1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	U.S. DISTRI WESTERN DISTRICT	
7 8	MATTHEW SCHOOLFIELD, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated,	NO.
9	Plaintiff,	CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT FOR
10	VS.	DAMAGES, EQUITABLE, DECLARATORY AND INJUNCTIVE
11	WYZE LABS, INC.,	RELIEF
12 13	Defendant.	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
14		
15		
16	Plaintiff Matthew Schoolfield ("Plaintiff	"), individually, by and through his
17	undersigned counsel, brings this class action law	vsuit against Wyze Labs, Inc. ("Defendant," or
18	"Wyze"), on behalf of himself and all others sim	nilarly situated, and alleges, based upon
19	information and belief and the investigation of h	is counsel as follows:
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
	CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES	TERRELL MARSHALL LAW GROUP PLLC

# 2

3

### 4 5

# 7

6

	9	
1	0	

1	2

11

I	3
1	4

1	5

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

#### INTRODUCTION

"We've Always Taken Security Very Seriously, And We're Devastated That We Let Our Users Down Like This" 1

- 1. Wyze is a security and safety company that manufactures, markets and sells an array of home security cameras and accessories at prices significantly below competitive products such as those offered by Ring or Nest.
- Wyze cameras are Wi-Fi enabled and controlled through an application on a 2. user's smart device. In order to use Wyze products, customers must provide, and allow Wyze to collect their personally identifiable information ("PII"). Wyze subsequently assures users that it employs commercially reasonable security measures to prevent the loss, misuse or alteration of this information.
- 3. Despite this promise, however, and a correlative legal obligation to protect such information from misuse, Wyze exposed the sensitive PII of 2.4 million customers over a 23day period, allowing an untold number of miscreants access to its customers' valuable and private PII ("Data Breach").
- 4. According to Twelve Security, the cyber security company that discovered the Data Breach, the exposed information resided on a cloud-based database owned by Wyze and included PII such as: usernames, email addresses, camera nicknames, device models, firmware information, Wi-Fi SSID details, API tokens for iOS and Android, and Alexa tokens. The database also included a huge array of health information including height, weight, bone density, and daily protein intake of Wyze users.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See The Verge, December 30, 2019, available at https://www.theverge.com/2019/12/30/21042974/wyze-server-breach-cybersecurity-smarthome-security-camera (last visited February 5, 2020).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> PII generally incorporates information that can be used to distinguish or trace an individual's identity, either alone or when combined with other personal or identifying information 2 CFR § 200.79. At a minimum, it includes all information that on its face expressly identifies an individual. PII also is generally defined to include certain identifiers that do not on their face name an individual, but that are considered to be particularly sensitive and/or valuable if in the wrong hands.

- 5. Not only does the exposed data make Wyze customers more susceptible to identity theft and financial fraud in the future, it is now possible for any individual anywhere in the world to access the live video feeds of every single Wyze camera that was online.
- 6. Plaintiff, on behalf of all others similarly situated, alleges claims for negligence, invasion of privacy, breach of implied contract, breach of implied warranty and unjust enrichment. In addition, Plaintiff seeks damages, injunctive and declaratory relief.

#### II. PARTIES

- 7. Plaintiff Matthew Schoolfield is a resident of Tarrant County, Texas. He purchased a Wyze camera for his house in December 2018 for approximately \$34.99. Once Mr. Schoolfield was notified of the breach, he immediately changed his password. As a result of the Data Breach, Mr. Schoolfield remains concerned about the safety and security of his family, the integrity of his PII that he provided to, and was collected by, Wyze, and the fact that his camera may now be accessible to unauthorized users. Mr. Schoolfield continues to spend time addressing these safety concerns—time he would not otherwise have to spend but for the Data Breach.
- 8. Defendant Wyze Labs, Inc. makes budget smart home-security cameras and accessories including the Wyze Cam, Cam Pan, Lock, Sense and Bulb. It is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business at 4030 Lake Washington Blvd., Suite 200, Kirkland, Washington, 98033.

#### III. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

9. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action under the Class Action Fairness Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2). The amount in controversy exceeds \$5 million, exclusive of interest and costs. There are millions of putative class members, many of whom have different citizenship from Defendant.

- 10. This Court has jurisdiction over the Defendant which operates in this District. Through its business operations in this District, Defendant intentionally avails itself of the markets within this District to render the exercise of jurisdiction by this Court just and proper.
- 11. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(a)(1) because a substantial part of the events and omissions giving rise to this action occurred in this District and Wyze is headquartered in this District.

#### IV. STATEMENT OF FACTS

#### A. Wyze Products and Wi-Fi Connectivity

12. Wyze sells a series of smart home products, including the Wyze Cam wireless smart home camera, Wyze Cam Pan wireless smart home camera, and Wyze Sense smart sensor (collectively "Wyze Products"). They are connected to the internet and allow users to view information captured by the Wyze Products. For example, Wyze Cam and Wyze Pan cameras can record 12-second alert videos, display a live video/audio stream, and enable two-way audio between users and the camera.



