

**THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA**

SEAN SHEFFLER,

on behalf of himself and all others
similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

AMERICOLD REALTY TRUST,
a Maryland corporation,

Defendant.

Case No.:

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff Sean Sheffler (“Plaintiff”) brings this Class Action Complaint against Americold Realty Trust (“Americold” or “Defendant”), individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, and alleges, upon personal knowledge as to his own actions and his counsels’ investigations, and upon information and belief as to all other matters, as follows:

I. INTRODUCTION

1. Plaintiff brings this class action against Defendant for its failure to properly secure and safeguard personal identifiable information that Defendant required from its employees as a condition of employment, including without limitation, names, Social Security numbers, dates of birth, government-issued ID numbers, financial account numbers, credit/debit card numbers, passwords or login credentials, digital signatures, mother’s maiden names, birth certificates, biometric information, and/or medical/health insurance information (collectively, “personal identifiable information” or “PII”). Plaintiff also alleges Defendant failed to provide timely, accurate, and adequate notice to Plaintiff and similarly situated current and former employees and their beneficiaries and dependents (collectively, “Class Members”) that their PII had been lost and precisely what types of information was unencrypted and in the possession of unknown third

parties.

2. According to its website, for almost 120 years, Defendant “has been the leader in providing technology-based engineered solutions for the temperature-controlled supply chain industry.”¹ Defendant employs “nearly 13,000 Associates.”² Defendant’s employees entrust Defendant with an extensive amount of their PII. Defendant retains this information on computer hardware—even after the employment relationship ends. Defendant asserts that it understands the importance of protecting such information.

3. On or before November 16, 2020, Defendant learned that a breach of Defendant’s computer network had occurred and that it involved ransomware (the “Data Breach”).

4. Defendant determined that the Data Breach involved unauthorized activity on its network between October 29, 2020 and November 16, 2020, including unauthorized access to files on Defendant’s servers. These servers contained files that in turn contained information about Defendant’s current and former employees.

5. More than three months later, in a “Notice of Data Breach,” dated March 4, 2021, Defendant advised Plaintiff of the Data Breach.

6. By obtaining, collecting, using, and deriving a benefit from the PII of Plaintiff and Class Members, Defendant assumed legal and equitable duties to those individuals to protect and safeguard that information from unauthorized access and intrusion. Defendant admits that the unencrypted PII exposed to “unauthorized activity” included names, Social Security numbers, and dates of birth.

7. The exposed PII of Plaintiff and Class Members can be sold on the dark web. Hackers can access and then offer for sale the unencrypted, unredacted PII to criminals. Plaintiff

¹ See <https://www.americold.com/> (last visited Mar. 12, 2021).

² See <https://www.americold.com/about-us/sustainability> (last visited Mar. 12, 2021).

and Class Members now face a lifetime risk of identity theft, which is heightened here by the loss of Social Security numbers.

8. This PII was compromised due to Defendant's negligent and/or careless acts and omissions and the failure to protect the PII of Plaintiff and Class Members. In addition to Defendant's failure to prevent the Data Breach, after discovering the breach, Defendant waited several months to report it to the states' Attorneys General and affected individuals. Defendant has also purposefully maintained secret the specific vulnerabilities and root causes of the breach and has not informed Plaintiff and Class Members of that information.

9. As a result of this delayed response, Plaintiff and Class Members had no idea their PII had been compromised, and that they were, and continue to be, at significant risk of identity theft and various other forms of personal, social, and financial harm. The risk will remain for their respective lifetimes.

10. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of all persons whose PII was compromised as a result of Defendant's failure to: (i) adequately protect the PII of Plaintiff and Class Members; (ii) warn Plaintiff and Class Members of Defendant's inadequate information security practices; and (iii) effectively secure hardware containing protected PII using reasonable and effective security procedures free of vulnerabilities and incidents. Defendant's conduct amounts to negligence and violates federal and state statutes.

11. Plaintiff and Class Members have suffered injury as a result of Defendant's conduct. These injuries include: (i) lost or diminished value of PII; (ii) out-of-pocket expenses associated with the prevention, detection, and recovery from identity theft, tax fraud, and/or unauthorized use of their PII; (iii) lost opportunity costs associated with attempting to mitigate the actual consequences of the Data Breach, including but not limited to lost time, and (iv) the

continued and certainly increased risk to their PII, which: (a) remains unencrypted and available for unauthorized third parties to access and abuse; and (b) may remain backed up in Defendant's possession and is subject to further unauthorized disclosures so long as Defendant fails to undertake appropriate and adequate measures to protect the PII.

12. Defendant disregarded the rights of Plaintiff and Class Members by intentionally, willfully, recklessly, or negligently failing to take and implement adequate and reasonable measures to ensure that the PII of Plaintiff and Class Members was safeguarded, failing to take available steps to prevent an unauthorized disclosure of data, and failing to follow applicable, required and appropriate protocols, policies and procedures regarding the encryption of data, even for internal use. As the result, the PII of Plaintiff and Class Members was compromised through disclosure to an unknown and unauthorized third party. Plaintiff and Class Members have a continuing interest in ensuring that their information is and remains safe, and they should be entitled to injunctive and other equitable relief.

II. PARTIES

13. Plaintiff Sean Sheffler ("Sheffler") is a Citizen of Ohio residing in Defiance County, Ohio. Mr. Sheffler received Defendant's *Notice of Data Breach*, dated March 4, 2021, on or about that date. The notice stated that Plaintiff's name, Social Security number, and date of birth may have been exposed.

14. Defendant Americold Realty Trust is a corporation organized under the laws of Maryland, headquartered at 10 Glenlake Parkway, South Tower, Suite 600, Atlanta, Georgia, with its principal place of business in Atlanta, Georgia.

15. The true names and capacities of persons or entities, whether individual, corporate, associate, or otherwise, who may be responsible for some of the claims alleged herein are currently

unknown to Plaintiff. Plaintiff will seek leave of court to amend this complaint to reflect the true names and capacities of such other responsible parties when their identities become known.

16. All of Plaintiff's claims stated herein are asserted against Defendant and any of its owners, predecessors, successors, subsidiaries, agents and/or assigns.

III. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

17. This Court has subject matter and diversity jurisdiction over this action under 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d) because this is a class action wherein the amount of controversy exceeds the sum or value of \$5 million, exclusive of interest and costs, there are more than 100 members in the proposed class, and at least one Class Member is a citizen of a state different from Defendant to establish minimal diversity.

18. The Northern District of Georgia has personal jurisdiction over Defendant named in this action because Defendant and/or its parents or affiliates are headquartered in this District and Defendant conduct substantial business in Georgia and this District through its headquarters, offices, parents, and affiliates.

19. Venue is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. §1391(b) because Defendant and/or its parents or affiliates are headquartered in this District and a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to Plaintiff's claims occurred in this District.

IV. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

Background

20. According to its website, for almost 120 years, Defendant "has been the leader in providing technology-based engineered solutions for the temperature-controlled supply chain industry."³ Defendant employs "nearly 13,000 Associates."⁴

³ See <https://www.americold.com/> (last visited Mar. 12, 2021).

⁴ See <https://www.americold.com/about-us/sustainability> (last visited Mar. 12, 2021).

21. Plaintiff and Class Members employed by Defendant were required to provide Defendant sensitive and confidential information for themselves and their beneficiaries and dependents, including names, Social Security numbers, dates of birth, and other personal identifiable information, which is static, does not change, and can be used to commit myriad financial crimes.

22. Plaintiff and Class Members, as current and former employees and their beneficiaries and dependents, relied on this sophisticated Defendant to keep their PII confidential and securely maintained, to use this information for business purposes only, and to make only authorized disclosures of this information. Plaintiff and Class Members demand security to safeguard their PII.

23. Defendant had a duty to adopt reasonable measures to protect the PII of Plaintiff and Class Members from involuntary disclosure to third parties.

The Data Breach

24. On or about March 4, 2021, Defendant sent Plaintiff a *Notice of Data Breach*.⁵ Defendant informed Plaintiff that:

What Happened

On November 16, 2020, we determined that our computer network was affected by a data security incident. We immediately began an investigation to assess the incident's scope and engaged a third-party cybersecurity firm to assist with that investigation. We preemptively shut down our systems to contain the incident and then undertook a secure, managed restoration. In addition, we promptly notified law enforcement and have been cooperating with their investigation of the incident.

What Information Was Involved

Based on the investigation, some of your personal information was

⁵ See *Notice of Data Breach*, a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit 1 ("Ex. 1").

in files that may have been accessed without authorization. Depending on the document(s), this information may have included your name and one or more of the following: social security number and date of birth.

What We Are Doing

We preemptively shut down our systems to contain the incident and then undertook a secure, managed restoration. We also engaged a third-party cybersecurity firm to assist with our review and notified law enforcement and continue to cooperate with them. We have taken steps to further strengthen and enhance the security of systems in our network, including updating administrative and technical safeguards.⁶

25. On or about March 4, 2021, Defendant notified various state Attorneys General, including Maine’s Attorney General Frey, of the Data Breach.⁷ Defendant also provided the Attorneys General with “sample” notices of the Data Breach that suggest the information exposed in the Data Breach is not limited to names, Social Security numbers, and dates of birth, but may also include government-issued ID numbers, financial account numbers, credit/debit card numbers, passwords or login credentials, digital signatures, mother’s maiden names, birth certificates, biometric information, and/or medical/health insurance information.⁸

26. Defendant admitted in the *Notice of Data Breach*, the letters to the Attorneys General, and the “sample” notices of the Data Breach that unauthorized third persons accessed files that contained sensitive information about Defendant’s current and former employees and their beneficiaries and dependents, including names, Social Security numbers, and dates of birth, and potentially including government-issued ID numbers, financial account numbers, credit/debit card numbers, passwords or login credentials, digital signatures, mother’s maiden names, birth certificates, biometric information, and/or medical/health insurance information.

⁶ Ex. 1, p.1.

⁷ Ex. 2.

⁸ Ex. 3.

27. In response to the Data Breach, Defendant claims that “[w]e preemptively shut down our systems to contain the incident and then undertook a secure, managed restoration. We also engaged a third-party cybersecurity firm to assist with our review and notified law enforcement and continue to cooperate with them. We have taken steps to further strengthen and enhance the security of systems in our network, including updating administrative and technical safeguards.”⁹ However, the details of the root cause of the Data Breach, the vulnerabilities exploited, and the remedial measures undertaken to ensure a breach does not occur again have not been shared with regulators or Plaintiff and Class Members, who retain a vested interest in ensuring that their information remains protected.

