IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE NASHVILLE DIVISION

MARCELLA SHORT,

Individually, on behalf of herself and on behalf of all other similarly situated current and former employees,

Plaintiffs,

v.

DAVE & BUSTER'S, INC., DAVE & BUSTER'S ENTERTAINMENT, INC., DAVE & BUSTER'S MANAGEMENT CORPORATION, INC., DAVE & BUSTER'S HOLDINGS, INC., and TANGO OF TENNESSEE, INC. a/k/a Dave & Buster's Grand Sports Café, FLSA Opt-In Collective Action

CASE NO.

JURY DEMANDED

Defendants,

COLLECTIVE ACTION COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, Marcella Short, individually, on behalf of herself and on behalf of other similarly situated current and former employees, brings this collective action against Dave & Buster's, Inc., Dave & Buster's Entertainment, Inc., Dave & Buster's Management Corporation, Inc., Dave & Buster's Holdings, Inc., and Tango of Tennessee, Inc., a/k/a Dave & Buster's Grand Sports Café and, alleges as follows:

I.

INTRODUCTION

1. This lawsuit is brought against Defendants as a collective action under the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA"), 29 U.S.C. § 201, *et seq.*, to recover unpaid straight time wages,

unpaid minimum wages, overtime compensation and other damages owed to Plaintiff and other similarly situated current and former employees who are members of a class as defined herein and currently or previously employed by Defendants.

II.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- The FLSA authorizes court actions by private parties to recover damages for violations of the FLSA's wage and hour provisions. Jurisdiction over Plaintiffs' FLSA claims are based on 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331.
- 3. Venue in this district is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) and (c) because Plaintiff was employed by Defendants in this district at all times relevant to this action, Defendants regularly have conducted and continue to conduct business in this district, and have engaged and continue to engage in wrongful conduct alleged herein in this district during all material times in this cause.

III.

CLASS DESCRIPTION

4. Plaintiffs bring this action on behalf of the following similarly situated persons: All current and former tipped employees, also classified as "bartenders and servers", of Defendants in the United States who work (or have worked) at Defendants' restaurant(s) at any time during the applicable limitations period covered by this Collective Action Complaint (*i.e.* two years for FLSA violations and, three years for willful FLSA violations) up to and including the date of final judgment in this matter, and who is a Named Plaintiff or elect to opt-in to this action pursuant to the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

(Collectively, "the class").¹

IV.

PARTIES

- 5. Defendant, Dave & Buster's, Inc., is a Missouri corporation with its corporate headquarters located at 2481 Manana Drive, Dallas, Texas 75520. Dave & Buster's, Inc. has been an "employer" of Plaintiff and similarly situated workers, as that term is defined in the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §203(d), during the relevant period to this action. According to the Missouri Secretary of State, Dave & Buster's. Inc. may be served through its registered agent for service of process, Capitol Corporate Services, Inc. 222 E. Dunklin Suite 102, Jefferson City, Missouri 65101.
- 6. Defendant, Dave & Buster's Entertainment, Inc., is a Delaware corporation with its principal executive office located at 2481 Manana Drive, Dallas, Texas 75520. Dave & Buster's Entertainment, Inc. has been an "employer" of Plaintiff and similarly situated workers, as that term is defined in the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §203(d), during the relevant period to this action. According to the Delaware Secretary of State, Dave & Buster's Entertainment, Inc. may be served through its registered agent for service of process Corporate Service Company, 2711 Centerville Road, Suite 400, Wilmington, Delaware 19808.
- 7. Defendant, Dave & Buster's Management Corporation, Inc., is a Delaware corporation and has been an "employer" of Plaintiff and similarly situated workers, as that term is defined in the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §203(d), during the relevant period to this action.

¹ Plaintiffs reserve the right to modify or amend the Class Description upon newly discovered information gathered through the discovery process.

According to the Delaware Secretary of State, Dave & Buster's Management Corporation, Inc. may be served through its registered agent for service of process, Capitol Service, Inc. 1675 S. State Street STE B Dover, Delaware 19901.

