Case 1:17-cv-05676 Document 1 Filed 09/28/17 Page 1 of 10 PageID #: 1

### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

YISROEL SELWYN on behalf of himself and all other similarly situated consumers

Plaintiff,

-against-

### CENTRAL CREDIT SERVICES LLC

Defendant.

### **CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT**

### Introduction

 Plaintiff, Yisroel Selwyn, brings this action against Central Credit Services LLC for violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et *seq.* ("FDCPA"). The FDCPA prohibits debt collectors from engaging in abusive, deceptive and unfair collection practices while attempting to collect on debts.

### Parties

- 2. Plaintiff is a citizen of the State of New York who resides within this District.
- 3. Plaintiff is a consumer as that term is defined by Section 1692(a)(3) of the FDCPA, in that the alleged debt that Defendant sought to collect from Plaintiff a consumer debt.
- Upon information and belief, Defendant's principal place of business is located in Ramsey, New Jersey.
- 5. Defendant is regularly engaged, for profit, in the collection of debts allegedly owed by consumers.

6. Defendant is a "debt collector" as that term is defined by the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692(a)(6).

### Jurisdiction and Venue

- This Court has federal question jurisdiction under 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331.
- 8. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), as the acts and transactions that give rise to this action occurred, in substantial part, in this district.

### Allegations Particular to Yisroel Selwyn

- 9. Upon information and belief, on a date better known by Defendant, Defendant began to attempt to collect an alleged consumer debt from the Plaintiff.
- 10. On or about June 2, 2017, Defendant sent the Plaintiff a collection letter.
- 11. The said letter was an effort to collect on a consumer debt.
- 12. The said letter identified the amount owing, and then stated: "The Amount Due above reflects the total balance due as of the date of this letter."
- 13. Said words imply that the balance may increase at a later stage. See <u>Chuway v. National</u> <u>Action Financial Services, 362 F.3d 944 (7th Cir.2004)</u> (Letter stating the balance but inviting the debtor to call to obtain "the most current balance information" creates doubt as to whether the balance stated is increasing and violates the FDCPA unless an explanation is provided.)
- 14. The Plaintiff was left uncertain as to whether the "CURRENT BALANCE" would increase there was no disclosure that indicated otherwise.
- The letter does not clearly state either that the amount will or will not increase. See <u>Avila</u>
   <u>v. Riexinger & Associates, LLC, 817 F.3d 72, (2d Cir. 1016)</u>.

### Case 1:17-cv-05676 Document 1 Filed 09/28/17 Page 3 of 10 PageID #: 3

- 16. The said letter provided a column with an itemized accounting of the debt as required by New York state statute which informed the consumer that no interest or fees have been added post charge-off.
- 17. However, the period of time that has elapsed since charge-off is not stated in the collection letter, confusing the least sophisticated consumer as to whether interest or fees will be added in the future.
- 18. While it is typical for collection letters to state an "amount due" or a "current balance", it is not typical for a letter to state that the amount owed is as of a specific date as such language would imply the potential of a different balance on a different date.
- 19. The FDCPA requires debt collectors, when notifying consumers of their account balance, to disclose that the balance may increase due to interest and fees; failure to include such disclosures would harm consumers such as the Plaintiff who may hold the reasonable but mistaken belief, that timely payment will satisfy their debts and it would abrogate the Congressional purpose of full and fair disclosure to consumers that is embodied in Section 1692e.
- 20. Collection notices that state only the "CURRENT BALANCE," but do not disclose that the balance might increase due to interest and fees, are "misleading" within the meaning of Section 1692e.
- 21. The Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(2)(A) for misrepresenting the amount of the debt owed by the Plaintiff.
- 22. 15 U.S.C. § 1692e of the FDCPA provides:

A debt collector may not use any false, deceptive, or misleading representation or means in connection with the collection of any debt. Without limiting the general application of the foregoing, the following conduct is a violation of this section:

-3-

(2) The false representation of --

(A) the character, amount, or legal status of any debt; or

(10) the use of any false representation or deceptive means to collect or attempt to collect any debt or to obtain information concerning a consumer.

