personal knowledge.

### INTRODUCTION / PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

allegations specifically pertaining to Plaintiff, which are based upon Plaintiff's

- 1. Congress enacted the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act (hereinafter "the FDCPA" or "Act") in 1977 in response to the "abundant evidence of the use of abusive, deceptive, and unfair debt collection practices by many debt collectors." 15 U.S.C. §1692(a). At that time, Congress was concerned that "abusive debt collection practices contribute to the number of personal bankruptcies, to material instability, to the loss of jobs, and to invasions of individual privacy." *Id.* Congress concluded that "existing laws ... [we]re inadequate to protect consumers," and that "the effective collection of debts" does not require "misrepresentation or other abusive debt collection practices." 15 U.S.C. §1692(b) & (c).
- 2. Congress explained that the purpose of the Act was not only to eliminate abusive debt collection practices, but also to "insure that those debt collectors who refrain from using abusive debt collection practices are not competitively disadvantaged." *Id.* §1692(e). After determining that the existing consumer protection laws were inadequate, *Id.* §1692(b), Congress gave consumers a private cause of action against debt collectors who fail to comply with the Act. *Id.* §1692k.

#### 1 JURISDICTION AND VENUE 2 3. The Court has jurisdiction over this class action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 3 § 1331 and 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq. The Court has pendent jurisdiction 4 5 over the State law claims in this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a). 6 4. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2), 7 as this is where a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to 8 9 the claim occurred. 10 **NATURE OF THE ACTION** 11 5. Plaintiff brings this class action on behalf of a class of California 12 13 consumers under 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq., commonly known as the Fair 14 Debt Collections Practices Act ("FDCPA"); and 15 6. Plaintiff is seeking damages and declaratory relief. 16 17 **PARTIES** 18 7. Plaintiff is a resident of the State of California, County of Los Angeles, 19 residing at 803 N Detroit Street, Apt. 2, West Hollywood, CA 90046. 20 21 8. Defendant Commonwealth is a "debt collector" as the phrase is defined in 22 15 U.S.C. § 1692(a)(6) and used in the FDCPA, with an address at 245 23 Main Street, Dickson City, PA 18519. 24 25 9. Defendant Pendrick is a "debt collector" as the phrase is defined in 15 26 U.S.C. § 1692(a)(6) and used in the FDCPA, with an address at 1714 27 Hollinwood Drive, Alexandria, VA 22307. 28

- 10.Upon information and belief, Defendant Commonwealth is a company that uses the mail, telephone, and facsimile and regularly engages in business the principal purpose of which is to attempt to collect debts alleged to be due another.
- 11.Upon information and belief, Defendant Pendrick is a company that uses the mail, telephone, and facsimile and regularly engages in business the principal purpose of which is to attempt to collect debts alleged to be due another.
- 12.John Does 1-25, are fictitious names of individuals and businesses alleged for the purpose of substituting names of Defendants whose identities will be disclosed in discovery and should be made parties to this action.

### **CLASS ALLEGATIONS**

- 13. Plaintiff brings this claim on behalf of the following class, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a) and 23(b)(3).
- 14. The class consists of:
  - a. all individuals with addresses in the State of California;
  - b. to whom Defendant Commonwealth sent a collection letter attempting to collect a debt;
  - c. whose letter states that Defendant Pendrick will not sue the consumer;

- d. without clearly stating that the consumer could no longer be sued by any party;
- e. Additionally the letter fails to disclose that the previously-lapsed statute of limitations to file a lawsuit to collect the debt will recommence upon payment;
  - f. which letter was sent on or after a date one (1) year prior to the filing of this action and on or before a date twenty-one (21) days after the filing of this action.
- 15. The identities of all class members are readily ascertainable from the records of Defendants and those companies and entities on whose behalf they attempt to collect and/or have purchased debts.
- 16. Excluded from the Plaintiff Class are the Defendants and all officer, members, partners, managers, directors and employees of the Defendants and their respective immediate families, and legal counsel for all parties to this action, and all members of their immediate families.
- 17. There are questions of law and fact common to the Plaintiff Class, which common issues predominate over any issues involving only individual class members. The principal issue is whether the Defendants' written communications to consumers, in the forms attached as Exhibit "A", violates 15 U.S.C. § 1692e.

- 18. The Plaintiff's claims are typical of the class members, as all are based upon the same facts and legal theories. The Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Plaintiff Class defined in this Complaint. The Plaintiff has retained counsel with experience in handling consumer lawsuits, complex legal issues and class actions, and neither the Plaintiff nor her attorneys have any interests, which might cause them not to vigorously pursue this action.
- 19. This action has been brought, and may properly be maintained, as a class action pursuant to the provisions of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure because there is a well-defined community interest in the litigation:
  - a. <u>Numerosity:</u> The Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that the Plaintiff Class defined above is so numerous that joinder of all members would be impractical.
  - b. <u>Common Questions Predominate:</u> Common questions of law and fact exist as to all members of the Plaintiff Class and those questions predominate over any questions or issues involving only individual class members. The principal issue is whether the Defendants' written communications to consumers, in the forms attached as Exhibit "A" violate 15 U.S.C. §1692e.

