#### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI EASTERN DIVISION

KAREN SCHULTE,	)
individually and on behalf of all others	)
similarly situated,	)
	)
Plaintiffs,	)
	)
V.	) Case No. 4:19-cv-2546
	)
CONOPCO, INC., d/b/a "UNILEVER,"	) JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
WALGREEN CO., CVS PHARMACY, INC.,	)
WALMART, INC., TARGET CORPORATION,	)
SCHNUCK MARKETS, INC., and	)
DIERBERGS MARKETS, INC.	)
	)
DOES 1 through 10,	)
	)
Defendants.	)

#### **NOTICE OF REMOVAL**

Defendant Conopco, Inc., *d/b/a* "Unilever," ("Unilever"), files this notice of removal from the Circuit Court of Jefferson County, Missouri to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Missouri, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332(d) and 1441(a).

#### I. BACKGROUND

IZA DENI GOLLILI DE

- 1. On July 4, 2019, Plaintiff Karen Schulte filed a Class Action Petition (the "Complaint") in the Circuit Court of Jefferson County titled *Karen Schulte v. Conopco, Inc., d/b/a* "*Unilever,*" *et al.*, No. 19JE-CC00485 (Mo. Cir. Ct.). *See* Compl. (Ex. A).
- 2. The Complaint alleges violations of the Missouri Merchandising Practices Act ("MMPA") in connection with the sale of Dove-branded "Advanced Care" antiperspirants (the "Product"). Compl. ¶¶ 101-108. The "Advanced Care" line is manufactured by Unilever and is available in more than ten scents. Compl. ¶¶ 28-32, 35-36. "Advanced Care" also has two sublines, "Clear Tone" and "Invisible," which are excluded from the definition of the "Product."

Compl. ¶¶ 33-34.

- 3. Plaintiff alleges that she purchased the Product at stores operated by Walgreen Co. ("Walgreens"), CVS Pharmacy, Inc. ("CVS"), Walmart, Inc. ("Walmart"), Target Corporation ("Target"), Schnuck Markets, Inc. ("Schnucks"), and Dierbergs Markets, Inc. ("Dierbergs"), (collectively, "Retail Defendants"). Compl. ¶¶ 54-77.
- 4. Plaintiff alleges that the Product is sold at a higher price than Dove-branded "Men + Care" line of antiperspirants, which Plaintiff alleges are "essentially the exact same product." Compl. ¶¶ 3, 44-49, 52. Plaintiff alleges that the pricing and selling of the Product constitutes an "unfair practice" prohibited by the MMPA. Compl. ¶¶ 50, 53.

#### II. NOTICE OF REMOVAL IS TIMELY

5. Unilever was served with a summons and copy of the Complaint on August 14, 2019. Accordingly, this Notice of Removal is timely filed under 28 U.S.C. § 1446(b).

#### III. REMOVAL PURSUANT TO CLASS ACTION FAIRNESS ACT OF 2005

6. This Court has original jurisdiction over this action under 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d). Under the Class Action Fairness Act ("CAFA"), federal district courts have original jurisdiction when: (1) the putative class consists of at least 100 members; (2) the citizenship of at least one proposed member of the class is different from that of any defendant; and (3) the aggregated amount in controversy exceeds \$5,000,000, exclusive of interest and costs. 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d).

#### A. There Are More Than 100 Putative Class Members

7. Plaintiff purports to represent a class of: "All Missouri consumers, who, within the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Plaintiff has filed a nearly identical lawsuit in the Circuit Court of Jefferson County against these same defendants involving another line of Unilever antiperspirants. *See Karen Schulte v. Conopco, Inc., d/b/a "Unilever," et al.*, No. 19JE-CC00486 (Mo. Cir. Ct.). Unilever is contemporaneously filing a notice of removal of that case to this Court.

Class Period, purchased [the Product] from any of the Retail Defendants in the State of Missouri.

The Class Period begins five years prior to the date of the filing of [the] Petition." Compl. ¶ 20.

- 8. Plaintiff admits that the class she purports to represent consists of "tens of thousands, if not hundreds of thousands, of individuals on a statewide basis." Compl. ¶ 21.
  - 9. Consequently, there are more than 100 putative class members.

#### **B.** Minimal Diversity Exists Among the Parties

- 10. At the time this lawsuit was filed and at all times since, Plaintiff was and is a citizen of Missouri. *See* Compl. ¶ 6.
- 11. At the time this lawsuit was filed and at all times since, Unilever was and is a New York corporation with its principal place of business in New Jersey. *See* Compl. ¶ 8. Therefore, at the time this action was filed and at all times since, Unilever was and is a citizen of New York and New Jersey. 28 U.S.C. § 1332(c)(1).
- 12. At the time this lawsuit was filed and at all times since, Walgreens was and is an Illinois corporation with its principal place of business in Illinois. *See* Compl. ¶ 9. Therefore, at the time this action was filed and at all times since, Walgreens was and is a citizen of Illinois. 28 U.S.C. § 1332(c)(1).
- 13. At the time this lawsuit was filed and at all times since, CVS was and is a Rhode Island corporation with its principal place of business in Rhode Island. *See* Compl. ¶ 10. Therefore, at the time this action was filed and at all times since, CVS was and is a citizen of Rhode Island. 28 U.S.C. § 1332(c)(1).
- 14. At the time this lawsuit was filed and at all times since, Walmart was and is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business in Arkansas. *See* Compl. ¶ 11. Therefore, at the time this action was filed and at all times since, Walmart was and is a citizen of Delaware and Arkansas. 28 U.S.C. § 1332(c)(1).

- 15. At the time this lawsuit was filed and at all times since, Target was and is a Minnesota corporation with its principal place of business in Minnesota. *See* Compl. ¶ 12. Therefore, at the time this action was filed and at all times since, Target was and is a citizen of Minnesota. 28 U.S.C. § 1332(c)(1).
- 16. At the time this lawsuit was filed and at all times since, Schnucks was and is a Missouri corporation with its principal place of business in Missouri. *See* Compl. ¶ 13. Therefore, at the time this action was filed and at all times since, Schnucks was and is a citizen of Missouri. 28 U.S.C. § 1332(c)(1).
- 17. At the time this lawsuit was filed and at all times since, Dierbergs was and is a Missouri corporation with its principal place of business in Missouri. *See* Compl. ¶ 14. Therefore, at the time this action was filed and at all times since, Dierbergs was and is a citizen of Missouri. 28 U.S.C. § 1332(c)(1).
- 18. CAFA jurisdiction "requires only *minimal* diversity, meaning 'any member of a class of plaintiffs is a citizen of a State different from any defendant." Reece v. Bank of N.Y. Mellon, 760 F.3d 771, 776 (8th Cir. 2014) (citing 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2)(A)). Because Plaintiff is a Missouri citizen and at least one of the defendants is a citizen of a state other than Missouri, minimal diversity exists among the parties.

#### C. The Amount in Controversy Exceeds \$5 Million in the Aggregate

- 19. Under 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2), an action is removable under CAFA when "the matter in controversy exceeds the sum or value of \$5,000,000." To determine whether the matter in controversy exceeds the sum or value of \$5,000,000, "the claims of the individual class members shall be aggregated." 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(6).
- 20. When, as here, the complaint fails to allege a specific amount in damages sought, "[t]he jurisdictional fact . . . is not whether the damages *are* greater than the requisite amount, but

whether a fact finder *might* legally conclude that they are." *Kopp v. Kopp*, 280 F.3d 883, 885 (8th Cir. 2002) (emphasis added). For purposes of removal, Unilever needs only to make a "plausible allegation" that the amount in controversy exceeds \$5 million. *See Dart Cherokee Basin Operating Co., LLC v. Owens*, 135 S. Ct. 547, 554 (2014). Once a defendant makes such a showing, "the case belongs in federal court unless it is legally impossible for the plaintiff to recover that much." *Raskas v. Johnson & Johnson*, 719 F.3d 884, 888 (8th Cir. 2013) (quotation omitted).

- 21. Assuming the truth of the allegations in the Complaint, there is more than \$5 million in controversy.<sup>2</sup>
- 22. Plaintiff purports to represent: "All Missouri consumers, who, within the Class Period, purchased [the Product] from any of the Retail Defendants in the State of Missouri. The Class Period begins five years prior to the date of the filing of [the] Petition." Compl. ¶ 20.
- 23. Plaintiff seeks damages on behalf of the proposed class in the amount of the purchase price of the Product. Compl. ¶¶ 105-06.
- 24. Unilever is able to purchase information regarding retail sales from Information Resources, Inc. ("IRI"), a company that provides information and analytics for consumer packaged goods, retail, and healthcare companies in the United States and internationally. Unilever regularly requests information from IRI and maintains and uses it in the ordinary course of business. One of the services IRI provides is tracking retail sales of products by gathering data from the scanners at checkouts in thousands of grocery, drug, and other retail stores across the country. By analyzing

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> By alleging here that Plaintiff might legally recover a judgment exceeding the jurisdictional amount in controversy, Unilever neither confesses any liability nor admits the appropriate amount of damages if found liable for any part of Plaintiff's claims. Unilever is only stating what the stakes of the litigation could be. *Hartis v. Chicago Title Ins. Co.*, 694 F.3d 935, 945 (8th Cir. 2012) ("The removing party need not confess liability in order to show that the controversy exceeds the threshold.") (internal quotation marks omitted).

this scanner data, IRI projects the total dollar amount of retail sales for particular products.

- 25. Based on IRI retail sales data for the Product, there was approximately \$389,428,629 in retail sales nationally from 2014 through 2018.
- 26. According to 2010 U.S. Census data, Missouri's population accounts for 1.9% of the total U.S. population. Thus, based on IRI retail sales data and Missouri's population, there was approximately \$7,399,143 in retail sales of the Product in Missouri from 2014 through 2018. Based on Unilever sales data, for the years 2014 through 2018, Walmart, Target, CVS, and Walgreens together accounted for approximately 63% of sales nationally for all Unilever brands of antiperspirants. Based on Unilever sales data and information and belief, for the years 2014 through 2018, Dierbergs and Schnucks together accounted for approximately 3% of sales in Missouri for all Unilever brands of antiperspirants. Thus, these six retailers together accounted for approximately 66%, or \$4,883,434 of the estimated sales of the Product in Missouri from 2014 through 2018.
- 27. Plaintiff also seeks punitive damages, which may be considered in determining whether damages exceed \$5 million under CAFA. *See Raskas*, 719 F.3d at 887. Plaintiff may recover punitive damages of "[f]ive times the net amount of the judgment," Mo. Rev. Stat. \$510.265, and the judgment also includes any attorney's fee award. *Raskas*, 719 F.3d at 887.
- 28. In MMPA cases, punitive damage awards are common and can be substantial. *See, e.g.*:
  - *Kerr v. Ace Cash Experts, Inc.*, No. 4:10 CV 1645 DDN, 2010 WL 5177977, at \*2 (E.D. Mo. Dec. 14, 2010) (considering the possibility of more than \$4.4 million in attorneys' fees and punitive damages based upon allegations of \$594,000 in actual damages);
  - Bass v. Carmax Auto Superstores, Inc., No. 07-0883-CV-W-ODS, 2008 WL 441962, at \*2 (W.D. Mo. Feb. 14, 2008) (noting that if 4,419 Missouri class members had total actual damages of \$658,431, the "total of punitive damages"

- and attorney fees could easily (and legally) be sufficient to bring the total amount in controversy over the [\$5 million] jurisdictional requirement"); and
- Dowell v. Debt Relief Am., L.P., No. 2:07-CV-27 (JCH), 2007 WL 1876478, at \*2 (E.D. Mo. June 27, 2007) (denying remand after considering two prior judgments in MMPA cases and noting that "juries are inclined to assess large punitive damages awards in MMPA cases").
- 29. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees and injunctive relief in this matter. Compl., Prayer for Relief. For purposes of determining whether CAFA's \$5 million threshold has been exceeded, both should be included. *See Chochorowski v. Home Depot USA*, 585 F. Supp. 2d 1085, 1093 (E.D. Mo. 2008) ("Defendant is correct that in determining the amount in controversy . . . attorney's fees are considered."); *id.* at 1094 (courts should consider the value to the plaintiff of injunctive relief in measuring amount in controversy).
- 30. As a result of the sales of the Product over the past five years, and the possibility of substantial awards for punitive damages, attorneys' fees, and injunctive relief, the total amount in controversy exceeds \$5 million.

#### IV. COMPLIANCE WITH REMOVAL PROCEDURES

- 31. Venue is proper in this Court under 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a) because the removed action was filed in the Circuit Court of Jefferson County, Missouri, a court encompassed by the Eastern District of Missouri, Eastern Division.
- 32. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1453(b), an action may be removed "by any defendant without the consent of all defendants." Thus, a single defendant may remove without the unanimous consent of other defendants. *See Riceland Foods, Inc. v. Gray, Ritter & Graham, P.C.*, No. 4:14 CV 81 CDP, 2014 WL 2804980, at \*3 (E.D. Mo. June 20, 2014); *Resurgent Capital Servs*. *LP v. Thomason*, No. 12-3436-CV-ODS, 2012 WL 5398189, at \*2 (W.D. Mo. Nov. 5, 2012).
- 33. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1446(a) and Local Rule 81-2.03, copies of all process, pleadings, orders, and other documents on file in the state court are attached as Ex. B.

Case: 4:19-cv-02546-RWS Doc. #: 1 Filed: 09/12/19 Page: 8 of 9 PageID #: 8

34. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1446(d), written notice of the filing of the Notice of Removal

will be promptly served on the attorneys for Plaintiff, and a copy will be promptly filed with the

Clerk of the Circuit Court of Jefferson County, Missouri.

35. Unilever reserves the right to amend or supplement this Notice of Removal, and

reserves all rights and defenses, including those available under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure

12.

WHEREFORE, Unilever respectfully removes this action from the Circuit Court of

Jefferson County, Missouri, to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Missouri,

Eastern Division.

Dated: September 12, 2019

Respectfully submitted,

SHOOK, HARDY & BACON L.L.P.

By: /s/ James P. Muehlberger

James P. Muehlberger, #51346MO

Douglas B. Maddock, Jr., #53072MO

2555 Grand Boulevard

Kansas City, MO 64108

Telephone: (816) 474-6550

Facsimile: (816) 421-5547

jmuehlberger@shb.com

dmaddock@shb.com

Attorneys for Defendant Conopco, Inc., d/b/a

"Unilever"

8

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on September 12, 2019, the foregoing document was served upon the following via the Court's electronic filing system, mail, and/or electronic mail:

Daniel F. Harvath Harvath Law Group, LLC 75 W. Lockwood, Suite #1 Webster Grove, MO 63119 dharvath@harvathlawgroup.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff

CVS Pharmacy, Inc. Legal Department 1 CVS Drive Woonsocket, RI 02895

Dierbergs Markets, Inc. Legal Department 16690 Swingley Ridge Road Chesterfield, MO 63017

Schnuck Markets, Inc. Legal Department 11420 Lackland Road St. Louis, MO 63146 Target Corporation C T Corporation System 120 South Central Avenue Clayton, MO 63105

Walgreen Co. Legal Department 104 Wilmot Road, MS #144K Deerfield, IL 60015

Walmart, Inc.
Legal Department
Class Action & Commercial Litigation
702 Southwest 8<sup>th</sup> Street, MS 0215
Bentonville, AR 72716-0215

/s/ James P. Muehlberger

# EXHIBIT A

### Case: 4:19-cv-02546-RWS Doc. #: 1-1 Filed: 09/12/19 Page: 2 of PPPEL CO0485

## IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF JEFFERSON COUNTY STATE OF MISSOURI

	)
KAREN SCHULTE,	<i>,</i> )
individually and on behalf of	) Case No
all others similarly situated,	)
• •	)
Plaintiffs,	)
,	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
v.	)
	)
CONOPCO, INC., d/b/a "UNILEVER,"	)
WALGREEN CO., CVS PHARMACY, INC.	)
WALMART, INC., TARGET	)
CORPORATION, SCHNUCK	)
MARKETS, INC., and DIERBERGS	)
MARKETS, INC.	)
	)
	)
DOES 1 through 10,	)
	)
Defendants.	)

#### **CLASS ACTION PETITION**

Plaintiff Karen Schulte, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, hereby files this, her Class Action Petition, against Defendants Conopco, Inc., d/b/a "Unilever", Walgreen Co., CVS Pharmacy, Inc., Walmart, Inc., Target Corporation, Schnuck Markets, Inc., Dierbergs Markets, Inc., and DOES 1 through 10 (collectively "Defendants") for their gender-discriminatory pricing scheme which constitutes an illegal, "unfair practice" in violation of the Missouri Merchandising Practices Act, Mo. Rev. Stat. chap. 407 ("MMPA").

#### I. INTRODUCTION

1. This lawsuit addresses a particularly pernicious example of the so-called "Pink Tax," the price difference for female-specific products or services compared with those offered to men. Study after study has found that, women, on a systematic and wide-spread basis, are charged more than men

for what are essentially the exact same products or services.<sup>1</sup> This gender-based price discrimination is indisputably harmful to women, adding another layer to the wage inequality that women face, ultimately making it harder for women to make ends meet.<sup>2</sup> In fact, over twenty years ago, in 1994, the State of California estimated that the average woman is charged an extra \$1,351.00 per year, simply for being a woman; those numbers have only increased over the last two decades.

