| | Case 4:22-cv-00250-YGR Document | 33 Filed 06/07/22 Page 1 of 5 |
|---|--|--|
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP JOSHUA S. LIPSHUTZ, SBN 242557 jlipshutz@gibsondunn.com 555 Mission Street, Suite 3000 San Francisco, CA 94105-0921 Telephone: 415.393.8200 Facsimile: 415.393.8306 MICHAEL HOLECEK, SBN 281034 mholecek@gibsondunn.com ILISSA SAMPLIN, SBN 314018 isamplin@gibsondunn.com 333 South Grand Avenue Los Angeles, CA 90071-3197 Telephone: 213.229.7000 Facsimile: 213.229.7520 Attorneys for DoorDash, Inc. | JEFFREY D. KALIEL (SBN 238293) KALIELGOLD PLLC 1100 15th Street NW, 4 th Floor Washington, DC 20005 Telephone: 202.350.4783 jkaliel@kalielpllc.com SOPHIA G. GOLD (SBN 307971) KALIELGOLDPLLC 950 Gilman Street, Suite 200 Berkeley, California 94710 Telephone: 202.350.4783 sgold@kalielgold.com Attorneys for Plaintiffs and the Proposed Classes |
| 10 11 | | |
| 11 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | |
| 13 | NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | |
| 14 | SAN FRANC | CISCO DIVISION |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | REBECCA SCHWARTZ, ZACHARY CHIN, JOSEPH FLINDERS, DANIEL CHOU, and | CASE NO. 4:22-cv-00250-YGR |
| 17 | QUIANA PLEASANT, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, | JOINT STATEMENT AND [PROPOSED] ORDER |
| 18 | Plaintiffs, | Action Filed: November 5, 2021 |
| 19 | v. | Honorable Judge Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers |
| 20 | DOORDASH, INC., and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive, | |
| 21 | Defendants. | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | | |
| 28 | | |
| Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP | JOINT STATEMENT | AND [PROPOSED] ORDER |

Plaintiffs Rebecca Schwartz, Zachary Chin, Joseph Flinders, Daniel Chou, and Quiana Pleasant and Defendant DoorDash, Inc. ("DoorDash") (together with Plaintiffs, the "Parties"), by and through their respective counsel of record, hereby stipulate and agree as follows:

WHEREAS, on November 5, 2021, Plaintiffs filed the Class Action Complaint in this Action;

WHEREAS, on January 13, 2022, DoorDash removed this action to the Northern District of California;

WHEREAS, on March 22, 2022, the Parties filed a stipulation agreeing to proceed with arbitrations for Plaintiffs Schwartz and Chou and to stay court proceedings with respect to Plaintiffs Schwartz and Chou pending conclusion of the arbitrations, which the Court ordered on March 24, 2022 (Dkt. 23);

WHEREAS, on March 23, 2022, DoorDash filed a motion to compel arbitration with respect to Plaintiffs Chin, Flinders, and Pleasant (Dkt. 22), to which Plaintiffs filed an opposition brief on April 27, 2022 (Dkt. 27), and in further support of which DoorDash filed a reply brief on May 16, 2022 (Dkt. 29);

WHEREAS, on May 27, 2022, the Court ordered the Parties to meet and confer no later than June 3, 2022 to discuss whether a resolution is possible, and to file a joint statement by no later than June 7, 2022 that (1) indicates whether the Parties will be able to reach a resolution without Court intervention, or (2) provides a succinct statement summarizing the specific issues warranting a ruling from the Court (Dkt. 31);

WHEREAS, the Parties met and conferred pursuant to the Court's May 27 Order and agreed to engage in private mediation to determine whether it may be possible to reach a resolution without Court intervention;

WHEREAS, the Parties further agreed that all proceedings relating to this action, including any hearing and subsequent ruling by the Court on DoorDash's pending motion to compel arbitration, should be stayed for sixty (60) days following the date of the Court's order on this stipulation or completion of the Parties' mediation, whichever occurs first;

WHEREAS, the Parties further agreed that Plaintiffs Schwartz and Chou shall not file individual arbitrations against DoorDash until at least sixty (60) days following the date of the Court's order on this stipulation or completion of the Parties' mediation should the mediation prove unsuccessful, whichever occurs first, and that DoorDash will not use any delay in filing based on this stipulation against Plaintiffs Schwartz and Chou in any way.

