IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA DURHAM DIVISION

DERRICK PEREZ SCOTT,

On behalf of himself and all similarly situated individuals

Plaintiff,

CASE NO.:

vs. FULL HOUSE MARKETING, INC., JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Defendant.

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, Derrick Perez Scott ("Plaintiff" or "Mr. Scott"), by and through his attorneys, and on behalf of himself, the Putative Class set forth below, and in the public interest, brings the following Class Action Complaint against Defendant, Full House Marketing, Inc. ("Defendant" or "Full House Marketing"), for violations of the federal Fair Credit Reporting Act ("FCRA"), 15 U.S.C. § 1681, *et seq*.

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

1. Defendant is an employment agency and single source of marketing, training, and staffing support for Residential Property Management organizations, and operates throughout North and South Carolina and Michigan.

2. Defendant routinely obtains and uses information in consumer reports to conduct background checks on prospective employees and existing employees, and frequently relies on such information, in whole or in part, as a basis for taking adverse employment action, such as failure to hire, failure to promote, and termination.

3. One of the key protections the FCRA provides consumers in the employment context is the requirement that employers—*before* they use a consumer report background check to make an adverse employment decision—provide consumers with a copy of the report and a written summary of their FCRA rights.

4. Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681b(b)(3)(A) by taking adverse employment action against Plaintiff and other Putative Class members without first providing Plaintiff and other affected class members with a copy of the pertinent consumer report, and without providing them a reasonable opportunity to respond to the information in the report and discuss it with Defendant and/or dispute it with the consumer reporting agency who prepared the report.

5. In Count One, Plaintiff asserts an FCRA claim under 15 U.S.C. § 1681b(b)(3)(A) on behalf of an "Adverse Action Class," consisting of:

All Full House Marketing, Inc. employees and prospective employees in the United States against whom adverse employment action was taken by Full House Marketing, based, in whole or in part, on information contained in a consumer report obtained within five years of the filing of this complaint through the date of final judgment in this action, and who were not provided the proper pre-adverse notice and a copy of their consumer report, as required under 15 U.S.C. § 1681b(b)(3)(A).

6. On behalf of himself and the Putative Class, Plaintiff seeks actual damages, statutory damages, costs and attorneys' fees, equitable relief, and other appropriate relief under the FCRA.

THE PARTIES

7. Individual and representative Plaintiff, Derrick Perez Scott ("Plaintiff"), is an individual person who applied for multiple employment positions with Defendant in this District and at all times pertinent hereto resided in the City of Rock Hill, York County, South Carolina. Plaintiff is a member of the Putative Class defined below.

8. Defendant Full House Marketing, Inc. ("Defendant") is a corporation with a principal place of business located at 1005 Slater Road, #220, Durham, North Carolina 27703, and user of consumer reports as contemplated by the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. § 1681b.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

9. This Court has federal question jurisdiction under the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. § 1681p, and 28 U.S.C. § 1331.

10. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1) because Defendant conducts business, maintains offices, performs services, and resides in this District.

ALLEGATIONS REGARDING DEFENDANT'S ILLEGAL BUSINESS PRACTICES

Background Checks

11. Defendant conducts background checks on many of its job applicants as part of a standard recruitment screening process.

12. Defendant does not perform these background checks in-house. Rather, Defendant relies on a third-party consumer reporting agency to obtain this information and report it to Defendant in the form of a Background Screening Report. These Background Screening Reports constitute "consumer reports" for purposes of the FCRA.

The FCRA's Protections for Job Seekers

13. Despite its name, the Fair Credit Reporting Act covers more than just credit reporting, it also regulates employment background check reports like the one prepared in Mr. Scott's name.

14. The FCRA provides a number of protections for job applicants who are subjected to background checks.

15. In the parlance of the FCRA, background checks are "consumer reports," and providers of background checks are "consumer reporting agencies." 15 U.S.C. §§ 1681a(d) and (f).

