UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

YOHANAN SHIMONOV, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NATIONAL ENTERPRISE SYSTEMS, INC.

Defendant.

CIVIL ACTION

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff YOHANAN SHIMONOV (hereinafter, "Plaintiff"), a New York resident, brings this class action complaint by and through his attorneys, Joseph H. Mizrahi Law, P.C., against Defendants NATIONAL ENTERPRISE SYSTEMS, INC. (hereinafter "Defendant"), individually and on behalf of a class of all others similarly situated, pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, based upon information and belief of Plaintiff's counsel, except for allegations specifically pertaining to Plaintiff, which are based upon Plaintiff's personal knowledge.

INTRODUCTION/PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

- 1. Congress enacted the FDCPA in 1977 in response to the "abundant evidence of the use of abusive, deceptive, and unfair debt collection practices by many debt collectors." 15 U.S.C. § 1692(a). At that time, Congress was concerned that "abusive debt collection practices contribute to the number of personal bankruptcies, to material instability, to the loss of jobs, and to invasions of individual privacy." *Id.* Congress concluded that "existing laws . . . [we]re inadequate to protect consumers," and that "the effective collection of debts" does not require "misrepresentation or other abusive debt collection practices." 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692(b) & (c).
- 2. Congress explained that the purpose of the Act was not only to eliminate abusive debt collection practices, but also to "insure that those debt collectors who refrain from using

abusive debt collection practices are not competitively disadvantaged." *Id.* § 1692(e). After determining that the existing consumer protection laws were inadequate, *id.* § 1692(b), Congress gave consumers a private cause of action against debt collectors who fail to comply with the Act. *Id.* § 1692k.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 3. The Court has jurisdiction over this class action under 28 U.S.C. § 1331, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 *et seq.* and 28 U.S.C. § 2201. If applicable, the Court also has pendent jurisdiction over the state law claims in this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a).
- 4. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2).

NATURE OF THE ACTION

- 5. Plaintiff brings this class action on behalf of a class of New York consumers seeking redress for Defendant's actions of using an unfair and unconscionable means to collect a debt.
- 6. Defendant's actions violated § 1692 et seq. of Title 15 of the United States Code, commonly referred to as the Fair Debt Collections Practices Act ("FDCPA") which prohibits debt collectors from engaging in abusive, deceptive and unfair practices.
- 7. Plaintiff is seeking damages, and declaratory and injunctive relief.

PARTIES

- 8. Plaintiff is a natural person and a resident of the State of New York, and is a "Consumer" as defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692(a)(3).
- 9. Defendant is a collection agency with its principal office located in Solon, Ohio.
- 10. Defendant is a company that uses the mail, telephone, and facsimile and regularly engages in business the principal purpose of which is to attempt to collect debts alleged to be due another.
- 11. Defendant is a "debt collector," as defined under the FDCPA under 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).

CLASS ALLEGATIONS

- 12. Plaintiff brings claims, pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure (hereinafter "FRCP")
 Rule 23, individually and on behalf of the following consumer class (the "Class"):
 - Plaintiff brings this action individually and as a class action on behalf of all
 persons similarly situated in the State of New York from whom Defendant
 attempted to collect a consumer debt using the same unlawful form letter herein,
 from one year before the date of this Complaint to the present.
 - The Class period begins one year to the filing of this Action.
- 13. The Class satisfies all the requirements of Rule 23 of the FRCP for maintaining a class action:
 - Upon information and belief, the Class is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable because there are hundreds and/or thousands of persons who have received debt collection Letter and/or notices from Defendant that fail to adequately advise the consumer of their right to dispute the debt in violation of the FDCPA. Plaintiff is complaining of a standard form Letter and/or notice that is sent to hundreds of persons (*See* Exhibit A, except that the undersigned attorney has, in accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 5.2 partially redacted the financial account numbers in an effort to protect Plaintiff's privacy);
 - There are questions of law and fact which are common to the Class and which
 predominate over questions affecting any individual Class member. These
 common questions of law and fact include, without limitation:
 - a. Whether Defendant violated various provisions of the FDCPA;
 - b. Whether Plaintiff and the Class have been injured by Defendant's conduct:
 - c. Whether Plaintiff and the Class have sustained damages and are

entitled to restitution as a result of Defendant's wrongdoing and if so, what is the proper measure and appropriate statutory formula to be applied in determining such damages and restitution; and