- 2. Gender discrimination in pricing has become such a scourge affecting female consumers that governments in multiple areas of the country have specifically outlawed the practice, including those in New York, Miami-Dade County, Florida, and California. In addition, in April of 2019, two members of the United States Congress introduced H.R. 2048, the *Pink Tax Repeal Act*, a bipartisan bill aimed at eliminating gender-based discrimination in pricing. The bill's sponsor pointed out that "[t]he pink tax is not a one-time injustice. It's an insidious form of institutionalized discrimination that affects women across the country from the cradle to the grave."<sup>3</sup>
- 3. To be sure, not every instance of gender discrimination in pricing is unjustified; in certain circumstances, there may exist very real, material differences in products or services that legitimately account for such pricing variances. However, for every "justified" instance of gender-discrimination in pricing, there are scores more instances where the practice is unjustified and completely unfair. This lawsuit concerns a particularly pernicious and predatory example of unfair gender discrimination in pricing: the pricing of a *nearly identical* female-marketed product at a substantially higher price than its male-marketed counterpart. This practice is unjustified and, by all measures, unfair.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See, e.g., "From Cradle to Cane: The Cost of Being a Female Consumer, A Study of Gender Pricing in New York City," New York City Department of Consumer Affairs, 2015.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> As just one of multiple examples, the United States Bureau of Labor Statistics recently reported that women's median earnings were 83 percent of those of male full-time wage and salary workers. *See* "Highlights of women's earnings in 2014." BLS Reports, Report #1058, November 2015.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See "Reps Speier & Reed Reintroduce Pink Tax Repeal Act to End Gender-Based Pricing Discrimination," April 3, 2019 Press Release, available at: https://speier.house.gov/media-center/press-releases/reps-speier-reed-reintroduce-pink-tax-repeal-act-end-gender-based.

Case: 4:19-cv-02546-RWS Doc. #: 1-1 Filed: 09/12/19 Page: 4 of 21 PageID #: 13

- 4. In many cases, including in this one, gender-discriminatory pricing is not only unfair, but it also is deceptive, and the deceptive nature of the practice compounds and increases its unfairness. The average consumer, for instance, is largely unaware that nearly-identical products marketed to the opposite sex are substantially cheaper, especially when products are differentiated in size and packaging style and often located in different parts of a store. Despite the fact that, technically, men and women are able to purchase a product marketed to the opposite sex, that alternative is even more unfair due to social conditioning and societal expectations regarding what is "feminine" versus "masculine." Just as it would be unfair for men to have to purchase and use pink-colored razors to get a better price, it is unfair for women to have to, for instance, "smell like a man" to get a better price on their deodorant or aftershave. In short, grossly overcharging women for nearly-identical products is an unavoidably unfair practice.
- 5. Fortunately for women living in Missouri, they are protected by the Missouri Merchandising Practices Act, Mo. Rev. Stat. chap. 407 ("MMPA"), which specifically outlaws such "unfair practices." By bringing this lawsuit, Plaintiff Schulte aims not only to protect and to compensate all Missouri women victimized by Defendants in this manner, but also to punish and make an example of Defendants for their long-standing, insidious and predatory gender discrimination through the institution of punitive damages.

#### II. PARTIES, JURISDICTION, AND VENUE

- 6. Plaintiff Karen Schulte is a citizen and resident of Jefferson County, Missouri.
- 7. Plaintiff brings this Class Action Petition individually and on behalf of a putative class of all Missouri residents.
- 8. Defendant Conopco, Inc. *d/b/a* "Unilever" (hereinafter "Unilever") is a New York corporation having its principal place of business at 700 Sylvan Ave., Englewood Cliffs, NJ 07632. Unilever may be served at: CT Corporation System, 120 South Central Ave., Clayton MO 63105.

#### Case: 4:19-cv-02546-RWS Doc. #: 1-1 Filed: 09/12/19 Page: 5 of 21 PageID #: 14

- 9. Defendant Walgreen Co. (hereinafter "Walgreens") is an Illinois corporation having its principal place of business at 300 Wilmot Rd., Deerfield, IL 60015. Walgreens may be served at: The Prentice-Hall Corporation System, Inc., 221 Bolivar Street, Jefferson City, MO 65101.
- 10. Defendant CVS Pharmacy, Inc. (hereinafter "CVS") is a Rhode Island corporation having its principal place of business at One CVS Drive, Woonsocket, RI 02895. CVS may be served at: CT Corporation System, 120 South Central Ave., Clayton MO 63105
- 11. Defendant Walmart, Inc. (hereinafter "Walmart") is a Delaware corporation having its principal place of business at 708 SW 8<sup>th</sup> Street, Bentonville, AR 72716. Walmart may be served at: CT Corporation System, 120 South Central Ave., Clayton MO 63105.
- 12. Defendant Target Corporation (hereinafter "Target") is a Minnesota corporation having its principal place of business at 1000 Nicollet Mall, TPS 3155, Minneapolis, MN 55403. Target may be served at: CT Corporation System, 120 South Central Ave., Clayton MO 63105.
- 13. Defendant Schnuck Markets, Inc. (hereinafter "Schnucks") is a Missouri corporation having its principal place of business at 11420 Lackland Rd., St. Louis, MO 63146. Schnucks may be served at: Mary H. Moorkamp, 11420 Lackland Rd., Box 46928, St. Louis MO 63146.
- 14. Defendant Dierbergs Markets, Inc. (hereinafter "Dierbergs") is a Missouri corporation having its principal place of business at 16690 Swingley Ridge Road, Chesterfield, MO 63017. Dierbergs may be served at: Robert J. Dierberg, 16690 Swingley Ridge Road, Chesterfield, MO 63017.
- 15. Whereas Defendant Unilever is the manufacturer and distributor of the product at issue, and therefore controls pricing of the product and is thus responsible for the conduct complained herein, upon information and belief, Unilever did not directly sell the product to any Plaintiff, rather selling the product directly to Unilever's co-Defendants. From time to time, where appropriate, Defendant Walgreens, CVS, Walmart, Target, Schnucks, and Dierbergs will be referred to collectively as the "Retail Defendants."

- 16. The above-named Retail Defendants, along with Unilever, and their subsidiaries and agents, are collectively referred to herein as "Defendants." The true names and capacities of the Defendants sued herein as DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, are currently unknown to Plaintiff, who therefore sues such Defendants by fictitious names. Each of the Defendants designated herein as a DOE is legally responsible for the unlawful acts alleged herein. If necessary, Plaintiff will seek leave of Court to amend the Petition to reflect the true names and capacities of the DOE Defendants when such identities become known.
- 17. Venue is proper in the Circuit Court of Jefferson County, Missouri, because the Plaintiff resides here, and a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims in this action occurred in this venue.
- 18. This forum also is superior in convenience to any other, as all of the Plaintiffs are or were Missouri citizens and are or were located in Missouri, and the acts complained of violated Missouri law.
- 19. This asserted class action comports with Missouri Supreme Court Rule 52.08 and with R.S.Mo. § 407.025(3) of the MMPA. Plaintiffs' identities can be ascertained from Defendant's records, but are so numerous that simple joinder of all individuals is impracticable. This action raises questions of law and fact common among Plaintiffs. The claims of lead Plaintiff is typical of all Plaintiffs' claims. Named Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect all Plaintiffs' interests, and is represented by attorneys qualified to pursue this action. More specifically:
- 20. <u>Class definition</u>: Plaintiff Karen Schulte brings this action on behalf of herself and a class of similarly-situated persons preliminarily-<sup>4</sup> defined as follows: All Missouri consumers, who, within the Class Period, purchased "Dove"-brand "Advanced Care" Antiperspirant (the "Product")<sup>5</sup> from any of the Retail Defendants in the State of Missouri. The Class Period begins five years prior to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Plaintiff reserves the right to propose, as needed, any different or other more- or less-specific class, classes, subclass, or subclasses as Plaintiff deems appropriate for purposes of class certification.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> As that term and label is defined in greater detail *infra*.

Case: 4:19-cv-02546-RWS Doc. #: 1-1 Filed: 09/12/19 Page: 7 of 21 PageID #: 16

the date of the filing of this Petition, and ceases upon the date of the filing of this Petition. Excluded from the Class are: (a) any judges presiding over this action and members of their staffs and families; (b) the Defendants and their subsidiaries, parents, successors, and predecessors; any entity in which the Defendants or their parents have a controlling interest; and the Defendants' current or former officers and directors; (c) employees (i) who have or had a managerial responsibility on behalf of the organization, (ii) whose act or omission in connection with this matter may be imputed to the organization for liability purposes, or (iii) whose statements may constitute an admission on the part of the Defendants; (d) persons who properly execute and file a timely request for exclusion from the class; (e) the attorneys working on the Plaintiffs' claims; (f) the legal representatives, successors, or assigns of any such excluded persons; and (g) any individual who assisted or supported the wrongful acts delineated herein.

- Numerosity: Upon information and belief, the Class includes tens of thousands, if not hundreds of thousands, of individuals on a statewide basis, making their individual joinder impracticable. Although the exact number of Class members and their addresses are presently unknown to Plaintiff, they are readily ascertainable from Defendants' records.
- 22. <u>Typicality</u>: Plaintiff's claims are typical of those of the Class because all Plaintiffs were injured by the Defendants' uniform wrongful conduct, specifically, employing an "unfair practice" under the MMPA, using gender-discriminatory pricing in offering and selling the Product to Plaintiffs.
- 23. <u>Adequacy</u>: Plaintiff Karen Schulte is an adequate representative of the Class because her interests do not conflict with the interests of the Class members she seeks to represent, she has retained competent and experienced counsel, and she intends to prosecute this action vigorously. The interests of the Class will be protected fairly and adequately by Plaintiff and her counsel.
- 24. <u>Commonality</u>: Common questions of law and fact exist as to all Class members and predominate over any questions affecting only individual members, such as: (a) whether the Defendants'

Case: 4:19-cv-02546-RWS Doc. #: 1-1 Filed: 09/12/19 Page: 8 of 21 PageID #: 17

gender discriminatory pricing is an "unfair practice" pursuant to the MMPA; (b) whether and to what extent the Class members were injured by Defendants' illegal conduct; (c) whether the Class members are entitled to compensatory damages; (d) whether the Class members are entitled to punitive damages; (e) whether the Class members are entitled to declaratory relief; and (f) whether the Class members are entitled to injunctive relief.

25. <u>Superiority</u>: This class action is appropriate for certification because class proceedings are superior to all other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy. The damages suffered by the individual Class members will likely be small relative to the burden and expense of individual prosecution of the complex litigation necessitated by the Defendant's wrongful conduct. Thus, it would be extremely difficult for the individual Class members to obtain effective relief. A class action presents far fewer management difficulties and provides the benefits of a single adjudication, including economies of time, effort, and expense, and uniformity of decisions.

#### III. <u>BACKGROUND</u>

- 26. Defendants manufacture, distribute, and/or sell the product at issue herein, Dove-brand "Advanced Care" antiperspirant.
  - 27. The Retail Defendants sell the Product directly to consumers.
- 28. Defendant Unilever owns the "Dove" brand and, under that brand name, manufactures and distributes, *inter alia*, the Dove-branded "Advanced Care" antiperspirant.
- 29. The "Advanced Care" line of products is marketed towards females, having a more—"feminine" packaging, generally being grouped by co-Defendants in the "women's" section of their stores, and being available in multiple "feminine" scents.
- 30. Unilever, through its Dove-branded website, www.dove.com, markets "Advanced Care" to women, displaying multiple pictures of women on the marketing web-page for the product, and describing the "mission" underlying the "Advanced Care" product as being "to help the next generation

of women develop a positive relationship with the way they look."6

a.

31. The packaging, in contrast to Dove's male-directed products, is relatively more "feminine," appearing as follows:



- 32. The "Advanced Care" line of products comes in multiple different varieties and/or "scents."
- 33. The "Advanced Care" line of products is also marketed and divided into various subgroups including, *inter alia*, a "Clear Tone"-labeled sub-group and an "Invisible"-labeled sub-group.
- 34. For purposes of this lawsuit, because the formulation is slightly different than the base "Advanced Care" line, the aforementioned sub-groups are excluded from the definition of "Product" as used herein.
- 35. The term "Product" as used herein, is instead limited to the types of "Advanced Care" antiperspirant sharing the same, or nearly the same, ingredients, as follows:
  - a. Active Ingredient: Aluminum Zirconium Tetrachlorohydrex GLY (15.2%)
  - b. Inactive Ingredients:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> See, e.g., <a href="https://www.dove.com/us/en/deodorants/stick/go-fresh-advanced-care-rejuvenate-antiperspirant.html">https://www.dove.com/us/en/deodorants/stick/go-fresh-advanced-care-rejuvenate-antiperspirant.html</a>

Electronically Filed - Jefferson - July 04, 2019 - 02:27 PM

#### Case: 4:19-cv-02546-RWS Doc. #: 1-1 Filed: 09/12/19 Page: 10 of 21 PageID #: 19

- Cyclopentasiloxane, Stearyl Alcohol, C12-15 Alkyl Benzoate, PPG-14
   Butyl Ether, Hydrogenated Castor Oil, PEG-8, Dimethicone, Fragrance
   (Parfum), Silica, Polyethylene, Helianthus Annuus (Sunflower) Seed
   Oil, Steareth-100, BHT, Hydroxyethyl Urea.
- 36. According to Unilever's Dove-branded website, www.dove.com, and confirmed by corresponding product packaging, the following "scents" and/or varieties of "Advanced Care" anti-perspirant all share the same, or nearly the same, ingredients listed above:
  - a. "Go Fresh Rejuvenate"
  - b. "Revive",7
  - c. "Restore"
  - d. "Cool Essentials"
  - e. "Rose Petals"
  - f. "Soothing Chamomile"
  - g. "Shea Butter"<sup>8</sup>
  - h. "Sensitive"
  - i. "Rebalance"
  - i. "Powder Soft"
  - k. "Original Clean"
  - 1. "Nourished Beauty"
  - m. "Lavender Fresh"

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Also includes "Lippia Citriodora Flower/Leaf/Stem Water.": https://www.dove.com/us/en/deodorants/stick/advanced-care-revive-antiperspirant.html

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Also includes "Butyrospermum Parkii (Shea) Butter." https://www.dove.com/us/en/deodorants/stick/advanced-care-shea-butter-antiperspirant.html

Case: 4:19-cv-02546-RWS Doc. #: 1-1 Filed: 09/12/19 Page: 11 of 21 PageID #: 20

- n. "Coconut"
- o. "Beauty Finish"
- 37. Because each of the above-listed varieties of "Advanced Care" share the same, or nearly the same, Inactive Ingredients (with two minor exceptions for an additional ingredient in two "scents" as noted above), and share the same amount and type of Active Ingredients, all varieties are substantially similar enough to be treated collectively in this lawsuit, and therefore constitute, collectively, the "Product" as hereinafter and otherwise mentioned in this lawsuit.
- 38. The Product, like similar "Pink Taxed" items sold throughout the United States, has a corresponding, male-marketed product that is materially-identical to it.
- 39. Unilever also manufactures and distributes, and the Retail Defendants sell directly to consumers, a male-oriented line of antiperspirants under the same brand, "Dove," called "Men + Care."
- 40. In contrast to the "Advanced Care" line of products, the "Men + Care" line is packaged in a relatively more "masculine" packaging, is generally grouped with men's products in store locations, and is marketed by Defendant Unilever, on its Dove-branded website, <u>www.dove.com</u>, to men, as each antiperspirant stick features, *inter alia*, a "[C]lassic, masculine scent."
- 41. The basic "Men + Care" line of antiperspirants, like the "Advanced Care" line, comes in multiple different "scents."
- 42. The packaging, in contrast to "Advanced Care," is relatively more "masculine," appearing as follows:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> https://www.dove.com/us/en/men-care/deodorant/stick-antiperspirant/men-care-cool-silver-antiperspirant-stick.html

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> For simplicity, discussion of the "Men + Care" antiperspirant is directed at the "basic" line, and excludes the "Elements," "Sportcare" and "Stain Defense" sub-groups thereof.

Case: 4:19-cv-02546-RWS Doc. #: 1-1 Filed: 09/12/19 Page: 12 of 21 PageID #: 21



a.

- 43. According to the labels on the "Men + Care" line of products, multiple varieties of the "Men + Care" line contain at least the following ingredients:
  - a. Active Ingredient: Aluminum Zirconium Tetrachlorohydrex GLY (15.2%)
  - b. Inactive Ingredients:
    - Cyclopentasiloxane, Stearyl Alcohol, C12-15 Alkyl Benzoate, PPG-14
       Butyl Ether, Hydrogenated Castor Oil, PEG-8, Dimethicone, Fragrance
       (Parfum), Polyethylene, Helianthus Annuus (Sunflower) Seed Oil,
       Steareth-100, BHT.
- 44. As a comparison of ingredients reveals, at least multiple varieties of the "Men + Care" antiperspirant sticks contain *every single* ingredient included in the Product with only one consistent omission: Hydroxyethyl Urea; and, in most cases, the basic "Men + Care" antiperspirant sticks contain multiple additional ingredients.
- 45. In other words, the *only* ingredient contained in the Product that is not also contained in at least multiple varieties of "Men + Care," is Hydroxyethyl Urea; and because Hydroxyethyl Urea is the last-listed ingredient in all varieties of the Product, it follows that it is the least predominant ingredient in the Product.
  - 46. The presence of minimal amounts of Hydroxyethyl Urea in the Product does not justify

Electronically Filed - Jefferson - July 04, 2019 - 02:27 PM

the greatly inflated price at which it is sold in comparison to "Men + Care;" that fact does not, in any manner, cure the Defendants' gender-discriminatory pricing of the Product from being an "unfair practice."

