FILED

### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA FT. MYERS DIVISION

2018 MAY 16 PM 1: 38

VERNICE SCIPPIO, on behalf of himself and others similarly situated,

and others	similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

VS.

CASE NO.

2:18-CV-344-FtM-99CM

PEOPLEREADY FLORIDA, INC.,

Defendant.

## COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff, VERNICE SCIPPIO, on behalf of himself and other employees and former employees similarly situated, by and through the undersigned counsel, files this Complaint against Defendant, PEOPLEREADY FLORIDA, INC., and states as follows:

### JURISDICTION

- 1. Jurisdiction in this Court is proper as the claims are brought pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act, as amended 29 U.S.C. §201., et seq. (hereinafter the "FLSA") to recover unpaid overtime wages, an additional equal amount as liquidated damages, obtain declaratory relief, and reasonable attorney's fees and costs.
- The jurisdiction of the Court over this controversy is based upon 29 U.S.C. §216(b).

#### **PARTIES**

- At all times material hereto, Plaintiff was, and continues to be a resident of Lee County, Florida.
- 4. At all times material hereto Defendant, PEOPLEREADY FLORIDA, INC., is a Foreign Profit Corporation, with a principle place of business located at 1015 A Street,

Tacoma, WA 98402 and was engaged in business in Lee County, Florida.

- 5. At all times material hereto, Plaintiff was an "employee" of Defendant within the meaning of FLSA.
- 6. At all times material hereto, Defendant was the "employer" within the meaning of FLSA.
  - 7. Defendant was, and continues to be an "employer" within the meaning of FLSA.
- 8. At all times material hereto, Defendant was, and continues to be, an "enterprise engaged in commerce" within the meaning of FLSA.
- 9. At all times material hereto, Defendant was, and continues to be, an enterprise engaged in the "production of goods for commerce" within the meaning of the FLSA.
- 10. Based upon information and belief, the annual gross revenue of Defendant was in excess of \$500,000.00 per annum during the relevant time periods.
- 11. At all times material hereto, Defendant had two (2) or more employees handling, selling, or otherwise working on goods or materials that had been moved in or produced for commerce including but not limited to: construction supplies and equipment and ordering and receiving goods that are moving or will move in interstate commerce.
- 12. At all relevant times, Defendant has been, and continues to be, an employer engaged in interstate commerce and/or the production of goods for commerce, within the meaning of the FLSA.
- 13. At all times material hereto, Plaintiff was engaged in the "production of goods for commerce" and subject to the individual coverage of the FLSA.
- 14. The additional persons who may become plaintiffs in this action are/were nonexempt employees of Defendant, who held similar positions to Plaintiff and who worked

in excess of forty (40) hours during one or more work weeks during the relevant time periods but who did not receive pay at one and one-half times their regular rate for their hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours and/or who worked for Defendant in one or more work weeks and were not paid at least minimum wage for all hours worked.

15. At all times material hereto, the work performed by the Plaintiff was directly essential to the business performed by Defendant.

# **STATEMENT OF FACTS**

- 16. From on or about September 15, 2017 through October 3, 2017, Defendant hired Plaintiff to work as a laborer and paid Plaintiff \$12.50 per hour.
- 17. At various material times hereto, Plaintiff worked for Defendant in excess of forty (40) hours within a work week.
- 18. From at least September 15, 2017 and continuing through October 3, 2017,

  Defendant failed to compensate Plaintiff at rate of one and one-half times Plaintiff's regular rate for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours in a single work week.

  Plaintiff should be compensated at the rate of one and one-half times Plaintiff's regular rate for those hours that Plaintiff worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week as required by the FLSA.
- 19. Defendant has violated Title 29 U.S.C. § 207 from at least September 2017 and continuing through October 2017 in that:
  - a. Plaintiff worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week for the period of employment with Defendants;
  - No payments, and provisions for payment, have been made by Defendant to properly compensate Plaintiff at the statutory rate of one and one-half times

Plaintiff's regular rate for those hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per work week as provided by the FLSA; and

- c. Defendant has failed to maintain proper time records as mandated by the FLSA.
- 20. Plaintiff has retained the law firm of BERKE LAW FIRM, P.A. to represent him in the litigation and has agreed to pay the firm a reasonable fee for its Services.

# COUNT I RECOVERY OF OVERTIME COMPENSATION

- 21. Plaintiff realleges and reincorporates all allegations contained in Paragraphs 1—20 as if incorporated herein.
- 22. From at least September 2017 and continuing through October 2017, Plaintiff worked in excess of the forty (40) hours per week for which Plaintiff was not compensated at the statutory rate of one and one-half times Plaintiff's regular rate of pay.
- 23. Rather, throughout his employment Defendant paid Plaintiff, and all employees similarly situated, an hourly wage but they were not paid for all hours worked including overtime wages.
- 24. Plaintiff was, and is entitled to be paid at the statutory rate of one and one-half times Plaintiff's regular rate of pay for those hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours.
- 25. At all times material hereto, Defendant failed to maintain proper time records as mandated by the FLSA.
- 26. Defendant's actions were willful and/or showed reckless disregard for the provisions of the FLSA as evidenced by its failure to compensate Plaintiff at the statutory rate of one and one-half times Plaintiff's regular rate of pay for the hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per weeks when it knew, or should have known, such was, and

is due.