23. 15 U.S.C. § 1692g of the FDCPA provides:

(a) Within five days after the initial communication with a consumer in connection with the collection of any debt, a debt collector shall, unless the following information is contained in the initial communication or the consumer has paid the debt, send the consumer a written notice containing-

(1) the amount of the debt.

- 24. The Defendant failed to provide the consumer with the actual amount of the debt in its initial communication with the Plaintiff.<sup>1</sup>
- 25. Upon information and belief, such actions are part of a scheme or business of Defendant when attempting to collect alleged debts from consumers in the State of New York.
- 26. Upon information and belief, the Defendant's collection letters, such as the said June 2,2017 collection letter, number in at least the hundreds.
- 27. Defendant's June 2, 2017 letter is in violation of 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e, 1692e(2), 1692e(10), 1692g and 1692g(a)(1) for failing to clearly state the amount of the debt which is due and owing, by implying that a payment sooner rather than later will be more economical for the consumer and by employing false, deceptive and misleading representations in connection with the collection of a debt.
- 28. Plaintiff suffered injury in fact by being subjected to unfair and abusive practices of the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Beauchamp v. Fin. Recovery Services, Inc., 2011 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 25512, 2011 WL 891320, at \*2 (S.D.N.Y. Mar. 14, 2011) (Ultimately, the critical question [in determining whether a communication violates the F.D.C.P.A.] is . . . whether the notice fails to convey the required information clearly and effectively and thereby makes the least sophisticated consumer uncertain as to the [6] meaning of the message. . . . letter may, in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692g, make the least sophisticated consumer uncertain as to her rights and confused about the total amount she owes where the "[1]etter provides that the outstanding balance may increase".)

Defendant.

- 29. Plaintiff suffered actual harm by being the target of the Defendant's misleading debt collection communications.
- 30. Defendant violated the Plaintiff's right not to be the target of misleading debt collection communications.
- 31. Defendant violated the Plaintiff's right to a truthful and fair debt collection process.
- 32. Defendant used materially false, deceptive, misleading representations and means in its attempted collection of Plaintiff's alleged debt.
- 33. Defendant's communications were designed to cause the debtor to suffer a harmful disadvantage in charting a course of action in response to Defendant's collection efforts.
- 34. The FDCPA ensures that consumers are fully and truthfully apprised of the facts and of their rights, the act enables them to understand, make informed decisions about, and participate fully and meaningfully in the debt collection process. The purpose of the FDCPA is to provide information that helps consumers to choose intelligently. The Defendant's false representations misled the Plaintiff in a manner that deprived him of his right to enjoy these benefits, these materially misleading statements trigger liability under section 1692e of the Act.
- 35. These deceptive communications additionally violated the FDCPA since they frustrate the consumer's ability to intelligently choose his or her response.
- 36. Plaintiff seeks to end these violations of the FDCPA. Plaintiff has suffered damages including but not limited to, fear, stress, mental anguish, emotional stress and acute embarrassment. Plaintiff and putative class members are entitled to preliminary and permanent injunctive relief, including, declaratory relief, and damages.

### **CLASS ALLEGATIONS**

- 37. This action is brought as a class action. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of himself and on behalf of all other persons similarly situated pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
- 38. The identities of all class members are readily ascertainable from the records of Central Credit Services LLC and those business and governmental entities on whose behalf it attempts to collect debts.
- 39. Excluded from the Plaintiff's Class is the Defendant and all officers, members, partners, managers, directors, and employees of Central Credit Services LLC, and all of their respective immediate families, and legal counsel for all parties to this action and all members of their immediate families.
- 40. There are questions of law and fact common to the Plaintiff's Class, which common issues predominate over any issues involving only individual class members. The principal issues are whether the Defendant's communications with the Plaintiff, such as the above stated claims, violate provisions of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act.
- 41. The Plaintiff's claims are typical of the class members, as all are based upon the same facts and legal theories.
- 42. The Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Plaintiff's Class defined in this complaint. The Plaintiff has retained counsel with experience in handling consumer lawsuits, complex legal issues, and class actions, and neither the Plaintiff nor his attorneys have any interests, which might cause them not to vigorously pursue this action.