- c. <u>Typicality:</u> The Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the class members. The Plaintiff and all members of the Plaintiff class have claims arising out of the Defendants' common uniform course of conduct complained of herein.
- d. Adequacy: The Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the class members insofar as Plaintiff has no interests that are adverse to the absent class members. The Plaintiff is committed to vigorously litigating this matter. Plaintiff has also retained counsel experienced in handling consumer lawsuits, complex legal issues and class actions. Neither the Plaintiff nor her counsel have any interests which might cause them not to vigorously pursue the instant class action lawsuit.
- e. <u>Superiority</u>: A class action is superior to the other available means for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy because individual joinder of all members would be impracticable. Class action treatment will permit a large number of similarly situated persons to prosecute their common claims in a single forum efficiently and without unnecessary duplication of effort and expense that individual actions would engender.
- 20. Certification of a class under Rule 23(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil

  Procedure is also appropriate in that the questions of law and fact common
  to members of the Plaintiff Class predominate over any questions affecting

an individual member, and a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy.

21. Depending on the outcome of further investigation and discovery,

Plaintiffs may, at the time of class certification motion, seek to certify a

class(es) only as to particular issues pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(c)(4).

### **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

- 22. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this

  Complaint as though fully stated herein with the same force and effect as if
  the same were set forth at length herein.
- 23. Some time prior to September 13, 2017, an obligation was allegedly incurred to Coastline Emergency Physicians.
- 24. The Coastline Emergency Physicians obligation arose out of a transaction involving Plaintiff's receipt of medical treatment making the subject of the transaction primarily for personal, family or household purposes.
- 25. The alleged Coastline Emergency Physicians obligation is a "debt" as defined by 15 U.S.C. 1692a(5).
- 26. Due to her financial constraints, Plaintiff could not pay the alleged debt, and it went into default.
- 27. Sometime thereafter, Defendant Pendrick, a debt buyer and debt collector, purportedly purchased the alleged debt.

- 28.Defendant Pendrick, a subsequent owner of the Coastline Emergency

  Physicians debt, contracted with Defendant Commonwealth to assist it in
  collecting the alleged debt.
- 29. Defendant Commonwealth and Defendant Pendrick collect and attempt to collect debts incurred or alleged to have been incurred for personal, family or household purposes on behalf of creditors using the United States Postal Services, telephone and internet.

### <u>Violation – September 13, 2017 Collection Letter</u>

- 30.On or about September 13, 2017, Defendant Commonwealth sent Plaintiff an initial collection letter (the "Letter") regarding the alleged debt owed to Defendant Pendrick. See a true copy of the Letter attached hereto as Exhibit A.
- 31. The very bottom of the Letter states in part: "The law limits how long you can be sued on a debt. Because of the age of your debt, Pendrick Capital Partners will not sue you for it."
- 32. The alleged debt is time-barred, meaning Defendant Pendrick cannot sue Plaintiff.
- 33. The Letter implies that Defendant Pendrick has chosen not to sue ("will not sue you"), instead of the true fact that neither Defendant Pendrick, nor Defendant Commonwealth, nor any subsequent creditor/collector can file a lawsuit.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

- 34. The statement contained in Defendant Commonwealth's Letter is materially deceptive to the unsophisticated consumer, who would believe that Defendant Pendrick or a subsequent creditor has the option to change its mind should he/she not pay the alleged debt.
- 35. Moreover, the Letter is completely silent as to the rights of the debt collector, Defendant Commonwealth, to file a lawsuit against the consumer.
- 36. Finally, the Letter is materially deceptive as it fails to disclose that the previously-lapsed statute of limitations to file a lawsuit to collect the debt will recommence upon payment by Plaintiff.
- 37. Defendants made deceptive and misleading representations when they communicated to Plaintiff that Defendant Pendrick was opting not to sue Plaintiff when, in fact, it was not permitted to sue as a matter of law in violation of §§1692e, 1692e(2), 1692e(5) and 1692e(10).
- 38.As a result of Defendants' deceptive, misleading and unfair debt collection practices, Plaintiff has been damaged.

## VIOLATIONS OF THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT 15 U.S.C. § 1692e et seq.

39.Plaintiffs incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this

Complaint as though fully state herein with the same force and effect as if
the same were set forth at length herein.