- 47. Moreover, whereas both the "Men + Care" line and the Product contain the exact same active ingredient, Aluminum Zirconium Tetrachlorohydrex GLY (15.2%), "Men + Care" provides a purchaser 2.7 ounces of the mixture of ingredients whereas a purchaser of the Product only receives 2.6 ounces.
- 48. Nonetheless, across the entirety of the Missouri marketplace, every single major retailer including every Retail Defendant herein, charges its customers significantly more for the Product than they charge customers for the basic "Men + Care" line of antiperspirants.
- 49. In short, every Retail Defendant herein charges their customers substantially more for the "women's version" of essentially the exact same product.
- 50. There are few better examples of the gender discriminatory and *unfair* "Pink Tax" than in the Retail Defendants' sale of the Product to Missouri consumers.
- 51. The Product is materially identical to "Men + Care," and the Product contains less of the key Active Ingredient; yet the Product, consistently, costs significantly more than "Men + Care."
- 52. Thus, due to Defendants' unfair practice, women consumers are being charged significantly more than men for essentially the exact same product.
- 53. For the multiple reasons set forth above and below, Defendants' pricing and selling of the Product constitutes an "unfair practice" that is illegal and prohibited under the MMPA.<sup>11</sup>

Facts Particular to Karen Schulte and Representative of the Proposed Class

54. In or around June of 2019, Plaintiff visited a retail outlet for Defendant Walgreens,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> On information and belief, Unilever prices and sells the Product in a gender-discriminatory fashion to the Retail Defendants, and is therefore responsible for, and an active participant in, the "unfair practice" violating the MMPA.

Case: 4:19-cv-02546-RWS Doc. #: 1-1 Filed: 09/12/19 Page: 14 of 21 PageID #: 23

located at 1718 Catlin Dr., Barnhart, MO 63012.

- 55. While there, Plaintiff observed that Defendant Walgreens was selling the Product for \$6.79.
- 56. On information and belief, on the same day, at the same location, Defendant Walgreens was selling the materially-identical "Men + Care" antiperspirant for \$6.29.
- 57. On that same day, Plaintiff purchased the Product from Defendant Walgreens, paying \$6.79.
- 58. In or around June of 2019, Plaintiff also visited a retail outlet for Defendant Walmart, located at 2201 Michigan Ave., Arnold, MO 63010.
  - 59. While there, Plaintiff observed that Defendant Walmart was selling the Product for \$4.88.
- 60. On information and belief, on the same day, at the same location, Defendant Walmart was selling the materially-identical "Men + Care" antiperspirant for \$4.43.
- 61. On that same day, Plaintiff purchased the Product from Defendant Walmart, paying \$4.88.
- 62. In or around June of 2019, Plaintiff visited a retail outlet for Defendant Target, located in Arnold, MO and having a contact phone number of 636-287-1055.
  - 63. While there, Plaintiff observed that Defendant Target was selling the Product for \$4.89.
- 64. On information and belief, on the same day, at the same location, Defendant Target was selling the materially-identical "Men + Care" antiperspirant for \$4.49.
  - 65. On that same day, Plaintiff purchased the Product from Defendant Target, paying \$4.89.
- 66. In or around June of 2019, Plaintiff visited a retail outlet for Defendant CVS, located at 2120 Lone Star Dr., Arnold MO 63010.
  - 67. While there, Plaintiff observed that Defendant CVS was selling the Product for \$6.99.
  - 68. On information and belief, on the same day, at the same location, Defendant CVS was

Case: 4:19-cv-02546-RWS Doc. #: 1-1 Filed: 09/12/19 Page: 15 of 21 PageID #: 24

selling the materially-identical "Men + Care" antiperspirant for \$6.29.

- 69. On that same day, Plaintiff purchased the Product from Defendant CVS, paying \$6.99.
- 70. In or around June 2019, Plaintiff visited a retail outlet for Defendant Schnucks, located at 1253 Water Tower Rd., Arnold, MO 63010.
- 71. While there, Plaintiff observed that Defendant Schnucks was selling the Product for \$6.49.
- 72. On information and belief, on the same day, at the same location, Defendant Schnucks was selling the materially-identical "Men + Care" antiperspirant for \$5.49.
- 73. On that same day, Plaintiff purchased the Product from Defendant Schnucks, paying \$6.49.
- 74. In or around June of 2019, Plaintiff visited a retail outlet for Defendant Dierbergs, located at 860 Arnold Commons, Dr., Arnold, MO 63010.
- 75. While there, Plaintiff observed that Defendant Dierbergs was selling the Product for \$6.49.
- 76. On information and belief, on the same day, at the same location, Defendant Dierbergs was selling the materially-identical "Men + Care" antiperspirant for \$5.49.
- 77. On that same day, Plaintiff purchased the Product from Defendant Dierbergs, paying \$6.49.
- 78. On information and belief, all of the Retail Defendants price their goods consistently across all retail outlets in Missouri.
- 79. When Plaintiff purchased the Product from each Retail Defendant, as set forth above, she was injured by each Defendants' unfair practice of employing a gender-discriminatory pricing scheme.
- 80. Defendants' gender-discriminatory pricing schemes are arbitrary and unjustified and constitute an "unfair practice" in violation of the MMPA.

- 81. There is no legitimate or material difference in the labor, materials and/or related costs of production underlying Defendants' gender-discriminatory pricing schemes to justify the significant price disparity between the Product and the materially-identical "Men + Care" antiperspirant.
- 82. The terms of the MMPA, particularly the term "unfair practice," must be liberally construed to protect consumers.<sup>12</sup>
- 83. The 2019 version of the Merriam-Webster dictionary provides, as one definition of "unfair," something that is "not equitable in business dealings." "Equitable" is defined as "dealing fairly and equally with all concerned." Obviously, Defendants' gender-discriminatory pricing schemes do not deal "equally" with purchasers of female-oriented products who are required to pay substantially more than purchasers of the male-oriented version of essentially the exact same product.
- 84. Moreover, a Missouri regulation, 15 Mo. C.S.R. § 60–8.020, draws its authority from, and was promulgated to enforce, the MMPA; Section 60-8.020 provides that an "unfair practice" is any practice which, *inter alia*, "[o]ffends any public policy as it has been established by the Constitution, statutes or common law of [Missouri] ... or ... is unethical, oppressive or unscrupulous."
- 85. Defendants' arbitrary and discriminatory pricing scheme is both "unethical" and "unscrupulous," and is "oppressive" to women; indeed, it is almost *universally* accepted that practices such as Defendants' are "unfair." <sup>13</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> According to the Supreme Court of Missouri, "[t]he literal words [of the MMPA] cover every practice imaginable and every unfairness to whatever degree." *Ports Petroleum Co. Inc. of Ohio v. Nixon*, 37 S.W.3d 237, 240 (Mo. 2001).

<sup>13</sup> As just a few examples of the overwhelming consensus that such practices are unfair, supporters of the federal *Pink Tax Repeal Act* stated, *inter alia*, as follows: "It's time for these unfair practices to end." – Emily Martin, Vice President for Education & Workplace Justice at the National Women's Law Center; "There is no reason why men and women should pay different prices for essentially the same products or services; [t]his unfair practice should be stopped. The *Pink Tax Repeal Act* is a critical step in thwarting this unfair practice." – Susan Grant, Director of Consumer Protection and Privacy at Consumer Federation of America; "For products and services that do not differ in the labor, materials and related costs of production, it is unfair to charge more based on the gender of the consumer to whom it is marketed." – Richard Holober, Executive Director of the Consumer Federation of California.

- 86. In addition, Defendants' gender-discriminatory pricing schemes offend the same Missouri public policies underlying Missouri's express prohibitions against gender discrimination in multiple other areas, policies protecting the fact that all Missouri citizens are entitled to full and equal accommodations, advantages, facilities, privileges, and/or services regardless of factors like sex and/or race.
- 87. For example, the Missouri Human Rights Act ("MHRA"), R.S. Mo. § 213.065(1) provides, generally, that "all persons within the jurisdiction of the state of Missouri are free and equal and shall be entitled to the full and equal use and enjoyment of any place of public accommodation [which includes retail stores] ... without discrimination ... on the grounds of, [inter alia] ... sex."
- 88. The MHRA further provides that "[i]t is an unlawful discriminatory practice for any person, directly or *indirectly*, to ... withhold from or deny any other person ... *any* of the ... advantages ... services ... or privileges made available in any place of public accommodation ... on the grounds of, [inter alia] ... sex." R.S. Mo. § 213.065(1)(emphasis added).
- 89. Accordingly, regardless of whether Defendants' gender-discriminatory pricing schemes violate the exact "letter" of the MHRA, the pricing schemes clearly offend some of the same public policies underlying the MHRA particularly that consumers should be free from discrimination based on factors such as race and gender.
- 90. In addition, 15 C.S.R. § 60–8.020 further provides that an "unfair practice" under the MMPA is any practice which, *inter alia*, "[o]ffends any public policy as it has been established by ... the Federal Trade Commission, or its interpretive decisions..."
- 91. The Federal Trade Commission ("FTC") has enforcement or administrative responsibilities under multiple laws, including the Federal Trade Commission Act and the Clayton Act.

#### Case: 4:19-cv-02546-RWS Doc. #: 1-1 Filed: 09/12/19 Page: 18 of 21 PageID #: 27

- 92. The Clayton Act, as amended by the Robinson-Patman Act, 15 U.S.C. § 13, provides, *inter alia*, that it "shall be unlawful for any person engaged in commerce, in the course of such commerce, either directly or indirectly, to discriminate in price between different purchasers of commodities of like grade and quality ..."
- 93. Accordingly, regardless of whether Defendants' gender-discriminatory pricing schemes violate the exact "letter" of the Robinson-Patman Act, the pricing schemes clearly offend some of the same public policies underlying that Act particularly that consumers should be free from pricing discrimination based on factors such as race and gender.
- 94. Likewise, the Federal Trade Commission enforces the Equal Credit Opportunity Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1691 *et seg.* (the "ECOA").
- 95. The ECOA, *inter alia*, makes it unlawful for a creditor to discriminate against any individual on the basis of age, race, color, religion, sex or marital status.
- 96. Accordingly, regardless of whether Defendants' gender-discriminatory pricing schemes violate the exact "letter" of the ECOA, the pricing schemes clearly offend some of the same public policies underlying the ECOA particularly that individuals should be free from discrimination based on factors such as race and gender.
- 97. Thus, for several reasons, it is clear that Defendants' gender-discriminatory pricing schemes also "offend[] any public policy as it has been established ... by the [FTC]." *See* 15 C.S.R. § 60–8.020.
- 98. As such, for at least the multiple, independent reasons set forth *supra*, Defendants' gender-discriminatory pricing schemes constitute "unfair practice(s)" prohibited by the MMPA.
  - 99. In short, under Missouri law, Defendants' gender-discriminatory pricing is illegal.
- 100. On information and belief, Defendant Unilever, which controls pricing of both the Product and the "Men + Care" line of antiperspirants, is jointly and severally responsible for the actions

Case: 4:19-cv-02546-RWS Doc. #: 1-1 Filed: 09/12/19 Page: 19 of 21 PageID #: 28

of each other Defendant listed herein.

#### IV. CAUSES OF ACTION AGAINST EACH DEFENDANT

#### COUNT ONE: VIOLATION OF THE MMPA – "Unfair Practice" -- Discriminatory Pricing

101. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference and re-alleges each allegation set forth in each preceding paragraph of this Petition, as though fully set forth herein.

- 102. The Defendants violated the Missouri Merchandising Practices Act, Mo. Rev. Stat. chap. 407 ("MMPA"), by employing gender-discriminatory pricing schemes in charging substantially more for a female-marketed version of a materially-identical product, the "Product" herein, than Defendants charge for the corresponding male-marketed version.
- 103. For at least the multiple, independent reasons set forth *supra*, Defendants' gender-discriminatory pricing schemes constitute "unfair practice(s)" pursuant to the MMPA, and thus are illegal under Missouri law.
- 104. As set forth above, Defendants engaged in such "unfair practices" in transactions with Plaintiff and the Class in Missouri which were intended to result in, and did result in, the sale of the Product, "merchandise" under the MMPA.
- 105. Pursuant to Defendants' numerous violations of the MMPA, Plaintiffs were damaged, suffering ascertainable losses in the amount that each class member paid for the Product over and above the cost of "Men + Care," the essentially-identical product Defendants marketed and sold to male customers, and/or suffering ascertainable losses, pursuant to the strict terms of the MMPA, for the full amount of the Product Plaintiffs paid to each Defendant.
- 106. Due to Defendants' illegal conduct, Plaintiffs are entitled to restitution of all funds improperly obtained by Defendants.
- 107. In addition, Defendants' conduct as aforesaid was wanton, willful, outrageous, and in reckless indifference to the rights of Plaintiffs and others similarly situated and, therefore, warrants the

Case: 4:19-cv-02546-RWS Doc. #: 1-1 Filed: 09/12/19 Page: 20 of 21 PageID #: 29

imposition of punitive damages.

108. Plaintiffs have been forced to hire attorneys to enforce their rights under the MMPA.

**COUNT TWO: INJUNCTIVE RELIEF** 

109. Plaintiffs hereby incorporate and adopt by reference each and every allegation set forth

above.

110. Defendants continue to retain payment made by Plaintiffs and other members of the Class

for the Product that is the result of Defendants' unfair practices in violation of the MMPA.

111. Applicable law, including R.S. Mo. § 407.025, permits the Court to enter injunctive relief

to prevent Defendants' continued violation of the law by continuing to charge substantially more for a

female-marketed version of a materially-identical product than Defendants charge for the corresponding

male-marketed version.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray for an order certifying this action as a class action and appointing

Plaintiff Karen Schulte as class representative and her counsel as class counsel. Plaintiff requests that

this court find that the Defendants violated the MMPA, and award Plaintiffs compensatory damages,

restitution, attorneys' fees, punitive damages, costs, and such further relief as the Court deems just.

Respectfully submitted,

DANIEL F. HARVATH, ESQ.

By: /s/ Daniel F. Harvath

Daniel F. Harvath, #57599MO

HARVATH LAW GROUP, LLC

75 W. Lockwood, Suite #1

Webster Groves, MO 63119

(314) 550-3717

dharvath@harvathlawgroup.com

19

Case: 4:19-cv-02546-RWS Doc. #: 1-1 Filed: 09/12/19 Page: 21 of 21 PageID #: 30

Attorney for Plaintiff

# EXHIBIT B

Case.net: 19JE-CC00485 - Docket Entries Page 1 of 2

Case: 4:19-cv-02546-RWS Doc. #: 1-2 Filed: 09/12/19 Page: 2 of 37 PageID #: 32





**Judicial Links** 

eFiling

Help

| Contact Us | Print

Search for Cases by: Select Search Method.

19JE-CC00485 - KAREN SCHULTE V CONOPCO, INC. ET AL (E-CASE)

Attorneys

Charges, Judgments & Sentences

Service Information Filings Due

Scheduled Hearings & Trials

**Judgments** 

Garnishments/ Execution

Logon

This information is provided as a service and is not considered an official court record.

Sort Date Entries: 

Descending

Ascending

Display Options: All Entries

08/16/2019

**Notice of Service** 

19-SMCC-1142; Electronic Filing Certificate of Service.

**Corporation Served** 

Document ID - 19-SMCC-1142; Served To - SCHNUCK MARKETS INC; Server - ; Served Date - 14-AUG-19; Served Time - 13:52:00; Service Type - Sheriff Department; Reason Description - Served

08/15/2019

**Corporation Served** 

Document ID - 19-SMCC-1143; Served To - DIERBERGS MARKETS, INC.; Server - ; Served Date - 13-AUG-19; Served Time - 08:15:00; Service Type - Sheriff Department; Reason Description - Served; Service Text - ANGELA

**Corporation Served** 

Document ID - 19-SMOS-41: Served To - WALMART, INC.: Server - : Served Date - 14-AUG-19: Served Time - 09:00:00; Service Type - Sheriff Department; Reason Description - Served

**Corporation Served** 

Document ID - 19-SMOS-40; Served To - CVS PHARMACY, INC.; Server - ; Served Date - 14-AUG-19; Served Time - 09:00:00; Service Type - Sheriff Department; Reason Description - Served

Corporation Served

Document ID - 19-SMOS-38; Served To - CONOPCO, INC.; Server - ; Served Date - 14-AUG-19; Served Time - 09:00:00; Service Type - Sheriff Department; Reason Description - Served

Document ID - 19-SMOS-42; Served To - TARGET CORPORATION; Server - ; Served Date - 14-AUG-19; Served Time - 09:00:00; Service Type - Sheriff Department; Reason Description - Served

Notice of Service

19-SMOS-42; Electronic Filing Certificate of Service.

**Notice of Service** 

19-SMOS-38; Electronic Filing Certificate of Service.