- d. Whether Plaintiff and the Class are entitled to declaratory and/or injunctive relief.
- Plaintiff's claims are typical of the Class, which all arise from the same operative facts and are based on the same legal theories.
- Plaintiff has no interest adverse or antagonistic to the interest of the other members of the Class.
- Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interest of the Class and has retained experienced and competent attorneys to represent the Class.
- A Class Action is superior to other methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the claims herein asserted. Plaintiff anticipates that no unusual difficulties are likely to be encountered in the management of this class action.
- A Class Action will permit large numbers of similarly situated persons to prosecute their common claims in a single forum simultaneously and without the duplication of effort and expense that numerous individual actions would engender. Class treatment will also permit the adjudication of relatively small claims by many Class members who could not otherwise afford to seek legal redress for the wrongs complained of herein. Absent a Class Action, class members will continue to suffer losses of statutory protected rights as well as monetary damages. If Defendant's conduct is allowed to proceed without remedy they will continue to reap and retain the proceeds of their ill-gotten gains.

Defendant has acted on grounds generally applicable to the entire Class, thereby
making appropriate final injunctive relief or corresponding declaratory relief
with respect to the Class as a whole.

ALLEGATIONS OF FACT PARTICULAR TO YOHANAN SHIMONOV

- 14. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs numbered "1" through "13" herein with the same force and effect as if the same were set forth at length herein.
- 15. Defendant collects and attempts to collect debts incurred or alleged to have been incurred for personal, family or household purposes on behalf of creditors using the United States Postal Services, telephone and Internet.
- 16. Upon information and belief, within the last year Defendant commenced efforts to collect an alleged consumer "debt" as defined by 15 U.S.C. 1692a(5), when it mailed a Collection Letter to Plaintiff seeking to collect on an unpaid account originally owed to Bank of America, N.A..
- 17. On or about November 8, 2017, Defendant sent Plaintiff a collection letter (the "Letter"). *See* Exhibit A.
- 18. The Letter was sent or caused to be sent by persons employed by Defendant, as "any person that uses any instrumentality of interstate commerce or the mails in any business the principal purpose of which is the collection of any debts..." as defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692a(6).
- 19. The Letter is a "communication" as defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692a(2).
- 20. The Letter was an initial communication between Plaintiff and Defendant.
- 21. The Letter states in pertinent part, "Please forward all payments and correspondence to:" followed by Defendant's mailing address.
- 22. As a result of the following Counts Defendant violated the FDCPA.

First Count 15 U.S.C. §1692g(a)(3) Suggesting a Dispute Must be Made in Writing

- 23. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs numbered "1" through "22" herein with the same force and effect as if the same were set forth at length herein.
- 24. 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(3) requires the notice to include a statement that unless the consumer, within thirty days after receipt of the notice, disputes the validity of the debt, or any portion thereof, the debt will be assumed to be valid by the debt collector.
- 25. There is no requirement that the consumer dispute the debt in writing.
- 26. It is a violation of FDCPA to require disputes be made in writing.
- 27. It is a violation of the FDCPA to include language in the Letter that overshadows the required 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(3) statement.
- 28. It is a violation of the FDCPA to include language in the Letter that contradicts the required 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(3) statement.
- 29. It is a violation of the FDCPA to include language in the Letter that, when examined from the perspective of the least sophisticated consumer, overshadows the required § 1692g(a)(3) statement.
- 30. It is a violation of the FDCPA to include language in the Letter that, when examined from the perspective of the least sophisticated consumer, contradicts the required § 1692g(a)(3) statement.
- 31. It is a violation of the FDCPA to include language in the Letter that, when examined from the perspective of the least sophisticated consumer, leads the least sophisticated consumer to believe that her dispute must be in writing.
- 32. Defendant's Letter states "**Please forward all payments and correspondence to:**" and proceeds to provide a mailing address for which to mail same.

- 33. The least sophisticated consumer, reading the Letter as a whole, would be likely to understand that, because a dispute is a correspondence, all disputes must be communicated in writing, thereby invalidating the right to make a dispute orally.
- 34. Disputes need not be in writing. *Hooks v. Forman, Holt, Eliades & Ravin, LLC*, 717 F.3d 282 (2d Cir. 2013).
- 35. The language concerning written disputes overshadows the required 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(3) statement.
- 36. The language concerning written disputes contradicts the required 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(3) statement.
- 37. The language concerning written disputes, when examined from the perspective of the least sophisticated consumer, overshadows the required § 1692g(a)(3) statement.
- 38. The language concerning written disputes, when examined from the perspective of the least sophisticated consumer, contradicts the required § 1692g(a)(3) statement.
- 39. The language concerning written disputes, when examined from the perspective of the least sophisticated consumer, leads the least sophisticated consumer to believe that her dispute must be in writing.
- 40. Defendant has violated § 1692g as the above-referenced language overshadows the information required to be provided by that Section. See *Balke v. All. One Receivables Mgt.*, *Inc.*, 16-CV-5624(ADS)(AKT), 2017 WL 2634653, at *8 (E.D.N.Y. June 19, 2017).