16. The FCRA requires that any person who uses a report for employment purposes must:

[B]efore taking any adverse action based in whole or in part on the report. . . provide to the consumer to whom the report relates—

- a. a copy of the report; and
- b. a description in writing of the rights of the consumer under this subchapter, as prescribed by the [Consumer Financial Protection] Bureau under section 1681g(c)(3).

15 U.S.C. § 1681b(b)(3).

17. The pre-adverse action notification provision protects job applicants and employees by "provid[ing] the consumer with knowledge of information the [consumer reporting agency] has reported about him or her that is going to result in adverse action in an employment context, affording the individual an opportunity to respond to it." FTC Advisory Opinion to Leathers (Sept. 9, 1998), available at http://www.ftc.gov/policy/advisory-opinions/advisory-opinion-leathers-09-09-98; *see also Moore v. Rite Aid Hdqtrs Corp*, No. CIV 13-1515, 2015 WL 3444227, at *4 (E.D. Pa. May 29, 2015) *citing Goode v. LexisNexis Risk & Info. Analytics Grp., Inc.*, 848 F. Supp. 2d 532, 537 (E.D. Pa. 2012) ("Section 1681b(b)(3)(A) thus requires an employer to provide job applicants with their background report, summary of rights, and a "real opportunity" to contest the contents of the background report before the employer relies on the report to take an adverse action against the applicant."). This requirement is particularly important "where the information contained in the report (such as a criminal record) would automatically disqualify the individual from employment," so that the consumer has a chance to address the information "in case the

report is inaccurate." FTC Advisory Opinion to Rosen, (June 9, 1998), available at http://www.ftc.gov/policy/advisory-opinions/advisory-opinion-rosen-06-09-98.

18. Before they procure any background check reports, users of consumer reports for employment purposes must certify to the consumer reporting agency that they have complied with the FCRA's disclosure provisions and will comply with its pre-adverse action notice provisions. 15 U.S.C. § 1681b(b)(1).

FCRA Violations Relating to Adverse Action Class

19. The FCRA provides that "in using a consumer report for employment purposes, before taking any adverse action based in whole or in part on the report, the person intending to take such adverse action shall provide to the consumer to whom the report relates . . . a copy of the report[.]" 15 U.S.C. § 1681b(b)(3)(A)(i).

20. Defendant typically does not provide consumers with a copy of their consumer report before taking adverse action against them based on the information in such reports. In the instant case, Defendant denied Plaintiff employment on the basis of inaccurate criminal record information contained in Plaintiff's consumer report that was obtained by Defendant; however, Defendant failed to provide Plaintiff with a copy of his consumer report before taking adverse action based in whole or in part on the report.

21. Defendant's practice also runs counter to long-standing regulatory guidance from the FTC. Specifically, 15 U.S.C. § 1681b(b)(3)(A) requires that all employers who use consumer reports provide a copy of the report to the affected consumer before any adverse action is taken. Employers must comply with this provision even where the information contained in the report (such as a criminal record) would automatically disqualify the individual from employment or lead to an adverse employment action. Indeed, this is precisely the situation where it is important that

the consumer be informed of the negative information being communicated about him or her in a consumer report.¹

22. By failing to provide Plaintiff and other Putative Class members with the information required by 15 U.S.C. § 1681b(b)(3)(A) before taking adverse employment action against them based on the information contained in such reports, Defendant willfully disregarded this unambiguous regulatory guidance as well as the plain language of the statute, in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1681b(b)(3)(A).

ALLEGATIONS SPECIFIC TO PLAINTIFF

23. In or around March 2019, Mr. Scott applied online for employment as a Leasing Agent with Full House Marketing, Inc. ("Full House"), a single source of marketing, training, and staffing support for residential property management, located in Charlotte, North Carolina.

24. As part of the employment application process, Plaintiff authorized Full House to procure a consumer report, more commonly referred to within the employment context as a background check.