13. Wyze Products communicate with users through the Wyze application ("App") and its software platform. To use a Wyze Product, users must: (a) download the Wyze App and install it on a smart phone, tablet, or other compatible device; (b) register for an account by providing an email/user name and password; (c) provide personally identifiable information and consent to its collection and proper use by Wyze; (d) associate Wyze Products to the App and user account; (e) provide Wi-Fi network information to connect Wyze Products to the

- Wyze Products, Wyze collects a wide array of additional confidential PII including: (a) information that identifies, relates to, describes, is reasonably capable of being associated with or reasonably can be used to identify an individual or household and other data that is linked to personal data, and includes App Account and App Login information; (b) setup information and settings; (c) information generated by Wyze Products that is sent to the Wyze Cloud, such as videos from a Wyze camera, status notifications from a Wyze Sense, and device location information; (d) technical information about each enabled Wyze Product, such as its device model, serial number, MAC address, firmware version, the SSID of user wireless network, device name, device connectivity status, and IP address ("Device Technical Information"); and
- 15. Wyze specifically limits how such sensitive information will be utilized and assures users of its Products, website, and App that their PII will remain secure and used only for intended purposes by Wyze and selected affiliates. Wyze further claims to "employ[] security measures to prevent the loss, misuse or alteration of information collected...."

(e) records, data and statistics generated by use of the Wyze Product and App collected by

App or Wyze Product, and the times a user contacted customer support.<sup>3</sup>

Wyze Labs ("Usage Data"), such as the instances that the Wyze Cloud authenticated a user's

16. Despite these promises, the sensitive personally identifiable information of Wyze's 2.4 million customers was publicly exposed for more than 3 weeks in December 2019.<sup>5</sup>

27

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See https://wyze.com/privacy-statement-wyze-products#a2 (last visited February 5, 2020).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See https://wyze.com/privacy-statement-wyze-site-2019-05-08; https://wyze.com/privacystatement-wyze-products (last visited February 5, 2020).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> See Twelve Security, December 26, 2019, available at https://blog.12security.com/wyzeessay-2-aresflare/ (last visited February 5, 2020).

#### B. The Wyze Data Breach

- 17. On December 26, 2019, the cybersecurity firm Twelve Security revealed on its blog that the personal data of 2.4 million Wyze users had been publicly exposed from December 4, through December 27, 2019.<sup>6</sup> "Personally, in my ten years of sysadmin and cloud engineering . . . I never encountered a breach of this magnitude.... In this case, both the company's production databases were left entirely open to the internet. A significant amount of sensitive information generated by 2.4 million users, all coincidentally outside of China, was the result." *Id*.
  - 18. The exposed information included:
    - a. Username and email of those who purchased cameras and then connected them to their home;
    - b. Email of anyone with whom a user ever shared camera access, such as a family member;
    - c. Lists of all cameras in the home, the nicknames for each camera, device model and firmware;
    - d. Wi-Fi SSID, internal subnet layout, last on time for cameras, last login time from app, last logout time from the app;<sup>7</sup>
    - e. API Tokens for access to the user account from any iOS or Android device;
    - f. Alexa Tokens for 24,000 users who have connected Alexa devices to their Wyze camera;
    - g. Height, Weight, Gender, Bone Density, Bone Mass, Daily Protein Intake, and other health information for a subset of users.

27

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> See Twelve Security, December 26, 2019, available at <a href="https://blog.12security.com/wyze/">https://blog.12security.com/wyze/</a> (last visited February 5, 2020).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> SSID is short for service set identifier, the name for a Wi-Fi network.

information in some of our non-production databases was mistakenly made public between December 4th - December 26th. During this time, the databases were accessed by an unauthorized party.

The information did not contain passwords, personal financial data, or video content.

The information did contain Wyze nicknames, user emails, profile photos, Wi-Fi router names, a limited number of Alexa integration tokens, and other information detailed in the link below.

If you were a user with us before we secured this information on December 26th, we regretfully write this email as a notification that some of your information was included in these databases. If you are receiving this email and joined us after December 26th, we write this email because you use our products and deserve to know how your data is being handled.

Upon finding out about the public user data, we took immediate action to secure it by closing any databases in question, forcing all users to log in again to create new access tokens, and requiring users to reconnect Alexa, Google Assistant, and IFTTT integrations. You can read in more detail about the data leak and the actions we took at this link: https://forums.wyzecam.com/t/updated-12-30-19-data-leak-12-26-2019

As an additional security measure, we recommend that you reset your Wyze account password. Again, no passwords were compromised, but we recommend this as a standard safety measure. You may also add an additional level of security to your account by implementing two-factor authentication inside of the Wyze app. Finally, please be watchful for any phishing attempts. Especially watch any communications coming from Wyze and ensure they come from official @wyze.com and @wyzecam.com email addresses.