¹ Namely, the Court finds that, although the Collection Letter did not explicitly state that the Plaintiff could only dispute the debt in writing, from the perspective of the least sophisticated consumer, the inclusion of a mailing address to which "all correspondence for this account should be mailed" introduces enough uncertainty regarding the permissible methods of disputing the debt to state a plausible claim for relief under the statute.

Second Count Violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692e, et seq. False and Misleading Representations

- 41. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs numbered "1" through "40" herein with the same force and effect as if the same were set forth at length herein.
- 42. 15 U.S.C. § 1692e prohibits a debt collector from using any false, deceptive, or misleading representation or means in connection with the collection of any debt.
- 43. While § 1692e specifically prohibits certain practices, the list is non-exhaustive, and does not preclude a claim of falsity or deception based on non-enumerated practice.
- 44. For purposes of 15 U.S.C. § 1692e, the failure to clearly provide the consumer with complete and accurate information notifying them of their rights and obligations is unfair and deceptive to the least sophisticated consumer.
- 45. Collection notices are deceptive if they can be reasonably read to have two or more different meanings, one of which is inaccurate.
- 46. The question of whether a collection Letter is deceptive is determined from the perspective of the "least sophisticated consumer."
- 47. Because the collection Letter in the instant case was reasonably susceptible to an inaccurate reading concerning Plaintiff's right to dispute the debt by something other than in writing, it is deceptive within the meaning of the FDCPA.
- 48. When confronted with Defendant's Letter which stated, "Please forward all payments and correspondence to:" it is reasonable for the least sophisticated consumer to believe that all disputes would be needed in writing. That is inaccurate since you can also call to orally dispute the debt.
- 49. When confronted with Defendant's Letter which states, "Please forward all payments and correspondence to:" it would be reasonable for the least sophisticated consumer to understand

- that statement to mean that in order to dispute the debt she must send it in writing. That would be inaccurate since she can dispute the debt verbally.
- 50. The least sophisticated consumer would likely be deceived in a material way by Defendant's conduct.
- 51. The least sophisticated consumer would be unsure as to whether a writing or oral communication is necessary to dispute the underlying debt.
- 52. Defendant's conduct violated 15 U.S.C. §1692e. There is no requirement that the consumer dispute the debt in writing.
- 53. Because the Letter, for the reasons described above, could be read by the least sophisticated consumer to have two or more meanings, one of which is inaccurate, such violates 15 U.S.C.
 § 1692e. See *Balke v. Alliance One Receivables Management, Inc.*, No. 16-CV 5624(ADS)(AKT), 2017 WL 2634653 (E.D.N.Y. June 19, 2017).

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendants as follows:

- (a) Declaring that this action is properly maintainable as a Class Action and certifying Plaintiff as Class representative and Joseph H. Mizrahi Law, P.C., as Class Counsel;
- (b) Awarding Plaintiff and the Class statutory damages;
- (c) Awarding Plaintiff and the Class actual damages;
- (d) Awarding Plaintiff costs of this Action, including reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses;
- (e) Awarding pre-judgment interest and post-judgment interest; and
- (f) Awarding Plaintiff and the Class such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Joseph H. Mizrahi
Joseph H. Mizrahi, Esq.
Joseph H. Mizrahi Law, P.C.
300 Cadman Plaza West, 12 Floor
Brooklyn, New York 11201
Phone: (017) 200, 6612

Phone: (917) 299-6612 Fax: (718) 425-8954

Email: Joseph@Jmizrahilaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff

DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY

Pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff hereby requests a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

/s/ Joseph H. Mizrahi
Joseph H. Mizrahi, Esq.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York