25. Mr. Scott was excited about the prospect of working for Full House but his excitement was suddenly and unexpectedly stymied when, on or after March 27, 2019, Mr. Scott received a text message from Laurisa Brooks ("Ms. Brooks"), Talent Recruiter at Full House, stating the following:

Hi Derrick – Thank you for applying to Full House Mktg. Unfortunately your background at this time does not meet our guidelines for employment. Thank you for your time and interest. Full House Marketing.

¹ Letter from William Haynes, Fed. Trade Comm'n, to A. Michael Rosen, Esq., Senior Vice President and General Counsel, Background America Inc. (June 9, 1998), 1998 WL 34323763 (F.T.C.) at *1, available at: http://www.ftc.gov/policy/advisory-opinions/advisory-opinion-rosen-06-09-98

26. Mr. Scott takes great pride in his good name and stellar background, as he's never been charged with a crime or otherwise been in trouble with the law, so he could not imagine why his background would not meet Full House's guidelines for employment.

27. On or about March 27, 2019, Defendant took adverse action against Plaintiff based in whole or in part on the contents of his consumer report, which was prepared by non-party Resolve Partners, LLC ("Resolve Partners").

28. Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681b(b)(3)(A) when it took adverse employment action against Plaintiff and other Putative Class members based on information in their consumer reports without first providing Plaintiff and other affected class members with a copy of their consumer reports, notifying them of their rights under the FCRA, and giving them a reasonable opportunity to respond to the information in the report and engage in a discussion with Defendant.

29. As Plaintiff would come to learn over five weeks later, the Background Screening Report Resolve Partners provided to Full House contained five pages of information, including six grossly inaccurate and stigmatizing felony and misdemeanor charges from Saint Mary's County, Maryland, belonging to an unrelated consumer, Derrick *Lee* Scott. The seriousness of the criminal records, alone, precluded Plaintiff from moving forward with Full House's new employee onboarding process.

30. The FCRA requires employers to provide notice at three different periods: (1) before an employer requests and/or procures a consumer report, it must provide notice to the employee in a "stand-alone" document, and must also receive written authorization from the employee (referred to as "Disclosure and Authorization"); (2) once an employer obtains a consumer report on an employee and before an employer can take adverse action against this same employee, it must first provide the employee with a copy of the report, and provide the employee

with a description of the employee's rights under the FCRA (referred to as a "Pre-Adverse Action Notice"); and (3) once an employer takes adverse action, it must notify the employee that (i) it is taking adverse action based on the information contained in the employee's consumer report, (ii) it is providing the employee with the name, address, and telephone number of the consumer reporting agency that furnished the consumer report, (iii) it is providing the employee with a statement that the consumer reporting agency did not make the decision to take the adverse action and is unable to provide the consumer with specific reasons as to why the adverse action was taken, (iv) it is providing the employee with notice of the employer's rights as a consumer to obtain...a free copy of the consumer report on the consumer from the consumer reporting agency...[within] the 60-day period, and (v) it is providing the employee with "notice of the consumer's right to dispute...with a consumer reporting agency the accuracy or completeness of any information in a consumer report furnished by the agency [(referred to as a "Post-Adverse Action Notice")]." *See* 15 U.S.C. §§ 1681b and 1681m.

31. Defendant failed to follow all of these long-established FCRA requirements.

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

32. In Count I, Plaintiff asserts a FCRA claim under 15 U.S.C. § 1681b(b)(3)(A) on behalf of an "Adverse Action Class," consisting of:

All Full House Marketing, Inc. employees and prospective employees in the United States against whom adverse employment action was taken by Full House Marketing, based, in whole or in part, on information contained in a consumer report obtained within five years of the filing of this complaint through the date of final judgment in this action, and who were not provided the proper pre-adverse notice and a copy of their consumer report, as required under 15 U.S.C. § 1681b(b)(3)(A).