- 43. This action has been brought, and may properly be maintained, as a class action pursuant to the provisions of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure because there is a well-defined community interest in the litigation:
  - (a) <u>Numerosity:</u> The Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that the Plaintiff's Class defined above is so numerous that joinder of all members would be impractical.
  - (b) <u>Common Questions Predominate:</u> Common questions of law and fact exist as to all members of the Plaintiff's Class and those questions predominate over any questions or issues involving only individual class members. The principal issues are whether the Defendant's communications with the Plaintiff, such as the above stated claims, violate provisions of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act.
  - (c) <u>Typicality:</u> The Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the class members. Plaintiff and all members of the Plaintiff's Class defined in this complaint have claims arising out of the Defendant's common uniform course of conduct complained of herein.
  - (d) Adequacy: The Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the class members insofar as Plaintiff has no interests that are adverse to the absent class members. The Plaintiff is committed to vigorously litigating this matter. Plaintiff has also retained counsel experienced in handling consumer lawsuits, complex legal issues, and class actions. Neither the Plaintiff nor his counsel have any interests, which might cause them not to vigorously pursue the instant class action lawsuit.

- (e) Superiority: A class action is superior to the other available means for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy because individual joinder of all members would be impracticable. Class action treatment will permit a large number of similarly situated persons to prosecute their common claims in a single forum efficiently and without unnecessary duplication of effort and expense that individual actions would engender. Certification of a class under Rule 23(b)(l)(A) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure is appropriate because adjudications with respect to individual members create a risk of inconsistent or varying adjudications which could establish incompatible standards of conduct for Defendant who, on information and belief, collects debts throughout the United States of America.
- 44. Certification of a class under Rule 23(b)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure is also appropriate in that a determination that the above stated claims, violate provisions of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, and is tantamount to declaratory relief and any monetary relief under the FDCPA would be merely incidental to that determination.
- 45. Certification of a class under Rule 23(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure is also appropriate in that the questions of law and fact common to members of the Plaintiff's Class predominate over any questions affecting an individual member, and a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy.

- 46. Further, Defendant has acted, or failed to act, on grounds generally applicable to the Rule (b)(l)(A) and (b)(2) Class, thereby making appropriate final injunctive relief with respect to the Class as a whole.
- 47. Depending on the outcome of further investigation and discovery, Plaintiff may, at the time of class certification motion, seek to certify one or more classes only as to particular issues pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(c)(4).

### CAUSE OF ACTION

## Violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act brought by Plaintiff on behalf of himself and the members of a class, as against the Defendant.

- 48. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates, and incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs numbered one (1) through forty seven (47) herein with the same force and effect is if the same were set forth at length herein.
- 49. This cause of action is brought on behalf of Plaintiff and the members of a class.
- 50. The class involves all individuals whom Defendant's records reflect resided in the State of New York and who were sent a collection letter in substantially the same form letter as the letter sent to the Plaintiff on or about June 2, 2017; and (a) the collection letter was sent to a consumer seeking payment of a personal debt; and (b) the collection letter was not returned by the postal service as undelivered; and (c) the Plaintiff asserts that the letter contained violations of 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e, 1692e(2), 1692e(10), 1692g and 1692g(a)(1) for failing to clearly state the amount of the debt which is due and owing, by implying that a payment sooner rather than later will be more economical for the consumer and by employing false, deceptive and misleading representations in connection with the collection of a debt.

### Violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act

- The Defendant's actions as set forth above in the within complaint violates the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act.
- 52. Because the Defendant violated the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, the Plaintiff and the members of the class are entitled to damages in accordance with the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, respectfully requests preliminary and permanent injunctive relief, and that this Court enter judgment in Plaintiff's favor and against the Defendant and award damages as follows:

- (a) Statutory damages provided under the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692(k);
- (b) Attorney fees, litigation expenses and costs incurred in bringing this action; and
- (c) Any other relief that this Court deems appropriate and just under the circumstances.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York September 28, 2017

> /s/ Maxim Maximov Maxim Maximov, Esq. Attorneys for the Plaintiff Maxim Maximov, LLP 1701 Avenue P Brooklyn, New York 11229 Office: (718) 395-3459 Facsimile: (718) 408-9570 E-mail: m@maximovlaw.com

Plaintiff requests trial by jury on all issues so triable.

/s/ Maxim Maximov Maxim Maximov, Esq.

## JS 44 (Rev. 1/2013) Case 1:17-cv-05676 Document Cover Sile 09/28/17 Page 1 of 2 PageID #: 11

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. *(SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)* 

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS		
YISROEL SELWYN			CENTRAL CREDIT SERVICES LLC		
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff KINGS			County of Residence of First Listed Defendant		
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)			<i>(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)</i> NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF		
				OF LAND INVOLVED.	
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)MAXIM MAXIMOV, LLPOFFICE: (718) 395-34591701 AVENUE PFAX: (718) 408-9570BROOKLYN, NEW YORK 11229E-MAIL: M@MAXIMOVLAW			Attorneys (If Known)		
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in C	One Box Only)	III. CITIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PARTIES	
□ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	■ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government)	Not a Party)		<b>IF DEF</b> 1 □ 1 Incorporated <i>or</i> Pr of Business In T	
2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	2 2 Incorporated and H of Business In A	
			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 🗖 3 Foreign Nation	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		aly)	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
<ul> <li>CONTINET</li> <li>CONTINET</li> <li>CONTINET</li> <li>CONTINET</li> <li>Contract</li> <li>Contract&lt;</li></ul>	PERSONAL INJURY         310 Airplane         315 Airplane Product Liability         320 Assault, Libel & Slander         330 Federal Employers' Liability         340 Marine         345 Marine Product Liability         350 Motor Vehicle         355 Motor Vehicle         9350 Motor Vehicle         9350 Motor Vehicle         9350 Motor Vehicle         9360 Other Personal Injury         9362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice         CIVIL RIGHTS         440 Other Civil Rights         441 Voting         442 Employment         443 Housing/ Accommodations         445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment         446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	PERSONAL INJURY      365 Personal Injury -     Product Liability     367 Health Care/     Pharmaceutical     Personal Injury     Product Liability     368 Asbestos Personal     Injury Product     Liability     PERSONAL PROPER     370 Other Fraud     371 Truth in Lending     380 Other Personal     Property Damage     Product Liability      PRISONER PETITION     Habeas Corpus:     463 Alien Detainee     510 Motions to Vacate     Sentence     530 General     535 Death Penalty     Other:     540 Mandamus & Other	<ul> <li>Y □ 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881</li> <li>□ 690 Other</li> <li>□ 690 Other</li> <li>TY □ 710 Fair Labor Standards Act</li> <li>□ 720 Labor/Management Relations</li> <li>□ 740 Railway Labor Act</li> <li>□ 751 Family and Medical Leave Act</li> <li>□ 790 Other Labor Litigation</li> <li>IS □ 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act</li> <li>Income Security Act</li> <li>□ 462 Naturalization Application</li> <li>□ 465 Other Immigration</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>422 Appeal 28 USC 158</li> <li>423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157</li> <li>PROPERTY RIGHTS</li> <li>820 Copyrights</li> <li>830 Patent</li> <li>840 Trademark</li> <li>SOCIAL SECURITY</li> <li>861 HIA (1395ff)</li> <li>862 Black Lung (923)</li> <li>863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))</li> <li>864 SSID Title XVI</li> <li>865 RSI (405(g))</li> <li>FEDERAL TAX SUITS</li> <li>870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)</li> <li>871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>375 False Claims Act</li> <li>400 State Reapportionment</li> <li>410 Antitrust</li> <li>430 Banks and Banking</li> <li>450 Commerce</li> <li>460 Deportation</li> <li>470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations</li> <li>480 Consumer Credit</li> <li>490 Cable/Sat TV</li> <li>850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange</li> <li>890 Other Statutory Actions</li> <li>891 Agricultural Acts</li> <li>895 Freedom of Information Act</li> <li>896 Arbitration</li> <li>899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision</li> <li>950 Constitutionality of State Statutes</li> </ul>
	Other 448 Education	<ul> <li>550 Civil Rights</li> <li>555 Prison Condition</li> <li>560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement</li> </ul>	Actions		
<b>V. ORIGIN</b> ( <i>Place an "X" in</i> $\mathbf{\overline{7}}$ <b>1</b> $\mathbf{O}$ <b>:</b> $\mathbf{\overline{7}}$ <b>:</b> $\mathbf{\overline{7}}$ <b>:</b> $\mathbf{\overline{7}}$ <b>:</b> $\mathbf{\overline{7}}$		D 110 -			· ,
	moved from $\Box$ 3 te Court	Remanded from Appellate Court	■ 4 Reinstated or Reopened ■ 5 Transfer Anothe (specify)	er District Litigation	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	<b>DN</b> 15 U.S.C. SECT Brief description of ca	ION 1692 FÁIR D ause:	e filing (Do not cite jurisdictional stat EBT COLLECTION PRACT T COLLECTION BUSINES	tutes unless diversity): ICES ACT (FDCPA)	
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2	IS A <b>CLASS ACTION</b> 3, F.R.Cv.P.	DEMAND \$	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint: ★ Yes □ No
VIII. RELATED CASH IF ANY	<b>E(S)</b> (See instructions):	JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER	
DATE 09/28/2017	SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD /S/ MAXIM MAXIMOV, ESQ.				
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY       RECEIPT #   AN	10UNT	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JUI	DGE