- 40.Defendants' debt collection efforts attempted and/or directed towards the Plaintiff violated various provisions of the FDCPA, including but not limited to 15 U.S.C. § 1692e.
- 41. Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692e, a debt collector may not use any false, deceptive or misleading representation or means in connection with the collection of any debt.
- 42. Defendants made deceptive and misleading representations when they communicated to Plaintiff that Defendant Pendrick was choosing not to sue Plaintiff when, in fact, it was not permitted to sue as a matter of law, in violation of §§1692e, 1692e(2), 1692e(5) and 1692e(10).
- 43. Further, Defendants failed to advise that any payment made on the debt by Plaintiff would restart the statute of limitations for bringing a lawsuit.
- 44. By reason thereof, Defendants are liable to Plaintiff for judgment that Defendants' conduct violated Section 1692e *et seq.* of the FDCPA and for actual damages, statutory damages, costs and attorneys' fees.

### **DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY**

45. Pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff hereby requests a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Brittany Sellers, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated demands judgment from Defendant Commonwealth Financial

1	Systems, Inc. and Defendant Pendrick Capital Partners, LLC as follows:								
2	1. Declaring that this action is properly maintainable as a Class Action								
3 4	and certifying Plaintiff as Class representative, and Jonathan A. Stieglitz, Esq. as								
5	Class Counsel;								
6			4 1						
7	2.	2. Awarding Plaintiff and the Class statutory damages;							
8	3.	3. Awarding Plaintiff and the Class actual damages;							
9	4. Awarding Plaintiff costs of this Action, including reasonable								
10	attorneys' fees and expenses;								
11	5. Awarding pre-judgment interest and post-judgment interest; and								
12 13									
14	6. Awarding Plaintiff and the Class such other and further relief as this								
15	Court may deem just and proper.								
16	D . 1 A	. 20. 2010	D (6.11 G.1 1)						
17	Dated: Au	gust 29, 2018	Respectfully Submitted,						
18			THE LAW OFFICES OF JONATHAN A. STIEGLITZ						
19		_							
20		By:	/s/ Jonathan A Stieglitz Jonathan A Stieglitz						
21									
<ul><li>22</li><li>23</li></ul>									
24									
25									
26									
27									
28		12							
ļ		- 12 -							

# EXHIBIT A

PO Box 1110 Charlotte, NC 28201-4474

Phone: 809-848-2170

Hours of Operation - Eastern Time Monday - Thursday 8am - 9pm Friday 8am - 5pm, Saturday 8am - 12pm

September 13, 2017

Personal & Confidential BRITTANY SELLERS

գերիվիսաիսիկակիրակիկինիկինիականիս<u>ա</u> SEND ALL CORRESPONDENCE TO: Commonwealth Financial Systems 245 Main Steet Dickson City PA 18519

se Detach. And Return in The Enclosed Envelope With Your Pay

CFS ACCOUNT #	CURRENT CREDITOR	ORIGINAL CREDITOR				
	Contract	THE ONLY ON	ORIGINAL	ACCOUNT #	AMOUNT DUE	SERVICE DATE
2083	Pendnck Capital Partners	COLCTUBE EXERCEL ON DISPOSATION		ACCOUNT #	MICHEL DOE	SERVICE DATE
	Pentinox Capital - British	COASTLINE EXIERGENCY PHYSICIANS	THE PERSON NAMED IN			
	A			6612	\$556.19	106406/2012

Dear Brittany Sellers,

Please be advised that your account has been placed with Commonwealth Financial Systems by Pendrick Capital Partners, the purchaser of the above referenced account. If you consider this debt to be valid, please remit payment to

Unless you notify this office within 30 days after receiving this notice that you dispute the validity of this debt or any portion thereof, this office will assume this debt is valid. If you notify this office in writing within 30 days after receiving this notice that you dispute the validity of this debt or any portion thereof, this office will obtain verification of the debt or obtain a copy of a judgement and mail you a copy of such judgment or verification. If you request this office in writing within 30 days after receiving this notice, this office will provide you with the name and address of the original

Please review the Privacy Notice contained on the back of this letter for an explanation of the Account Owners policies and procedures regarding the use of non-public, personal information.

Please review the back of this letter for additional notices.

This is an attempt to collect a debt and any information obtained will be used for that purpose. This is a

Sincerely Matthew Smith Ext. 213 800-848-2170

The law limits how long you can be sued on a debt. Because of the age of your debt, Pendrick Capital Partners will not sue you for it. If you do not pay the debt, Pendrick Capital Partners may [continue to] report it to the credit reporting agencies as unpaid for as long as the law permits this reporting.



### **ClassAction.org**

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Debt Collectors Accused of Misleading Consumer Regarding Time-Barred Debt</u>