33. Numerosity: The members of the Putative Class are so numerous that joinder of

all Class members is impracticable. Defendant regularly obtains and uses information in consumer

reports to conduct background checks on employees and prospective employees and frequently relies on such information, in whole or in part, in the hiring process. Plaintiff is informed and believes that during the relevant time period, hundreds of Defendant's employees and prospective employees satisfy the definition of the Putative Class.

34. <u>Typicality</u>: Plaintiff's claims are typical of those of the members of the Putative Class. Defendant typically uses consumer reports to conduct background checks on employees and prospective employees. The FCRA violations suffered by Plaintiff are typical of those suffered by other Putative Class members and Defendant treated Plaintiff consistent with other Putative Class members in accordance with its standard, uniform policies and procedures.

35. <u>Adequacy</u>: Plaintiff is a member of and will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Putative Class and has retained counsel experienced in complex class action litigation.

36. <u>Commonality</u>: Common questions of law and fact exist as to all members of the Putative Class and predominate over any questions solely affecting individual members of the Putative Class. These common questions include, but are not limited to:

- a) Whether Defendant uses consumer report information to conduct background checks on employees and prospective employees;
- b) Whether Defendant's background check practices and/or procedures comply with the FCRA;
- c) Whether Defendant violated the FCRA by taking adverse action against Plaintiff and other members of the Adverse Action Class that may have been based on information in a consumer report, without first furnishing a copy of the report to the affected persons;
- d) Whether Defendant's violation of the FCRA was willful;
- e) The proper measure of damages; and
- f) The proper form of injunctive and declaratory relief.

37. This case is maintainable as a class action because prosecution of actions by or against individual members of the Putative Class would result in inconsistent or varying adjudications and create risk of incompatible standards of conduct for the Defendant. Further, adjudication of each individual Class member's claim as a separate action would potentially be dispositive of the interest of other individuals not a party to such action, thereby impeding their ability to protect their interests.

38. This case is also maintainable as a class action because Defendant acted or refused to act on grounds that apply to the Putative Class, so that final injunctive relief or corresponding declaratory relief is appropriate with respect to the Class as a whole.

39. Class certification is also appropriate because questions of law and fact common to the Putative Class predominate over any questions affecting only individual members of the Putative Class, and also because a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this litigation. Defendant's conduct, which is described in this Class Action Complaint, stems from common and uniform policies and practices, resulting in common violations of the FCRA. Members of the Putative Class do not have an interest in pursuing separate actions against the Defendant, as the amount of each Class member's individual claim for damages is small in comparison to the expense and burden of individual prosecution. Class certification will also obviate the need for unduly duplicative litigation that might result in inconsistent judgments concerning Defendant's practices. Moreover, management of this action as a class action will not present any foreseeable difficulties. In the interests of justice and judicial efficiency, it would be desirable to concentrate the litigation of all Putative Class members' claims in a single action, brought in a single forum. The administration of this action can be handled by class counsel or a

third-party administrator, and the costs of administration will represent only a small fraction of the ultimate recovery to be achieved.

40. Plaintiff intends to send notice to all members of the Putative Class to the extent required by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The names and addresses of the Putative Class members are readily available from Defendant's records.

CLAIM FOR RELIEF

COUNT I 15 U.S.C. § 1681b(b)(3)(A)(i) Failure to Provide Proper Notice with a Copy of Report Before Taking Adverse Action (On Behalf of Plaintiff and the Adverse Action Class)

41. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1-40, above.

42. Defendant used a "consumer report," as defined by the FCRA, to take adverse employment action against Plaintiff and other members of the Adverse Action Class.

43. Defendant violated the FCRA by failing to provide Plaintiff and other Adverse Action Class members with a copy of the consumer report that was used to take adverse employment action against them, before taking such adverse action. *See* 15 U.S.C. $\frac{1681b(b)(3)(A)(i)}{1681b(b)(3)(A)(i)}$

44. The foregoing violations were willful. Defendant acted in deliberate or reckless disregard of its obligations and the rights of Plaintiff and other Adverse Action Class members under 15 U.S.C. § 1681b(b)(3)(A)(i). Defendant knew or should have known of its legal obligations under the FCRA. These obligations are well established in the plain language of the statute and in the promulgations of the Federal Trade Commission. Defendant obtained or otherwise had available substantial written materials that apprised Defendant of its duties under

the FCRA. Any reasonable employer knowns of the existence of these FCRA mandates or can easily discovery their substance.