28. The unencrypted PII of Plaintiff and Class Members may end up for sale on the dark web, or simply fall into the hands of companies that will use the detailed PII for targeted marketing without the approval of Plaintiff and Class Members. Unauthorized individuals can easily access the PII of Plaintiff and Class Members.

29. Defendant did not use reasonable security procedures and practices appropriate to the nature of the sensitive, unencrypted information it was maintaining for Plaintiff and Class Members, causing the exposure of PII for approximately 140,000 individuals.

30. As explained by the Federal Bureau of Investigation, “[p]revention is the most effective defense against ransomware and it is critical to take precautions for protection.”¹⁰

31. To prevent and detect ransomware attacks, including the ransomware attack that resulted in the Data Breach, Defendant could and should have implemented, as recommended by the United States Government, the following measures:

⁹ Exs. 1, 3.

¹⁰ See *How to Protect Your Networks from RANSOMWARE*, at 3, available at <https://www.fbi.gov/file-repository/ransomware-prevention-and-response-for-cisos.pdf/view> (last visited Mar. 15, 2021).

- Implement an awareness and training program. Because end users are targets, employees and individuals should be aware of the threat of ransomware and how it is delivered.
- Enable strong spam filters to prevent phishing emails from reaching the end users and authenticate inbound email using technologies like Sender Policy Framework (SPF), Domain Message Authentication Reporting and Conformance (DMARC), and DomainKeys Identified Mail (DKIM) to prevent email spoofing.
- Scan all incoming and outgoing emails to detect threats and filter executable files from reaching end users.
- Configure firewalls to block access to known malicious IP addresses.
- Patch operating systems, software, and firmware on devices. Consider using a centralized patch management system.
- Set anti-virus and anti-malware programs to conduct regular scans automatically.
- Manage the use of privileged accounts based on the principle of least privilege: no users should be assigned administrative access unless absolutely needed; and those with a need for administrator accounts should only use them when necessary.
- Configure access controls—including file, directory, and network share permissions—with least privilege in mind. If a user only needs to read specific files, the user should not have write access to those files, directories, or shares.
- Disable macro scripts from office files transmitted via email. Consider using Office Viewer software to open Microsoft Office files transmitted via email instead of full office suite applications.
- Implement Software Restriction Policies (SRP) or other controls to prevent programs from executing from common ransomware locations, such as temporary folders supporting popular Internet browsers or compression/decompression programs, including the AppData/LocalAppData folder.
- Consider disabling Remote Desktop protocol (RDP) if it is not being used.
- Use application whitelisting, which only allows systems to execute programs known and permitted by security policy.
- Execute operating system environments or specific programs in a virtualized environment.
- Categorize data based on organizational value and implement physical and logical

separation of networks and data for different organizational units.¹¹

32. To prevent and detect ransomware attacks, including the ransomware attack that resulted in the Data Breach, Defendant could and should have implemented, as recommended by the United States Cybersecurity & Infrastructure Security Agency, the following measures:

- **Update and patch your computer.** Ensure your applications and operating systems (OSs) have been updated with the latest patches. Vulnerable applications and OSs are the target of most ransomware attacks....
- **Use caution with links and when entering website addresses.** Be careful when clicking directly on links in emails, even if the sender appears to be someone you know. Attempt to independently verify website addresses (e.g., contact your organization's helpdesk, search the internet for the sender organization's website or the topic mentioned in the email). Pay attention to the website addresses you click on, as well as those you enter yourself. Malicious website addresses often appear almost identical to legitimate sites, often using a slight variation in spelling or a different domain (e.g., .com instead of .net)....
- **Open email attachments with caution.** Be wary of opening email attachments, even from senders you think you know, particularly when attachments are compressed files or ZIP files.
- **Keep your personal information safe.** Check a website's security to ensure the information you submit is encrypted before you provide it....
- **Verify email senders.** If you are unsure whether or not an email is legitimate, try to verify the email's legitimacy by contacting the sender directly. Do not click on any links in the email. If possible, use a previous (legitimate) email to ensure the contact information you have for the sender is authentic before you contact them.
- **Inform yourself.** Keep yourself informed about recent cybersecurity threats and up to date on ransomware techniques. You can find information about known phishing attacks on the Anti-Phishing Working Group website. You may also want to sign up for CISA product notifications, which will alert you when a new Alert, Analysis Report, Bulletin, Current Activity, or Tip has been published.
- **Use and maintain preventative software programs.** Install antivirus software, firewalls, and email filters—and keep them updated—to reduce malicious network traffic....¹²

¹¹ *Id.* at 3-4.

¹² See Security Tip (ST19-001) Protecting Against Ransomware (original release date Apr. 11, 2019), available at <https://us-cert.cisa.gov/ncas/tips/ST19-001> (last visited Mar. 15, 2021).

33. To prevent and detect ransomware attacks, including the ransomware attack that resulted in the Data Breach, Defendant could and should have implemented, as recommended by the Microsoft Threat Protection Intelligence Team, the following measures:

Secure internet-facing assets

- Apply latest security updates
- Use threat and vulnerability management
- Perform regular audit; remove privileged credentials;

Thoroughly investigate and remediate alerts

- Prioritize and treat commodity malware infections as potential full compromise;

Include IT Pros in security discussions

- Ensure collaboration among [security operations], [security admins], and [information technology] admins to configure servers and other endpoints securely;

Build credential hygiene

- Use [multifactor authentication] or [network level authentication] and use strong, randomized, just-in-time local admin passwords

Apply principle of least-privilege

- Monitor for adversarial activities
- Hunt for brute force attempts
- Monitor for cleanup of Event Logs
- Analyze logon events

Harden infrastructure

- Use Windows Defender Firewall
- Enable tamper protection
- Enable cloud-delivered protection
- Turn on attack surface reduction rules and [Antimalware Scan Interface] for Office [Visual Basic for Applications].¹³

¹³ See Human-operated ransomware attacks: A preventable disaster (Mar 5, 2020), available at <https://www.microsoft.com/security/blog/2020/03/05/human-operated-ransomware-attacks-a-preventable-disaster/> (last visited Mar. 15, 2021).

34. Given that Defendant was storing the PII of approximately 140,000 individuals, collected since at least 2000, Defendant could and should have implemented all of the above measures to prevent and detect ransomware attacks.

35. The occurrence of the Data Breach indicates that Defendant failed to adequately implement one or more of the above measures to prevent ransomware attacks, resulting in the Data Breach and the exposure of the PII of approximately 140,000 individuals, including Plaintiff and Class Members.

Defendant Acquires, Collects, and Stores the PII of Plaintiff and Class Members.

36. Defendant acquired, collected, and stored the PII of Plaintiff and Class Members at least from 2000 to 2020.

37. As a condition of maintaining employment with Defendant, Defendant requires that its employees entrust Defendant with highly confidential PII.

38. By obtaining, collecting, and storing the PII of Plaintiff and Class Members, Defendant assumed legal and equitable duties and knew or should have known that it was responsible for protecting the PII from disclosure.

39. Plaintiff and Class Members have taken reasonable steps to maintain the confidentiality of their PII and relied on Defendant to keep their PII confidential and securely maintained, to use this information for business purposes only, and to make only authorized disclosures of this information.

Securing PII and Preventing Breaches

40. Defendant could have prevented this Data Breach by properly securing and encrypting the files and file servers containing the PII of Plaintiff and Class Members. Alternatively, Defendant could have destroyed the data, especially decade-old data from former

employees and their beneficiaries and dependents.

41. Defendant's negligence in safeguarding the PII of Plaintiff and Class Members is exacerbated by the repeated warnings and alerts directed to protecting and securing sensitive data.

42. Despite the prevalence of public announcements of data breach and data security compromises, Defendant failed to take appropriate steps to protect the PII of Plaintiff and Class Members from being compromised.

43. The Federal Trade Commission ("FTC") defines identity theft as "a fraud committed or attempted using the identifying information of another person without authority."¹⁴ The FTC describes "identifying information" as "any name or number that may be used, alone or in conjunction with any other information, to identify a specific person," including, among other things, "[n]ame, Social Security number, date of birth, official State or government issued driver's license or identification number, alien registration number, government passport number, employer or taxpayer identification number."¹⁵

44. The ramifications of Defendant's failure to keep secure the PII of Plaintiff and Class Members are long lasting and severe. Once PII is stolen, particularly Social Security numbers, fraudulent use of that information and damage to victims may continue for years.

Value of Personal Identifiable Information

45. The PII of individuals remains of high value to criminals, as evidenced by the prices they will pay through the dark web. Numerous sources cite dark web pricing for stolen identity credentials. For example, personal information can be sold at a price ranging from \$40 to \$200,

¹⁴ 17 C.F.R. § 248.201 (2013).

¹⁵ *Id.*

and bank details have a price range of \$50 to \$200.¹⁶ Experian reports that a stolen credit or debit card number can sell for \$5 to \$110 on the dark web.¹⁷ Criminals can also purchase access to entire company data breaches from \$900 to \$4,500.¹⁸

46. Social Security numbers, for example, are among the worst kind of personal information to have stolen because they may be put to a variety of fraudulent uses and are difficult for an individual to change. The Social Security Administration stresses that the loss of an individual's Social Security number, as is the case here, can lead to identity theft and extensive financial fraud:

A dishonest person who has your Social Security number can use it to get other personal information about you. Identity thieves can use your number and your good credit to apply for more credit in your name. Then, they use the credit cards and don't pay the bills, it damages your credit. You may not find out that someone is using your number until you're turned down for credit, or you begin to get calls from unknown creditors demanding payment for items you never bought. Someone illegally using your Social Security number and assuming your identity can cause a lot of problems.¹⁹

47. What is more, it is no easy task to change or cancel a stolen Social Security number. An individual cannot obtain a new Social Security number without significant paperwork and evidence of actual misuse. In other words, preventive action to defend against the possibility of misuse of a Social Security number is not permitted; an individual must show evidence of actual,

¹⁶ *Your personal data is for sale on the dark web. Here's how much it costs*, Digital Trends, Oct. 16, 2019, available at: <https://www.digitaltrends.com/computing/personal-data-sold-on-the-dark-web-how-much-it-costs/> (last accessed Dec. 30, 2020).

¹⁷ *Here's How Much Your Personal Information Is Selling for on the Dark Web*, Experian, Dec. 6, 2017, available at: <https://www.experian.com/blogs/ask-experian/heres-how-much-your-personal-information-is-selling-for-on-the-dark-web/> (last accessed Dec. 29, 2020).