**Notice of Service** 

19-SMOS-40; Electronic Filing Certificate of Service.

**Notice of Service** 

19-SMOS-41; Electronic Filing Certificate of Service.

**Notice of Service** 

19-SMCC-1143; Electronic Filing Certificate of Service.

08/07/2019

**Summons Issued-Circuit** 

Document ID: 19-SMCC-1143, for DIERBERGS MARKETS, INC..

**Summons Issued-Circuit** 

Document ID: 19-SMCC-1142, for SCHNUCK MARKETS INC.

**Summons Issued-Circuit** 

Document ID: 19-SMOS-42, for TARGET CORPORATION.

**Summons Issued-Circuit** 

Document ID: 19-SMOS-41, for WALMART, INC..

**Summons Issued-Circuit** 

Document ID: 19-SMOS-40, for CVS PHARMACY, INC..

Case: 4:19-cv-02546-RWS Doc. #: 1-2 Filed: 09/12/19 Page: 3 of 37 PageID #: 33

**Summons Issued-Circuit** 

Document ID: 19-SMOS-39, for WALGREENS-300 WILMOT ROAD.

**Summons Issued-Circuit** 

Document ID: 19-SMOS-38, for CONOPCO, INC...

08/05/2019 Summ Req-Circuit Pers Serv

REQUEST FOR ISSUANCE OF SUMMONS- WALMART.

**Filed By:** DANIEL FRANCIS HARVATH **On Behalf Of:** KAREN SCHULTE

Summ Req-Circuit Pers Serv

REQUEST FOR ISSUANCE OF SUMMONS- WALGREEN CO.

Filed By: DANIEL FRANCIS HARVATH

Summ Req-Circuit Pers Serv

REQUEST FOR ISSUANCE OF SUMMONS- TARGET.

Filed By: DANIEL FRANCIS HARVATH

Summ Req-Circuit Pers Serv

REQUEST FOR ISSUANCE OF SUMMONS- SCHNUCKS.

Filed By: DANIEL FRANCIS HARVATH

**Summ Req-Circuit Pers Serv** 

REQUEST FOR ISSUANCE OF SUMMONS- DIERBERGS.

Filed By: DANIEL FRANCIS HARVATH

**Summ Req-Circuit Pers Serv** 

REQUEST FOR ISSUANCE OF SUMMONS- CVS.

Filed By: DANIEL FRANCIS HARVATH

**Summ Req-Circuit Pers Serv** 

REQUEST FOR ISSUANCE OF SUMMONS- CONOPCO.

Filed By: DANIEL FRANCIS HARVATH

07/04/2019 Judge/Clerk - Note

PLEASE FILE REQUEST FOR SERVICE IS SUMMONS ARE NEEDED. \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*DOES 1 THROUGH 10 LISTED ON PETITION BUT NOT INFORMATION NOT PROVIDED ON CONFIDENTIAL CASE INFORMATION SHEET. DOES 1 THROUGH 10 ARE NOT ADDED TO THIS CASE AS PARTIES.

PLEASE FILE AN UPDATED CONFIDENTIAL CASE INFO SHEET TO ADD THEM.

Filing Info Sheet eFiling

Filed By: DANIEL FRANCIS HARVATH

Pet Filed in Circuit Ct

PLAINTIFFS CLASS ACTION PETITION.
On Behalf Of: KAREN SCHULTE

Judge Assigned

Case.net Version 5.14.0.11 Return to Top of Page Released 04/25/2019

Case: 4:19-cv-02546-RWS Doc. #: 1-2 Filed: 09/12/19 Page: 4 of 37 PageID #: 34

## IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF JEFFERSON COUNTY STATE OF MISSOURI

KAREN SCHULTE, individually and on behalf of all others similarly-situated,	)
Plaintiffs,	) ) JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
v.	)
	) Case No.19JE-CC000485
CONOPCO, INC., et al.	)
	)
Defendants.	)

#### **REQUEST FOR ISSUANCE OF SUMMONS**

Plaintiffs herein request the Issuance of Summons for Defendant CVS, Inc., and that the Circuit Clerk appoint:

(A qualified agent of) St. Louis County Sheriff's Office, Civil Process Division 105 South Central, Ave. 5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Clayton, MO 63105

Natural person(s) of lawful age, to serve the summons and petition in this cause on the below-named party:

CVS, INC. C T Corporation System 120 South Central, Ave. Clayton, MO 63105

Respectfully submitted,
By: /s/ Daniel F. Harvath
Daniel F. Harvath, #57599MO
HARVATH LAW GROUP, LLC
75 W. Lockwood, Suite #1
Webster Groves, MO 63119; (314) 550-3717
dharvath@harvathlawgroup.com

Case: 4:19-cv-02546-RWS Doc. #: 1-2 Filed: 09/12/19 Page: 5 of 37 PageID #: 35

## IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF JEFFERSON COUNTY STATE OF MISSOURI

KAREN SCHULTE, individually and on behalf of all others similarly-situated,	) )
Plaintiffs,	) ) JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
v.	)
CONOPCO, INC., et al.	) Case No.19JE-CC000485
Defendants.	)

#### **REQUEST FOR ISSUANCE OF SUMMONS**

Plaintiffs herein request the Issuance of Summons for Defendant <u>Dierbergs Markets</u>, <u>Inc.</u>, and that the Circuit Clerk appoint:

(A qualified agent of) St. Louis County Sheriff's Office, Civil Process Division 105 South Central, Ave. 5th Floor, Clayton, MO 63105

Natural person(s) of lawful age, to serve the summons and petition in this cause on the below-named party:

DIERBERGS MARKETS, INC. Robert J. Dierberg 16690 Swingley Ridge Road Chesterfield, MO 63017

Respectfully submitted,
By: <u>/s/ Daniel F. Harvath</u>
Daniel F. Harvath, #57599MO **HARVATH LAW GROUP, LLC**75 W. Lockwood, Suite #1
Webster Groves, MO 63119; (314) 550-3717

dharvath@harvathlawgroup.com

Case: 4:19-cv-02546-RWS Doc. #: 1-2 Filed: 09/12/19 Page: 6 of 37 PageID #: 36

## IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF JEFFERSON COUNTY STATE OF MISSOURI

KAREN SCHULTE, individually and	)
on behalf of all others similarly-situated,	)
Plaintiffs,	) ) JURY TRIAL DEMANDED )
v.	)
	) Case No.19JE-CC000485
CONOPCO, INC., et al.	
	)
Defendants.	)

#### **REQUEST FOR ISSUANCE OF SUMMONS**

Plaintiffs herein request the Issuance of Summons for Defendant <u>Schnuck Markets</u>, <u>Inc.</u>, and that the Circuit Clerk appoint:

(A qualified agent of) St. Louis City Sheriff's Office, Civil Process Division 10 N. Tucker Blvd., 8<sup>th</sup> Floor, Civil Courts Building St. Louis, Missouri 63101

Natural person(s) of lawful age, to serve the summons and petition in this cause on the below-named party:

SCHNUCK MARKETS, INC. Mary H. Moorkamp 11420 Lackland Rd., St. Louis, MO 63146

Respectfully submitted,
By: /s/ Daniel F. Harvath
Daniel F. Harvath, #57599MO
HARVATH LAW GROUP, LLC
75 W. Lockwood, Suite #1
Webster Groves, MO 63119; (314) 550-3717
dharvath@harvathlawgroup.com

Case: 4:19-cv-02546-RWS Doc. #: 1-2 Filed: 09/12/19 Page: 7 of 37 PageID #: 37

## IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF JEFFERSON COUNTY STATE OF MISSOURI

KAREN SCHULTE, individually and	)
on behalf of all others similarly-situated,	)
Plaintiffs,	) ) JURY TRIAL DEMANDED )
v.	)
	) Case No.19JE-CC000485
CONOPCO, INC., et al.	)
	)
Defendants.	)

#### **REQUEST FOR ISSUANCE OF SUMMONS**

Plaintiffs herein request the Issuance of Summons for Defendant <u>Target</u>

<u>Corporation</u>, and that the Circuit Clerk appoint:

(A qualified agent of) St. Louis County Sheriff's Office, Civil Process Division 105 South Central, Ave. 5th Floor, Clayton, MO 63105

Natural person(s) of lawful age, to serve the summons and petition in this cause on the below-named party:

TARGET CORPORATION C T Corporation System 120 South Central, Ave. Clayton, MO 63105

Respectfully submitted,
By: /s/ Daniel F. Harvath
Daniel F. Harvath, #57599MO
HARVATH LAW GROUP, LLC
75 W. Lockwood, Suite #1
Webster Groves, MO 63119; (314) 550-3717
dharvath@harvathlawgroup.com

Case: 4:19-cv-02546-RWS Doc. #: 1-2 Filed: 09/12/19 Page: 8 of 37 PageID #: 38

## IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF JEFFERSON COUNTY STATE OF MISSOURI

KAREN SCHULTE, individually and	)
on behalf of all others similarly-situated,	)
Plaintiffs,	) JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
v.	)
	) Case No.19JE-CC000485
CONOPCO, INC., et al.	)
	)
Defendants.	)

#### **REQUEST FOR ISSUANCE OF SUMMONS**

Plaintiffs herein request the Issuance of Summons for Defendant Walgreen Co., and that the Circuit Clerk appoint:

(A qualified agent of) Cole County Sheriff's Office, Civil Process Division 350 E High Street, Jefferson City, MO 65101

Natural person(s) of lawful age, to serve the summons and petition in this cause on the below-named party:

WALGREEN CO.
The Prentice-Hall Corporation System, Inc.
221 Bolivar Street
Jefferson City, MO 65101

Respectfully submitted,
By: /s/ Daniel F. Harvath
Daniel F. Harvath, #57599MO
HARVATH LAW GROUP, LLC
75 W. Lockwood, Suite #1
Webster Groves, MO 63119; (314) 550-3717
dharvath@harvathlawgroup.com

Case: 4:19-cv-02546-RWS Doc. #: 1-2 Filed: 09/12/19 Page: 9 of 37 PageID #: 39

## IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF JEFFERSON COUNTY STATE OF MISSOURI

KAREN SCHULTE, individually and on behalf of all others similarly-situated,	) )
Plaintiffs,	) ) JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
v.	) )
CONOPCO, INC., et al.	) Case No.19JE-CC000485
Defendants.	)

#### **REQUEST FOR ISSUANCE OF SUMMONS**

Plaintiffs herein request the Issuance of Summons for Defendant Walmart, Inc., and that the Circuit Clerk appoint:

(A qualified agent of) St. Louis County Sheriff's Office, Civil Process Division 105 South Central, Ave. 5th Floor, Clayton, MO 63105

Natural person(s) of lawful age, to serve the summons and petition in this cause on the below-named party:

WALMART, INC. C T Corporation System 120 South Central, Ave. Clayton, MO 63105

Respectfully submitted,
By: /s/ Daniel F. Harvath
Daniel F. Harvath, #57599MO
HARVATH LAW GROUP, LLC
75 W. Lockwood, Suite #1
Webster Groves, MO 63119; (314) 550-3717
dharvath@harvathlawgroup.com

Case: 4:19-cv-02546-RWS Doc. #: 1-2 Filed: 09/12/19 Page: 10 of 37 PageID #: 40

## IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF JEFFERSON COUNTY STATE OF MISSOURI

KAREN SCHULTE, individually and	)
on behalf of all others similarly-situated,	)
Plaintiffs,	) JURY TRIAL DEMANDED )
v.	,
	) Case No.19JE-CC000485
CONOPCO, INC., et al.	)
	)
Defendants.	)

#### **REQUEST FOR ISSUANCE OF SUMMONS**

Plaintiffs herein request the Issuance of Summons for Defendant Conopco, Inc., and that the Circuit Clerk appoint:

(A qualified agent of) St. Louis County Sheriff's Office, Civil Process Division 105 South Central, Ave. 5th Floor, Clayton, MO 63105

Natural person(s) of lawful age, to serve the summons and petition in this cause on the below-named party:

CONOPCO, INC. C T Corporation System 120 South Central, Ave. Clayton, MO 63105

Respectfully submitted,
By: <u>/s/ Daniel F. Harvath</u>
Daniel F. Harvath, #57599MO **HARVATH LAW GROUP, LLC**75 W. Lockwood, Suite #1
Webster Groves, MO 63119; (314) 550-3717

dharvath@harvathlawgroup.com





#### IN THE 23RD JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, JEFFERSON COUNTY, MISSOURI

Judge or Division:	Case Number: 19JE-CC00485	
JOSEPH ALFRED RATHERT		
Plaintiff/Petitioner:	Plaintiff's/Petitioner's Attorney/Address	
KAREN SCHULTE	DANIEL FRANCIS HARVATH	
	8923 LITZSINGER RD	
	vs. SAINT LOUIS, MO 63144	
Defendant/Respondent:	Court Address:	
CONOPCO, INC.	P O BOX 100	
Nature of Suit: CC Pers Injury-Other	300 MAIN ST HILLSBORO, MO 63050	(Date File Star

#### **Summons in Civil Case**

The State of Missouri to:	SCHNUCK MARKETS INC
	Alias:

11420 LACKLAND RD. ST. LOUIS, MO 63146



You are summoned to appear before this court and to file your pleading to the petition, a copy of which is attached, and to serve a copy of your pleading upon the attorney for plaintiff/petitioner at the above address all within 30 days after receiving this summons, exclusive of the day of service. If you fail to file your pleading, judgment by default may be taken against you for the relief demanded in the petition.

8-07-2019

Michael E Reuter, Circuit Clerk /s/ Katie Steward, Deputy Clerk

Further Information:

Note to serving officer: Summons should be returned to the court within 30 days after the date of issue.  I certify that I have served the above summons by: (check one)  delivering a copy of the summons and a copy of the petition to the defendant/respondent. leaving a copy of the summons and a copy of the petition at the dwelling place or usual abode of the defendant/respondent with a person of the defendant's/respondent's family over the age of 15 years who permanently resides with the defendant/respondent. (for service on a corporation) delivering a copy of the summons and a copy of the complaint to:  (name)  (title).  Other:  Served at  (county/City of St. Louis), MO, on  (date) at  (time).  Printed Name of Sheriff or Server  Must be sworn before a notary public if not served by an authorized officer:  Subscribed and sworn to before me on  (Seal)  My commission expires:  Date  Notary Public  neriff's Fees, if applicable  ummons  S  Date  Notary Public  neriff's Deputy Salary  upplemental Surcharge  \$ 10.00  melies @ \$ per mile)		-			
Certify that I have served the above summons by: (check one)   delivering a copy of the summons and a copy of the petition to the defendant/respondent.   delivering a copy of the summons and a copy of the petition at the dwelling place or usual abode of the defendant/respondent with	Nata ta aamduu etti ees		heriff's or Server's Return	from the state of increase	
delivering a copy of the summons and a copy of the petition to the defendant/respondent.  leaving a copy of the summons and a copy of the petition at the dwelling place or usual abode of the defendant/respondent with, a person of the defendant's/respondent's family over the age of, a person of the defendant's/respondent's family over the age of, a person of the defendant's/respondent's family over the age of, a person of the defendant's/respondent's family over the age of, a person of the defendant's/respondent's family over the age of, a person of the defendant's/respondent's family over the age of, a person of the defendant's/respondent's family over the age of, a person of the defendant's/respondent with, a person of the defendant's/respondent's family over the age of, a person of the defendant's/respondent with, a person of the defendant'respondent with, a person of the defendant'respondent with, a person of the defendant'respondent with, a person of the defendant's/respondent's family over the age of, a person of the defendant's/respondent's family over the age of, a person of the defendant'respondent with, a person of the defendant's/respondent's/respondent's family over the age of, a person of the defendant's/respondent's family over the age of, a person of the defendant's/respondent's family over the age of, a person of the defendant's/respondent's family over the age of, a person of the defendant's/respondent's family over the age of, a person of the defendant's/respondent's family over the age of, a person of the defendant's/respondent's family over the age of, a person of the defendant's/respondent's family over the age of, a person of the defendant's/respondent's family over the age of, a person of the defendant's/respondent's family over the age of, a person of the defendant's/responde			•	itter the date of issue.	
leaving a copy of the summons and a copy of the petition at the dwelling place or usual abode of the defendant/respondent with, a person of the defendant's/respondent's family over the age of	•	•	•		
					1 4 51
15 years who permanently resides with the defendant/respondent.    (for service on a corporation) delivering a copy of the summons and a copy of the complaint to:   (ititle).     other:					
(for service on a corporation) delivering a copy of the summons and a copy of the complaint to:	15 years who perm	anantly resides with the de	, a person or the t	defendant strespondent s family c	over the age o
(title)   (title)   (title)   (title)   (title)   (address)   (a				e complaint to:	
conter:  Served at					(title).
Printed Name of Sheriff or Server  Must be sworn before a notary public if not served by an authorized officer:  Subscribed and sworn to before me on					·
Printed Name of Sheriff or Server  Must be sworn before a notary public if not served by an authorized officer:  Subscribed and sworn to before me on	Served at				(address)
Printed Name of Sheriff or Server  Must be sworn before a notary public if not served by an authorized officer:  Subscribed and sworn to before me on	in	(County/C	itv of St. Louis). MO. on	(date) at	(time
Must be sworn before a notary public if not served by an authorized officer:  Subscribed and sworn to before me on					
Must be sworn before a notary public if not served by an authorized officer:  Subscribed and sworn to before me on					
Subscribed and sworn to before me on	Printed Nan		notary public if not served by an aut		•
My commission expires:  Date  Notary Public  Meriff's Fees, if applicable  Jummons  On Est  Description of the property Salary  Jupplemental Surcharge  My commission expires:  Date  Notary Public			• • •		
Date Notary Public  neriff's Fees, if applicable  ummons \$	(Seal)			(44.0).	
neriff's Fees, if applicable ummons \$ on Est \$ neriff's Deputy Salary upplemental Surcharge \$ 10.00 ileage \$ ( miles @ \$ per mile) otal \$		My commission expires			
Salary			Date	Notary Public	
on Est \$ neriff's Deputy Salary upplemental Surcharge \$( miles @ \$ per mile) utal \$	Sheriff's Fees, if applical	ole			
neriff's Deputy Salary upplemental Surcharge \$ 10.00 ileage \$ ( miles @ \$ per mile) otal \$	Summons	\$			
upplemental Surcharge         \$	Non Est	\$			
ileage \$ ( miles @ \$ per mile)  otal \$	Sheriff's Deputy Salary				
otal \$					
·····	Mileage	\$(_	miles @ \$ per mile	e)	
copy of the summons and a copy of the petition must be served on <b>each</b> defendant/respondent. For methods of service on all	<b>Fotal</b>	\$			
asses of suits, see Supreme Court Rule 54.			ust be served on <b>each</b> defendant	respondent. For methods of serv	rice on all