45. Moreover, at the time Defendant failed to comply with 15 U.S.C. § 1681b(b)(3)(A) a plethora of FTC opinion and case law existed, including a case styled *Miller v. Johnson & Johnson*, 80 F. Supp. 3d 1284 (M.D. Fla. 2015) (granting summary judgment as to liability to plaintiff on 15 U.S.C. § 1681b(b)(3)(A) claim where plaintiff had no opportunity to review a copy of the report and dispute the inaccurate criminal information contained within his background report before J & J rescinded the offer of employment). *Miller* addressed the failure to include a copy of the report with adverse action notices as mandated by the FCRA, which, apparently, Defendant intentionally or recklessly disregarded.

Plaintiff's First Concrete Injury: Informational Injury

46. As to the § 1681b(b)(3)(A) claim, Plaintiff suffered a concrete informational injury because Defendant failed to provide Plaintiff with information to which he was entitled to by statute, namely a copy of his consumer report with an adverse action notice, before Defendant took adverse action against him, based in whole or in part on the contents of his consumer report. This notice should have included all information proscribed by § 1681b(b)(3)(A), including (i) a copy of the report; and (ii) a description in writing of the rights of the consumer under this subchapter, as prescribed by the Bureau under § 1681g(c)(3) of the FCRA.

47. Through the FCRA, Congress has created a new right—the right to receive preadverse action notice as set out in the FCRA—and a new injury—not receiving said notice. The Plaintiff's "inability to obtain [that] information" is therefore, standing alone, "a sufficient injury in fact to satisfy Article III." *Spokeo Inc. v. Robins*, 136 S. Ct. 1540, 1549 (2016).

Plaintiff's Second Concrete Injury: Inability to Learn of the Contents of His Consumer Report and Dispute Any Inaccurate Information Contained Therein

48. Separately from the informational injury suffered, Plaintiff and Class members have Article III standing to pursue claims for violations of § 1681b(b)(3)(A) because Defendant's failure to provide timely notice and a copy of their consumer report deprived Plaintiff and Class members of the opportunity to learn of the contents of their consumer reports, including any criminal history reported, and dispute any inaccurate information contained therein before Defendant denied their employment application, rescinded their employment offer, and/or terminated their employment. *Thomas v. FTS USA, LLC*, 193 F. Supp. 3d 623, 638 (E.D. Va. 2016).

49. With these two recognized injuries directly traceable to Defendant's failure to timely provide the notices and copies of reports required by § 1681b(b)(3)(A), Plaintiff unquestionably has established Article III standing.

50. Plaintiff and the Adverse Action Class are entitled to statutory damages of not less than \$100 and not more than \$1,000 for each and every one of these violations under 15 U.S.C. § 1681n(a)(1)(A), in addition to actual damages under 15 U.S.C. § 1681o(a)(1), and punitive damages as the Court may allow under 15 U.S.C. § 1681n(a)(2).

51. Plaintiff and the Adverse Action Class are further entitled to recover their costs and attorneys' fees, in accordance with 15 U.S.C. § 1681n(a)(3).