¹⁸ *In the Dark*, VPNOverview, 2019, available at: <https://vpnoverview.com/privacy/anonymous-browsing/in-the-dark/> (last accessed Dec. 29, 2020).

¹⁹ Social Security Administration, *Identity Theft and Your Social Security Number*, available at: <https://www.ssa.gov/pubs/EN-05-10064.pdf> (last accessed Dec. 29, 2020).

ongoing fraud activity to obtain a new number.

48. Even then, a new Social Security number may not be effective. According to Julie Ferguson of the Identity Theft Resource Center, “The credit bureaus and banks are able to link the new number very quickly to the old number, so all of that old bad information is quickly inherited into the new Social Security number.”²⁰

49. Based on the foregoing, the information compromised in the Data Breach is significantly more valuable than the loss of, for example, credit card information in a retailer data breach because, there, victims can cancel or close credit and debit card accounts. The information compromised in this Data Breach is impossible to “close” and difficult, if not impossible, to change—Social Security number, name, and date of birth, and potentially government-issued ID number, mother’s maiden name, birth certificate, and biometric information.

50. This data demands a much higher price on the black market. Martin Walter, senior director at cybersecurity firm RedSeal, explained, “Compared to credit card information, personally identifiable information and Social Security numbers are worth more than 10x on the black market.”²¹

51. Among other forms of fraud, identity thieves may obtain driver’s licenses, government benefits, medical services, and housing or even give false information to police.

52. The fraudulent activity resulting from the Data Breach may not come to light for years.

²⁰ Bryan Naylor, *Victims of Social Security Number Theft Find It’s Hard to Bounce Back*, NPR (Feb. 9, 2015), available at: <http://www.npr.org/2015/02/09/384875839/data-stolen-by-anthem-s-hackers-has-millionsworrying-about-identity-theft> (last accessed Dec. 29, 2020).

²¹ Time Greene, *Anthem Hack: Personal Data Stolen Sells for 10x Price of Stolen Credit Card Numbers*, IT World, (Feb. 6, 2015), available at: <https://www.networkworld.com/article/2880366/anthem-hack-personal-data-stolen-sells-for-10x-price-of-stolen-credit-card-numbers.html> (last accessed Dec. 29, 2020).

53. There may be a time lag between when harm occurs versus when it is discovered, and also between when PII is stolen and when it is used. According to the U.S. Government Accountability Office (“GAO”), which conducted a study regarding data breaches:

[L]aw enforcement officials told us that in some cases, stolen data may be held for up to a year or more before being used to commit identity theft. Further, once stolen data have been sold or posted on the Web, fraudulent use of that information may continue for years. As a result, studies that attempt to measure the harm resulting from data breaches cannot necessarily rule out all future harm.²²

54. At all relevant times, Defendant knew, or reasonably should have known, of the importance of safeguarding the PII of Plaintiff and Class Members, including Social Security numbers and dates of birth, and of the foreseeable consequences that would occur if Defendant’s data security system was breached, including, specifically, the significant costs that would be imposed on Plaintiff and Class Members as a result of a breach.

55. Plaintiff and Class Members now face years of constant surveillance of their financial and personal records, monitoring, and loss of rights. The Class is incurring and will continue to incur such damages in addition to any fraudulent use of their PII.

56. Defendant was, or should have been, fully aware of the unique type and the significant volume of data on Defendant’s file servers, amounting to potentially tens or hundreds of thousands of individuals’ detailed, personal information and, thus, the significant number of individuals who would be harmed by the exposure of the unencrypted data.

57. To date, Defendant has offered Plaintiff and Class Members only two years of identity theft detection through a single credit bureau, Experian. The offered service is inadequate to protect Plaintiff and Class Members from the threats they face for years to come, particularly in

²² *Report to Congressional Requesters*, GAO, at 29 (June 2007), available at: <https://www.gao.gov/assets/gao-07-737.pdf> (last accessed Mar. 15, 2021).

light of the PII at issue here.

58. The injuries to Plaintiff and Class Members were directly and proximately caused by Defendant's failure to implement or maintain adequate data security measures for the PII of Plaintiff and Class Members.

Plaintiff Sean Sheffler's Experience

59. In or around November 2019, Plaintiff Sean Sheffler worked for Defendant or its subsidiary in Napoleon, Ohio. As a condition of employment, Defendant required that he provide his PII, including but not limited to his name, Social Security number, and date of birth.

60. Mr. Sheffler received the Notice of Data Breach, dated March 4, 2021, on or about that date.

61. As a result of the Data Breach notice, Mr. Sheffler spent time dealing with the consequences of the Data Breach, which includes time spent verifying the legitimacy of the Notice of Data Breach, exploring credit monitoring and identity theft insurance options, signing up and routinely monitoring the credit monitoring offered by Defendant, and self-monitoring his accounts. This time has been lost forever and cannot be recaptured.

62. Additionally, Mr. Sheffler is very careful about sharing his PII. He has never knowingly transmitted unencrypted PII over the internet or any other unsecured source.

63. Mr. Sheffler stores any documents containing his PII in a safe and secure location or destroys the documents. Moreover, he diligently chooses unique usernames and passwords for his various online accounts.

64. Mr. Sheffler suffered actual injury in the form of damages to and diminution in the value of his PII—a form of intangible property that Mr. Sheffler entrusted to Defendant for the purpose of his employment, which was compromised in and as a result of the Data Breach.

65. Mr. Sheffler suffered lost time, annoyance, interference, and inconvenience as a result of the Data Breach and has anxiety and increased concerns for the loss of his privacy.

66. Mr. Sheffler has suffered imminent and impending injury arising from the substantially increased risk of fraud, identity theft, and misuse resulting from his PII, especially his Social Security number, in combination with his name and date of birth, being placed in the hands of unauthorized third parties and possibly criminals.

67. Mr. Sheffler has a continuing interest in ensuring that his PII, which, upon information and belief, remains backed up in Defendant's possession, is protected and safeguarded from future breaches.

V. CLASS ALLEGATIONS

68. Plaintiff brings this nationwide class action on behalf of himself and on behalf of all others similarly situated pursuant to Rule 23(b)(2), 23(b)(3), and 23(c)(4) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

69. The Nationwide Class that Plaintiff seeks to represent is defined as follows:

All individuals whose PII was compromised in the data breach that is the subject of the Notice of Data Breach that Defendant sent to Plaintiff on or around March 4, 2021 (the "Nationwide Class").

70. Pursuant to Rule 23, and in the alternative to claims asserted on behalf of the Nationwide Class, Plaintiff asserts claims on behalf of a separate subclass, defined as follows:

All current and former employees of Defendant who had contracts related to PII that was compromised in the data breach that is the subject of the Notice of Data Breach that Defendant sent to Plaintiff on or around March 4, 2021 (the "Employees Class").

71. Excluded from the Classes are the following individuals and/or entities: Defendant and Defendant's parents, subsidiaries, affiliates, officers and directors, and any entity in which Defendant has a controlling interest; all individuals who make a timely election to be excluded

from this proceeding using the correct protocol for opting out; any and all federal, state or local governments, including but not limited to their departments, agencies, divisions, bureaus, boards, sections, groups, counsels and/or subdivisions; and all judges assigned to hear any aspect of this litigation, as well as their immediate family members.

72. Plaintiff reserves the right to modify or amend the definition of the proposed classes before the Court determines whether certification is appropriate.

73. Numerosity, Fed R. Civ. P. 23(a)(1): The Nationwide Class (the “Class”) are so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable. Defendant has identified thousands of current and former employees, and beneficiaries and dependents thereof, whose PII may have been improperly accessed in the Data Breach, and the Class is apparently identifiable within Defendant’s records. Defendant advised Maine Attorney General Frey that the Data Breach affected 141,351 individuals.

74. Commonality, Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(2) and (b)(3): Questions of law and fact common to the Classes exist and predominate over any questions affecting only individual Class Members. These include:

- a. Whether and to what extent Defendant had a duty to protect the PII of Plaintiff and Class Members;
- b. Whether Defendant had duties not to disclose the PII of Plaintiff and Class Members to unauthorized third parties;
- c. Whether Defendant had duties not to use the PII of Plaintiff and Class Members for non-business purposes;
- d. Whether Defendant failed to adequately safeguard the PII of Plaintiff and Class Members;

- e. Whether and when Defendant actually learned of the Data Breach;
- f. Whether Defendant adequately, promptly, and accurately informed Plaintiff and Class Members that their PII had been compromised;
- g. Whether Defendant violated the law by failing to promptly notify Plaintiff and Class Members that their PII had been compromised;
- h. Whether Defendant failed to implement and maintain reasonable security procedures and practices appropriate to the nature and scope of the information compromised in the Data Breach;
- i. Whether Defendant adequately addressed and fixed the vulnerabilities which permitted the Data Breach to occur;
- j. Whether Defendant engaged in unfair, unlawful, or deceptive practices by failing to safeguard the PII of Plaintiff and Class Members;
- k. Whether Plaintiff and Class Members are entitled to actual damages, statutory damages, and/or nominal damages as a result of Defendant's wrongful conduct;
- l. Whether Plaintiff and Class Members are entitled to restitution as a result of Defendant's wrongful conduct; and
- m. Whether Plaintiff and Class Members are entitled to injunctive relief to redress the imminent and currently ongoing harm faced as a result of the Data Breach.

75. Typicality, Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(3): Plaintiff's claims are typical of those of other Class Members because all had their PII compromised as a result of the Data Breach, due to Defendant's misfeasance.

76. Policies Generally Applicable to the Class: This class action is also appropriate for certification because Defendant has acted or refused to act on grounds generally applicable to the

Class, thereby requiring the Court's imposition of uniform relief to ensure compatible standards of conduct toward the Class Members and making final injunctive relief appropriate with respect to the Class as a whole. Defendant's policies challenged herein apply to and affect Class Members uniformly and Plaintiff's challenge of these policies hinges on Defendant's conduct with respect to the Class as a whole, not on facts or law applicable only to Plaintiff.

77. Adequacy, Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(4): Plaintiff will fairly and adequately represent and protect the interests of the Class Members in that they have no disabling conflicts of interest that would be antagonistic to those of the other Members of the Class. Plaintiff seeks no relief that is antagonistic or adverse to the Members of the Class and the infringement of the rights and the damages they have suffered are typical of other Class Members. Plaintiff has retained counsel experienced in complex class action litigation, and Plaintiff intends to prosecute this action vigorously.

