activity affecting commerce.
- 83. Defendants constitute an enterprise within the meaning of the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 203 (r-s).
- 84. Defendants failed to pay Plaintiffs (and the FLSA Class members) at the applicable minimum hourly rate, in violation of 29 U.S.C. § 206(a).
- 85. Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiffs (and the FLSA Class members) at the applicable minimum hourly rate was willful within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 255(a).
- 86. Plaintiffs (and the FLSA Class members) were damaged in an amount to be determined at trial.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

VIOLATION OF THE OVERTIME PROVISIONS OF THE FLSA

- 87. Plaintiffs repeat and reallege all paragraphs above as though fully set forth herein.
- 88. Defendants, in violation of 29 U.S.C. § 207(a)(1), failed to pay Plaintiffs (and the FLSA Class members) overtime compensation at a rate of one and one-half times the regular rate of pay for each hour worked in excess of forty hours in a work week.
- 89. Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiffs (and the FLSA Class members), overtime compensation was willful within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 255(a).
- 90. Plaintiffs (and the FLSA Class members) were damaged in an amount to be determined at trial.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

VIOLATION OF THE NEW YORK MINIMUM WAGE ACT

91. Plaintiffs repeat and reallege all paragraphs above as though fully set forth herein.

- 92. At all times relevant to this action, Defendants were Plaintiffs' employers within the meaning of the N.Y. Lab. Law §§ 2 and 651. Defendants had the power to hire and fire Plaintiffs, controlled the terms and conditions of their employment, and determined the rates and methods of any compensation in exchange for their employment.
- 93. Defendants, in violation of NYLL § 652(1) and the supporting regulations of the New York State Department of Labor, paid Plaintiffs less than the minimum wage.
- 94. Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiffs the minimum wage was willful within the meaning of N.Y. Lab. Law § 663.
 - 95. Plaintiffs were damaged in an amount to be determined at trial.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

VIOLATION OF THE OVERTIME PROVISIONS

OF THE NEW YORK STATE LABOR LAW

- 96. Plaintiffs repeat and reallege all paragraphs above as though fully set forth herein.
- 97. Defendants, in violation of N.Y. Lab. Law § 190 *et seq.*, and supporting regulations of the New York State Department of Labor, failed to pay Plaintiffs overtime compensation at rates of one and one-half times the regular rate of pay for each hour worked in excess of forty hours in a work week.
- 98. Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiffs overtime compensation was willful within the meaning of N.Y. Lab. Law § 663.
 - 99. Plaintiffs were damaged in an amount to be determined at trial.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

VIOLATION OF THE NOTICE AND RECORDKEEPING
REQUIREMENTS OF THE NEW YORK LABOR LAW

- 100. Plaintiffs repeat and reallege all paragraphs above as though fully set forth herein.
- 101. Defendants failed to provide Plaintiffs with a written notice, in English and in Spanish (Plaintiffs' primary language), containing: the rate or rates of pay and basis thereof, whether paid by the hour, shift, day, week, salary, piece, commission, or other; allowances, if any, claimed as part of the minimum wage, including tip, meal, or lodging allowances; the regular pay day designated by the employer; the name of the employer; any "doing business as" names used by the employer; the physical address of the employer's main office or principal place of business, and a mailing address if different; and the telephone number of the employer, as required by NYLL §195(1).
- 102. Defendants are liable to each Plaintiff in the amount of \$5,000, together with costs and attorneys' fees.

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

VIOLATION OF THE WAGE STATEMENT PROVISIONS

OF THE NEW YORK LABOR LAW

- 103. Plaintiffs repeat and reallege all paragraphs above as though fully set forth herein.
- 104. With each payment of wages, Defendants failed to provide Plaintiffs with an accurate statement listing each of the following: the dates of work covered by that payment of wages; name of employee; name of employer; address and phone number of employer; rate or rates of pay and basis thereof, whether paid by the hour, shift, day, week, salary, piece, commission, or other; gross wages; deductions; allowances, if any, claimed as part of the minimum wage; net wages; the regular hourly rate or rates of pay; the overtime rate or rates of pay; the number of regular hours worked; and the number of overtime hours worked, as required by NYLL 195(3).