- 8. Defendant, Dave & Buster's Holdings, Inc. is a Delaware corporation with its principal offices located at 2481 Manana Drive Dallas, Texas 75520. Dave & Buster's Holdings, Inc., has been an "employer" of Plaintiffs and similarly situated workers, as that term is defined in the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §203(d), during the relevant period to this action. According to the Delaware Secretary of State, Dave & Buster's Holdings Inc., may be served through its registered agent for service of process, Capitol Service, Inc. 1675 S. State Street STE B Dover, Delaware 19901.
- 9. Defendant, Tango of Tennessee, Inc. is a Delaware corporation with its principal offices located at 2481 Manana Drive Dallas, Texas 75520. Tango of Tennessee, Inc., has been an "employer" of Plaintiffs and similarly situated workers, as that term is defined in the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §203(d), during the relevant period to this action. According to the Tennessee Secretary of State, Tango of Tennessee, Inc. had an assumed name of "Dave & Buster's Grand Sports Café" until September 27, 2010. According to the Delaware Secretary of State, Tango of Tennessee, Inc., may be served through its registered agent for service of process, Capitol Corporate Service, Inc., 992 Davidson Drive, Suite B, Nashville, Tennessee 37205-1051.
- 10. Plaintiff Marcella Short was employed by Defendants as a tipped employee at one of their restaurants within this district during the relevant period herein. (Plaintiff's Consent to Join this collective action is attached hereto as Exhibit A.)

- 11. The class of similarly situated employees consists of all waiters, waitresses and bartenders (a/k/a "servers") employed by Defendants at their Dave & Buster's restaurants, nationwide, in the past three years. These similarly situated persons are referred to as "Members of the Class" or "the Class."
- 12. Defendants constitute an integrated enterprise because Defendants' related activities (i.e. jointly owning and operating Dave & Buster's restaurants) performed (either through a unified operation or common control) by any person or persons [are] for a common business purpose as that term is defined in the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203(r).

V.

ALLEGATIONS

- 13. Defendants own and operate or, have owned and operated, Dave & Buster's restaurants in numerous states across the United States during the relevant period of this action.
- 14. The primary function of Dave & Buster's restaurants is to sell food and beverage items to customers and, provide entertainment games to customers.
- 15. Defendants have been the "employer" of the Plaintiffs and those similarly situated within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 203(d).
- 16. Defendants employed Plaintiff and those similarly situated and were responsible for setting and administering pay and overtime rates, including overtime pay during the relevant period of time in question.
- 17. The decisions regarding the compensation of Plaintiff and other members of the class, and other terms of employment were made through a centralized management of Defendants' Headquarters located in Dallas, Texas.

- 18. Defendants have had a centralized plan, policy and practice (scheme) of strictly enforcing restricted hours of compensable work per day and per week (budgeted labor) by providing incentives to restaurant managers to stay within or below such budgeted labor on the one hand and, subjecting those managers who failed to stay within such budgeted hours to disciplinary action on the other hand, even though such budgeted labor was/is inadequate to meet the operational demands and needs of its restaurants which, in turn, forced managers to encourage, entice, condone, induce, permit and/or require Plaintiff and those similarly situated to perform work "off the clock," as well as forced restaurant managers to require their tipped employees (class members) to perform non-tip producing tasks while clocked-in to its timekeeping system as tipped employees at a tip credit wage and, to perform non-tip producing preparation and maintenance tasks more than 20% of their time while assigned "tables" from which tips could be produced.
- 19. Defendants have had a centralized plan, policy and practice (scheme) of working Plaintiff and similarly situated class members "off the clock" and, "editing" their time records, in a variety of ways. Accordingly, Plaintiff's and Class Members' claims are unified by common theories of Defendants' FLSA statutory violations.
- 20. At all times material to this action, Plaintiff and those similarly situated are or have been "employees" of Defendants as defined by Section 203(e)(1) of the FLSA and, worked for Defendants within the territory of the Unites States within three (3) years preceding the filing of this lawsuit.
- 21. At all times material to this action, Defendants have been an enterprise engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce as defined by Section 203(s)(1) of the FLSA, with annual revenue in excess of \$500,000.00.