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and the Putative Class, prays for relief as follows:

- a) Determining that this action may proceed as a class action;
- b) Designating Plaintiff as class representative and designating Plaintiff's counsel as counsel for the Putative Class;
- c) Issuing proper notice to the Putative Class at Defendant's expense;
- d) Declaring that Defendant committed multiple, separate violations of the FCRA;
- e) Declaring that Defendant acted willfully in deliberate or reckless disregard of Plaintiff's rights and its obligations under the FCRA;
- f) Awarding actual and statutory damages as provided by the FCRA, including punitive damages, to members of the Putative Class;
- g) Awarding reasonable attorneys' fees and costs as provided by the FCRA; and
- h) Granting other and further relief, in law or equity, as this Court may deem appropriate and just.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

52. Plaintiff and the Putative Class demand a trial by jury.

Dated: March 25, 2021

<u>s/Asa C. Edwards</u> Asa C. Edwards, NC Bar No. 46000 MAGINNIS HOWARD 7706 Six Forks Road, Suite 101 Raleigh, North Carolina 27615 Telephone: (919) 526-0450 Fax: (919) 882-8763 Email: aedwards@maginnishoward.com

Local Rule 83.1(d) Counsel for Plaintiff

<u>s/ Hans W. Lodge</u> Hans W. Lodge, MN Bar No. 397012 BERGER MONTAGUE, PC 43 SE Main Street, Suite 505 Minneapolis, MN 55414 Telephone: (612) 607-7794

Fax: (612) 584-4470 Email: hlodge@bm.net

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. *(SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)*

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS			
DERRICK PEREZ SCOTT				FULL HOUSE MARKETING, INC			
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff York County, SC (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.			
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Asa C. Edwards, MAGINNIS HOWARD 7706 Six Forks Road, Suite 101, Raleigh, North Carolina 2761				Attorneys (If Known)			
Tel: (919) 526-0450 ; II. BASIS OF JURISD	aedwards@maginnis		шст	TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PARTI	\mathbf{ES} (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintif	
□ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	X 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)		((For Diversity Cases Only) PTF DEF Citizen of This State 1 1 1 1 Incorporated or Principal Place 4 4 4 of Business In This State			
2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)			Citizen of Another State			
				Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country			
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		nly) DRTS	FO	RFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
 CONTRACT ItO Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property 	PERSONAL INJURY ☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 315 Airplane Product Liability ☐ 320 Assault, Libel &	PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITION Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Othe 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Rights 550 Civil Rights 560 Civil Rights	X □ 62. □ 694 TY □ 714 □ 724 □ 72	Structure Section Section	422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS 820 Copyrights 830 Patent 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY 861 HIA (1395ff) 862 Black Lung (923) 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g) 864 SSID Title XVI 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	 375 False Claims Act 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) 400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 895 Freedom of Information 	
	moved from \Box 3 the Court	Appellate Court	Reop	(specify)	r District Litigat Transf	tion - Litigation -	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	N	•	e filing (D	o not cite jurisdictional stat	utes unless diversity):		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTR	Noncor			Report ng Act, 15 U.s EMAND \$		nly if demanded in complaint:	
COMPLAINT:	UNDER RULE 2				JURY DEMAN		
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKET NUMBER		
DATE 03-25-202	1	SIGNATURE OF ATT	CORNEY C				
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		0, , 130	с. с ай				
RECEIPT # AN	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE	MAG.	JUDGE	
	Case 1:21-cv-	00242 Docum	nent 1-	-1 Filed 03/25	/21 Page 1 of 2	2	

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- **I.(a) Plaintiffs-Defendants.** Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".

II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below. United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here. United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.

Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; **NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.**)

- **III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties.** This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- **IV.** Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If the nature of suit cannot be determined, be sure the cause of action, in Section VI below, is sufficient to enable the deputy clerk or the statistical clerk(s) in the Administrative Office to determine the nature of suit. If the cause fits more than one nature of suit, select the most definitive.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.

Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.

Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date. Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation – Transfer. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407.

Multidistrict Litigation – Direct File. (8) Check this box when a multidistrict case is filed in the same district as the Master MDL docket. **PLEASE NOTE THAT THERE IS NOT AN ORIGIN CODE 7.** Origin Code 7 was used for historical records and is no longer relevant due to changes in statue.

- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity. Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P. Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction. Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Class Action Claims Full House Marketing's Background Check Process Violates Federal Law</u>