January 11, 2018

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of illitrating the civil do	ocket sheet. (SEE hys1koc.	HONS ON NEXT FAGE OF	THISTO						
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS						
YOHANAN SHIMONOV, on behalf of himself and all others similarly s				d NATIONAL ENTERPRISE SYSTEMS, INC.					
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Queens				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.					
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A	Address, and Telephone Number	r)		Attorneys (If Known)					
JOSEPH H. MIZRAHI LA NY 11201, (917) 299-661		Plz W, 12 Fl., Broo	klyn,						
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)		TIZENSHIP OF P	PRINCIPA	L PARTIES		-	-
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	Ճ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government №	al Question S. Government Not a Party)			TF DEF	Incorporated <i>or</i> Pri of Business In T		PTF ☐ 4	<i>DEF</i> □ 4
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenshi	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citize	en of Another State	12 🗖 2	Incorporated and P of Business In A		□ 5	□ 5
				en or Subject of a reign Country	3 🗆 3	Foreign Nation		□ 6	□ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT			l E			here for: Nature o			
CONTRACT		RTS PEDSONAL INTUDY		ORFEITURE/PENALTY		NKRUPTCY	OTHER S		18
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 345 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education	PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury - Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER' 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITION Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Othe 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of	- 69 TY - 71 - 72 - 74 - 75 - 79	LABOR 10 Fair Labor Standards Act 10 Labor/Management Relations 10 Railway Labor Act 11 Family and Medical Leave Act 10 Other Labor Litigation 10 Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION 52 Naturalization Application 55 Other Immigration Actions	423 With 28 U PROPE 820 Copy 830 Pate: New 840 Trad 862 Blac 863 DIW 864 SSII 865 RSI FEDER 870 Taxe or D 871 IRS-26 U 861 U 865 U	RTY RIGHTS rrights at tt - Abbreviated Drug Application emark SECURITY (1395ff) k Lung (923) C/DIWW (405(g)) D Title XVI	□ 375 False Cla □ 376 Qui Tam	(31 USC pportionn d Banking se on r Influence Organizatio r Credit TV s/Commode tuttory Ac tral Acts ental Matt of Inform on rative Pro- eve or App elecision ionality of	ment g ded and ons dities/ etions ters nation occdure
	moved from 3	Remanded from Appellate Court		stated or	erred from	☐ 6 Multidistr	-]	Multidist Litigation	n -
		tute under which you are	e filing (I	(specify Do not cite jurisdictional sta	<i>י</i>)	Transfer (versity):	Ι	Direct Fil	.e
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	DN Brief description of ca								
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:		IS A CLASS ACTION	D	EMAND \$		CHECK YES only URY DEMAND:		complain □ No	ıt:
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKI	ET NUMBER			
DATE 01/11/2018		signature of att		OF RECORD					
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY RECEIPT # AM	MOUNT_	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JUD	OGE		

CERTIFICATION OF ARBITRATION ELIGIBILITY

Local Arbitration Rule 83.10 provides that with certain exceptions, actions seeking money damages only in an amount not in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs, are eligible for compulsory arbitration. The amount of damages is presumed to be below the threshold amount unless a certification to the contrary is filed.

I, JOSEPH	H. MIZRAHI , counsel for PLAINTIFF , do hereby certify that the above captioned citle for compulsory arbitration for the following reason(s):	vil action							
ř	monetary damages sought are in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs,								
Ī	the complaint seeks injunctive relief,								
Ţ.	the matter is otherwise ineligible for the following reason Question of law rather than question of fact predominates	;							
	DISCLOSURE STATEMENT - FEDERAL RULES CIVIL PROCEDURE 7.1								
	Identify any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation that owns 10% or more or its stocks:								
NONE									
	RELATED CASE STATEMENT (Section VIII on the Front of this Form)								
to another substantial deemed "re "Presumpt	all cases that are arguably related pursuant to Division of Business Rule 50.3.1 in Section VIII on the front of this form. Rule 50.3.1 (a) provides that "A civil case is inivil case for purposes of this guideline when, because of the similarity of facts and legal issues or because the cases arise from the same transactions or events, saving of judicial resources is likely to result from assigning both cases to the same judge and magistrate judge." Rule 50.3.1 (b) provides that "A civil case shall lated" to another civil case merely because the civil case: (A) involves identical legal issues, or (B) involves the same parties." Rule 50.3.1 (c) further provides that eley, and subject to the power of a judge to determine otherwise pursuant to paragraph (d), civil cases shall not be deemed to be "related" unless both cases are sore the court."	a not be at							
	NY-E DIVISION OF BUSINESS RULE 50.1(d)(2)								
1.)	Is the civil action being filed in the Eastern District removed from a New York State Court located in Nassau or Sc County?	uffolk							
2.)	If you answered "no" above: a) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in Nassau or County? Yes No	Suffolk							
	b) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in the Eastern District? Yes No	n							
	c) If this is a Fair Debt Collection Practice Act case, specify the County in which the offending communication was received: Queens County								
	oswer to question 2 (b) is "No," does the defendant (or a majority of the defendants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassa ounty, or, in an interpleader action, does the claimant (or a majority of the claimants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassa ounty? Yes								
Odnom	(Note: A corporation shall be considered a resident of the County in which it has the most significant contacts).								
	BAR ADMISSION								
	I am currently admitted in the Eastern District of New York and currently a member in good standing of the bar of this court.								
	✓ Yes No								
	Are you currently the subject of any disciplinary action (s) in this or any other state or federal court?								
	Yes (If yes, please explain No								
	I certify the accuracy of all information provided above.								
	Signature: /s/ Joseph H. Mizrahi								