- 22. At all times material to this action, Defendants have been subject to the pay requirements of the FLSA because they are an enterprise in interstate commerce and its employees are engaged in interstate commerce.
- 23. Defendants have and continue to employ tipped employees whose primary duties are to take food and beverage orders, and serve such items to customers.
- Plaintiff and all other similarly situated persons are current or former employees of Defendants.
- 25. Defendants have and continue to employ a uniform electronic time keeping system for tracking and reporting employee hours worked at each of its restaurants.
- 26. At all relevant time's material to this action and, pursuant to Defendants' centralized, unified and uniform plans, policies and practices, Defendants have required Plaintiff and Class Members to wait on company premises (until more customers are available to be served) without such time being recorded in Defendants' timekeeping system and, without Plaintiff and Class Members being compensated for such time at least at the applicable FLSA minimum wage and overtime rates of pay.
- 27. As a result, Plaintiff and the other members of the class are entitled to at least the applicable minimum wage rate of pay for all such "wait" time and for any applicable overtime related to such "wait" time, as required by the FLSA.
- 28. At all times material to this action and, pursuant to Defendants' centralized, unified and uniform plans, policies and practices, Defendants have required Plaintiff and Class Members to attend job-related training for which they were paid less than the applicable FLSA minimum rate of pay and for which they were paid less than any applicable overtime pay relating to such training time as required by the FLSA.

- 29. As a result, Plaintiff and the other members of the class are entitled to at least the applicable minimum wage rate of pay for all such training time and for any applicable overtime related to such training time, as required by the FLSA.
- 30. At all times material to this action and, pursuant to Defendants' centralized, unified and uniform plans, policies and practices, Plaintiff and other members of the class have been required to work in non-tip producing jobs and perform non-tip producing duties while clocked-in as a tipped employee into Defendants' electronic timekeeping system for which such unrelated non-tip producing work Plaintiff and other members of the class were paid only sub-minimum (tip credit) hourly wages as tipped employees.
- 31. As a result, Plaintiff and the other members of the class are entitled to at least the applicable minimum wage for all unrelated non-tip producing work without applying a tip credit.
- 32. Furthermore, Plaintiff and other members of the class who have worked in excess of forty hours per week are entitled to receive overtime compensation at the applicable overtime rate of pay for such unrelated non-tip producing work without applying a tip credit.
- 33. At all times material to this action and, pursuant to Defendants' centralized, unified and uniform plans, policies and practices, Plaintiffs and other members of the class have been required to perform non-tipped maintenance and preparation work incidental to their server duties, such as rolling silverware, washing dishes and glassware, taking out trash, refilling sugar caddies, salt and pepper shakers, ice, condiments, cleaning chairs, tables, booths, restaurant artifacts and décor, lights, blinds, windows, as well as closing out customers checks and performing pre-closing cleaning tasks, such as vacuuming and/or sweeping the server's assigned area and checking dishes, napkins, and utensils as well as

other such "side work" in excess of 20% of their work time. See 29 U.S.C. §§ 203(m) and 203(t).

- 34. As a result, Plaintiff and other members of the class are entitled to at least the applicable minimum wage for all "side work" without applying a tip credit.
- 35. Furthermore, Plaintiff and other members of the class who have worked in excess of forty(40) hours per week are entitled to receive overtime compensation at the applicable overtime rate of pay for all such excess "side work" without applying a tip credit.
- 36. The U.S. Department of Labor's Fact Sheet #15 provides that "the maximum tip credit that an employer can currently claim under the FLSA is \$5.12 per hour (the minimum wage of \$7.25 minus the minimum required cash wage of \$2.13)."
- 37. According to the regulation promulgated by the U.S. Department of Labor, "...tips are the property of the employee whether or not the employer has taken a tip credit under section (3) of the FLSA." *See* 29 C.F.R. § 531.52.
- 38. At all times material to this action and, pursuant to Defendants' centralized, unified and uniform plans, policies and practices, Plaintiff and other members of the class have been encouraged, enticed, permitted, condoned, induced, suffered, permitted and/or required to perform prescribed duties "off the clock" before, during and after their regular scheduled shifts.
- 39. As a result of Plaintiff and other members of the class performing work duties "off the clock," Defendants' timekeeping records do not reflect the actual total hours worked by Plaintiffs and members of the class and, therefore, Plaintiff and class members are entitled to at least the applicable FLSA minimum wage of pay for all such "off the clock" time without applying a tip credit.