### Case 1:17-cv-056 Refield CATER ON 10F AFRED TORAZE ON FEAD BELT PAGE DE #: 12

Local Arbitration Rule 83.10 provides that with certain exceptions, actions seeking money damages only in an amount not in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs, are eligible for compulsory arbitration. The amount of damages is presumed to be below the threshold amount unless a certification to the contrary is filed.

I,  $\underline{N/A}$ , counsel for \_\_\_\_\_, do hereby certify that the above captioned civil action is ineligible for compulsory arbitration for the following reason(s):

monetary damages sought are in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs,

the complaint seeks injunctive relief,

the matter is otherwise ineligible for the following reason

### **DISCLOSURE STATEMENT - FEDERAL RULES CIVIL PROCEDURE 7.1**

Identify any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation that owns 10% or more or its stocks:

N/A

### **RELATED CASE STATEMENT (Section VIII on the Front of this Form)**

Please list all cases that are arguably related pursuant to Division of Business Rule 50.3.1 in Section VIII on the front of this form. Rule 50.3.1 (a) provides that "A civil case is "related" to another civil case for purposes of this guideline when, because of the similarity of facts and legal issues or because the cases arise from the same transactions or events, a substantial saving of judicial resources is likely to result from assigning both cases to the same judge and magistrate judge." Rule 50.3.1 (b) provides that "A civil case shall not be deemed "related" to another civil case merely because the civil case: (A) involves identical legal issues, or (B) involves the same parties." Rule 50.3.1 (c) further provides that "Presumptively, and subject to the power of a judge to determine otherwise pursuant to paragraph (d), civil cases shall not be deemed to be "related" unless both cases are still pending before the court."

### NY-E DIVISION OF BUSINESS RULE 50.1(d)(2)

Is the civil action being filed in the Eastern District removed from a New York State Court located in Nassau or Suffolk 1.) County: NO

2.)	If you answered "no" above:
	a) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in Nassau or Suffolk
	County? NO

b) Did the events of omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in the Eastern District? YES

If your answer to question 2 (b) is "No," does the defendant (or a majority of the defendants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County, or, in an interpleader action, does the claimant (or a majority of the claimants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County?