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of New York

YOHANAN SHIMONOV, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated,)))				
Plaintiff(s)	-)				
V.	Civil Action No.				
v.) CIVII / Retion 1 to.				
NATIONAL ENTERPRISE SYSTEMS, INC.,)				
,)				
)				
Defendant(s)	- <i>'</i>				
SUMMONS	IN A CIVIL ACTION				
	PRISE SYSTEMS, INC.				
29125 SOLON RD	20				
SOLON, OHIO, 4413	59				
A lawsuit has been filed against you. Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: JOSEPH H. MIZRAHI LAW, P.C. 300 CADMAN PLAZA W, 12 FLOOR BROOKLYN, NEW YORK 11201					
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will You also must file your answer or motion with the cou	l be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. rt. DOUGLAS C. PALMER CLERK OF COURT				
Date:					
	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk				
	- · ·				

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

		ne of individual and title, if ar	ny)					
was re	ceived by me on (date)		·					
	☐ I personally served	the summons on the ind	lividual at (place)					
		on (date)						
	☐ I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with (name)							
	☐ I served the summons on (name of individual) designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of (name of organization)							
	designated by law to	accept service of process		; or				
		on (date)						
	☐ I returned the summ	I returned the summons unexecuted because						
	☐ Other (<i>specify</i>):							
	My fees are \$	for travel and S	for services, for a total of \$	0.00				
	I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.							
Date:		-						
			Server's signature					
		_	Printed name and title					
		_	Server's address					

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

National Enterprise Systems, Inc.

2479 Edison Blvd., Unit A • Twinsburg, OH 44087-2340

November 8, 2017

Yohanan Shimonov 833 Central Ave Apt 5f Far Rockaway NY 11691-4604 Current Creditor: Bank of America, N.A.
Original Creditor: Bank of America, N.A.
Account Number: XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXA464

NES Account Number: 25258895

The total amount of the debt due as of charge-off: \$45077.67
The total amount of interest accrued since charge-off: \$0.00
The total amount of non-interest charges or fees accrued since

charge-off: \$0.00

The total amount of payments and credits made on the debt

since the charge-off: \$0.00

Please contact: Roman Pocztar at (800) 925-6141 ext. 2072

Total Amount Due: \$45,077.67

The above referenced current creditor has placed your account with our office for collection.

This communication is from a debt collector. This is an attempt to collect a debt and any information obtained will be used for that purpose.

Unless you notify this office within 30 days after receiving this notice that you dispute the validity of this debt or any portion thereof, this office will assume this debt is valid. If you notify this office in writing within 30 days from receiving this notice that you dispute the validity of this debt or any portion thereof, this office will: obtain verification of the debt or obtain a copy of a judgment and mail you a copy of such judgment or verification. If you request this office in writing within 30 days after receiving this notice, this office will provide you with the name and address of the original creditor, if different from the current creditor.

If you make payment on this account by check, the face amount of the check may be presented to your bank by paper draft or electronically as permitted by law.

IDNNESI03001BOA6_568469910

NOTICE: SEE REVERSE SIDE FOR IMPORTANT INFORMATION

***PLEASE COMPLETE AND RETURN THE FORM BELOW WITH YOUR PAYMENT. ***

ONNESI02 PO Box 1280 Ouks, PA 19456-1280 ADDRESS SERVICE REQUESTED 127

||լլոհեգհեկ-իվոկիկիկուկլոհեկ||Ագոհեկել-իկլ

Yohanan Shimonov 833 Central Ave Apt 5f Far Rockaway NY 11691-4604

November 8, 2017

NES Account #: Account Number: Amount Due: 25258895 XXXXXXXXXXXXXX6464 \$45,077.67

No. of Control

Printe

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: National Enterprise Systems Hit with New York Man's FDCPA Suit