- 40. Also, as a consequence of Defendants' timekeeping records not reflecting actual hours worked, when the unpaid "off the clock" work time is added to their recorded time, Plaintiff and other members of the class who have worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week are entitled to overtime compensation at the applicable overtime rate of pay for
- 41. The net effect of Defendants' aforementioned plan, policy and practice of requiring employees to "wait" on restaurant premises without being paid the applicable FLSA minimum wage and overtime rate of pay for such time, attend job-related training without being paid at least the applicable FLSA minimum wage and overtime rate of pay for such training time, perform non-tip producing work without being paid the applicable FLSA minimum wage and overtime rates of pay for such time, perform in excess of 20 percent "side work" without being paid the applicable minimum wage and overtime rate of pay for such time and encouraging, condoning, enticing, inducing, suffering, permitting and/or requiring Plaintiffs and other members of the class to perform "off the clock" work is that Defendants willfully failed to pay Plaintiff and other members of the class for all straight time work, minimum wages, and premium pay for overtime work in order to save payroll costs and payroll taxes. As a consequence, Defendants have violated the FLSA and, thereby have enjoyed ill-gained profits at the expense of their tipped employees.
- 42. Although at this stage Plaintiff is unable to state the exact amount owed to them and other members of the class, she believes such information will become available during the course of discovery. However, when an employer fails to keep complete and accurate time records, employees may establish the hours worked solely by their testimony and the burden of proof of overcoming such testimony shifts to the employer.

COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS

VI.

- 43. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of herself and the class as a collective action pursuant to the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §§ 206, 207, and 216(b).
- 44. The claims under the FLSA may be pursued by those who opt-in to this case under 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).
- 45. The members of the class are so numerous that joinder of all other members of the class is impracticable. While the exact number of the other members of the class is unknown to Plaintiff at this time and, can only be ascertained through applicable discovery, Plaintiff believes there are at least thousands of individuals in the class.
- 46. The claims of Plaintiff are typical of the claims of the class. Plaintiff and the other members of the class work or have worked for Defendants at their Dave & Buster's restaurants and were subject to the same operational, compensation and timekeeping policies and practices, including not being paid for all "off the clock" hours worked at the applicable FLSA minimum wage and overtime rates of pay. As a result, the claims of Plaintiff and Class Members are unified by a common theory ("off-the-clock claims) of Defendants' FLSA statutory violations.
- 47. Common questions of law and fact exist as to the class which predominate over any questions only affecting other members of the class individually and include, but are not limited to, the following:
 - Whether Plaintiff and other members of the class were expected and/or required to perform work without compensation;
 - Whether Defendants suffered and permitted Plaintiff and other members of the class to perform work without compensation;

Case 3:17-cv-00536 Document 1 Filed 03/13/17 Page 11 of 19 PageID #: 11

- Whether Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff and other members of the class all applicable straight time wages for all hours worked;
- Whether Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff and the other members of the class the applicable minimum wage for all work performed;
- Whether Defendants' failed to pay Plaintiff and other members of the class all overtime compensation due them for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week.
- The correct statutes of limitations for the claims of Plaintiff and other members of the class;
- Whether Plaintiffs and other members of the class are entitled to damages, including but not limited to liquidated damages, and the measure of the damages; and,
- Whether Defendants are liable for interest, attorneys' interest, fees, and costs.
- 48. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the class as their interests are aligned with those of the other members of the class. Plaintiff has no interests adverse to the class and, Plaintiff has retained competent counsel who are experienced in collective action litigation.
- 49. Collective action mechanism is superior to the other available methods for a fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy. The expenses, costs, and burden of litigation suffered by individual other members of the class in a collective action are relatively small in comparison to the expenses, costs, and burden of litigation of individual actions, making it virtually impossible for other members of the class to individually seek address for the wrongs done to them.
- 50. Plaintiff and other members of the class have suffered and will continue to suffer irreparable damage from the unlawful policies, practices, and procedures implemented by Defendants.