#### IN THE 23RD JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, JEFFERSON COUNTY, MISSOURI

Judge or Division:	Case Number: 19JE-CC00485	
JOSEPH ALFRED RATHERT		
Plaintiff/Petitioner:	Plaintiff's/Petitioner's Attorney/Address	
KAREN SCHULTE	DANIEL FRANCIS HARVATH	
	8923 LITZSINGER RD	
VS.	SAINT LOUIS, MO 63144	
Defendant/Respondent:	Court Address:	
CONOPCO, INC.	P O BOX 100	
Nature of Suit:	300 MAIN ST	
CC Pers Injury-Other	HILLSBORO, MO 63050	(Date File Stamp)

#### **Summons in Civil Case**

The State of Missouri to:	DIERBERGS MARKETS, INC.
	Alias:
16600 SWING! ET DIDGE DOAD	

CHESTERFIELD, MO 63017



You are summoned to appear before this court and to file your pleading to the petition, a copy of which is attached, and to serve a copy of your pleading upon the attorney for plaintiff/petitioner at the above address all within 30 days after receiving this summons, exclusive of the day of service. If you fail to file your pleading, judgment by default may be taken against you for the relief demanded in the petition.

8-07-2019 Michael E Reuter, Circuit Clerk /s/ Katie Steward, Deputy Clerk

Further Information:

		Sheriff's or Server's R	eturn		
Note to serving officer	: Summons should be	returned to the court within 3	30 days after the da	ite of issue.	
I certify that I have serve	ed the above summons	by: (check one)			
delivering a copy of t	he summons and a co	py of the petition to the defer	ndant/respondent.		
leaving a copy of the		of the petition at the dwelling			
<del></del>		, a perso	n of the defendant	s/respondent's family o	over the age of
		e defendant/respondent.	ony of the complain	at to:	
		opy of the summons and a co			(title)
		(namo)			
in	(Count	y/City of St. Louis), MO, on _		(date) at	(time).
Printed Nam		a notary public if not served	by an authorized of		•
<b>6</b> 0	Subscribed and swo	rn to before me on		(date).	
(Seal)	My commission syni	waa.			
	wy commission expi	res: Date		Notary Public	
heriff's Fees, if applical	ble				
ummons	\$	<u>_</u>			
on Est	\$	_			
neriff's Deputy Salary					
upplemental Surcharge	\$ <u>10.00</u>	=			
ileage	\$	_ ( miles @ \$	_ per mile)		
otal	\$	_			
		n must be served on <b>each</b> d	efendant/responde	nt. For methods of serv	rice on all
asses of suits, see Supre	eme Court Rule 54.				

Case: 4:19-cv-02546-RWS Doc. #: 1-2 Filed: 09/12/19 Page: 13 of 37 PageID #: 43



### IN THE 23RD JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, JEFFERSON COUNTY, MISSOURI

Judge or Division: JOSEPH ALFRED RATHERT	Case Number: 19JE-CC00485	
Plaintiff/Petitioner: KAREN SCHULTE vs.	Plaintiff's/Petitioner's Attorney/Address: DANIEL FRANCIS HARVATH 8923 LITZSINGER RD SAINT LOUIS, MO 63144	
Defendant/Respondent: CONOPCO, INC.	Court Address: P O BOX 100	
Nature of Suit: CC Pers Injury-Other	300 MAIN ST HILLSBORO, MO 63050	(Date File Stamp)

CONOPCO, INC.		P O BOX 100		
Nature of Suit:		300 MAIN ST		
CC Pers Injury-Other		HILLSBORO, MO 63050	1)	Date File Stamp)
Sı	ummons for Persor	nal Service Outside the Stat	te of Missouri	
	(E	xcept Attachment Action)		
The State of Missouri to:	CONOPCO, INC.			
	Alias:			
700 SYLVAN AVE ENGLEWOOD CLIFFS, NJ 0	7632			
COURT SEAL OF	which is attached, and plaintiff/petitioner at the you, exclusive of the date.	appear before this court and to file to serve a copy of your pleading up above address all within 30 days as of service. If you fail to file your ple relief demanded in this action.	oon the attorney for the after service of this sur	nmons upon
JEFFERSON COUNTY		el E Reuter, Circuit Clerk atie Steward, Deputy Clerk		
	Further Information:			
	Officer's	or Server's Affidavit of Service		
I certify that:				
I am authorized to	serve process in civil action	ns within the state or territory where the	e above summons was se	erved.
<ol> <li>My official title is</li> <li>I have served the a</li> </ol>	phove summons by: (check	of	County,	(state).
		copy of the petition to the defendant/res		
		by of the petition at the dwelling place of		
defendant/resp		, a person o		dent's family
over the age o	f 15 years who permanently	y resides with the defendant/responder	nt.	•
		copy of the summons and a copy of the		
		(name)		(title).
other:				·
Served at				(address)
in	County,	(state), on	(date) at	(time).
	•	, ,	,	, ,
Delate d Ne			0'	
	me of Sheriff or Server	before me this (day)	Signature of Sheriff or Service (month)	
•		ne clerk of the court of which affiant is		(year).
	· · · · · · ·	ne judge of the court of which affiant is		
(Seal)	a	uthorized to administer oaths in the statements. (use for out-of-state officer)		rved the above

	Printed Name of Sheriff or Server		Signature	of Sheriff or Server	
	Subscribed and sworn to before me the	is	(day)	(month)	(year).
(Seal)	authorized to summons. (u	ne court of which a administer oaths i se for out-of-state	affiant is an officer. in the state in whic	h the affiant served	the above
			Signature	and Title	
Service Fee	es e				
Summons Non Est Mileage	\$ \$ \$ (mile	es @ \$p	per mile)		

See the following page for directions to officer making return on service of summons.

Mileage Total

#### Case: 4:19-cv-02546-RWS Doc. #: 1-2 Filed: 09/12/19 Page: 14 of 37 PageID #: 44

#### **Directions to Officer Making Return on Service of Summons**

A copy of the summons and a copy of the motion must be served on each defendant/respondent. If any defendant/respondent refuses to receive the copy of the summons and motion when offered, the return shall be prepared accordingly so as to show the offer of the officer to deliver the summons and motion and the defendant's/respondent's refusal to receive the same.

Service shall be made: (1) On Individual. On an individual, including an infant or incompetent person not having a legally appointed guardian, by delivering a copy of the summons and motion to the individual personally or by leaving a copy of the summons and motion at the individual's dwelling house or usual place of abode with some person of the family over 15 years of age who permanently resides with the defendant/respondent, or by delivering a copy of the summons and petition to an agent authorized by appointment or required by law to receive service of process; (2) On Guardian. On an infant or incompetent person who has a legally appointed guardian, by delivering a copy of the summons and motion to the guardian personally; (3) On Corporation, Partnership or Other Unincorporated Association. On a corporation, partnership or unincorporated association, by delivering a copy of the summons and motion to an officer, partner, or managing or general agent, or by leaving the copies at any business office of the defendant/respondent with the person having charge thereof or by delivering copies to its registered agent or to any other agent authorized by appointment or required by law to receive service of process; (4) On Public or Quasi-Public Corporation or Body. Upon a public, municipal, governmental or quasi-public corporation or body in the case of a county, to the mayor or city clerk or city attorney in the case of a city, to the chief executive officer in the case of any public, municipal, governmental, or quasi-public corporation or body or to any person otherwise lawfully so designated.

Service may be made by an officer or deputy authorized by law to serve process in civil actions within the state or territory where such service is made.

Service may be made in any state or territory of the United States. If served in a territory, substitute the word "territory" for the word "state."

The office making the service must swear an affidavit before the clerk, deputy clerk, or judge of the court of which the person is an officer or other person authorized to administer oaths. This affidavit must state the time, place, and manner of service, the official character of the affiant, and the affiant's authority to serve process in civil actions within the state or territory where service is made.

Service must be made less than 10 days nor more than 30 days from the date the defendant/respondent is to appear in court. The return should be made promptly, and in any event so that it will reach the Missouri court within 30 days after service.

Case: 4:19-cv-02546-RWS Doc. #: 1-2 Filed: 09/12/19 Page: 15 of 37 PageID #: 45



### IN THE 23RD JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, JEFFERSON COUNTY, MISSOURI

Judge or Division: JOSEPH ALFRED RATH	HERT	Case Number: 19JE-CC00485		
Plaintiff/Petitioner: KAREN SCHULTE	Vs.	Plaintiff's/Petitioner's Attorney/Address DANIEL FRANCIS HARVATH 8923 LITZSINGER RD SAINT LOUIS, MO 63144	SS:	
Defendant/Respondent: CONOPCO, INC.		Court Address: P O BOX 100		
Nature of Suit: CC Pers Injury-Other		300 MAIN ST HILLSBORO, MO 63050	(Date	File Stamp)
		nal Service Outside the State o		i ile Gtamp)
The State of Misseuri to	WALGREENS-300 WILM	xcept Attachment Action)		
The State of Wissouri to:	Alias:	WOT ROAD		
300 WILMOT DEERFIELD, IL 60015				
COURT SEAL OF	You are summoned to	appear before this court and to file you	r pleading to the petitic	n, copy of
COURTOR		to serve a copy of your pleading upon		
S		e above address all within 30 days after		
		ay of service. If you fail to file your plead ne relief demanded in this action.	aing, juagment by deta	uit wiii be
inccess	• •	el E Reuter, Circuit Clerk		
JEFFERSON COUNTY		atie Steward, Deputy Clerk		
	Further Information:			
I certify that:	Officer's	or Server's Affidavit of Service		
	serve process in civil action	ns within the state or territory where the abo	ove summons was served	1.
2. My official title is _		one)	County,	(state).
		copy of the petition to the defendant/respon by of the petition at the dwelling place or us		
		by of the petition at the dwelling place of using the person of the		's family
over the age of	of 15 years who permanently	y resides with the defendant/respondent.		
		copy of the summons and a copy of the pe		4.1.1 X
		(name)		
Served at				(address)
in	County,	(state), on	(date) at	(time).
Printed Na	me of Sheriff or Server	Sig	nature of Sheriff or Server	
		before me this (day)	The state of the s	(year).
	` ' =	he clerk of the court of which affiant is an of		
	_	he judge of the court of which affiant is an c authorized to administer oaths in the state ir		the above
(Seal)		tationzou to aurillistoi valis ili liio slalo il	i willon the amant selveu	THE GROVE
	_			
	s	summons. (use for out-of-state officer) authorized to administer oaths. (use for cou	urt-appointed server)	

Service Fees Summons

Non Est

Mileage

Total

\$

\$

\$

\$

\_miles @ \$ \_\_\_\_\_ per mile)

See the following page for directions to officer making return on service of summons.

#### Case: 4:19-cv-02546-RWS Doc. #: 1-2 Filed: 09/12/19 Page: 16 of 37 PageID #: 46

#### **Directions to Officer Making Return on Service of Summons**

A copy of the summons and a copy of the motion must be served on each defendant/respondent. If any defendant/respondent refuses to receive the copy of the summons and motion when offered, the return shall be prepared accordingly so as to show the offer of the officer to deliver the summons and motion and the defendant's/respondent's refusal to receive the same.

Service shall be made: (1) On Individual. On an individual, including an infant or incompetent person not having a legally appointed guardian, by delivering a copy of the summons and motion to the individual personally or by leaving a copy of the summons and motion at the individual's dwelling house or usual place of abode with some person of the family over 15 years of age who permanently resides with the defendant/respondent, or by delivering a copy of the summons and petition to an agent authorized by appointment or required by law to receive service of process; (2) On Guardian. On an infant or incompetent person who has a legally appointed guardian, by delivering a copy of the summons and motion to the guardian personally; (3) On Corporation, Partnership or Other Unincorporated Association. On a corporation, partnership or unincorporated association, by delivering a copy of the summons and motion to an officer, partner, or managing or general agent, or by leaving the copies at any business office of the defendant/respondent with the person having charge thereof or by delivering copies to its registered agent or to any other agent authorized by appointment or required by law to receive service of process; (4) On Public or Quasi-Public Corporation or Body. Upon a public, municipal, governmental or quasi-public corporation or body in the case of a county, to the mayor or city clerk or city attorney in the case of a city, to the chief executive officer in the case of any public, municipal, governmental, or quasi-public corporation or body or to any person otherwise lawfully so designated.

Service may be made by an officer or deputy authorized by law to serve process in civil actions within the state or territory where such service is made.

Service may be made in any state or territory of the United States. If served in a territory, substitute the word "territory" for the word "state."

The office making the service must swear an affidavit before the clerk, deputy clerk, or judge of the court of which the person is an officer or other person authorized to administer oaths. This affidavit must state the time, place, and manner of service, the official character of the affiant, and the affiant's authority to serve process in civil actions within the state or territory where service is made.

Service must be made less than 10 days nor more than 30 days from the date the defendant/respondent is to appear in court. The return should be made promptly, and in any event so that it will reach the Missouri court within 30 days after service.

Case: 4:19-cv-02546-RWS Doc. #: 1-2 Filed: 09/12/19 Page: 17 of 37 PageID #: 47



IN THE 23RD JUDICIAL CIRC	JUIT, JEFFERSON COUNTY, MISSOURI		
Judge or Division: JOSEPH ALFRED RATHERT	Case Number: 19JE-CC00485		
Plaintiff/Petitioner: KAREN SCHULTE vs.	Plaintiff's/Petitioner's Attorney/Address: DANIEL FRANCIS HARVATH 8923 LITZSINGER RD SAINT LOUIS, MO 63144		
Defendant/Respondent: CONOPCO, INC.  Nature of Suit:	Court Address: P O BOX 100 300 MAIN ST		
CC Pers Injury-Other Summons for Person	HILLSBORO, MO 63050 (Date File Stamp)  nal Service Outside the State of Missouri		
	except Attachment Action)		
The State of Missouri to: CVS PHARMACY, INC. Alias:			
ONE CVS DRIVE WOONSOCKET, RI 02895			
You are summoned to appear before this court and to file your pleading to the petition, copy of which is attached, and to serve a copy of your pleading upon the attorney for the plaintiff/petitioner at the above address all within 30 days after service of this summons upon you, exclusive of the day of service. If you fail to file your pleading, judgment by default will be taken against you for the relief demanded in this action.			
	el E Reuter, Circuit Clerk atie Steward, Deputy Clerk		
	or Server's Affidavit of Service		
I certify that:  1. I am authorized to serve process in civil actions within the state or territory where the above summons was served.  2. My official title is of County, (state).  3. I have served the above summons by: (check one)			

	Officer's or Se	erver's Affidavit of Serv	rice	
I certify that:				
	rized to serve process in civil actions with title is			
3. I have serv	red the above summons by: (check one)			( ,
deliver	ing a copy of the summons and a copy of	the petition to the defenda	nt/respondent.	
	g a copy of the summons and a copy of th			
defend	dant/respondent with ne age of 15 years who permanently resid	, a pe	rson of the defendant's/respond	ent's family
☐ (for se	rvice on a corporation) delivering a copy of			(***1 · )
				(title).
other:				
Served at				(address)
in	County,	(state), on	(date) at	(time).
Pr	inted Name of Sheriff or Server  Subscribed and sworn to before	e me this (da	Signature of Sheriff or Serve y)(month)	
	I am: (check one)  the cler			() /
	`	ge of the court of which affia		
(Seal)	authoriz	zed to administer oaths in tl	ne state in which the affiant serv	ed the above
(Seal)		ons. (use for out-of-state off	•	
	☐ authoriz	zed to administer oaths. (u	se for court-appointed server)	
			Signature and Title	
Service Fees			<u> </u>	
Summons \$_				
Non Est \$_	<del></del> ,			
Mileage \$_	(	miles @ \$ per	mile)	
Total \$_	Coo the following many for direction	o to officer making return on	a complete of comments	
	See the following page for direction	is to officer making return or	n service of summons.	