(Note: A corporation shall be considered a resident of the County in which it has the most significant contacts).

### **BAR ADMISSION**

I am currently admitted in the Eastern District of New York and currently a member in good standing of the bar of this court. X Yes No

Are you currently the subject of any disciplinary action (s) in this or any other state or federal court? (If yes, please explain)

Yes

 $\square$ No

I certify the accuracy of all information provided above.

Signature: /S/ MAXIM MAXIMOV, ESQ.

PO BOX 358 RAMSEY NJ 07446-0353 CHANGE SERVICE REQUESTED

Yisroel Selwyn

1489 Carroll St

Brooklyn NY 11213-4513

### **Central Credit Services LLC**

500 North Franklin Turnpike, Ste 200, Ramsey, NJ 07446

800-336-3940

OFFICE HOURS (EST): 8AM-9PM MONDAY - THURSDAY 8AM-5PM FRIDAY & 8AM-12PM SATURDAY June 2, 2017

Central Credit #:

CREDITOR: AMERICAN EXPRESS (US) CREDITOR'S ACCOUNT #: XXXXXXXX13008 CURRENT BALANCE: \$749.27

Please be advised that we have been requested by AMERICAN EXPRESS (US) to assist them in the collection of the amount set forth above.

In accordance with NY State regulatory requirements, please be advised of the following:

Total due as of charge-off	\$749.27
Total interest accrued since charge-off	\$0.00
Total non-interest charges or fees accrued since charge off	\$0.00
Total payments made since charge-off	\$0.00

The Amount Due above reflects the total balance due as of the date of this letter. The itemization reflects the post charge-off activity we received from American Express and as such as such

You may contact us at 800-336-3940 if you have any questions or if you would like to discuss this matter further.

Unless you notify this office within 30 days after receiving this notice that you dispute the validity of this debt or any portion thereof, this office will assume this debt is valid. If you notify this office in writing within 30 days after receiving this notice, this office will obtain verification of the debt or obtain a copy of a judgment and mail you a copy of such judgment or verification. If you request this office in writing within 30 days after receiving this notice, this office will provide you with the name and address of the original creditor, if different from the current creditor.

This is an attempt to collect a debt. Any information obtained will be used for that purpose. This is a communication from a debt collector.

#### NOTICE: SEE REVERSE SIDE FOR IMPORTANT CONSUMER INFORMATION

2740LVELDOIRSNY1

PLEASE RETURN THIS PORTION WITH YOUR PAYMENT (MAKE SURE ADDRESS SHOWS THROUGH WINDOW)

Central Credit Services LLC 500 North Franklin Turnpike, Ste 200 Ramsey, NJ 07446

800-336-3940

Central Credit #	Current Balance Due	
	\$749.27	
YISROEL SELWYN		

STRUE ST

Case 1:17-cv-05676 Document 1-3 Filed 09/28/17 Page 1 of 1 PageID #: 14

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

YISROEL SELWYN on behalf of himself and all other similarly situated consumers

Plaintiff,

-against-

CENTRAL CREDIT SERVICES LLC

Defendant.

### SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

TO: CENTRAL CREDIT SERVICES LLC 9550 NORTH REGENCY SQUARE BOULEVARD, #602 JACKSONVILLE, FLORIDA 32225

**YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED** and required to file with the Clerk of this Court and serve upon PLAINTIFF'S ATTORNEY:

MAXIM MAXIMOV, ESQ. MAXIM MAXIMOV, LLP 1701 AVENUE P BROOKLYN, NEW YORK 11229

an answer to the complaint which is herewith served upon you, with **21** days after service of this summons upon you, exclusive of the day of service. If you fail to do so, judgment by default will be taken against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.

CLERK

DATE

BY DEPUTY CLERK

# **ClassAction.org**

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Central Credit Services Faces FDCPA Suit in New York</u>