105. Defendants are liable to each Plaintiff in the amount of \$5,000, together with costs and attorneys' fees.

SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

VIOLATION OF THE TIMELY PAYMENT PROVISIONS

OF THE NEW YORK LABOR LAW

- 106. Plaintiffs repeat and reallege all paragraphs above as though set forth fully herein.
- 107. Defendants did not pay Plaintiffs on a regular weekly basis, in violation of NYLL §191.
 - 108. Defendants are liable to each Plaintiff in an amount to be determined at trial.

EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION

UNLAWFUL DEDUCTIONS FROM WAGES IN VIOLATION

OF THE NEW YORK LABOR LAW

- 109. Plaintiffs repeat and reallege all paragraphs above as though fully set forth herein.
- 110. At all relevant times, Defendants were Plaintiffs' employers within the meaning of the N.Y. Lab. Law §§ 2 and 651.
- 111. Defendants made unlawful deductions from plaintiffs' wages including, but not limited to, deductions for damaged or lost tools.
 - 112. The deductions made from Plaintiffs' wages were not authorized or required by law.
- 113. Through their knowing and intentional efforts to take unauthorized deductions from Plaintiffs' wages, Defendants willfully violated NYLL, Article 6, §§ 190 *et seq.*, and supporting New York State regulations.
 - 114. Plaintiffs were damaged in an amount to be determined at trial.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully request that this Court enter judgment against Defendants by:

- (a) Designating this action as a collective action and authorizing prompt issuance of notice pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) to all putative class members apprising them of the pendency of this action, and permitting them to promptly file consents to be Plaintiffs in the FLSA claims in this action;
- (b) Declaring that Defendants violated the minimum wage provisions of, and associated rules and regulations under, the FLSA as to Plaintiffs and the FLSA Class members;
- (c) Declaring that Defendants violated the overtime wage provisions of, and associated rules and regulations under, the FLSA as to Plaintiffs and the FLSA Class members;
- (d) Declaring that Defendants violated the recordkeeping requirements of, and associated rules and regulations under, the FLSA with respect to Plaintiffs' and the FLSA Class members' compensation, hours, wages, and any deductions or credits taken against wages;
- (e) Declaring that Defendants' violations of the provisions of the FLSA were willful as to Plaintiffs and the FLSA Class members;
- (f) Awarding Plaintiffs and the FLSA Class members damages for the amount of unpaid minimum wage, overtime compensation, and damages for any improper deductions or credits taken against wages under the FLSA as applicable;
- (g) Awarding Plaintiffs and the FLSA Class members liquidated damages in an amount equal to 100% of their damages for the amount of unpaid minimum wage and overtime compensation, and damages for any improper deductions or credits taken against wages under the FLSA as applicable pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b);

- (h) Declaring that Defendants violated the minimum wage provisions of, and rules and orders promulgated under, the NYLL as to Plaintiffs;
- (i) Declaring that Defendants violated the overtime wage provisions of, and rules and orders promulgated under, the NYLL as to Plaintiffs;
- (j) Declaring that Defendants violated the timely payment provisions of the NYLL as to Plaintiffs;
- (k) Declaring that Defendants violated the notice and recordkeeping requirements of the NYLL with respect to Plaintiffs' compensation, hours, wages and any deductions or credits taken against wages;
- (l) Declaring that Defendants' violations of the provisions of the NYLL were willful as to Plaintiffs;
- (m) Awarding Plaintiffs damages for the amount of unpaid minimum wage and overtime compensation, and for any improper deductions or credits taken against wages as applicable
- (n) Awarding Plaintiffs damages for Defendants' violation of the NYLL notice and recordkeeping provisions, pursuant to NYLL §§198(1-b), 198(1-d);
- (0) Awarding Plaintiffs liquidated damages in an amount equal to one hundred percent (100%) of the total amount of minimum wage and overtime compensation shown to be owed pursuant to NYLL § 663 as applicable; and liquidated damages pursuant to NYLL § 198(3);
- (p) Awarding Plaintiffs and the FLSA Class members pre-judgment and post-judgment interest as applicable;
- (q) Awarding Plaintiffs and the FLSA Class members the expenses incurred in this action, including costs and attorneys' fees;

- (r) Providing that if any amounts remain unpaid upon the expiration of ninety days following issuance of judgment, or ninety days after expiration of the time to appeal and no appeal is then pending, whichever is later, the total amount of judgment shall automatically increase by fifteen percent, as required by NYLL § 198(4); and
 - (s) All such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiffs demand a trial by jury on all issues triable by a jury.