#### Case: 4:19-cv-02546-RWS Doc. #: 1-2 Filed: 09/12/19 Page: 18 of 37 PageID #: 48

#### **Directions to Officer Making Return on Service of Summons**

A copy of the summons and a copy of the motion must be served on each defendant/respondent. If any defendant/respondent refuses to receive the copy of the summons and motion when offered, the return shall be prepared accordingly so as to show the offer of the officer to deliver the summons and motion and the defendant's/respondent's refusal to receive the same.

Service shall be made: (1) On Individual. On an individual, including an infant or incompetent person not having a legally appointed guardian, by delivering a copy of the summons and motion to the individual personally or by leaving a copy of the summons and motion at the individual's dwelling house or usual place of abode with some person of the family over 15 years of age who permanently resides with the defendant/respondent, or by delivering a copy of the summons and petition to an agent authorized by appointment or required by law to receive service of process; (2) On Guardian. On an infant or incompetent person who has a legally appointed guardian, by delivering a copy of the summons and motion to the guardian personally; (3) On Corporation, Partnership or Other Unincorporated Association. On a corporation, partnership or unincorporated association, by delivering a copy of the summons and motion to an officer, partner, or managing or general agent, or by leaving the copies at any business office of the defendant/respondent with the person having charge thereof or by delivering copies to its registered agent or to any other agent authorized by appointment or required by law to receive service of process; (4) On Public or Quasi-Public Corporation or Body. Upon a public, municipal, governmental or quasi-public corporation or body in the case of a county, to the mayor or city clerk or city attorney in the case of a city, to the chief executive officer in the case of any public, municipal, governmental, or quasi-public corporation or body or to any person otherwise lawfully so designated.

Service may be made by an officer or deputy authorized by law to serve process in civil actions within the state or territory where such service is made.

Service may be made in any state or territory of the United States. If served in a territory, substitute the word "territory" for the word "state."

The office making the service must swear an affidavit before the clerk, deputy clerk, or judge of the court of which the person is an officer or other person authorized to administer oaths. This affidavit must state the time, place, and manner of service, the official character of the affiant, and the affiant's authority to serve process in civil actions within the state or territory where service is made.

Service must be made less than 10 days nor more than 30 days from the date the defendant/respondent is to appear in court. The return should be made promptly, and in any event so that it will reach the Missouri court within 30 days after service.

Case: 4:19-cv-02546-RWS Doc. #: 1-2 Filed: 09/12/19 Page: 19 of 37 PageID #: 49



### IN THE 23RD JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, JEFFERSON COUNTY, MISSOURI

Judge or Division: JOSEPH ALFRED RATHERT	Case Number: 19JE-CC00485	
Plaintiff/Petitioner: KAREN SCHULTE vs.	Plaintiff's/Petitioner's Attorney/Address: DANIEL FRANCIS HARVATH 8923 LITZSINGER RD SAINT LOUIS, MO 63144	
Defendant/Respondent: CONOPCO, INC.	Court Address: P O BOX 100	
Nature of Suit: CC Pers Injury-Other	300 MAIN ST HILLSBORO, MO 63050	(Date File Stamp)
	nal Service Outside the State of Missour	ri

51	ımmons for Personal (Exc	ept Attachment Act		e of Missouri	
The State of Missouri to:	WALMART, INC.	•			
708 SW 8TH STREET BENTONVILLE, AR 72716	Alias:				
COURT SEAL OF		serve a copy of your bove address all with of service. If you fail	pleading upon nin 30 days af to file your plo	on the attorney for the fter service of this sum	mons upon
	Officer's or	Server's Affidavit	of Service		
<ol><li>My official title is</li></ol>	serve process in civil actions v	of			
delivering a co leaving a copy defendant/resp over the age o (for service on	above summons by: (check or py of the summons and a copy of the summons and a copy of condent with	y of the petition to the of the petition at the dwards esides with the defenda by of the summons and	elling place or , a person of ant/respondent d a copy of the	usual abode of the the defendant's/respondent.  petition to	
					(title).
	County,				
ın	County,	(State), or	រា	(date) at	(time).
	auth sum	clerk of the court of wh ludge of the court of whorized to administer of nmons. (use for out-of-	(day) ich affiant is an nich affiant is a aths in the state state officer)	n officer.	(year).
				Signature and Title	
Total \$	See the following page for direc			ee of summons.	

#### Case: 4:19-cv-02546-RWS Doc. #: 1-2 Filed: 09/12/19 Page: 20 of 37 PageID #: 50

#### **Directions to Officer Making Return on Service of Summons**

A copy of the summons and a copy of the motion must be served on each defendant/respondent. If any defendant/respondent refuses to receive the copy of the summons and motion when offered, the return shall be prepared accordingly so as to show the offer of the officer to deliver the summons and motion and the defendant's/respondent's refusal to receive the same.

Service shall be made: (1) On Individual. On an individual, including an infant or incompetent person not having a legally appointed guardian, by delivering a copy of the summons and motion to the individual personally or by leaving a copy of the summons and motion at the individual's dwelling house or usual place of abode with some person of the family over 15 years of age who permanently resides with the defendant/respondent, or by delivering a copy of the summons and petition to an agent authorized by appointment or required by law to receive service of process; (2) On Guardian. On an infant or incompetent person who has a legally appointed guardian, by delivering a copy of the summons and motion to the guardian personally; (3) On Corporation, Partnership or Other Unincorporated Association. On a corporation, partnership or unincorporated association, by delivering a copy of the summons and motion to an officer, partner, or managing or general agent, or by leaving the copies at any business office of the defendant/respondent with the person having charge thereof or by delivering copies to its registered agent or to any other agent authorized by appointment or required by law to receive service of process; (4) On Public or Quasi-Public Corporation or Body. Upon a public, municipal, governmental or quasi-public corporation or body in the case of a county, to the mayor or city clerk or city attorney in the case of a city, to the chief executive officer in the case of any public, municipal, governmental, or quasi-public corporation or body or to any person otherwise lawfully so designated.

Service may be made by an officer or deputy authorized by law to serve process in civil actions within the state or territory where such service is made.

Service may be made in any state or territory of the United States. If served in a territory, substitute the word "territory" for the word "state."

The office making the service must swear an affidavit before the clerk, deputy clerk, or judge of the court of which the person is an officer or other person authorized to administer oaths. This affidavit must state the time, place, and manner of service, the official character of the affiant, and the affiant's authority to serve process in civil actions within the state or territory where service is made.

Service must be made less than 10 days nor more than 30 days from the date the defendant/respondent is to appear in court. The return should be made promptly, and in any event so that it will reach the Missouri court within 30 days after service.

Case: 4:19-cv-02546-RWS Doc. #: 1-2 Filed: 09/12/19 Page: 21 of 37 PageID #: 51



#### IN THE 23RD JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, JEFFERSON COUNTY, MISSOURI

Moccos V				
Judge or Division: JOSEPH ALFRED RATHERT	Case Number: 19JE-CC00485			
Plaintiff/Petitioner: KAREN SCHULTE	Plaintiff's/Petitioner's Attorney/Address: DANIEL FRANCIS HARVATH 8923 LITZSINGER RD SAINT LOUIS, MO 63144			
Defendant/Respondent: CONOPCO, INC.	Court Address: P O BOX 100			
Nature of Suit: CC Pers Injury-Other	300 MAIN ST HILLSBORO, MO 63050	(Date File Stamp)		
Summons for Personal Service Outside the State of Missouri (Except Attachment Action)				
The State of Missouri to: TARGET CORPORATIO	N			
1000 NICOLLET MALL				

**COURT SEAL OF** 

MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55403

**TPS 3155** 

JEFFERSON COUNTY

Mileage Total

You are summoned to appear before this court and to file your pleading to the petition, copy of which is attached, and to serve a copy of your pleading upon the attorney for the plaintiff/petitioner at the above address all within 30 days after service of this summons upon you, exclusive of the day of service. If you fail to file your pleading, judgment by default will be taken against you for the relief demanded in this action.

8-07-2019 Michael E Reuter, Circuit Clerk /s/ Katie Steward, Deputy Clerk

Further Information:

#### Officer's or Server's Affidavit of Service I certify that: 1. I am authorized to serve process in civil actions within the state or territory where the above summons was served. My official title is \_\_\_\_\_ of \_\_\_\_\_ County, \_\_\_\_\_ (state). I have served the above summons by: (check one) delivering a copy of the summons and a copy of the petition to the defendant/respondent. leaving a copy of the summons and a copy of the petition at the dwelling place or usual abode of the \_\_\_\_, a person of the defendant's/respondent's family defendant/respondent with over the age of 15 years who permanently resides with the defendant/respondent. (for service on a corporation) delivering a copy of the summons and a copy of the petition to \_\_\_\_\_ (name) \_\_\_\_\_ (title). other: Served at \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_ (address) \_\_\_\_\_County, \_\_\_\_\_ (state), on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) at \_\_\_\_\_ (time). Printed Name of Sheriff or Server Signature of Sheriff or Server Subscribed and sworn to before me this \_\_\_\_\_ (day) \_\_\_\_\_ (month) \_\_\_\_ (year). I am: (check one) | the clerk of the court of which affiant is an officer. the judge of the court of which affiant is an officer. authorized to administer oaths in the state in which the affiant served the above (Seal) summons. (use for out-of-state officer) authorized to administer oaths. (use for court-appointed server) Signature and Title Service Fees Summons Non Est

miles @ \$ per mile)

See the following page for directions to officer making return on service of summons.

#### Case: 4:19-cv-02546-RWS Doc. #: 1-2 Filed: 09/12/19 Page: 22 of 37 PageID #: 52

#### **Directions to Officer Making Return on Service of Summons**

A copy of the summons and a copy of the motion must be served on each defendant/respondent. If any defendant/respondent refuses to receive the copy of the summons and motion when offered, the return shall be prepared accordingly so as to show the offer of the officer to deliver the summons and motion and the defendant's/respondent's refusal to receive the same.

Service shall be made: (1) On Individual. On an individual, including an infant or incompetent person not having a legally appointed guardian, by delivering a copy of the summons and motion to the individual personally or by leaving a copy of the summons and motion at the individual's dwelling house or usual place of abode with some person of the family over 15 years of age who permanently resides with the defendant/respondent, or by delivering a copy of the summons and petition to an agent authorized by appointment or required by law to receive service of process; (2) On Guardian. On an infant or incompetent person who has a legally appointed guardian, by delivering a copy of the summons and motion to the guardian personally; (3) On Corporation, Partnership or Other Unincorporated Association. On a corporation, partnership or unincorporated association, by delivering a copy of the summons and motion to an officer, partner, or managing or general agent, or by leaving the copies at any business office of the defendant/respondent with the person having charge thereof or by delivering copies to its registered agent or to any other agent authorized by appointment or required by law to receive service of process; (4) On Public or Quasi-Public Corporation or Body. Upon a public, municipal, governmental or quasi-public corporation or body in the case of a county, to the mayor or city clerk or city attorney in the case of a city, to the chief executive officer in the case of any public, municipal, governmental, or quasi-public corporation or body or to any person otherwise lawfully so designated.

Service may be made by an officer or deputy authorized by law to serve process in civil actions within the state or territory where such service is made.

Service may be made in any state or territory of the United States. If served in a territory, substitute the word "territory" for the word "state."

The office making the service must swear an affidavit before the clerk, deputy clerk, or judge of the court of which the person is an officer or other person authorized to administer oaths. This affidavit must state the time, place, and manner of service, the official character of the affiant, and the affiant's authority to serve process in civil actions within the state or territory where service is made.

Service must be made less than 10 days nor more than 30 days from the date the defendant/respondent is to appear in court. The return should be made promptly, and in any event so that it will reach the Missouri court within 30 days after service.

Case Number: 19JE-CC00485

Plaintiff's/Petitioner's Attorney/Address:



Judge or Division:

Plaintiff/Petitioner:

JOSEPH ALFRED RATHERT

### IN THE 23RD JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, JEFFERSON COUNTY, MISSOURI

	DANIEL FRANCIS HARVATH  8923 LITZSINGER RD						
	vs. SAINT LOUIS, MO 63144 5/599						
Defendant/Respondent:	Court Address:						
CONOPCO, INC.  Nature of Suit:	P O BOX 100 300 MAIN ST						
CC Pers Injury-Other	HILLSBORO, MO 63050 (Date File Stamp)						
Summons for Personal Service Outside the State of Missouri (Except Attachment Action)							
The State of Missouri to: CVS PHARM	ACY, INC.						
ONE CVS DRIVE	CORPORATION SYSTEM 30 CTCOR						
WOONSOCKET, RI 02895	SCENTRAL AVE 63105 30 CICOR						
	moned to appear before this court and to file your pleading to the petition, copy of						
	ched, and to serve a copy of your pleading upon the attorney for the oner at the above address all within 30 days after service of this summons upon						
you, exclusive	e of the day of service. If you fail to file your pleading, judgment by default will be						
Part Con	t you for the relief demanded in this action.						
JEFFERSON COUNTY 8-07-2019	Michael E Reuter, Circuit Clerk /s/ Katie Steward, Deputy Clerk						
Further Informati	ion:						
I certify that:	Officer's or Server's Affidavit of Service						
	n civil actions within the state or territory where the above summons was served.						
2. My official title is	of County, (state	е).					
	ons and a copy of the petition to the defendant/respondent.	3. I have served the above summons by: (check one)					
leaving a copy of the summons and a copy of the petition at the dwelling place or usual abode of the							
defendant/respondent with	a person of the defendant's/respondent's family						
defendant/respondent with over the age of 15 years who p	, a person of the defendant's/respondent's family permanently resides with the defendant/respondent. delivering a copy of the summons and a copy of the petition to						
defendant/respondent with over the age of 15 years who p  (for pervice on a corporation) of	, a person of the defendant's/respondent's family permanently resides with the defendant/respondent.  delivering a copy of the summons and a copy of the petition to  (name) (title).						
defendant/respondent with over the age of 15 years who p  (for service on a corporation) of other:	, a person of the defendant's/respondent's family permanently resides with the defendant/respondent.  delivering a copy of the summons and a copy of the petition to (name) (title).						
defendant/respondent with over the age of 15 years who p  (for service on a corporation) of other:  Served atCT_CORPORAT	, a person of the defendant's/respondent's family permanently resides with the defendant/respondent.  delivering a copy of the summons and a copy of the petition to						
defendant/respondent with over the age of 15 years who p  (for service on a corporation) of other:  Served atCT_CORPORATI inSt. Louis County	, a person of the defendant's/respondent's family permanently resides with the defendant/respondent.  delivering a copy of the summons and a copy of the petition to	i.					
defendant/respondent with over the age of 15 years who p (for service on a corporation) of other: Served at CT CORPORATI St. Louis County							
defendant/respondent with		0					
defendant/respondent with		0					
defendant/respondent with		0					
defendant/respondent with		0					
defendant/respondent with		0					
defendant/respondent with		0					
defendant/respondent with over the age of 15 years who per		0					
defendant/respondent with		0					
defendant/respondent with		0					

8/2/

OSCA (07-18) SM60 (SMOS) For Court Use Only: Document ID# 19-SMOS-40

1 of 2 (19JE-CC00485)

Rules 54.06, 54.07, 54.14, 54.20; 506.500, 506.510 RSMo

19-5MO3-874

Case: 4:19-cv-02546-RWS Doc. #: 1-2 Filed: 09/12/19 Page: 24 of 37 PageID #: 54

#### IN THE 23RD JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, JEFFERSON COUNTY, MISSOURI

	milian 193E-C000485	Case No		ANSING TO EDUCATION
	ZSINGER RD 57599 OUIS, MO 63144			
	ice Outside the State of Missour administraction)	(Except Atta		
	10 min	MACY INC.		
In Section		T CORPORMUN Zo SCENTRAL		
	fore this courteand to file your pleading to			
	oppy of your pleading then. the attemay dress all within 30 days after service of t 56, if you lait to file your pleading, judgma	tached, and to serve a fittener at the above ad		
			E-07-2019 WTY Europe Inform	
	's Affidavil of Service			
	offs.  County  action at the detendent/respondent  tion at the dwelling place or usual abords of the defendent in the defendent in the detendent/respondent.  Summons and a copy or 1 s polition to	ins by (chock one) impos and a copy of the plants and a copy of the pair ic or manently residues.	title is ved the above summer the sum of the sum of the sum of a copy of the sum of the proportion of 15 years with the proportion of 15 years with	
				and the
	EVOT.	TION LCW-B	CT CORPORA	namo Mu la bavrac
	(state): 04U6 142018	County IN O	iis County	St Lo
		1, 3	. CONINOL	
	this (users of which altern is an officer, the court of which affect is an officer, the court of which affect is action in which the affect out-of-state officer) use for out-of-state officer) a administrar caths. (use for court appointed a administrar caths)			
	officer making return on service of summone.	on page for pirections to c		
4.5.18				

19-8745-8748-B1

Case: 4:19-cv-02546-RWS Doc. #: 1-2 Filed: 09/12/19 Page: 25 of 37 PageID #: 55

DANIEL F. HARVATH, ESQ. dharvath@harvathlawgroup.com



ST. LOUIS OFFICE
75 W. Lockwood, Suite #1
Webster Groves MO 63119

Webster Groves, MO 63119 314-550-3717

## HARVATH LAW GROUP, LLC

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

August 7, 2019

St. Louis County Sheriff's Office Civil Process Division 105 South Central Ave., 5<sup>th</sup> Floor Clayton, MO 63105

To:

St. Louis County Sheriff's Office – Civil Process Division

Re:

Service of Summons - CVS PHARMACY, INC.