78. Superiority and Manageability, Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(3): The class litigation is an appropriate method for fair and efficient adjudication of the claims involved. Class action treatment is superior to all other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy alleged herein; it will permit a large number of Class Members to prosecute their common claims in a single forum simultaneously, efficiently, and without the unnecessary duplication of evidence, effort, and expense that hundreds of individual actions would require. Class action treatment will permit the adjudication of relatively modest claims by certain Class Members, who could not individually afford to litigate a complex claim against large corporations, like Defendant. Further, even for those Class Members who could afford to litigate such a claim, it would still be economically impractical and impose a burden on the courts.

79. The nature of this action and the nature of laws available to Plaintiff and Class

Members make the use of the class action device a particularly efficient and appropriate procedure to afford relief to Plaintiff and Class Members for the wrongs alleged because Defendant would necessarily gain an unconscionable advantage since it would be able to exploit and overwhelm the limited resources of each individual Class Member with superior financial and legal resources; the costs of individual suits could unreasonably consume the amounts that would be recovered; proof of a common course of conduct to which Plaintiff was exposed is representative of that experienced by the Class and will establish the right of each Class Member to recover on the cause of action alleged; and individual actions would create a risk of inconsistent results and would be unnecessary and duplicative of this litigation.

80. The litigation of the claims brought herein is manageable. Defendant's uniform conduct, the consistent provisions of the relevant laws, and the ascertainable identities of Class Members demonstrates that there would be no significant manageability problems with prosecuting this lawsuit as a class action.

81. Adequate notice can be given to Class Members directly using information maintained in Defendant's records.

82. Unless a Class-wide injunction is issued, Defendant may continue in its failure to properly secure the PII of Class Members, Defendant may continue to refuse to provide proper notification to Class Members regarding the Data Breach, and Defendant may continue to act unlawfully as set forth in this Complaint.

83. Further, Defendant has acted or refused to act on grounds generally applicable to the Classes and, accordingly, final injunctive or corresponding declaratory relief with regard to the Class Members as a whole is appropriate under Rule 23(b)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

84. Likewise, particular issues under Rule 23(c)(4) are appropriate for certification because such claims present only particular, common issues, the resolution of which would advance the disposition of this matter and the parties' interests therein. Such particular issues include, but are not limited to:

- a. Whether Defendant owed a legal duty to Plaintiff and Class Members to exercise due care in collecting, storing, using, and safeguarding their PII;
- b. Whether Defendant breached a legal duty to Plaintiff and Class Members to exercise due care in collecting, storing, using, and safeguarding their PII;
- c. Whether Defendant failed to comply with its own policies and applicable laws, regulations, and industry standards relating to data security;
- d. Whether an implied contract existed between Defendant on the one hand, and Plaintiff and Class Members on the other, and the terms of that implied contract;
- e. Whether Defendant breached the implied contract;
- f. Whether Defendant adequately and accurately informed Plaintiff and Class Members that their PII had been compromised;
- g. Whether Defendant failed to implement and maintain reasonable security procedures and practices appropriate to the nature and scope of the information compromised in the Data Breach;
- h. Whether Defendant engaged in unfair, unlawful, or deceptive practices by failing to safeguard the PII of Plaintiff and Class Members; and,
- i. Whether Class Members are entitled to actual damages, statutory damages, nominal damages, and/or injunctive relief as a result of Defendant's wrongful conduct.

COUNT I
NEGLIGENCE
(On Behalf of Plaintiff and the Nationwide Class)

85. Plaintiff and the Nationwide Class re-allege and incorporate by reference herein all of the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 84.

86. As a condition of their employment with Defendant, Defendant's current and former employees were obligated to provide Defendant with certain PII, including their names, Social Security numbers, and dates of birth, and those of their beneficiaries and dependents.

87. Plaintiff and the Nationwide Class entrusted their PII to Defendant on the premise and with the understanding that Defendant would safeguard their information, use their PII for business purposes only, and/or not disclose their PII to unauthorized third parties.

88. Defendant has full knowledge of the sensitivity of the PII and the types of harm that Plaintiff and the Nationwide Class could and would suffer if the PII were wrongfully disclosed.

89. Defendant knew or reasonably should have known that the failure to exercise due care in the collecting, storing, and using of the PII of Plaintiff and the Nationwide Class involved an unreasonable risk of harm to Plaintiff and the Nationwide Class, even if the harm occurred through the criminal acts of a third party.

90. Defendant had a duty to exercise reasonable care in safeguarding, securing, and protecting such information from being compromised, lost, stolen, misused, and/or disclosed to unauthorized parties. This duty includes, among other things, designing, maintaining, and testing Defendant's security protocols to ensure that the PII of Plaintiff and the Nationwide Class in Defendant's possession was adequately secured and protected.

91. Defendant also had a duty to exercise appropriate clearinghouse practices to remove former employees' PII, and that of their beneficiaries and dependents, it was no longer required to

retain pursuant to regulations.

92. Defendant also had a duty to have procedures in place to detect and prevent the improper access and misuse of the PII of Plaintiff and the Nationwide Class.

93. Defendant's duty to use reasonable security measures arose as a result of the special relationship that existed between Defendant and Plaintiff and the Nationwide Class. That special relationship arose because Plaintiff and the Nationwide Class entrusted Defendant with their confidential PII, a necessary part of employment with the company.

94. Defendant was subject to an "independent duty," untethered to any contract between Defendant and Plaintiff or the Nationwide Class.

95. A breach of security, unauthorized access, and resulting injury to Plaintiff and the Nationwide Class was reasonably foreseeable, particularly in light of Defendant's inadequate security practices.

96. Plaintiff and the Nationwide Class were the foreseeable and probable victims of any inadequate security practices and procedures. Defendant knew or should have known of the inherent risks in collecting and storing the PII of Plaintiff and the Nationwide Class, the critical importance of providing adequate security of that PII, and the necessity for encrypting PII stored on Defendant's systems.

97. Defendant's own conduct created a foreseeable risk of harm to Plaintiff and the Nationwide Class. Defendant's misconduct included, but was not limited to, its failure to take the steps and opportunities to prevent the Data Breach as set forth herein. Defendant's misconduct also included its decisions not to comply with industry standards for the safekeeping of the PII of Plaintiff and the Nationwide Class, including basic encryption techniques freely available to Defendant.

98. Plaintiff and the Nationwide Class had no ability to protect their PII that was in, and possibly remains in, Defendant's possession.

99. Defendant was in a position to protect against the harm suffered by Plaintiff and the Nationwide Class as a result of the Data Breach.

100. Defendant had and continues to have a duty to adequately disclose that the PII of Plaintiff and the Nationwide Class within Defendant's possession might have been compromised, how it was compromised, and precisely the types of data that were compromised and when. Such notice was necessary to allow Plaintiff and the Nationwide Class to take steps to prevent, mitigate, and repair any identity theft and the fraudulent use of their PII by third parties.

101. Defendant had a duty to employ proper procedures to prevent the unauthorized dissemination of the PII of Plaintiff and the Nationwide Class.

102. Defendant has admitted that the PII of Plaintiff and the Nationwide Class was wrongfully lost and disclosed to unauthorized third persons as a result of the Data Breach.

103. Defendant, through its actions and/or omissions, unlawfully breached its duties to Plaintiff and the Nationwide Class by failing to implement industry protocols and exercise reasonable care in protecting and safeguarding the PII of Plaintiff and the Nationwide Class during the time the PII was within Defendant's possession or control.

104. Defendant improperly and inadequately safeguarded the PII of Plaintiff and the Nationwide Class in deviation of standard industry rules, regulations, and practices at the time of the Data Breach.

105. Defendant failed to heed industry warnings and alerts to provide adequate safeguards to protect the PII of Plaintiff and the Nationwide Class in the face of increased risk of theft.

106. Defendant, through its actions and/or omissions, unlawfully breached its duty to Plaintiff and the Nationwide Class by failing to have appropriate procedures in place to detect and prevent dissemination of its current and former employees' PII, and that of their beneficiaries and dependents.

107. Defendant breached its duty to exercise appropriate clearinghouse practices by failing to remove former employees' PII, and that of their beneficiaries and dependents, it was no longer required to retain pursuant to regulations.

108. Defendant, through its actions and/or omissions, unlawfully breached its duty to adequately and timely disclose to Plaintiff and the Nationwide Class the existence and scope of the Data Breach.

109. But for Defendant's wrongful and negligent breach of duties owed to Plaintiff and the Nationwide Class, the PII of Plaintiff and the Nationwide Class would not have been compromised.

110. There is a close causal connection between Defendant's failure to implement security measures to protect the PII of Plaintiff and the Nationwide Class and the harm, or risk of imminent harm, suffered by Plaintiff and the Nationwide Class. The PII of Plaintiff and the Nationwide Class was lost and accessed as the proximate result of Defendant's failure to exercise reasonable care in safeguarding such PII by adopting, implementing, and maintaining appropriate security measures.

111. Additionally, Section 5 of the FTC Act prohibits "unfair . . . practices in or affecting commerce," including, as interpreted and enforced by the FTC, the unfair act or practice by businesses, such as Defendant, of failing to use reasonable measures to protect PII. The FTC publications and orders described above also form part of the basis of Defendant's duty in this

regard.

112. Defendant violated Section 5 of the FTC Act by failing to use reasonable measures to protect PII and not complying with applicable industry standards, as described in detail herein. Defendant's conduct was particularly unreasonable given the nature and amount of PII it obtained and stored and the foreseeable consequences of the immense damages that would result to Plaintiff and the Nationwide Class.

113. Defendant's violation of Section 5 of the FTC Act constitutes negligence *per se*.

114. Plaintiff and the Nationwide Class are within the class of persons that the FTC Act was intended to protect.

115. The harm that occurred as a result of the Data Breach is the type of harm the FTC Act was intended to guard against. The FTC has pursued enforcement actions against businesses, which, as a result of their failure to employ reasonable data security measures and avoid unfair and deceptive practices, caused the same harm as that suffered by Plaintiff and the Nationwide Class.

116. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's negligence and negligence *per se*, Plaintiff and the Nationwide Class have suffered and will suffer injury, including but not limited to: (i) actual identity theft; (ii) the loss of the opportunity of how their PII is used; (iii) the compromise, publication, and/or theft of their PII; (iv) out-of-pocket expenses associated with the prevention, detection, and recovery from identity theft, tax fraud, and/or unauthorized use of their PII; (v) lost opportunity costs associated with effort expended and the loss of productivity addressing and attempting to mitigate the actual and future consequences of the Data Breach, including but not limited to efforts spent researching how to prevent, detect, contest, and recover from tax fraud and identity theft; (vi) costs associated with placing freezes on credit reports; (vii) the continued risk to their PII, which remain in Defendant's possession and is subject to further

unauthorized disclosures so long as Defendant fails to undertake appropriate and adequate measures to protect the PII of Plaintiff and the Nationwide Class; and (viii) future costs in terms of time, effort, and money that will be expended to prevent, detect, contest, and repair the impact of the PII compromised as a result of the Data Breach for the remainder of the lives of Plaintiff and the Nationwide Class.

117. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's negligence and negligence *per se*, Plaintiff and the Nationwide Class have suffered and will continue to suffer other forms of injury and/or harm, including, but not limited to, anxiety, emotional distress, loss of privacy, and other economic and non-economic losses.

118. Additionally, as a direct and proximate result of Defendant's negligence and negligence *per se*, Plaintiff and the Nationwide Class have suffered and will suffer the continued risks of exposure of their PII, which remain in Defendant's possession and is subject to further unauthorized disclosures so long as Defendant fails to undertake appropriate and adequate measures to protect the PII in its continued possession.

COUNT II
BREACH OF IMPLIED CONTRACT
(On Behalf of Plaintiff and the Employees Class)

119. Plaintiff and the Employees Class re-allege and incorporate by reference herein all of the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 84.

120. Defendant required Plaintiff and the Employees Class to provide their personal information, including names, Social Security numbers, and dates of birth, and other personal information, as a condition of their employment. Defendant may have also required Plaintiff and the Employees Class to provide their government-issued ID numbers, financial account numbers, credit/debit card numbers, passwords or login credentials, digital signatures, mother's maiden

names, birth certificates, biometric information, and medical/health insurance information as a condition of employment.

121. As a condition of their employment with Defendant, Plaintiff and the Employees Class provided their personal and financial information, including but not limited to the personal information of their beneficiaries and dependents. In so doing, Plaintiff and the Employees Class entered into implied contracts with Defendant by which Defendant agreed to safeguard and protect such information, to keep such information secure and confidential, and to timely and accurately notify Plaintiff and the Employees Class if their data had been breached and compromised or stolen.

122. Plaintiff and the Employees Class fully performed their obligations under the implied contracts with Defendant.

123. Defendant breached the implied contracts it made with Plaintiff and the Employees Class by failing to safeguard and protect their personal and financial information, including the personal information of their beneficiaries and dependents, and by failing to provide timely and accurate notice to them that personal and financial information, along with the personal information of their beneficiaries and dependents, was compromised as a result of the data breach.

124. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's above-described breach of implied contract, Plaintiff and the Employees Class have suffered (and will continue to suffer) ongoing, imminent, and impending threat of identity theft crimes, fraud, and abuse, resulting in monetary loss and economic harm; actual identity theft crimes, fraud, and abuse, resulting in monetary loss and economic harm; loss of the confidentiality of the stolen confidential data; the illegal sale of the compromised data on the dark web; expenses and/or time spent on credit monitoring and identity theft insurance; time spent scrutinizing bank statements, credit card statements, and credit

reports; expenses and/or time spent initiating fraud alerts, decreased credit scores and ratings; lost work time; and other economic and non-economic harm.

COUNT III
INVASION OF PRIVACY
(On Behalf of Plaintiff and the Nationwide Class)

125. Plaintiff and the Nationwide Class re-allege and incorporate by reference herein all of the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 84.

126. Plaintiff and the Nationwide Class had a legitimate expectation of privacy to their PII and were entitled to the protection of this information against disclosure to unauthorized third parties.

127. Defendant owed a duty to its current and former employees and their beneficiaries and dependents, including Plaintiff and the Nationwide Class, to keep their PII contained as a part thereof, confidential.

128. Defendant failed to protect and released to unknown and unauthorized third parties the PII of Plaintiff and the Nationwide Class.

129. Defendant allowed unauthorized and unknown third parties access to and examination of the PII of Plaintiff and the Nationwide Class, by way of Defendant's failure to protect the PII.

130. The unauthorized release to, custody of, and examination by unauthorized third parties of the PII of Plaintiff and the Nationwide Class is highly offensive to a reasonable person.

131. The intrusion was into a place or thing, which was private and is entitled to be private. Plaintiff and the Nationwide Class disclosed their PII to Defendant as part of the current and former employees' employment with Defendant, but privately with an intention that the PII would be kept confidential and would be protected from unauthorized disclosure. Plaintiff and the

Nationwide Class were reasonable in their belief that such information would be kept private and would not be disclosed without their authorization.

132. The Data Breach at the hands of Defendant constitutes an intentional interference with Plaintiff's and the Nationwide Class's interest in solitude or seclusion, either as to their persons or as to their private affairs or concerns, of a kind that would be highly offensive to a reasonable person.

133. Defendant acted with a knowing state of mind when its permitted the Data Breach to occur because it was with actual knowledge that its information security practices were inadequate and insufficient.

134. Because Defendant acted with this knowing state of mind, it had notice and knew the inadequate and insufficient information security practices would cause injury and harm to Plaintiff and the Nationwide Class.

135. As a proximate result of the above acts and omissions of Defendant, the PII of Plaintiff and the Nationwide Class was disclosed to third parties without authorization, causing Plaintiff and the Nationwide Class to suffer damages.

136. Unless and until enjoined, and restrained by order of this Court, Defendant's wrongful conduct will continue to cause great and irreparable injury to Plaintiff and the Nationwide Class in that the PII maintained by Defendant can be viewed, distributed, and used by unauthorized persons for years to come. Plaintiff and the Nationwide Class have no adequate remedy at law for the injuries in that a judgment for monetary damages will not end the invasion of privacy for Plaintiff and the Nationwide Class.

COUNT IV
BREACH OF CONFIDENCE
(On Behalf of Plaintiff and the Nationwide Class)

137. Plaintiff and the Nationwide Class re-allege and incorporate by reference herein all of the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 84.

138. At all times during Plaintiff's and the Nationwide Class's interactions with Defendant, Defendant was fully aware of the confidential and sensitive nature of Plaintiff's and the Nationwide Class's PII that Plaintiff and the Nationwide Class employed by Defendant provided to Defendant.

139. As alleged herein and above, Defendant's relationship with Plaintiff and the Nationwide Class was governed by terms and expectations that Plaintiff's and the Nationwide Class's PII would be collected, stored, and protected in confidence, and would not be disclosed to unauthorized third parties.

140. Plaintiff and the Nationwide Class employed by Defendant provided Plaintiff's and the Nationwide Class's PII to Defendant with the explicit and implicit understandings that Defendant would protect and not permit the PII to be disseminated to any unauthorized third parties.

141. Plaintiff and the Nationwide Class employed by Defendant also provided Plaintiff's and the Nationwide Class's PII to Defendant with the explicit and implicit understandings that Defendant would take precautions to protect that PII from unauthorized disclosure.

142. Defendant voluntarily received in confidence Plaintiff's and the Nationwide Class's PII with the understanding that PII would not be disclosed or disseminated to the public or any unauthorized third parties.

143. Due to Defendant's failure to prevent and avoid the Data Breach from occurring, Plaintiff and the Nationwide Class's PII was disclosed and misappropriated to unauthorized third parties beyond Plaintiff's and the Nationwide Class's confidence, and without their express permission.

144. As a direct and proximate cause of Defendant's actions and/or omissions, Plaintiff and the Nationwide Class have suffered damages.

145. But for Defendant's disclosure of Plaintiff's and the Nationwide Class's PII in violation of the parties' understanding of confidence, their PII would not have been compromised, stolen, viewed, accessed, and used by unauthorized third parties. Defendant's Data Breach was the direct and legal cause of the theft of Plaintiff's and the Nationwide Class's PII as well as the resulting damages.

146. The injury and harm Plaintiff and the Nationwide Class suffered was the reasonably foreseeable result of Defendant's unauthorized disclosure of Plaintiff's and the Nationwide Class's PII. Defendant knew or should have known its methods of accepting and securing Plaintiff's and the Nationwide Class's PII was inadequate as it relates to, at the very least, securing servers and other equipment containing Plaintiff's and the Nationwide Class's PII.

147. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's breach of its confidence with Plaintiff and the Nationwide Class, Plaintiff and the Nationwide Class have suffered and will suffer injury, including but not limited to: (i) actual identity theft; (ii) the loss of the opportunity how their PII is used; (iii) the compromise, publication, and/or theft of their PII; (iv) out-of-pocket expenses associated with the prevention, detection, and recovery from identity theft, tax fraud, and/or unauthorized use of their PII; (v) lost opportunity costs associated with effort expended and the loss of productivity addressing and attempting to mitigate the actual and future consequences

of the Data Breach, including but not limited to efforts spent researching how to prevent, detect, contest, and recover from tax fraud and identity theft; (vi) costs associated with placing freezes on credit reports; (vii) the continued risk to their PII, which remain in Defendant's possession and is subject to further unauthorized disclosures so long as Defendant fails to undertake appropriate and adequate measures to protect the PII of current and former employees and their beneficiaries and dependents; and (viii) future costs in terms of time, effort, and money that will be expended to prevent, detect, contest, and repair the impact of the PII compromised as a result of the Data Breach for the remainder of the lives of Plaintiff and the Nationwide Class.

148. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's breaches of confidence, Plaintiff and the Nationwide Class have suffered and will continue to suffer other forms of injury and/or harm, including, but not limited to, anxiety, emotional distress, loss of privacy, and other economic and non-economic losses.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and Class Members, requests judgment against Defendant and that the Court grant the following:

- A. For an Order certifying the Nationwide Class and the Employees Class and appointing Plaintiff and their Counsel to represent each such Class;
- B. For equitable relief enjoining Defendant from engaging in the wrongful conduct complained of herein pertaining to the misuse and/or disclosure of the PII of Plaintiff and Class Members, and from refusing to issue prompt, complete, any accurate disclosures to Plaintiff and Class Members;
- C. For injunctive relief requested by Plaintiff, including but not limited to, injunctive and other equitable relief as is necessary to protect the interests of Plaintiff and

Class Members, including but not limited to an order:

- i. prohibiting Defendant from engaging in the wrongful and unlawful acts described herein;
- ii. requiring Defendant to protect, including through encryption, all data collected through the course of its business in accordance with all applicable regulations, industry standards, and federal, state or local laws;
- iii. requiring Defendant to delete, destroy, and purge the personal identifying information of Plaintiff and Class Members unless Defendant can provide to the Court reasonable justification for the retention and use of such information when weighed against the privacy interests of Plaintiff and Class Members;
- iv. requiring Defendant to implement and maintain a comprehensive Information Security Program designed to protect the confidentiality and integrity of the PII of Plaintiff and Class Members;
- v. prohibiting Defendant from maintaining the PII of Plaintiff and Class Members on a cloud-based database;
- vi. requiring Defendant to engage independent third-party security auditors/penetration testers as well as internal security personnel to conduct testing, including simulated attacks, penetration tests, and audits on Defendant's systems on a periodic basis, and ordering Defendant to promptly correct any problems or issues detected by such third-party security auditors;
- vii. requiring Defendant to engage independent third-party security auditors and internal personnel to run automated security monitoring;
- viii. requiring Defendant to audit, test, and train its security personnel regarding any

- new or modified procedures;
- ix. requiring Defendant to segment data by, among other things, creating firewalls and access controls so that if one area of Defendant's network is compromised, hackers cannot gain access to other portions of Defendant's systems;
 - x. requiring Defendant to conduct regular database scanning and securing checks;
 - xi. requiring Defendant to establish an information security training program that includes at least annual information security training for all employees, with additional training to be provided as appropriate based upon the employees' respective responsibilities with handling personal identifying information, as well as protecting the personal identifying information of Plaintiff and Class Members;
 - xii. requiring Defendant to routinely and continually conduct internal training and education, and on an annual basis to inform internal security personnel how to identify and contain a breach when it occurs and what to do in response to a breach;
 - xiii. requiring Defendant to implement a system of tests to assess its respective employees' knowledge of the education programs discussed in the preceding subparagraphs, as well as randomly and periodically testing employees compliance with Defendant's policies, programs, and systems for protecting personal identifying information;
 - xiv. requiring Defendant to implement, maintain, regularly review, and revise as necessary a threat management program designed to appropriately monitor Defendant's information networks for threats, both internal and external, and

assess whether monitoring tools are appropriately configured, tested, and updated;

- xv. requiring Defendant to meaningfully educate all Class Members about the threats that they face as a result of the loss of their confidential personal identifying information to third parties, as well as the steps affected individuals must take to protect themselves;
 - xvi. requiring Defendant to implement logging and monitoring programs sufficient to track traffic to and from Defendant's servers; and for a period of 10 years, appointing a qualified and independent third party assessor to conduct a SOC 2 Type 2 attestation on an annual basis to evaluate Defendant's compliance with the terms of the Court's final judgment, to provide such report to the Court and to counsel for the class, and to report any deficiencies with compliance of the Court's final judgment;
- D. For an award of damages, including actual, nominal, and consequential damages, as allowed by law in an amount to be determined;
 - E. For an award of attorneys' fees, costs, and litigation expenses, as allowed by law;
 - F. For prejudgment interest on all amounts awarded; and
 - G. Such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff hereby demands that this matter be tried before a jury.

Date: March 15, 2021

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/ Gregory Bosseler

MORGAN & MORGAN, P.A.

Gregory Bosseler
191 Peachtree Street N.E., Suite 4200
P.O. Box 57007
Atlanta, Georgia 30343-1007
gbosseler@forthepeople.com

John A. Yanchunis*

Ryan D. Maxey*

**MORGAN & MORGAN COMPLEX
BUSINESS DIVISION**

201 N. Franklin Street, 7th Floor
Tampa, Florida 33602
(813) 223-5505
jyanchunis@ForThePeople.com
rmaxey@ForThePeople.com

**pro hac vice to be filed*

Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Proposed Class



March 4, 2021

NOTICE OF DATA BREACH

Re: **Important Security Notification**
Please read this letter.

Dear Sean Sheffler:

We are contacting you regarding a security incident that may have involved some of your personal information. We take the privacy and security of your personal information very seriously and for this reason want you to understand the steps we have taken to address this issue and additional steps you can take to protect yourself. This letter explains what happened and, as a precaution, offers you additional assistance in protecting against potential identity theft. As further described in this letter, we are offering you complimentary credit monitoring and identity theft restoration services.

What Happened

On November 16, 2020, we determined that our computer network was affected by a data security incident. We immediately began an investigation to assess the incident's scope and engaged a third-party cybersecurity firm to assist with that investigation. We preemptively shut down our systems to contain the incident and then undertook a secure, managed restoration. In addition, we promptly notified law enforcement and have been cooperating with their investigation of the incident.

What Information Was Involved

Based on the investigation, some of your personal information was in files that may have been accessed without authorization. Depending on the document(s), this information may have included your name and one or more of the following: social security number and date of birth.

What We Are Doing

We preemptively shut down our systems to contain the incident and then undertook a secure, managed restoration. We also engaged a third-party cybersecurity firm to assist with our review and notified law enforcement and continue to cooperate with them. We have taken steps to further strengthen and enhance the security of systems in our network, including updating administrative and technical safeguards.

KING & SPALDING

King & Spalding LLP
1700 Pennsylvania Ave, NW
Suite 200
Washington, D.C. 20006-4707
Tel: +1 202 737 0500
Fax: +1 202 626 3737
www.kslaw.com

March 4, 2021

BY U.S. MAIL

Office of the Attorney General
6 State House Station
Augusta, ME 04333

Re: Data Security Incident

Dear Attorney General Frey:

As outside counsel for Americold Realty Trust, a publicly traded REIT focused on the ownership, operation, acquisition, and development of temperature-controlled warehouses, we write to inform you of the recent discovery of a data security incident and to explain the steps the company has taken and continues to take to address it, including notifying potentially impacted individuals and providing them ways with which to protect their personal information.

On November 16, 2020, Americold determined that its computer network had been affected by a ransomware incident. The company immediately began an investigation to assess the incident's scope, engaging a third-party cybersecurity firm at the direction of counsel to assist. As a containment measure, the company preemptively shut down its systems and then undertook a secure, managed restoration from backup systems. The company also promptly notified law enforcement and has been cooperating with their investigation of the incident. In addition, the company has taken steps to further strengthen and enhance the security of systems in its network, including updating administrative and technical safeguards.

The company diligently has worked to complete its review of the potentially affected data, engaging external support to assist with the review and locating up-to-date address information. While this review was underway, on December 29, 2020, the company proactively sent letters to all current associates in the United States, offering them a complimentary two-year membership of Experian's® IdentityWorksSM, which provides identity theft detection and resolution services.

Office of the Attorney General

March 4, 2021

Page 2

The company completed its review of the data on about February 19, 2021, and found personal information for 147 state residents in files that may have been accessed or acquired without authorization.

The company is notifying this Office and the individual state residents and is providing them with complimentary credit monitoring and identify theft services for 24 months through Experian. The company also is sending follow up notices to the individuals who received the December 29 letter. Unaddressed copies of each letter are attached. Those letters are to be mailed on March 4. In addition, the company has established a call center to answer consumer questions.

Please do not hesitate to contact us if you have any questions regarding this letter.

Very truly yours,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Scott Ferber", with a long horizontal line extending to the right.

Scott Ferber
202-626-8974

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Sumon Dantiki", with a long horizontal line extending to the right.

Sumon Dantiki
202-626-5591

Attachments



March 4, 2021

G2845-L02-0000002 T00001 P001 *****AUTO**MIXED AADC 159



SAMPLE A. SAMPLE - L02

APT ABC

123 ANY ST

ANYTOWN, ST 12345-6789



NOTICE OF DATA BREACH

Re: **Important Security Notification**
Please read this letter.

Dear Sample A. Sample:

As a follow up to our December 18 email and December 29 letter, we are updating you regarding the data security incident. We take the privacy and security of your personal information very seriously and for this reason want you to understand the steps we have taken to address this issue. This letter explains what happened. Details on the complimentary credit monitoring and identity theft restoration services are in your December 29 letter.

What Happened

On November 16, 2020, we determined that our computer network was affected by a data security incident. We immediately began an investigation to assess the incident’s scope and engaged a third-party cybersecurity firm to assist with that investigation. We preemptively shut down our systems to contain the incident and then undertook a secure, managed restoration. In addition, we promptly notified law enforcement and have been cooperating with their investigation of the incident.

What Information Was Involved

Based on the investigation, some of your personal information was in files that may have been accessed without authorization. Depending on the document(s), this information may have included your name and one or more of the following: [Extra1-social security number,] [Extra2-partial social security number,] [Extra3-date of birth,] [Extra4-individual tax ID number,] [Extra5-tax information,] [Extra6-government-issued ID number,] [Extra7-financial account number,] [Extra8-credit/debit card number,] [Extra9-password or login credentials,] [Extra10-digital signature,] [Extra11-mother’s maiden name,] [Extra12-birth certificate,] [Extra13-biometric information,] [Extra14-medical / health insurance information].

What We Are Doing

We preemptively shut down our systems to contain the incident and then undertook a secure, managed restoration. We also engaged a third-party cybersecurity firm to assist with our review and notified law enforcement and continue to cooperate with them. We have taken steps to further strengthen and enhance the security of systems in our network, including updating administrative and technical safeguards.

0000002



What You Can Do

As a precaution, and as was discussed in our December 29 letter, we have offered you a complimentary two-year membership of Experian's® IdentityWorksSM, which provides identity theft detection and assistance in resolving any identity theft that does occur. We strongly recommend you take advantage of this offer.

If you have questions about the product, need assistance with identity restoration or would like an alternative to enrolling in Experian IdentityWorks online, please contact Experian's customer care team at **(833) 549-2138** by **March 31, 2021**. Be prepared to provide engagement number **DB24480** and your personalized activation code as proof of eligibility for the identity restoration services by Experian.

Additional details regarding your two-year Experian IdentityWorks Membership:

A credit card is **not** required for enrollment in Experian IdentityWorks. You can contact Experian **immediately** regarding any fraud issues, and have access to the following features once you enroll in Experian IdentityWorks:

- **Experian credit report at signup:** See what information is associated with your credit file. Daily credit reports are available for online members only.*
- **Credit Monitoring:** Actively monitors Experian files for indicators of fraud.
- **Identity Restoration:** Specialists are immediately available to help you address credit and non-credit related fraud.
- **Experian IdentityWorks ExtendCARETM:** You receive the same high-level of Identity Restoration support even after your Experian IdentityWorks membership has expired.
- **Up to \$1 Million Identity Theft Insurance^{**}:** Provides coverage for certain costs and unauthorized electronic fund transfers.

If you believe there was fraudulent use of your information and would like to discuss how you may be able to resolve those issues, please reach out to an Experian agent at **(833) 549-2138**. If, after discussing your situation with an agent, it is determined that Identity Restoration support is needed, then an Experian Identity Restoration agent is available to work with you to investigate and resolve each incident of fraud that occurred (including, as appropriate, helping you with contacting credit grantors to dispute charges and close accounts, assisting you in placing a freeze on your credit file with the three major credit bureaus, and assisting you with contacting government agencies to help restore your identity to its proper condition).