Dated: New York, New York July 25, 2018

MICHAEL FAILLACE & ASSOCIATES, P.C.

By: /s/ Michael Faillace

Michael Faillace [MF-8436] 60 East 42nd Street, Suite 4510 New York, New York 10165 Telephone: (212) 317-1200

Facsimile: (212) 317-1620
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

MICHAEL FAILLACE & ASSOCIATES, P.C.

Employment and Litigation Attorneys

60 E 42nd Street, Suite 4510 New York, New York 10165 Telephone: (212) 317-1200 Facsimile: (212) 317-1620

Faillace@employmentcompliance.com

July 11, 2018

BY HAND

TO: Clerk of Court,

I hereby consent to join this lawsuit as a party plaintiff. (Yo, por medio de este documento, doy mi consentimiento para formar parte de la demanda como uno de los demandantes.)

Name / Nombre:

Jaime Victoriano Siguenza Rodriguez

Legal Representative / Abogado:

Michael Faillace & Associates, P.C.

Signature / Firma:

11 de julio de 2018

Date / Fecha:

MICHAEL FAILLACE & ASSOCIATES, P.C.

Employment and Litigation Attorneys

One Grand Central Place 60 E 42nd Street, Suite 4510 New York, New York 10165 Telephone: (212) 317-1200 Facsimile: (212) 317-1620 Email: Faillace@employmentcompliance.com

July 9, 2018

By HAND

To: Clerk of Court,

I hereby consent to join this lawsuit as a party plaintiff. (Yo, por medio de este documento, doy mi consentimiento para formar parte de la demanda como uno de los demandantes).

Name / Nombre:	Reynaldo Munoz-Flores
Legal Representative / Abogado:	Michael Faillace & Associates, P.C.
Signature / Firma:	
Date / Fecha:	July 9, 2018

JS 44 (Rev. 1/2013) Case 1:18-cv-04223 Document 1 VEIRO 07/25/18 Page 1 of 2 PageID #: 22

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of initiating the civil do	ocket sheet. (SEE INSTRUC	TIONS ON NEXT PAGE O	F THIS FO	PRM.)	or i, is required for the use o	of the Clerk of Court for the
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS JAIME VICTORIANO SIGMUNOZ FLORES, individ	lually and on behalf of	others similarly site	uated,	MARBLE & GRAN MORENO,	RBLE & GRANITE CO ITE), CRISTOBAL MOF	
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Kings (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				NOTE: IN LAND CO	of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) ONDEMNATION CASES, USE OF LAND INVOLVED.	*
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A Michael A. Faillace. Micha 60 East 42nd Suite 4510 New York, NY 10165				Attorneys (If Known)		
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)	III. CI	TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintif
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	■ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government)			(For Diversity Cases Only)	TF DEF 1	and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF Principal Place
2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenshi	p of Parties in Item III)	Citize	en of Another State	2 🗖 2 Incorporated and of Business In	Principal Place 5 5 5 Another State
	,			en or Subject of a reign Country	3 🗖 3 Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		ly) RTS	F(ORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	PERSONAL INJURY □ 310 Airplane □ 315 Airplane Product Liability □ 320 Assault, Libel &	PERSONAL INJUR 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITION Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Oth 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of	Y	25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 0 Other LABOR 0 Fair Labor Standards Act 10 Labor/Management Relations 10 Railway Labor Act 11 Family and Medical Leave Act 10 Other Labor Litigation 11 Employee Retirement 11 Income Security Act IMMIGRATION 12 Naturalization Application 15 Other Immigration Actions	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS □ 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent □ 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	□ 375 False Claims Act □ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations □ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange
	moved from \Box 3	Confinement Remanded from Appellate Court	J 4 Rein Reop		r District Litigatio	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	Plaintiffs seek unr	paid overtime wage use:	re filing (I es pursu	Do not cite jurisdictional stat	utes unless diversity):	8, 29 U.S.C. § 201 et seq.
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	UNDER RULE 2	IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv.P.	N D	EMAND \$	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND	y if demanded in complaint: Yes I No
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKET NUMBER	
DATE 07/25/2018		signature of attack. /s/ Michael Fail		OF RECORD		
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	AOI INT	ADDI VING IED		ШРСЕ	MAC II	UDCE