Dear Process Server:

Attached for service is a Summons for the Defendant in the enclosed lawsuit. Also included as a complete copy of the underlying Petition to accompany the Summons. Please complete service on the Defendant, WALLACE

the., at CT Corporation System, 120 S. Central Ave., Clayton, MO 63105.

I have enclosed a check for the cost of service, for \$36.00

Please e-mail a scanned copy of any proof of service documents to: <a href="mailto:dharvath@harvathlawgroup.com">dharvathlawgroup.com</a>, and/or, if so required (I do not need a paper copy), please feel free to mail a copy to the address at the top of this letter. Please also let me know if you have any questions or need anything else in relation to these items.

Thank you,

Daniel F. Harvath, Esq.

AUG 122010

m

### IN THE 23RD JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, JEFFERSON COUNTY, MISSOURI

Judge or Division: JOSEPH ALFRED RATHERT		Case Number: 19JE-CC00485	
Plaintiff/Petitioner: KAREN SCHULTE	vs.	Plaintiff's/Petitioner's Attorney/Address DANIEL FRANCIS HARVATH 8923 LITZSINGER RD SAINT LOUIS, MO 63144	
Defendant/Respondent: CONOPCO, INC.		Court Address: P O BOX 100	
Nature of Suit: CC Pers Injury-Other		300 MAIN ST HILLSBORO, MO 63050	(Date File Stamp)

CC Pers Injury-Other		HILLSBORO, MO 63050	(Date File Stamp)
	S	ummons in Civil Case	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
The State of Missouri to			
16690 SWINGLET RIDGE ROA CHESTERFIELD, MO 63017	D Alias: // BAU	MR	
COURT SEAL OF	copy of which is plaintiff/petition exclusive of the	ned to appear before this court and to for attached, and to serve a copy of your er at the above address all within 30 da day of service. If you fail to file your plot t you for the relief demanded in the pet	pleading upon the attorney for ys after receiving this summons, eading, judgment by default may
JEFFERSON COUNTY	8-07-2019 Further Information:	Michael E Reuter, Circuit Clerk /s/ Katie Steward, Deputy Clerk	
Note to serving officer:	Summons should be re	Sheriff's or Server's Return eturned to the court within 30 days after the day	te of issue.
I certify that I have served			
delivering a copy of the sleaving a copy of t	summons and a copy o	y of the petition to the defendant/respondent.  f the petition at the dwelling place or usual abo , a person of the defendant's	
other:		(name)(certification (name)	*
in St Lou	(County/	City of St. Louis), MO, on 8/13/19	(date) at _0815 (time).
RexB	ANNEARTNO e of Sheriff or Server	- 1/2	nature of Sheriff or Server
		a notary public if not served by an authorized offi	cer:
(00	Subscribed and sworn	to before me on	(date).
(Seal)	My commission expire	ne:	CCC TO many
	My commission expire	Date	Notary Public
Sheriff's Fees, if applicabl	e		THE _ O
Summons	\$		To N M
Non Est	\$		(/)
Sheriff's Deputy Salary			983
Supplemental Surcharge	\$ 10.00		言言
Mileage	\$	( miles @ \$ per mile)	87 ° C
Total	\$		0,
A copy of the summons and classes of suits, see Suprer		must be served on each defendant/responder	t. For methods of service on all

OSCA (06-18) SM30 (SMCC) For Court Use Only: Document Id # 19-SMCC-1143

Civil Procedure Form No. 1; Rules 54.01 – 54.05, 54.13, and 54.20; 506.120 – 506.140, and 506.150 RSMo 1 of 1

19-5MCC-7483



Case: 4:19-cv-02546-RWS Doc. #: 1-2 Filed: 09/12/19 Page: 27 of 37 PageID #: 57

### IN THE 23RD JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, 181 SET AND COUNTY, MISSOURI

Case Number 19JE-CC00485	
Plainiff s/Peditioner's Attornay/Accross	
DANIEL FRANCIS HARVATH 8923 LITZSINGER RD Z7599 SAINT LOUIS, MO 63144	
JOU MARY ST HILL SSORD, MO JOSES	
mmons in Civil Case	
	The Erate of Missouri to Differs ERGS MARK
- N	My SIII a new a management
d to appear before this court and to the your pleattached, and to serve a copy of your pleading up at the above address all within 30 days after receive across if you fall to file your pleading process on the court pleading process on the court of the	capy of which is a plaintiff personer or charter of the da
heriff's or Server's Return	
the petition to the defendant/respondent  ne petition at the dwolling place or usual appear of the deter- edition at the dwolling place or usual appear of the defendant is respondent to	Content of a content of the summons and a copy of the leavents and a copy of the
rendent/respondent of the summons and a copy of the complem to (neme)  Complete Complete Complete to	Years who permandly rasides was the de Years are green on permands as depth of the series of the de
1 0 10 20 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 (001100)	Common and the common
	AHAA?
121 St 1.0.18), MO. on	Degenous).
	Market and a second of the second
eculo i de començão de la composição de la como de como como como como como como como com	
	Shorter's Face If applicable

, elette somme helt bla kopt die petronymas be servedon e<del>den dotend</del>antingspondent. Hellaret kind eine stelle bit Like die die like kladiete Charl Rate bij.

19-541C- 7483

Case: 4:19-cv-02546-RWS Doc. #: 1-2 Filed: 09/12/19 Page: 28 of 37 PageID #: 58/

Case Number: 19JE-CC00485



Judge or Division:

JOSEPH ALFRED RATHERT

### IN THE 23RD JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, JEFFERSON COUNTY, MISSOURI

Plaintiff/Petitioner: KAREN SCHULTE	vs.	Plaintiff's/Petitioner's Attorney/Address: DANIEL FRANCIS HARVATH 8923 LITZSINGER RD SAINT LOUIS, MO 63144	
Defendant/Respondent: CONOPCO, INC. Nature of Suit:		Court Address: P O BOX 100 300 MAIN ST	
CC Pers Injury-Other		HILLSBORO, MO 63050	(Date File Stamp)
S		al Service Outside the State of Missou	ri
The State of Missouri to:		W. The	
700 SYLVAN AVE ENGLEWOOD CLIFFS, NJ 0	Alias: CT °C	ORPORATION SYSTEM TOURS CENTRAL AVE, 63105	30 CTLOR
COURT SEAL OF	You are summoned to a which is attached, and to plaintiff/petitioner at the you, exclusive of the dataken against you for the	appear before this court and to file your pleading to serve a copy of your pleading upon the attorney above address all within 30 days after service of to y of service. If you fail to file your pleading, judgment of the demanded in this action.	for the this summons upon
JEFFERSON COUNTY		EReuter, Circuit Clerk tie Steward, Deputy Clerk	
	Further Information:		
2. My official title is	above summons by: (check py of the summons and a copy of the summons and a copy ondent with	s within the state or territory where the above summons of County, one) opy of the petition to the defendant/respondent. y of the petition at the dwelling place or usual abode of the petition at the dwelling place or usual abode of the resides with the defendant/respondent. copy of the summons and a copy of the petition to (name)	the s/respondent's family (title).
in St. Louis Co		MO (state) AUG   4 2019 (date) at	9 A.M. (address)
7	ONWOLLY		क्ष क
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	me of Sheriff or Server	Signature of Sheri	iff or Server
(Seal)		before me this	TE 5 M
0-1-5		Signature and Title	9
Service Fees Summons \$ Non Est \$ Mileage \$ Total \$	See the following page for die	miles @ \$ per mile) rections to officer making return on service of summons.	
	oce are renowing page for un	ocació de onicon maning retain on service or summons.	8/12 \$

OSCA (07-18) SM60 (SMOS) For Court Use Only: Document ID# 19-SMOS-38

1 of 2 (19JE-CC00485)

Rules 54.06, 54.07, 54.14, 54.20; 506.500, 506.510 RSMo

19-5MOS-875

Case: 4:19-cv-02546-RWS Doc. #: 1-2 Filed: 09/12/19 Page: 29 of 37 PageID #: 59

#### IN THE 23RD JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, JEFFERSON COUNTY, MISSOURI

	DANIEL PRANCIS HARVATH	
	3923 LITZSINGER RD C7236	
	AS. SAINT LOUIS, MO 63144	
		CONOPGO, INC.
	HILL SEORO, MO 63050	
	sonal Service Outside the State of Wissou (Except Attachment Action)	Summons for Pers
30 0720 8	CORPORATION SUSTEM	The State of Missolini to: CONOPCO, INC.  Aliasi SYLVAN ave.  CT
	S CENTRAL AVE, 63185	SHOLEWOOD CLIFFS NJ 07822
	to appear before this court and to file your pleading	
	ris of Server's Affidavit of Service	
	copy of the patition at the dwelling place or usual abode of a person of the defendant.	
		of other
		Sense of CORPORATION
Comits MAR	te (deb) UU 2 4 1 0UA(ede) 5 M	St Louis County County
		J. Condoces
	Edd to average of Sta	
	the clority of the court of which afficint is an officer.	Subscribed and swem
	anthorized to administer oaths. (use for court appointed	
		Service Foas
	(simons 2 2 anties	

DBCA (07-18) SM60 (SMOS) For Court Use Only, Document ID# 10-8MCS-88

1 of 2 (19.1E-CC00485)

19-514103-875

Rules 54.06, 54.07, 54.14, Fa.2.

Case: 4:19-cv-02546-RWS Doc. #: 1-2 Filed: 09/12/19 Page: 30 of 37 PageID #: 60

DANIEL F. HARVATH, ESQ. dharvath@harvathlawgroup.com



ST. LOUIS OFFICE 75 W. Lockwood, Suite #1 Webster Groves, MO 63119 314-550-3717

## HARVATH LAW GROUP, LLC

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

August 7, 2019

St. Louis County Sheriff's Office Civil Process Division 105 South Central Ave., 5<sup>th</sup> Floor Clayton, MO 63105

To:

St. Louis County Sheriff's Office - Civil Process Division

Re:

Service of Summons - CONOPCO, INC.

#### Dear Process Server:

Attached for service is a Summons for the Defendant in the enclosed lawsuit. Also included is a complete copy of the underlying Petition to accompany the Summons. Please complete service on the Defendant, CONOPCO, INC., at CT Corporation System, 120 S. Central Ave., Clayton, MO 63105.

I have enclosed a check for the cost of service, for \$36.00

Please e-mail a scanned copy of any proof of service documents to: <a href="mailto:dharvath@harvathlawgfoup.com">dharvathlawgfoup.com</a>, and r, if so required (I do not need a paper copy), please feel free to mail a copy to the address at the top of this letter. Please also let me know if you have any questions or need anything else in relation to these terms.

Thank you,

Daniel F. Harvath, Esq.

Page 1 of 1

Case: 4:19-cv-02546-RWS Doc. #: 1-2 Filed: 09/12/19 Page: 31 of 37 PageID #: 61



## IN THE 23RD JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, JEFFERSON COUNTY, MISSOURI

Judge or Division: JOSEPH ALFRED RATH	ERT	Case Number: 19JE-CC00485	
Plaintiff/Petitioner: KAREN SCHULTE	VS.	Plaintiff's/Petitioner's Attorney/Address: DANIEL FRANCIS HARVATH 8923 LITZSINGER RD SAINT LOUIS, MO 63144	
Defendant/Respondent: CONOPCO, INC.	A	Court Address: P O BOX 100 300 MAIN ST	
Nature of Suit: CC Pers Injury-Other		HILLSBORO, MO 63050	(Date File Stamp)
Sı	(E	nal Service Outside the State of Missour	to
The State of Missouri to:	WALMART, INC.	CORPORATION SYSTEM JUNE	3 15 10/10
708 SW 8TH STREET BENTONVILLE, AR 72716	120	CORPORATION SYSTEM AND SCENTRAL AVE 43105	30 CT CORP
COURT SEAL OF	You are summoned to which is attached, and plaintiff/petitioner at the you, exclusive of the date.	appear before this court and to file your pleading to serve a copy of your pleading upon the attorney above address all within 30 days after service of ay of service. If you fail to file your pleading, judgmer relief demanded in this action.	this summons upon
JEFFERSON COUNTY	8-07-2019 Michae	el E Reuter, Circuit Clerk atie Steward, Deputy Clerk	
DETT ENCOTE COURT	Further Information:		
I certify that:	Officer's	or Server's Affidavit of Service	
I am authorized to	serve process in civil action	ns within the state or territory where the above summons of County,	s was served. (state).
delivering a copy leaving a copy defendant/res	of the summons and a coppondent with of 15 years who permanent		SST R
other:		(nano)	26 P <
Served at CT CC	ORPORATION County, County, County	1110 1 / 0040	# AM (time).
Printed Na		the clerk of the court of which affiant is an officer. the judge of the court of which affiant is an officer. authorized to administer oaths in the state in which the assummons. (use for out-of-state officer)	month) (year).
		authorized to administer oaths. (use for court-appointed	CO TO MANAGEMENT

8/12

OSCA (07-18) SM60 (SMOS) For Court Use Only: Document ID# 19-SMOS-41

Service Fees Summons Non Est

Mileage Total

1 of 2 (19JE-CC00485)

Rules 54.06, 54.07, 54.14, 54.20; 506.500, 506.510 RSMo

19-3MOS-876

See the following page for directions to officer making return on service of summons.

\_miles @ \$ \_\_\_\_\_ per mile)

Case: 4:19-cv-02546-RWS Doc. #: 1-2 Filed: 09/12/19 Page: 32 of 37 PageID #: 62

## IN THE 23RD JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, JEHFERSON COUNTY, MISSOURI

	Case Number, 1911-CC88485	
	Plaintiff's/Petitioner's Attorney/Addiness DANIEL FRANCIS HARVATH 8923 LITZSINGER RD Vs. SAINT LOUIS, MO. 63144	
	Court.Address: P.O.BOX 100 300 MA[M ST HILLSBORO, MO. 63050	
	or Personal Service Outside the State of Missou (Except Attachment Action)	Os Pers Injury-Other Suramons I
30 CT CON	120 S CEPTRIZ AVE 43:05	YOU SY STH STREET BENTONYLLE, AF 72716
	Immoned to appear before this court and to file your riseding to the your riseding to the actions; tached, and to serve a copy of your pleading upon the atoms; uthors is the above address all within 30 days after service of sive of the day of service. If you fail to file your pleading, judgment you for the relief demanded in this action.	
		8-07 2019 JETPERSON COUNTY Fuditer Inform
	Officer's or Server's Affidavit of Service	
5 m	a in civil actions within the state or territory where the above summon of County; of County; one by (check one) immons and a copy of the patition to the defendant/respondent copy of the polition at the dwelling place of usual above of permanently resides with the defendants expondent of the defendants expondent of the summons and a copy of the summons and a copy of the patition of (name).	My official title is  I nave served the above summe  delivering a copy of the sum  leaving a copy of the sum  detendenties period with
Manager and State of the Control of	CON CULT BLOVE	Agnagno to
BAMS	County M 7 (state NO 1 4 2019) (date) or	St. Louis County
	and swom to before me this  active of the doct of which aniant arrafficer.  The judge of the court of which afriant is an officer.  authorized to administer daths in the state in which the summons. (use for cut-of-state efficer)  authorized to administer daths. (use for court-appointed)	Printed Name of Sheriff of Subscribed
		Service Fees Suramons S No. Est S Vilouge S Yorket S

Rutes 54,05, 54,07, 54,11, 54,20

1/6 85

1 of 2 (19JE-CC00485)

SCALUTES SMED (SMOS) For Court Use Only Decrement Dat 19-SMOS-41

72.8-50 MF-61

Case: 4:19-cv-02546-RWS Doc. #: 1-2 Filed: 09/12/19 Page: 33 of 37 PageID #: 63

DANIEL F. HARVATH, ESQ. dharvath@harvathlawgroup.com



ST. LOUIS OFFICE 75 W. Lockwood, Suite #1 Webster Groves, MO 63119 314-550-3717

# HARVATH LAW GROUP, LLC ATTORNEYS AT LAW

August 7, 2019

St. Louis County Sheriff's Office Civil Process Division 105 South Central Ave., 5<sup>th</sup> Floor Clayton, MO 63105

To:

St. Louis County Sheriff's Office - Civil Process Division

Re:

Service of Summons - WALMART, INC.

RECEIVED PH 4: 07

#### Dear Process Server:

Attached for service is a Summons for the Defendant in the enclosed lawsuit. Also included is a complete copy of the underlying Petition to accompany the Summons. Please complete service on the Defendant, WALMART, INC., at CT Corporation System, 120 S. Central Ave., Clayton, MO 63105.

I have enclosed a check for the cost of service, for \$36.00

Please e-mail a scanned copy of any proof of service documents to: <a href="mailto:dharvathlawgroup.com">dharvathlawgroup.com</a>, and/or, if so required (I do not need a paper copy), please feel free to mail a copy to the address at the top of this letter. Please also let me know if you have any questions or need anything else in relation to these items.

Thank you,

Daniel F. Harvath, Esq.