COUNT I

<u>FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT VIOLATIONS – UNPAID STRAIGHT WAGES</u> (On Behalf of the Class)

- 51. Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and the class, repeats and re-alleges Paragraphs 1 through50 above, as if they were fully set forth herein.
- 52. At all relevant times, Defendants have been and continue to be an employer engaged in interstate commerce within the meaning of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §§ 206(a) and 207(a).
- 53. At all relevant times, Defendants have employed (and/or continue to employ) Plaintiff and each of the other members of the class within the meaning of the FLSA.
- 54. At all times relevant and based on the aforementioned allegations, Defendants have had a uniform plan, policy and practice of willfully refusing to pay Plaintiff and other members of the class for all hours worked, including for all "waiting" time, for all "training" time, for all "non tip-producing" work time, for all "side work" in excess of 20 percent and for all other "off the clock" work at the applicable FLSA minimum wage and overtime rates of pay.
- 55. At all times herein, Defendants' uniform plan, policy and practice of willfully failing to pay Plaintiff and members of the class at least the required minimum wage rate of \$7.25 an hour and, one and one-half times their regular hourly rate of pay for all hours in excess of forty (40) within any one week period, involving the aforementioned violations constitute a variety of unpaid "off the clock" and "edited" time, resulting in the claims of Plaintiff and class members being unified by common theories of Defendants' FLSA violations.
- 56. At all times relevant, Defendants have had actual and/or constructive knowledge of willfully refusing to pay Plaintiff and other members of the class for all hours worked

and, for the aforementioned "waiting" time, of at least at the applicable FLSA minimum wage and overtime rates of pay.

- 57. At all times herein, Defendants' uniform plan, policy and practice of willfully failing to pay Plaintiff and members of the class at least the required minimum wage rate of \$7.25 an hour and, one and one-half times their regular hourly rate of pay for all hours worked and, for the aforementioned "training" time, in excess of forty (40) within any one week period, involving a variety of unpaid "off the clock" and "edited" time, results in their claims being unified by common theories of Defendants' FLSA violations.
- 58. At all times relevant, Defendants have had actual and/or constructive knowledge of willfully refusing to pay Plaintiff and other members of the class for all hours worked and, for the aforementioned "training" time, of at least at the applicable FLSA minimum wage and overtime rates of pay.
- 59. As a result of Defendants' willful failure to compensate Plaintiff and other members of the class the applicable federal minimum wages for all hours worked and, for the aforementioned "waiting " time, it has violated and continues to violate the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §§ 201, *et seq.*
- Defendants' conduct constitutes a willful violation of the FLSA within the meaning of 29
 U.S.C. § 255(a).
- 62. Due to Defendants' willful FLSA violations, Plaintiff and the other members of the class are entitled to recover from Defendants compensation for unpaid wages, an additional equal amount as liquidated damages, as well as interest, reasonable attorneys' fees, costs, and disbursements relating to this action for the three-year statutory period under the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

COUNT II

FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT VIOLATIONS – OVERTIME (On Behalf of the Class)

- 63. Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and other members of the class, repeats and re-allegesParagraphs 1 through 62 above as if they were set forth herein.
- 64. At all times relevant herein, Defendants have been and continue to be an employer engaged in interstate commerce within the meaning of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §§ 206(a) and 207(a).
- 65. At all times relevant herein, Defendants have employed (and/or continue to employ) Plaintiff and each of the other members of the class within the meaning of the FLSA.
- 66. At all times relevant herein, Defendants have had a uniform plan, policy and practice of willfully refusing to pay Plaintiffs and other members of class appropriate overtime compensation for all hours worked and, for the aforementioned "waiting" time, in excess of forty hours per week by Plaintiff and each of the other members of the class.
- 67. At all times herein, Defendants' uniform plan, policy and practice of willfully failing to pay Plaintiffs and members of the class at least the required minimum wage rate of \$7.25 an hour and, one and one-half times their regular hourly rate of pay for all hours worked and, for the aforementioned "waiting" time, in excess of forty (40) within any one week period, involving a variety of unpaid "off the clock" and, "edited" time, results in their claims being unified though common theories of FLSA violations.
- 68. At all times relevant herein, Defendants have had actual and/or constructive knowledge of willfully refusing to pay Plaintiffs and other members of the class for all hours worked and, for the aforementioned "waiting" time.