CERTIFICATION OF ARBITRATION ELIGIBILITY

Local Arbitration Rule 83.10 provides that with certain exceptions, actions seeking money damages only in an amount not in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs, are eligible for compulsory arbitration. The amount of damages is presumed to be below the threshold amount unless a certification to the contrary is filed.

I, Michael Fi	for compulsory arbitration for the following reason(s): , counsel for Plaintiffs , do hereby certify that the above captioned civil action is		
	monetary damages sought are in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs,		
	the complaint seeks injunctive relief,		
	the matter is otherwise ineligible for the following reason		
	DISCLOSURE STATEMENT - FEDERAL RULES CIVIL PROCEDURE 7.1		
	Identify any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation that owns 10% or more or its stocks:		
	RELATED CASE STATEMENT (Section VIII on the Front of this Form)		
provides the because the same judge case: (A) in	Il cases that are arguably related pursuant to Division of Business Rule 50.3.1 in Section VIII on the front of this form. Rule 50.3.1 (a) at "A civil case is "related" to another civil case for purposes of this guideline when, because of the similarity of facts and legal issues or cases arise from the same transactions or events, a substantial saving of judicial resources is likely to result from assigning both cases to the and magistrate judge." Rule 50.3.1 (b) provides that "A civil case shall not be deemed "related" to another civil case merely because the civil volves identical legal issues, or (B) involves the same parties." Rule 50.3.1 (c) further provides that "Presumptively, and subject to the power of determine otherwise pursuant to paragraph (d), civil cases shall not be deemed to be "related" unless both cases are still pending before the		
	NY-E DIVISION OF BUSINESS RULE 50.1(d)(2)		
	the civil action being filed in the Eastern District removed from a New York State Court located in Nassau or Suffolk ounty: No		
a	you answered "no" above: Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in Nassau or Suffolk ounty? No		
	Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in the Eastern pistrict? Yes		
Suffolk C	ower to question 2 (b) is "No," does the defendant (or a majority of the defendants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or bunty, or, in an interpleader action, does the claimant (or a majority of the claimants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau County? N/A		
	(Note: A corporation shall be considered a resident of the County in which it has the most significant contacts).		
BAR ADMISSION			
I am curre	ntly admitted in the Eastern District of New York and currently a member in good standing of the bar of this court. Yes		
Are you c	rrently the subject of any disciplinary action (s) in this or any other state or federal court? Yes (If yes, please explain) No		
I certify th	e accuracy of all information provided above.		

Signature: /s/ Michael Faillace

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of New York

JAIME VICTORIANO SIGUENZA RODRIGUEZ and REYNALDO MUNOZ FLORES, individually and on behalf of others similarly situated, Plaintiff(s) v. WHITESTONE MARBLE & GRANITE CORP. (D/B/A WHITESTONE MARBLE & GRANITE), CRISTOBAL MORENO, and OSVALDO MORENO, Defendant(s))))) (Civil Action No.)))))		
SUMMONS IN	A CIVIL ACTION		
To: (Defendant's name and address) Whitestone Marble & Grar c/o Whitestone Marble & C 25 Montauk Av. Brooklyn, NY 11208			
A lawsuit has been filed against you.			
Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: Michael A. Faillace MICHAEL FAILLACE & ASSOCIATES, P.C. 60 East 42nd Street, Suite 4510 New York, New York 10165			
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.			
	CLERK OF COURT		
Date:	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk		