Please note that this Identity Restoration support is available to you for two years from the date of the December 29 letter and does not require any action on your part at this time. The Terms and Conditions for this offer are located at www.experianidworks.com/restoration. You also will find self-help tips and information about identity protection at this site.

More Information

Security, in all its forms, remains a top priority at Americold, and we will continue to take all appropriate steps to safeguard personal information and our systems. We sincerely apologize for any inconvenience or concern this incident may cause. If you have any questions regarding this incident, please call **(833) 549-2138** toll-free Monday through Friday from 9:00 a.m. – 11:00 p.m. (ET) or Saturday and Sunday from 11 a.m. – 8:00 p.m. (ET) (excluding major U.S. holidays).

Sincerely,
The Americold Team

* Offline members will be eligible to call for additional reports quarterly after enrolling.

** The Identity Theft Insurance is underwritten and administered by American Bankers Insurance Company of Florida, an Assurant company. Please refer to the actual policies for terms, conditions, and exclusions of coverage. Coverage may not be available in all jurisdictions.

Reference Guide

Order Your Free Credit Report

To order your free annual credit report, visit www.annualcreditreport.com, call toll-free at (877) 322-8228, or complete the Annual Credit Report Request Form on the U.S. Federal Trade Commission's (FTC) website at www.ftc.gov and mail it to Annual Credit Report Request Service, P.O. Box 105281, Atlanta, GA 30348-5281. The three credit bureaus (Equifax, Experian and TransUnion) provide free annual credit reports only through the website, toll-free number or request form.

You may also purchase a copy of your credit report by contacting any of the credit reporting agencies below:

Equifax	Experian	TransUnion
www.equifax.com	www.experian.com	www.transunion.com
(800) 685-1111	(888) 397-3742	(800) 916-8800

Upon receiving your credit report, review it carefully. Errors may be a warning sign of possible identity theft. Here are a few tips of what to look for:

- Look for accounts you did not open.
- Look in the "inquiries" section for names of creditors from whom you have not requested credit. Some companies bill under names other than their store or commercial names; the credit bureau will be able to tell if this is the case.
- Look in the "personal information" section for any inaccuracies in information (such as home address and Social Security Number).

If you see anything you do not understand, call the credit bureau at the telephone number on the report. Errors may be a warning sign of possible identity theft. You should notify the credit bureaus of any inaccuracies in your report, whether due to error or fraud, as soon as possible so the information can be investigated and, if found to be in error, corrected. If there are accounts or charges you did not authorize, immediately notify the appropriate credit bureau by telephone and in writing. Information that cannot be explained should also be reported to your local police or sheriff's office because it may signal criminal activity.

How to Enroll in Free Credit Monitoring and Identity Restoration Services with Experian IdentityWorks

We encourage you to contact Experian with any questions at **(833) 549-2138** Monday through Friday from 9:00 a.m. – 11:00 p.m. (ET) or Saturday and Sunday from 11 a.m. – 8:00 p.m. (ET) (excluding major U.S. holidays), and to enroll in free IdentityWorks services by going to <https://www.experianidworks.com/credit> and using the Enrollment Code provided in your December 29 letter. Please note that the deadline to enroll is **March 31, 2021**.

We encourage you to take advantage of these protections and remain vigilant for incidents of fraud and identity theft, including regularly reviewing and monitoring your credit reports and account statements.

If you detect any unauthorized transactions in any of your financial accounts, promptly notify the appropriate payment card company or financial institution. If you detect any incidence of identity theft or fraud, promptly report the matter to your local law enforcement authorities (from whom you can obtain a police report), state Attorney General, and the Federal Trade Commission (FTC). You can contact the FTC to learn more about how to protect yourself from becoming a victim of identity theft by using the contact information below:

Federal Trade Commission
Bureau of Consumer Protection
600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW
Washington, DC 20580
(877) IDTHEFT (438-4338)
www.ftc.gov/idtheft

Placing a Security Freeze

You have a right to place a "security freeze" on your credit report, which will prohibit a consumer reporting agency from releasing information in your credit report without your express authorization. The security freeze is designed to prevent credit, loans, and services from being approved in your name without your consent. However, you should be



aware that using a security freeze to take control over who gets access to the personal and financial information in your credit report may delay, interfere with, or prohibit the timely approval of any subsequent request or application you make regarding a new loan, credit, mortgage, or any other account involving the extension of credit.

You can place, temporarily lift, or permanently remove a security freeze on your credit report online, by phone, or by mail. You will need to provide certain personal information, such as address, date of birth, and Social Security number to request a security freeze and may be provided with a unique personal identification number (PIN) or password, or both, that can be used by you to authorize the removal or lifting of the security freeze. Information on how to place a security freeze with the credit reporting agencies is also contained in the links below:

<https://www.equifax.com/personal/credit-report-services/>

<https://www.experian.com/freeze/center.html>

<https://www.transunion.com/credit-freeze>

Fees associated with placing, temporarily lifting, or permanently removing a security freeze no longer apply at nationwide consumer reporting agencies.

Placing a Fraud Alert

To protect yourself from possible identity theft, you have the right to place an initial or extended fraud alert on your credit file at no cost. An initial fraud alert is a one-year alert that is placed on a consumer's credit file. Upon seeing a fraud alert display on a consumer's credit file, a business is required to take steps to verify the consumer's identity before extending new credit. If you are a victim of identity theft, you are entitled to an extended fraud alert, which is a fraud alert lasting seven years. You may obtain additional information from the FTC and the credit reporting agencies listed above about placing a fraud alert and/or security freeze on your credit report.

MARYLAND RESIDENTS

You may obtain information about avoiding identity theft at:

Office of the State of Maryland Attorney General
200 St. Paul Place
Baltimore, MD 21202
1-888-743-0023
www.marylandattorneygeneral.gov

NORTH CAROLINA RESIDENTS

You may obtain information about avoiding identity theft at:

North Carolina Attorney General's Office
9001 Mail Service Center
Raleigh, NC 27699-9001
919-716-6400
www.ncdoj.gov

NEW MEXICO RESIDENTS

The Fair Credit Reporting Act provides certain rights in addition to the right to receive a copy of your credit report (including a free copy once every 12 months), including the right to ask for a credit score, dispute incomplete or inaccurate information, limit "prescreened" offers of credit and insurance, and seek damages from violators. If you are the victim of identity theft, you also have the right to file a police report and obtain a copy of it.

RHODE ISLAND RESIDENTS

We first learned about a possible data security incident on November 16, 2020. Based on the investigation, personal information for 113 Rhode Island residents was in the potentially affected files. You may obtain information about avoiding identity theft at: Office of the State of Rhode Island Attorney General

150 South Main Street
Providence, RI 02903
401-274-4400
www.riag.ri.gov



4 de marzo de 2021

SAMPLE A. SAMPLE - L02
APT ABC
123 ANY ST
ANYTOWN, ST 12345-6789

AVISO DE FILTRACIÓN DE DATOS

Asunto: **Notificación importante de seguridad**
Lea esta carta.

Estimado/a Sample A. Sample:

Como seguimiento de nuestro correo electrónico del 18 de diciembre y nuestra carta del 29 de diciembre, le estamos brindando una actualización con respecto al incidente de seguridad de datos. Tomamos muy en serio la privacidad y seguridad de su información personal y, por este motivo, queremos que comprenda las medidas que hemos tomado para abordar este problema. Esta carta explica lo que sucedió. Encontrará detalles sobre los servicios gratuitos de monitoreo de crédito y restauración de robo de identidad en su carta del 29 de diciembre.

Qué sucedió

El 16 de noviembre de 2020, determinamos que nuestra red informática se vio afectada por un incidente de seguridad de datos. Inmediatamente iniciamos una investigación para evaluar el alcance del incidente y contratamos a una compañía de seguridad cibernética externa para que nos ayudara con esa investigación. De manera preventiva, apagamos nuestros sistemas para contener el incidente y luego realizamos una restauración segura y supervisada. Además, notificamos de inmediato a las fuerzas de seguridad y hemos estado cooperando con la investigación del incidente.

Qué información estuvo involucrada

Con base en la investigación, parte de su información personal estaba en archivos a los que se puede haber accedido sin autorización. Según los documentos, esta información puede haber incluido su nombre y uno o más de los siguientes: [Extra1-social security number,] [Extra2-partial social security number,] [Extra3-date of birth,] [Extra4-individual tax ID number,] [Extra5-tax information,] [Extra6-government-issued ID number,] [Extra7-financial account number,] [Extra8-credit/debit card number,] [Extra9-password or login credentials,] [Extra10-digital signature,] [Extra11-mother's maiden name,] [Extra12-birth certificate,] [Extra13-biometric information,] [Extra14-medical / health insurance information].

Qué estamos haciendo

De manera preventiva, apagamos nuestros sistemas para contener el incidente y luego realizamos una restauración segura y supervisada. También contratamos a una compañía de seguridad cibernética externa para que nos ayudara con nuestra evaluación y notificamos a las fuerzas de seguridad y continuamos cooperando con ellas. Hemos tomado medidas para fortalecer y mejorar aún más la seguridad de los sistemas en nuestra red, incluida la actualización de las medidas de seguridad administrativas y técnicas.

0000002



Qué puede hacer

Como precaución, y como se analizó en nuestra carta del 29 de diciembre, le hemos ofrecido una membresía gratuita por dos años de Experian's® IdentityWorksSM, que proporciona detección de robo de identidad y asistencia para resolver cualquier robo de identidad que ocurra. Le recomendamos encarecidamente que aproveche esta oferta.

Si tiene preguntas sobre el producto, necesita asistencia con la restauración de identidad o desea una alternativa a la inscripción en Experian IdentityWorks en línea, comuníquese con el equipo de atención al cliente de Experian al **(833) 549-2138** a más tardar para el **31 de marzo de 2021**. Esté preparado para proporcionar el número de referencia **DB24480** y su código de activación personalizado como prueba de elegibilidad para obtener los servicios de restauración de identidad de Experian.

Detalles adicionales sobre la membresía de dos años de Experian IdentityWorks:

No se requiere una tarjeta de crédito para inscribirse en Experian IdentityWorks. Puede comunicarse con Experian **de inmediato** si tiene problemas de fraude y tener acceso a las siguientes funciones una vez que se inscriba en Experian IdentityWorks:

- **Informe crediticio de Experian al momento de la inscripción:** Vea qué información está asociada con su archivo de crédito. Los informes crediticios diarios están disponibles solo para miembros en línea.*
- **Monitoreo de crédito:** Supervisa activamente los archivos de Experian para detectar indicadores de fraude.
- **Restauración de identidad:** Los especialistas están disponibles de inmediato para ayudarle a abordar el fraude crediticio y no crediticio.
- **Experian IdentityWorks ExtendCARE™:** Recibe el mismo soporte de restauración de identidad de alto nivel incluso después de que su membresía de Experian IdentityWorks haya vencido.
- **Seguro contra robo de identidad de hasta 1 millón de dólares estadounidenses**:** Brinda cobertura para determinados costos y transferencias electrónicas de fondos no autorizadas.