- 69. As a result of Defendants' willful failure to compensate Plaintiff and other members of the class the applicable federal minimum wage for all hours worked and, for the aforementioned "waiting" time, at a rate not less than one and one-half times the regular rate of pay for work performed in excess of forty (40) hours per work week, it has violated and (continues to violate) the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 255(a).
- 70. Due to Defendants' willful FLSA violations, Plaintiff and the other members of the class are entitled to recover from Defendants compensation for unpaid overtime wages, an additional equal amount as liquidated damages, as well as interest, reasonable attorneys' fees, costs, and disbursements relating to this action for the three-year statutory period under the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

COUNT III

FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT VIOLATIONS – MINIMUM WAGE (On Behalf of the Class)

- 71. Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and other members of the class, repeats and re-alleges Paragraphs 1 through 70 above as if they were fully set forth herein.
- 72. At all times relevant herein, Defendants have been and continue to be an employer engaged in interstate commerce within the meaning of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 206(a) and 207(a).
- 73. Pursuant to Defendants' uniform compensation policies, it has failed to pay Plaintiff and other members of the class the applicable minimum wage rates as required by the FLSA.
- 74 Because of Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiff and other members of the class for all hours worked and, for the aforementioned "waiting" time, Plaintiff and other members of the class have not received wages equal to or in excess of the applicable minimum wage as required by the FLSA for all hours worked.

- 75. At all times herein, Defendants' uniform plan, policy and practice of willfully failing to pay Plaintiffs and members of the class at least the required minimum wage rate of \$7.25 an hour and, one and one-half times their regular hourly rate of pay for all hours worked and, for the aforementioned "waiting" time, in excess of forty (40) within any one week period, involving a variety of unpaid "off the clock" and "edited" time, results in Plaintiff and class members' claims being unified through common theories of FLSA violations.
- 76. At all times relevant, Defendants had actual and/or constructive knowledge of willfully refusing to pay Plaintiff and other members of the class for all hours worked and, for the aforementioned "waiting" time.
- 77. As a result of Defendants' willful failure to compensate Plaintiff and other members of the class for at least the applicable minimum wage rate for all hours worked and, for the aforementioned "waiting" time, they have violated and continue to violate the FLSA.
- 78. Plaintiff and the other members of the class are therefore entitled to compensation for unpaid minimum wages at an hourly rate required by the FLSA, plus applicable overtime compensation and an additional amount as liquidated damages, together with interest, costs, and reasonable attorney's fees for the three-year statutory period under the FLSA.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Whereas, Plaintiff, individually, and/or on behalf of herself and all other similarly situated members of the class, request this Court to grant the following relief against Defendants:

A. Designation of this cause as a collective action on behalf of the class and promptly issue notice pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(a), apprising class members of the pendency of this action and permitting other members of the class to assert timely FLSA claims in this action by filing individual Consents under 29 U.S.C. § 216(b);

- B. On Count I, an award of compensation for unpaid wages to Plaintiff and other members of the class;
- C. On Count II, an award of compensation for unpaid overtime wages to Plaintiff and the other members of the class at the applicable FLSA overtime rate of pay.
- D. On Count III, an award of compensation for unpaid minimum wages to Plaintiff and other members of the class at the applicable minimum wage rate as required by the FLSA;
- E. On Counts I, II and III an award of liquidated damages to Plaintiff and other members of the class;
- F. On Counts I, II and III an award of prejudgment and post-judgment interest at the applicable legal rate to Plaintiff and other members of the class;
- G. On Counts I, II and III an award of costs, expenses, and disbursements relating to this action together with reasonable attorneys' fees and expert fees to Plaintiff and other members of the class;
- H. On Counts I, II and III a ruling that the three-year statutory period for willful violations under the FLSA shall apply in this action, and
- I. Such other general and specific relief as this Court deems just and proper.

JURY TRIAL DEMAND

Pursuant to Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff demands a **trial by jury** on all issues so triable.