- 27. Defendant has failed to properly disclose or apprise Plaintiff of his rights under the FLSA.
- 28. Due to the intentional, willful, and unlawful acts of Defendant, Plaintiff suffered and continues to suffer damages and lost compensation for time worked over forty (40) hours per week, plus liquidated damages.
- 29. Plaintiff is entitled to an award of reasonable attorney's fees and costs pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216(b).
- 30. At all times material hereto, Defendant failed to comply with Title 29 and United States Department of Labor Regulations, 29 C.F.R. §§516.2 and 516.4, with respect to those similarly situated to the named Plaintiff by virtue of the management policy, plan or decision that intentionally provided for inadequate overtime compensation of such employees at a rate less than time and a half for their overtime hours.
- 31. Based upon information and belief, Defendant has failed to properly pay Plaintiff, and those similarly situated to him, proper overtime wages at time and a half their regular rate of pay for such hours.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that judgment be entered in his favor against Defendant:

- Declaring, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§2201 and 2202, that the acts and practices complained of herein are in violation of the maximum hour provisions of the FLSA;
- b. Awarding Plaintiff overtime compensation in the amount due to him for Plaintiff's time worked in excess of forty (40) hours per work week;

- c. Awarding Plaintiff liquidated damages in an amount equal to the overtime award;
- d. Awarding Plaintiff reasonable attorney's fees and costs and expenses of the litigation pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216(b);
- e. Awarding Plaintiff pre-judgment interest;
- f. Granting Plaintiff an Order, on an expedited basis, allowing him to send Notice of this action, pursuant to 216(b), to those similarly situated to Plaintiff; and
- g. Ordering any other further relief the Court deems just and proper.

Respectfully submitted this \\\day of May 2018,

BERKE LAW FIRM, P.A.

By:

Bill B. Berke, Esq. Florida Bar No. 0558011 berkelaw@yahoo.com 4423 Del Prado Blvd. S. Cape Coral, FL 33904 Telephone: (239) 549-6689 Attorneys for Plaintiff JS 44 (Rev. 12/12)

# CIVIE COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of initiating the civil do	ocket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCT	TIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF	THIS FOI	RM.)			\			
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS VERNICE SCIPPIO		2018 MAY 16	5 PM	DESENDANT PEOPLEREADY	FLOF	RIDA	, INC.			
(b) County of Residence of (EX	First Listed Plaintiff Le	CLERK.US DIS DE MIDDLE DISTRI SES) FORT MYE	L UT	FLORIDA FLORIDA PROUNTY OF Resident NOTE: IN LAND THE TRAC	(I.	N U.S.	sted Defendant PLAINTHF CASES OF TION CASES, USE TINVOLVED.		OF	
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A Bill B. Berke, Esq., BERK Cape Coral, Florida 3390	E LAW FIRM,. P.A., 4		. S.,	Attorneys (If Known	n)					
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)	II. CI	TIZENSHIP OF	PRIN	CIF	AL PARTIES	(Place an "X" ii	n One Box	for Plainti
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government N	Vot a Party)			) PTF □ 1	DEF			for Defend PTF 4	DEF
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenshi)	Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		n of Another State	<b>D</b> 2	0	2 Incorporated and of Business In	Principal Place	<b>O</b> 5	□ 5
				n or Subject of a eign Country	□ 3	О	3 Foreign Nation		<b>□</b> 6	□ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		ly) RTS	FO	RFEITURE/PENALTY		B	ANKRUPTCY	ОТНЕ	STATU	TES
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise   REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY  310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education	PERSONAL INJURY  365 Personal Injury - Product Liability  367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability  368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability  PERSONAL PROPERT  370 Other Fraud  371 Truth in Lending  380 Other Personal Property Damage  385 Property Damage  785 Property Damage Product Liability  PRISONER PETITION  Habeas Corpus:  463 Alien Detainee  510 Motions to Vacate Sentence	7	LABOR  O Fair Labor Standards Act Labor/Management Relations O Railway Labor Act Family and Medical Leave Act Other Labor Litigation Employee Retirement Income Security Act  IMMIGRATION Note: The company of the compa		322 Appeal 28 USC 158     423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157     PROPERTY RIGHTS     820 Copyrights     830 Patent     840 Trademark     SOCIAL SECURITY     861 HIA (1395ff)     862 Black Lung (923)     863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))     865 RSI (405(g))     FEDERAL TAX SUITS     870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)     871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609		OTHER STATUTES  □ 375 False Claims Act □ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations □ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 895 Freedom of Information Act □ 896 Arbitration □ 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision □ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes		
	noved from 3 te Court  Cite the U.S. Civil Sta Fair Labor Standa Brief description of ca Unpaid wages	Appellate Court stute under which you are ards Act, as amende	e filing (I ed 29 U	ened Anot	ther Di	strict	Litigation	n		
COMPLAINT: VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	UNDER RULE 2  E(S)  (See instructions):	V Promise Adaptive Colors					JURY DEMAND	e:	ЖN	0
DATE 05/11/2018	JUDGE DOCKET NUMBER  SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD  /s/ Bill B. Berke									
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	JOHNET	ADDI VING IED		HIDGE	1		MAG II	IDGE		

2:18-CV-344-FtM-99CM

# **ClassAction.org**

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>PeopleReady Florida Tagged with Unpaid Overtime Lawsuit</u>