THEREFORE, IT IS STIPULATED AND AGREED that: (i) the Parties shall work together to schedule a private mediation to occur over the summer; (ii) this action, including any ruling by the Court on DoorDash's pending motion to compel, shall be stayed for sixty (60) days following the date of the Court's order on this stipulation or completion of the Parties' mediation, whichever occurs first; (iii) Plaintiffs Schwartz and Chou shall not file individual arbitrations against DoorDash until at least sixty (60) days following the date of the Court's order on this stipulation or completion of the Parties' mediation should the mediation prove unsuccessful, whichever occurs first, and DoorDash will not use any delay in filing based on this stipulation against Plaintiffs Schwartz and Chou in any way; and (iv) the Parties shall provide the Court with a joint status update within 15 days after completion of the Parties' mediation.

Nothing in this Joint Stipulation shall be construed as a waiver of any party's rights, defenses, or arguments that a party otherwise would have, including but not limited to all arguments made or reserved by DoorDash in its motion to compel arbitration.

Dated: June 7, 2022

GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP

By: /s/ Ilissa Samplin Ilissa Samplin

Attorneys for Defendant DOORDASH, INC.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

| | Case 4:22-cv-00250-YGR Document 33 Filed 06/07/22 Page 4 of 5 | |
|--------------------------------|---|--|
| 1 | Dated: June 7, 2022 KALIELGOLD PLLC | |
| 2 3 | By: <u>/s/ Sophia G. Gold</u> Sophia G. Gold | |
| 4 | Attorneys for Plaintiffs and the Proposed Classes | |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | [PROPOSED] ORDER | |
| 7 | PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED. | |
| 8 | Dated: THE HONORABLE YVONNE GONZALEZ ROGERS | |
| 9 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE | |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | SIGNATURE ATTESTATION | |
| 12 | I, Ilissa Samplin, am the ECF User whose identification and password are being used to file the | |
| 13 | foregoing Joint Stipulation. Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 5-1(h)(3) regarding signatures, I attest that | |
| 14 | concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained. | |
| 15 | Dated: June 7, 2022GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP | |
| 16 | By: <u>/s/ Ilissa Samplin</u> | |
| 17 | Ilissa Samplin | |
| 18 | Attorneys for Defendant DOORDASH, INC. | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| 26 27 | | |
| 27 | | |
| 28 | | |
| Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP | 4 JOINT STATEMENT AND [PROPOSED] ORDER | |
| | CASE NO. 4:22-CV-00250-YGR | |

| | Case 4:22-cv-00250-YGR Document 33 Filed 06/07/22 Page 5 of 5 |
|--------------------------------|---|
| 1 | PROOF OF SERVICE |
| 2 | I, Caelin Moriarity Miltko, declare as follows: |
| 3 4 | I am employed in the County of Denver, State of Colorado; I am over the age of eighteen years and am not a party to this action; my business address is 1801 California St., Denver, Colorado 80202, in said County and State. On June 7, 2022, I served the following document(s): |
| 5 | JOINT STATEMENT AND [PROPOSED] ORDER |
| 6 7 | On the parties stated below, by the following means of service: |
| 8 | JEFFREY D. KALIEL (SBN 238293) KALIELGOLD PLLC |
| 9 | 1100 15th Street NW, 4 th Floor Washington, DC 20005 |
| 10 | Telephone: 202.350.4783 jkaliel@kalielpllc.com |
| 11 | SOPHIA G. GOLD (SBN 307971) |
| 12 | KALIELGOLDPLLC 950 Gilman Street, Suite 200 Badadar California 04710 |
| 13 | Berkeley, California 94710 Telephone: 202.350.4783 |
| 14 | sgold@kalielgold.com Attorneys for Plaintiffs and the Proposed Classes |
| 15 | Auomeys for Plantin's and the Proposed Classes |
| 16 | BY ELECTRONIC TRANSFER TO THE CM/ECF SYSTEM : On this date, I electronically |
| 17 | updated a true and correct copy in Adobe "pdf" format the above-listed document(s) to the United States District Court's Case Management and Electronic Case Filing (CM/ECF) system. After the |
| 18 | electronic filing of a document, service is deemed complete upon receipt of the Notice of Electronic Filing ("NEF") by the registered CM/ECF users. |
| 19 20 | ☑ (FEDERAL) I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. |
| 20 | |
| 21 | Executed on June 7, 2022 /s/ Caelin Moriarity Miltko |
| 22 23 | Caelin Moriarity Miltko |
| 23 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| 20 | |
| 28 | |
| | 5 |
| Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP | JOINT STATEMENT AND [PROPOSED] ORDER CASE NO. 4:22-CV-00250-YGR |