Dated: March 13, 2017

Respectfully Submitted,

s/Gordon E. Jackson Gordon E. Jackson (TN BPR #08323) James L. Holt, Jr. (TN BPR #12123) J. Russ Bryant (TN BPR #33830) Paula R. Jackson (TN BPR #20149) **JACKSON, SHIELDS, YEISER & HOLT** Attorneys at Law 262 German Oak Drive Memphis, Tennessee 38018 Tel: (901) 754-8001 Fax: (901) 759-1745 gjackson@jsyc.com jholt@jsyc.com pjackson@jsyc.com

Attorneys for the Named Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and all other similarly situated current and former employees

JS 44 (Rev. 08/16)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. *(SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)*

| F=F==== | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| I. (a) PLAINTIFFS MARCELLA SHORT, inc other similarly situated c | | | | DEFENDANTS DAVE & BUSTER'S, INC., et al., | | |
| (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Davidson County, TN | | | County of Residence of First Listed Defendant | | | |
| | XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF C. | | (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) | | | |
| | | | NOTE: IN LAND C THE TRAC | ONDEMNATION CASES, USE T T OF LAND INVOLVED. | THE LOCATION OF | |
| (c) Attorneys (Firm Name, JACKSON SHIELDS YE Memphis, Tennessee 38 | ISER & HOLT, 262 G | ^{zr)} erman Oak Drive, | Attorneys (If Known) | | | |
| II. BASIS OF JURISD | CTION (Place an "X" in (| Dne Box Only) | I. CITIZENSHIP OF F (For Diversity Cases Only) | PRINCIPAL PARTIES | (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintif, | |
| 1 U.S. Government | 3 Federal Question | ✗ 3 Federal Question | | TF DEF | and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF | |
| Plaintiff | (U.S. Government Not a Party) | | | I I Incorporated or Pr of Business In 1 | rincipal Place 🔲 4 🗇 4 | |
| 2 U.S. Government Defendant | 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizens) | ip of Parties in Item III) | Citizen of Another State | 2 2 Incorporated and 1 of Business In . | | |
| | | | Citizen or Subject of a C Foreign Country | 3 🗇 3 Foreign Nation | | |
| IV. NATURE OF SUIT | | | | Click here for: Nature of Su | | |
| CONTRACT | | | FORFONTURE/PENALSTY | | | |
| 110 Insurance 120 Marine | PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane | PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury - | ☐ 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 | 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal | 375 False Claims Act 376 Qui Tam (31 USC) | |
| 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument | 315 Airplane Product Liability | Product Liability 367 Health Care/ | □ 690 Other | 28 USC 157 | 3729(a)) 400 State Reapportionment | |
| 150 Recovery of Overpayment | □ 320 Assault, Libel & | Phannaceutical | | PROPERTY RIGHTS | 🛛 🗖 410 Antitrust | |
| & Enforcement of Judgment | Slander | Personal Injury Product Liability | | 820 Copyrights 830 Patent | 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce | |
| 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted | 330 Federal Employers' Liability | 368 Asbestos Personal | | 840 Trademark | 450 Commerce 460 Deportation | |
| Student Loans | □ 340 Marine | Injury Product | | | 470 Racketeer Influenced and | |
| (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment | 345 Marine Product Liability | Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY | LABOR 710 Fair Labor Standards | SOCIAL SECURITY | Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit | |
| of Veteran's Benefits | 350 Motor Vehicle | 370 Other Fraud | Act | 862 Black Lung (923) | □ 490 Cable/Sat TV | |
| 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract | 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability | 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal | 720 Labor/Management Relations | 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) 864 SSID Title XVI | 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange | |
| 195 Contract Product Liability | 360 Other Personal | Property Damage | □ 740 Railway Labor Act | 🗇 865 RSI (405(g)) | 890 Other Statutory Actions | |
| 196 Franchise | Injury 362 Personal Injury - | 385 Property Damage Product Liability | 751 Family and Medical Leave Act | | 891 Agricultural Acts 893 Environmental Matters | |
| | Medical Malpractice | - | 790 Other Labor Litigation | | 895 Freedom of Information | |
| REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation | CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights | PRISONER PETITIONS Habeas Corpus: | ☐ 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act | FEDERAL TAX SUITS | Act | |
| 220 Foreclosure | 441 Voting | 463 Alien Detainee | income security rec | or Defendant) | 899 Administrative Procedure | |
| 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land | 442 Employment 443 Housing/ | 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence | | 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609 | Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision | |
| 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability | Accommodations | □ 530 General | | 20 030 7009 | 950 Constitutionality of | |
| 290 All Other Real Property | 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - | | IMMIGRATION | | State Statutes | |
| | Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - | Other: 540 Mandamus & Other | 462 Naturalization Application 465 Other Immigration | n | | |
| | Other | 550 Civil Rights | Actions | | | |
| | 448 Education | 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - | | | | |
| | | Conditions of Confinement | | | | |
| V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in X 1 Original D 2 Real | | | Reinstated or 5 Transf | erred from D 6 Multidistr | rict 🛛 8 Multidistrict | |
| | te Court | Appellate Court | Reopened Anothe (specify | er District Litigation) Transfer | | |
| VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO | 29 U.S.C. 201, et | t seq. | ling (Do not cite jurisdictional sta | iuies uniess aiversity): | | |
| VII CAUGE OF ACTIC | Driel description of ca | ^{ause:} brought under the FLS | SA for unpaid wages on t | ehalf of current and form | ner tipped employees. | |
| VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. | | | DEMAND \$ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: JURY DEMAND: X Yes I No | | | |
| VIII. RELATED CASI | E(S) | | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| IF ANY | (See instructions): | JUDGE | | DOCKET NUMBER | | |
| DATE | | SIGNATURE OF ATTOR | | | | |
| 03/13/2017 | | s/Gordon E. Jack | son | | | |
| FOR OFFICE USE ONLY | | | | | | |
| | | APPLYING IFP | | MAG. JUI | | |
| Case 3 | CT1-CV-00536 | Document 1-1 | Hied 03/13/17 P | age 1 of 2 PagelE |) #: 20 | |