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

was ra	This summons for (no ceived by me on (date)	ame of individual and title, if a	ny)	
was re	cerved by the on (aate)		·	
	☐ I personally serve	ed the summons on the inc	lividual at (place)	
			on (date)	; or
	☐ I left the summon	as at the individual's resid	ence or usual place of abode with (name)	
			, a person of suitable age and discretion who res	sides there,
	on (date)	, and mailed a	copy to the individual's last known address; or	
	☐ I served the sumn	nons on (name of individual)		, who is
	designated by law to	o accept service of process	s on behalf of (name of organization)	
			on (date)	; or
	☐ I returned the sum	nmons unexecuted becaus	e	; or
	☐ Other (specify):			
	My fees are \$	for travel and	\$ for services, for a total of \$	0.00
	I declare under penal	lty of perjury that this info	ormation is true.	
Date:				
			Server's signature	
		-	Printed name and title	
		-	Server's address	

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

Print Save As... Reset

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of New York

JAIME VICTORIANO SIGUENZA RODRIGUEZ and REYNALDO MUNOZ FLORES, individually and on behalf of others similarly situated, Plaintiff(s) v. WHITESTONE MARBLE & GRANITE CORP. (D/B/A WHITESTONE MARBLE & GRANITE), CRISTOBAL MORENO, and OSVALDO MORENO, Defendant(s))))) Civil Action No.))))		
SUMMONS IN	N A CIVIL ACTION		
To: (Defendant's name and address) Cristobal Moreno c/o Whitestone Marble & 25 Montauk Av. Brooklyn, NY 11208	Granite		
A lawsuit has been filed against you.			
Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: Michael A. Faillace MICHAEL FAILLACE & ASSOCIATES, P.C. 60 East 42nd Street, Suite 4510 New York, New York 10165			
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.			
	CLERK OF COURT		
Date:	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk		

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

	This summons for (na	me of individual and title, if a	ny)		
was rec	ceived by me on (date)	-	·		
	☐ I personally served	d the summons on the inc	dividual at (place)		
			on (date)	; or	
	☐ I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with (name)				
			, a person of suitable age and discretion who res	sides there,	
	on (date)	, and mailed a	copy to the individual's last known address; or		
	☐ I served the summ	ons on (name of individual)		, who is	
	designated by law to	accept service of proces	s on behalf of (name of organization)		
			on (date)	; or	
	☐ I returned the sum	mons unexecuted becaus	se	; or	
	☐ Other (specify):				
	My fees are \$	for travel and	\$ for services, for a total of \$	0.00	
	I declare under penal	ty of perjury that this info	ormation is true.		
ъ.					
Date:		-	Server's signature		
		-	Printed name and title		
		_	Server's address		

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

Print Save As... Reset

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of New York

JAIME VICTORIANO SIGUENZA RODRIGUEZ and REYNALDO MUNOZ FLORES, individually and on behalf of others similarly situated, Plaintiff(s) v. WHITESTONE MARBLE & GRANITE CORP. (D/B/A WHITESTONE MARBLE & GRANITE), CRISTOBAL MORENO, and OSVALDO MORENO, Defendant(s))))) (Civil Action No.)))))		
SUMMONS IN	A CIVIL ACTION		
To: (Defendant's name and address) Osvaldo Moreno c/o Whitestone Marble & G 25 Montauk Av. Brooklyn, NY 11208	Granite		
A lawsuit has been filed against you.			
Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: Michael A. Faillace MICHAEL FAILLACE & ASSOCIATES, P.C. 60 East 42nd Street, Suite 4510 New York, New York 10165			
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.			
	CLERK OF COURT		
Date:	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk		

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

was rec	This summons for (neeived by me on (date)	ame of individual and title, if an			
	☐ I personally serve	ed the summons on the ind			
			on (date)	; or	
	☐ I left the summon	as at the individual's reside	ence or usual place of abode with (name)		
		,	a person of suitable age and discretion v	who resides the	ere,
	on (date)	, and mailed a	copy to the individual's last known addre	ess; or	
	☐ I served the summ	nons on (name of individual)			, who is
	designated by law to	o accept service of process	on behalf of (name of organization)		_
			on (date)	; or	
	☐ I returned the sun	nmons unexecuted because	>		; or
	☐ Other (specify):				
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a tota	ıl of \$0	0.00 .
	I declare under pena	lty of perjury that this info	rmation is true.		
Date:					
			Server's signature		
		_	Printed name and title		
		_	Server's address		

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

Print Save As... Reset

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: Whitestone Marble & Granite Hit with Collective Action Alleging FLSA Violations