Page 1 of 1

Case Number: 19JE-CC00485



Judge or Division:

#### IN THE 23RD JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, JEFFERSON COUNTY, MISSOURI

JOSEPH ALFRED RATHER	•			
Plaintiff/Petitioner: KAREN SCHULTE	DANIEL FR 8923 LITZS	retitioner's Attorney/Address RANCIS HARVATH SINGER RD 57589 JIS, MO 63144		
Defendant/Respondent: CONOPCO, INC.	Court Addre	00		
Nature of Suit: CC Pers Injury-Other	300 MAIN S HILLSBOR	ST O, MO 63050	(Date File Stamp)	
	Summons i	n Civil Case		
The State of Missouri to: 11420 LACKLAND RD. ST. LOUIS, MO 63146	Alias:			
COURT SEAL OF	copy of which is attached, and plaintiff/petitioner at the above	before this court and to file your I to serve a copy of your pleading a address all within 30 days after b. If you fail to file your pleading, j elief demanded in the petition.	upon the attorney for receiving this summons,	
JEFFERSON COUNTY	8-07-2019 Michael E Reuter /s/ Katie Steward,			
	Further Information:			
I certify that I have served the served of the served a copy of the server.	e above summons by: (check one) ummons and a copy of the petition to	urt within 30 days after the date of issue	defendant/respondent with	
	otly resides with the defendant/resportion) delivering a copy of the summor (nan	ndent. ns and a copy of the complaint to:	(title).	
other:		O I		
Served at 11420	Lackland Rd S	t. Louis, MO 63146	(address)	

My commission expires: Sheriff's Fees, if applicable

(Seal)

Summons

Mileage

Total

Subscribed and sworn to before me on

Non Est Sheriff's Deputy Salary Supplemental Surcharge

10.00

OSCA (06-18) SM30 (SMCC) For Court Use Only: Document Id # 19-SMCC-1142

Printed Name of Sheriff or Server

A copy of the summons and a copy of the petition must be served on each defendant/respondent. For methods of service on all classes of suits, see Supreme Court Rule 54

Signature of Sheriff or Server

(date).

Notary Public

4.60

M

M

8/1200

Must be sworn before a notary public if not served by an authorized officer:

Date

miles @ \$.

Civil Procedure Form No. 1; Rules 54.01 - 54.05, 54.13, and 54.20; 506.120 - 506.140, and 506.150 RSMo

19-5MCC-7480

1 of 1

per mile)

Case: 4:19-cv-02546-RWS Doc. #: 1-2 Filed: 09/12/19 Page: 35 of 37 Page#:



### IN THE 23RD JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, JEFFERSON COUNTY, MISSOURI

Judge or Division: JOSEPH ALFRED RATHERT	Case Number: 19JE-CC00485	
Plaintiff/Petitioner: KAREN SCHULTE vs.	Plaintiff's/Petitioner's Attorney/Address: DANIEL FRANCIS HARVATH 8923 LITZSINGER RD SAINT LOUIS, MO 63144	
Defendant/Respondent: CONOPCO, INC.	Court Address: P O BOX 100	
Nature of Suit: CC Pers Injury-Other	300 MAIN ST HILLSBORO, MO 63050	(Date File Stamp)
Summons for Person	nal Service Outside the State of Missou	
	xcept Attachment Action)	
The State of Missouri to: TARGET CORPORATIO		1 AD D
1000 NICOLLET MALL TPS 3155 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55403  TO SC	ENTRAL AVE 63105	CONT
		Al
which is attached, and plaintiff/petitioner at the you, exclusive of the day	appear before this court and to file your pleading to serve a copy of your pleading upon the attorney above address all within 30 days after service of tay of service. If you fail to file your pleading, judgmented the relief demanded in this action.	for the his summons upon
	ol E Reuter, Circuit Clerk atie Steward, Deputy Clerk	
Officer's	or Server's Affidavit of Service	
2. My official title is	copy of the petition to the defendant/respondent.  by of the petition at the dwelling place or usual abode of to the defendant's, a person of the defendant's	(state).
other:	V D LOVE	~~~
	V-B. LOVE	(address)
in St. Louis County County,	M C (state), AUG 1 4 2019 date) at	<b>9 A.M.</b> (time).
J CONNOLL		= G
Printed Name of Sheriff or Server  Subscribed and sworn to	before me this (day) Signature of Sheri	
	he clerk of the court of which affiant is an officer.	ionth) (year).
	ne judge of the court of which affiant is an officer.	
(\$00)	authorized to administer oaths in the state in which the af summons. (use for out-of-state officer)	fiant served the above
	authorized to administer oaths. (use for court-appointed	server)
	Signature and Title	3
Service Fees	Signature and The	,
Summons \$ Non Est \$		
Mileage \$ (	miles @ \$ per mile)	
Total \$See the following page for di	rections to officer making return on service of summons.	
Oce the following page for the		01 .

OSCA (07-18) SM60 (SMOS) For Court Use Only: Document ID# 19-SMOS-42

1 of 2 (19JE-CC00485)

Rules 54.06, 54.07, 54.14, 54.20; 506.500, 506.510 RSMo

19-5MOS-877

Case: 4:19-cv-02546-RWS Doc. #: 1-2 Filed: 09/12/19 Page: 36 of 37 PageID #: 66

#### THE 23RD JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, JEFFERSON COUNTY, MISSOURI

	Andress:	Plaintif strettioner's Afton syl DANIEL FRANCIS HARVATH 8923 LITZSINGER RD		
	1,	SAINT LOUIS, MO 63 M4		
		Court Address: P O BOX 100		De strat/Respondent :
	ate of Missour	Service Outside the St cept Attachment Action)		
.1 .				The State of Missouring:
1302.		भगवार इत्याहर		1000 MICOULET MALL
	2	WEST THE BBIC	120 5 CE	TPS 3135 WINNEAPOUS, WN 55403
	upon the attorney suffer service of the plant of the plan	ppear before this court and to fi servera copy of your pleading above address all within 30 day to service. If you talt to file you raties domanded in this action		OODR FSBAL OF
				ALMOS MOSSULES
		r Server's Affidavit of Service		
		within the state or lendory where t		
	e or usual aboda of t o of the culcodents tent	$ ho_2$ of the patition to the defendently of the petition at the dwelling place		ten ading a copy leaving a copy colegidant esp cyar thu aga of
		W0.10	WITT	
		- B LOVE	V 3 w/ - /	
9 A.M. (uma)	18 (0/60 PT 11) +	M C (state) AUG 1		St. Louis-Co
			CONN OUN	
	Supplied the Santage State of			
			ce the following page for disc	

19-31105-877

200 년 (12 - 12 : Barb (SM (S) Po -Court 및 sa, Grily, Bodantan 10를 19통해 0등에

Case: 4:19-cv-02546-RWS Doc. #: 1-2 Filed: 09/12/19 Page: 37 of 37 PageID #: 67

DANIEL F. HARVATH, ESQ. dharvath@harvathlawgroup.com



ST. LOUIS OFFICE

75 W. Lockwood, Suite #1 Webster Groves, MO 63119 314-550-3717

## HARVATH LAW GROUP, LLC

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

August 5, 2019

St. Louis County Sheriff's Office Civil Process Division 105 South Central Ave., 5<sup>th</sup> Floor Clayton, MO 63105

To:

St. Louis County Sheriff's Office - Civil Process Division

Re:

Service of Summons - TARGET CORPORATION

AUG 122019

#### Dear Process Server:

Attached for service is a Summons for the Defendant in the enclosed lawsuit. Also included is a complete copy of the underlying Petition to accompany the Summons. Please complete service on the Defendant TARGET CORPORATION, at CT Corporation System, 120 S. Central Ave., Clayton, MO 63105.

I have enclosed a check for the cost of service, for \$36.00

Please e-mail a scanned copy of any proof of service documents to: <a href="mailto:dharvath@harvathlawgroup.com">dharvathlawgroup.com</a>, and or, if so required (I do not need a paper copy), please feel free to mail a copy to the address at the top of this letter. Please also let me know if you have any questions or need anything else in relation to these items.

Thank you,

Daniel F. Harvath, Esq.

Page 1 of 1

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI

KAREN SCHULTE, individually and on behalf of all others similary situated '	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
V	) Case No.	
V.  CONOPCO, INC. d/b/a "UNILEVER,"  WALGREEN CO., CVS PHARMACY, INC., WALMART INC.,  TARGET CORPORATION, SCHNUCK MARKETS, INC., and DIERBERGS MARKETS, INC., DOES 1 through 10	) Case No. ) ) )	
Defendant,	)	
ORIGI	INAL FILING FORM	
THIS FORM MUST BE COMPLETED AND V WHEN INITIATING A NEW CASE.	VERIFIED BY THE FILING PAR	RTY
THIS SAME CAUSE, OR A SUBSTANT	TIALLY EQUIVALENT COMPLA	INT, WAS
PREVIOUSLY FILED IN THIS COURT AS CAS	SE NUMBER	_
AND ASSIGNED TO THE HONORABLE JUDG	GE	
THIS CAUSE IS RELATED, BUT IS NO	OT SUBSTANTIALLY EQUIVALE	ENT TO ANY
PREVIOUSLY FILED COMPLAINT. THE REL	ATED CASE NUMBER IS	AND
THAT CASE WAS ASSIGNED TO THE HONOI	RABLE	THIS CASE MAY,
THEREFORE, BE OPENED AS AN ORIGINAL	PROCEEDING.	
NEITHER THIS SAME CAUSE, NOR A	A SUBSTANTIALLY EQUIVALEN	NT
COMPLAINT, HAS BEEN PREVIOUSLY FILEI	D IN THIS COURT, AND THEREF	FORE
MAY BE OPENED AS AN ORIGINAL PROCEE	EDING.	
The undersigned affirms that the information p	provided above is true and correct.	
Date: 09/12/2019	/s/ James P. Muehlberg	

## $_{ m JS~44~(Rev.\,06/17)}$ Case: 4:19-cv-02546-RWS CTVT#: C104 Filed: 09/12/19 Page: 1 of 2 PageID #: 69

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of initiating the civil do	ocket sheet. (SEE INSTRUC	TIONS ON NEXT PAGE C	OF THIS FO	PRM.)				
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS				
Karen Schulte, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situ			uated	Conopco, Inc. d/b/	/a "Unileve	er"		
<b>(b)</b> County of Residence o	f First Listed Plaintiff	Jefferson		County of Residence	of First List	ed Defendant	Outside This District	
•	KCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA					LAINTIFF CASES		
				NOTE: IN LAND CO THE TRACT	ONDEMNATI OF LAND IN	ON CASES, USE T IVOLVED.	THE LOCATION OF	
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A	•	,					Douglas B. Maddock	
Harvath Law Group, LLC,			Grove,				d Blvd., Kansas City, N	ИΟ
Mo 63119; Telephone: (3 Email: dharvath@harvath		14) 450-8156		64108; Telephone: Email: jmuehlberg	er@shb.c	om; dmaddocl	k@shb.com	
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)		TIZENSHIP OF P. (For Diversity Cases Only)	RINCIPA	L PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for and One Box for Defendan	
☐ 1 U.S. Government	☐ 3 Federal Question			P				DEF
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government)	Not a Party)	Citize	en of This State	(1 🗇 1	Incorporated or P of Business In		□ 4
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenshi)	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citiz	en of Another State	2 🗖 2	Incorporated and of Business In		<b>1X</b> 5
				en or Subject of a  reign Country	3 🗖 3	Foreign Nation	□ 6	□ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	•		1				of Suit Code Descriptions.	
CONTRACT  ☐ 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	RTS PERSONAL INJUR		DRFEITURE/PENALTY 25 Drug Related Seizure		eal 28 USC 158	OTHER STATUTES  ☐ 375 False Claims Act	5
□ 120 Marine	☐ 310 Airplane	☐ 365 Personal Injury -		of Property 21 USC 881	☐ 423 With	drawal	376 Qui Tam (31 USC)	
<ul> <li>□ 130 Miller Act</li> <li>□ 140 Negotiable Instrument</li> </ul>	☐ 315 Airplane Product Liability	Product Liability  367 Health Care/	□ 69	0 Other	28 U	ISC 157	3729(a))  ☐ 400 State Reapportionme	ant
☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	☐ 320 Assault, Libel &	Pharmaceutical			PROPEI	RTY RIGHTS	☐ 410 Antitrust	ли
& Enforcement of Judgment  151 Medicare Act	Slander	Personal Injury Product Liability			☐ 820 Copy ☐ 830 Pater		☐ 430 Banks and Banking☐ 450 Commerce	
☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	☐ 330 Federal Employers' Liability	☐ 368 Asbestos Persona	1			nt - Abbreviated	☐ 460 Deportation	
Student Loans	☐ 340 Marine	Injury Product				Drug Application	☐ 470 Racketeer Influence	
(Excludes Veterans)  ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment	☐ 345 Marine Product Liability	Liability PERSONAL PROPEI	RTY	LABOR	□ 840 Trade	SECURITY	Corrupt Organization  480 Consumer Credit	as
of Veteran's Benefits	☐ 350 Motor Vehicle	₹ 370 Other Fraud		0 Fair Labor Standards	☐ 861 HIA	(1395ff)	☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV	,
<ul> <li>☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits</li> <li>☐ 190 Other Contract</li> </ul>	☐ 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability	☐ 371 Truth in Lending ☐ 380 Other Personal	□ 72	Act O Labor/Management		k Lung (923) C/DIWW (405(g))	☐ 850 Securities/Commodi Exchange	ties/
195 Contract Product Liability	☐ 360 Other Personal	Property Damage		Relations	☐ 864 SSID	Title XVI	☐ 890 Other Statutory Acti	ons
☐ 196 Franchise	Injury  ☐ 362 Personal Injury -	☐ 385 Property Damage Product Liability		0 Railway Labor Act 1 Family and Medical	□ 865 RSI (	(405(g))	<ul><li>□ 891 Agricultural Acts</li><li>□ 893 Environmental Matte</li></ul>	ers
	Medical Malpractice			Leave Act	-		☐ 895 Freedom of Informa	
REAL PROPERTY  ☐ 210 Land Condemnation	CIVIL RIGHTS  ☐ 440 Other Civil Rights	PRISONER PETITIO Habeas Corpus:		0 Other Labor Litigation 1 Employee Retirement		s (U.S. Plaintiff	Act  896 Arbitration	
☐ 220 Foreclosure	☐ 441 Voting	☐ 463 Alien Detainee		Income Security Act	or D	efendant)	☐ 899 Administrative Proce	edure
<ul> <li>230 Rent Lease &amp; Ejectment</li> <li>240 Torts to Land</li> </ul>	☐ 442 Employment ☐ 443 Housing/	☐ 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence	e		□ 871 IRS-	—Third Party ISC 7609	Act/Review or Appe Agency Decision	al of
245 Tort Product Liability	Accommodations	☐ 530 General			]	.50 7005	☐ 950 Constitutionality of	
☐ 290 All Other Real Property	☐ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment	☐ 535 Death Penalty Other:	□ 46	IMMIGRATION 2 Naturalization Application	1		State Statutes	
	☐ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	540 Mandamus & Oth		5 Other Immigration				
	Other  448 Education	☐ 550 Civil Rights ☐ 555 Prison Condition		Actions				
	- Tio Education	560 Civil Detainee -						
		Conditions of Confinement						
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" is	n One Box Only)		<u>l</u>		<u> </u>			
□ 1 Original 🛣 2 Rea	moved from 3	Remanded from Appellate Court	□ 4 Rein Reo <sub>l</sub>	pened Anothe	r District	☐ 6 Multidist Litigation Transfer		-
	Cite the U.S. Civil Sta 28 U.S.C. §§ 133	tute under which you a	re filing (I	(specify) Do not cite jurisdictional stat			Direct The	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	Brief description of ca							
	•		souri Me	erchandising Practice	es Act			
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2	IS A <b>CLASS ACTIO</b> 3, F.R.Cv.P.	N D	EMAND \$		HECK YES only URY DEMAND	y if demanded in complaint <b>∵</b> □ <b>X</b> Yes □ No	:
VIII. RELATED CASI								
IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKE	T NUMBER _		
DATE		SIGNATURE OF AT	TORNEY (	OF RECORD				
09/12/2019		/s/ James	s P. Mue	ehlberger				
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY								
RECEIPT # AM	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JU	DGE	

#### INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- **I.(a) Plaintiffs-Defendants.** Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
  - (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
  - (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- **II. Jurisdiction.** The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.
  - United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here. United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.
  - Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.
  - Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; **NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.**)
- **III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties.** This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- **IV. Nature of Suit.** Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If there are multiple nature of suit codes associated with the case, pick the nature of suit code that is most applicable. Click here for: <a href="Nature of Suit Code Descriptions">Nature of Suit Code Descriptions</a>.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.
  - Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.
  - Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.
  - Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.
  - Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date. Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.
  - Multidistrict Litigation Transfer. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407
  - Multidistrict Litigation Direct File. (8) Check this box when a multidistrict case is filed in the same district as the Master MDL docket. **PLEASE NOTE THAT THERE IS NOT AN ORIGIN CODE 7.** Origin Code 7 was used for historical records and is no longer relevant due to changes in statue.
- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity. Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P. Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction. Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

## **ClassAction.org**

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: Missouri Class Action Targets Retailers' Alleged 'Pink Tax' Price Difference for Female-Marketed Products