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- **I.(a)** Plaintiffs-Defendants. Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".

II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below. United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here. United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box. Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes

precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked. Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.)

- III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties. This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If there are multiple nature of suit codes associated with the case, pick the nature of suit code that is most applicable. Click here for: <u>Nature of Suit Code Descriptions</u>.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.

Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.

Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date. Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation – Transfer. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407.

Multidistrict Litigation – Direct File. (8) Check this box when a multidistrict case is filed in the same district as the Master MDL docket. **PLEASE NOTE THAT THERE IS NOT AN ORIGIN CODE 7.** Origin Code 7 was used for historical records and is no longer relevant due to changes in statue.

- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity. Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P. Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction. Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE NASHVILLE DIVISION

MARCELLA SHORT,

Individually, on behalf of herself and on behalf of all other similarly situated current and former employees,

Plaintiffs,

v.

CASE NO. _____

DAVE & BUSTER'S, INC., DAVE & BUSTER'S ENTERTAINMENT, INC., DAVE & BUSTER'S MANAGEMENT CORPORATION, INC., DAVE & BUSTER'S HOLDINGS, INC., and TANGO OF TENNESSEE, INC. a/k/a Dave & Buster's Grand Sports Café,

FLSA Opt-In Collective Action

JURY DEMANDED

Defendants,

CONSENT TO JOIN

- 1. I have been employed by Dave & Buster's as an hourly paid tipped employee within the past three (3) years.
- I hereby consent to join this or any subsequent action against the Defendants, Dave & Buster's Entertainment, Inc., Dave & Buster's, Inc., and Dave & Buster's Management, Inc. (collectively "Dave & Buster's") as the Party-Plaintiff to assert claims for violations of the FLSA 29 U.S.C. § 201, et seq., and unpaid wages as specified in the Complaint.
- 3. I understand that this lawsuit is brought under the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938, as amended, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), et seq. I hereby consent, agree, and opt-in to become the Party-Plaintiff in this action.
- 4. I agree to be represented by the law firm of Jackson, Shields, Yeiser & Holt and Attorney Gordon E. Jackson, as well as any other attorneys with whom they may associate. I understand that the personal information provided on this form will not be used for purposes other than these legal claims. Please fill this form out completely.

Signature Date

<u>YDANCella 5hOrT</u> Full Legal Name



ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Dave & Buster's Hit with Lawsuit Over Unpaid Bartender, Server Wages</u>