Si cree que hubo un uso fraudulento de su información y desea analizar cómo puede resolver esos problemas, comuníquese con un agente de Experian al **(833) 549-2138**. Si, después de analizar su situación con un agente, se determina que se necesita soporte de restauración de identidad, entonces un agente de restauración de identidad de Experian estará disponible para trabajar con usted para investigar y resolver cada incidente de fraude ocurrido (lo que incluye, según corresponda, ayudarle a comunicarse con los otorgantes de crédito para disputar los cargos y cerrar cuentas, ayudarle a colocar un bloqueo en su archivo de crédito con las tres principales agencias de informes de crédito, y a ayudarle a comunicarse con agencias gubernamentales para asistir en la restauración de su identidad a su condición adecuada).

Tenga en cuenta que este soporte de restauración de identidad está disponible para que lo utilice durante dos años a partir de la fecha de la carta del 29 de diciembre y no requiere ninguna acción de su parte en este momento. Los Términos y Condiciones de esta oferta se encuentran en www.experianidworks.com/restoration. También encontrará consejos de autoayuda e información sobre protección de identidad en este sitio.

Más información

La seguridad, en todas sus formas, sigue siendo una prioridad principal en Americold, y continuaremos tomando todas las medidas adecuadas para proteger la información personal y nuestros sistemas. Le ofrecemos nuestras más sinceras disculpas por cualquier inconveniente o inquietud que este incidente pueda ocasionarle. Si tiene alguna pregunta con respecto a este incidente, llame sin cargo al **(833) 549-2138** de lunes a viernes de 9:00 a.m. a 11:00 p.m. (hora del Este, ET) o sábados y domingos de 11 a.m. a 8:00 p.m. (ET) (excepto durante los principales feriados de los EE. UU.).

Atentamente,
El equipo de Americold

* Los miembros fuera de línea serán elegibles para llamar para obtener informes adicionales cada tres meses después de inscribirse.

** El seguro contra robo de identidad está suscrito y administrado por American Bankers Insurance Company of Florida, una compañía de Assurant. Consulte las pólizas reales para conocer los términos, las condiciones y las exclusiones de la cobertura. Es posible que la cobertura no esté disponible en todas las jurisdicciones.

Guía de referencia**Solicite su informe crediticio gratuito**

Para solicitar su informe crediticio anual gratuito, visite www.annualcreditreport.com, llame sin cargo al (877) 322-8228, o complete el formulario de solicitud de informe crediticio anual en el sitio web de la Comisión Federal de Comercio (Federal Trade Commission, FTC) de los EE. UU. en www.ftc.gov y envíelo por correo a Annual Credit Report Request Service, P.O. Box 105281, Atlanta, GA 30348-5281. Las tres agencias de informes de crédito (Equifax, Experian y TransUnion) proporcionan informes crediticios anuales gratuitos solo a través del sitio web, el número de teléfono gratuito o el formulario de solicitud.

También puede comprar una copia de su informe crediticio comunicándose con cualquiera de las siguientes agencias de informes de crédito:

Equifax
www.equifax.com
(800) 685-1111

Experian
www.experian.com
(888) 397-3742

TransUnion
www.transunion.com
(800) 916-8800

Al recibir su informe crediticio, revíselo detenidamente. Los errores pueden ser una señal de advertencia de un posible robo de identidad. Estos son algunos consejos sobre lo que debe buscar:

- Busque cuentas que no haya abierto.
- Busque en la sección "consultas" los nombres de los acreedores a los que no haya solicitado crédito. Algunas compañías facturan bajo nombres que no sean los nombres comerciales o de sus tiendas; la agencia de informes de crédito podrá saber si este es el caso.
- Busque en la sección "información personal" cualquier inexactitud en la información (tal como dirección particular y número de seguro social).

Si ve algo que no entiende, llame a la agencia de informes de crédito al número de teléfono que figura en el informe. Los errores pueden ser una señal de advertencia de un posible robo de identidad. Debe notificar a las agencias de informes de crédito sobre cualquier imprecisión en su informe, ya sea debido a un error o fraude, lo antes posible para que la información pueda investigarse y, si se determina que es un error, corregirse. Si hay cuentas o cargos que usted no autorizó, notifique de inmediato a la agencia de informes de crédito correspondiente por teléfono y por escrito. La información que no se pueda explicar también debe informarse a la policía local o a la oficina del alguacil porque puede indicar actividad delictiva.

Cómo inscribirse en los servicios gratuitos de monitoreo de crédito y restauración de identidad con Experian IdentityWorks

Le recomendamos que se comunique con Experian si tiene alguna pregunta al **(833) 549-2138** de lunes a viernes de 9:00 a. m. a 11:00 p. m. (hora del Este, ET) o sábados y domingos de 11 a. m. a 8:00 p. m. (ET) (excepto durante los principales feriados de los EE. UU.) y para inscribirse en los servicios gratuitos de IdentityWorks, visite <https://www.experianidworks.com/credit> y utilice el código de inscripción proporcionado en su carta del 29 de diciembre. Tenga en cuenta que la fecha límite para inscribirse es el **31 de marzo de 2021**.

Le recomendamos que aproveche estas protecciones y permanezca atento a incidentes de fraude y robo de identidad, lo que incluye revisar y monitorear regularmente sus informes crediticios y estados de cuenta.

Si detecta alguna transacción no autorizada en cualquiera de sus cuentas financieras, notifique de inmediato a la compañía de tarjeta de pago o institución financiera correspondiente. Si detecta algún incidente de robo de identidad o fraude, informe el asunto de inmediato a las fuerzas de seguridad locales (de quienes puede obtener un informe policial), al fiscal general del estado y a la Comisión Federal de Comercio (Federal Trade Commission, FTC). Puede comunicarse con la FTC para obtener más información sobre cómo protegerse de convertirse en víctima de robo de identidad utilizando la siguiente información de contacto:

Federal Trade Commission
Bureau of Consumer Protection
600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW
Washington, D. C. 20580
(877) IDTHEFT (438-4338)
www.ftc.gov/idtheft

Colocación de un bloqueo de seguridad

Tiene derecho a colocar un "bloqueo de seguridad" en su informe crediticio, que prohibirá que una agencia de informes de los consumidores divulgue información en su informe crediticio sin su autorización expresa. El bloqueo de seguridad está diseñado para evitar que el crédito, los préstamos y los servicios se aprueben en su nombre sin su consentimiento. Sin embargo, debe tener en cuenta que el uso de un bloqueo de seguridad para tomar el control sobre quién tiene acceso a la

0000002



información personal y financiera en su informe crediticio puede retrasar, interferir o prohibir la aprobación oportuna de cualquier solicitud o petición posterior que usted realice con respecto a un nuevo préstamo, crédito, hipoteca o cualquier otra cuenta que involucre el otorgamiento de un crédito.

Puede colocar, levantar temporalmente o eliminar de manera permanente un bloqueo de seguridad en su informe crediticio en línea, por teléfono o por correo. Deberá proporcionar cierta información personal, tal como dirección, fecha de nacimiento y número de seguro social para solicitar un bloqueo de seguridad, y es posible que se le proporcione un número de identificación personal (PIN) único o contraseña, o ambos, que usted pueda usar para autorizar la eliminación o el levantamiento del bloqueo de seguridad. La información sobre cómo colocar un bloqueo de seguridad con las agencias de informes de crédito también se incluye en los siguientes enlaces:

<https://www.equifax.com/personal/credit-report-services/>

<https://www.experian.com/freeze/center.html>

<https://www.transunion.com/credit-freeze>

Las tarifas asociadas con la colocación, el levantamiento temporal o la eliminación permanente de un bloqueo de seguridad ya no se aplican a las agencias de informes de los consumidores en todo el país.

Colocación de una alerta de fraude

Para protegerse de un posible robo de identidad, usted tiene derecho a colocar una alerta de fraude inicial o extendida en su archivo de crédito sin costo alguno. Una alerta de fraude inicial es una alerta de un año que se coloca en el archivo de crédito de un consumidor. Al ver una alerta de fraude en el archivo de crédito de un consumidor, una compañía debe tomar medidas para verificar la identidad del consumidor antes de otorgar un nuevo crédito. Si usted es víctima de robo de identidad, tiene derecho a una alerta de fraude extendida, que es una alerta de fraude que dura siete años. Puede obtener información adicional de la FTC y de las agencias de informes de crédito mencionadas anteriormente sobre la colocación de una alerta de fraude o un bloqueo de seguridad en su informe crediticio.

MARYLAND RESIDENTS

Puede obtener información sobre cómo evitar el robo de identidad en:

Office of the State of Maryland Attorney General
200 St. Paul Place
Baltimore, MD 21202
1-888-743-0023
www.marylandattorneygeneral.gov

NORTH CAROLINA RESIDENTS

Puede obtener información sobre cómo evitar el robo de identidad en:

North Carolina Attorney General's Office
9001 Mail Service Center
Raleigh, NC 27699-9001
919-716-6400
www.ncdoj.gov

NEW MEXICO RESIDENTS

La Ley de Informes de Crédito Justos (Fair Credit Reporting Act, FCRA) otorga ciertos derechos además del derecho a recibir una copia de su informe de crédito (incluida una copia gratuita cada 12 meses), incluido el derecho a solicitar una puntuación de crédito, platicar sobre información incompleta o inexacta, limitar las ofertas de crédito y seguro "preseleccionadas" y solicitar una indemnización por daños y perjuicios a los infractores. Si usted es víctima de robo de identidad, también tiene derecho a presentar una denuncia policial y obtener una copia de ella.

RHODE ISLAND RESIDENTS

El 16 de noviembre de 2020 descubrimos por primera vez un posible incidente de seguridad de datos. Según la investigación, la información personal de 113 residentes de Rhode Island estaba en los archivos potencialmente afectados. Puede obtener información sobre cómo evitar el robo de identidad en:

Office of the State of Rhode Island Attorney General
150 South Main Street
Providence, RI 02903
401-274-4400
www.riag.ri.gov

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: [Americold Hit with Class Action Over Late-2020 Data Breach](#)