We are deeply sorry for this oversight. We promise to learn from this mistake and will make improvements going forward. This will include enhancing our security processes, improving communication of security guidelines to all Wyze employees, and making more of our user-requested security features our top priority in the coming months. We are also partnering with a third-party cyber security firm to audit and improve our security protocols.

1 2 3		As we continue our investigation into what happened, we will post future updates to the forum link above. More details will follow and we appreciate your patience during this process. Please reach out with any questions or concerns to our customer support team by going to support.wyze.com.
4		Sincerely,
5		Yun Zhang
6		CEO @ Wyze <sup>10</sup>
7 8	25.	"We didn't properly communicate and enforce our security protocols to new
9	employees,"	said Mr. Dongsheng Song, co-founder of Wyze. "We should have built controls,
10	or a more rol	pust tool and process to make sure security protocols are followed," he added. 11
11	26.	"Our whole business model is built on trust," added Dave Crosby Wyze co-
12	founder. "It v	was an accident" for which "[w]e are very, very sorry and taking it very seriously."
13	Id.	
14	D. Wyze	e Failed To Comply With FTC Requirements
15	27.	The Federal Trade Commission ("FTC") has issued numerous guidelines for
16	businesses hi	ighlighting the importance of reasonable data security practices. According to the
17	FTC, the nee	d for data security should be factored into all business decision-making. <sup>12</sup>
18	28.	In 2016, the FTC updated its publication, Protecting Personal Information: A
19	Guide for Bu	siness, which established guidelines for fundamental data security principles and
20		
21		
22	10 See https:// visited Febru	/forums.wyzecam.com/t/updated-01-06-20-data-leak-12-26-2019/79046 (last pary 5, 2020).
23		/www.nytimes.com/2019/12/30/business/wyze-security-camera-breach.html (last
24	visited Febru	
25		al Trade Commission, Start With Security, available at
26	https://www.	ftc.gov/system/files/documents/plain-language/pdf0205-startwithsecurity.pdf (last lary 5, 2020).
27		
	CLASS ACTIO	DN COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES,  TERRELL MARSHALL LAW GROUP PLLC 936 North 34th Street, Suite 300

practices for business.<sup>13</sup> The guidelines note businesses should protect the personal customer information that they keep; properly dispose of personal information that is no longer needed; encrypt information stored on computer networks; understand their network's vulnerabilities; and implement policies to correct security problems.

- 29. The FTC has brought enforcement actions against businesses for failing to adequately and reasonably protect PII, treating the failure to employ reasonable and appropriate measures to protect against unauthorized access to confidential consumer data as an unfair act or practice prohibited by Section 5 of the Federal Trade Commission Act ("FTCA"), 15 U.S.C. § 45. Orders resulting from these actions further clarify the measures businesses must take to meet their data security obligations.
- 30. Wyze's failure to employ reasonable and appropriate measures to protect against unauthorized access to confidential consumer data constitutes an unfair act or practice prohibited by Section 5 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45.

#### E. Plaintiff and Class Members Suffered Damages

- 31. PII in all its forms has become a valuable commodity among computer hackers. Once acquired, it is quickly sold on the black market where it can often be re-traded among miscreants for years. As the FTC recognizes, with PII, identity thieves can commit an array of crimes, the ramifications of which can be long lasting and severe.
- 32. There often is a time lag between when harm occurs versus when it is discovered, as well as between when PII is stolen and when it is used. According to the U.S. Government Accountability Office ("GAO"), which conducted a study regarding data breaches found that stolen data may be held for years before being used to commit identity theft.

13 See Federal Trade Commission, Protecting Personal Information: A Guide for Business,

available at https://www.ftc.gov/system/files/documents/plain-language/pdf-0136\_proteting-personal-information.pdf (last visited February 5, 2020).

- 33. The PII belonging to Plaintiff and Class Members is private and sensitive in nature and was left inadequately protected by the Defendant. Defendant did not obtain Plaintiff's or Class Members' consent to disclose their PII to any other person as required by applicable law and industry standards.
- 34. The Data Breach was a direct and proximate result of Defendant's failure to properly safeguard and protect Plaintiff's and Class Members' PII from unauthorized access, use, and disclosure, as required by various state and federal regulations, industry practices, and the common law.
- 35. Defendant had the resources necessary to properly secure the PII acquired from its users but neglected to do so. Had Defendant taken such steps and adopted basic security measures, it would have prevented the Data Brach and the exposure of Plaintiff's and Class Members' PII.
- 36. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's wrongful actions and inactions, Plaintiff and Class Members have been placed at an imminent, immediate, and continuing increased risk of harm from malicious third parties who gained unauthorized access to their PII.
- 37. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's wrongful actions and inactions, Plaintiff and Class Members have been placed at an imminent, immediate, and continuing increased risk of harm from identity theft and fraud, requiring them to take the time which they otherwise would have dedicated to other life demands such as work and family in an effort to mitigate the actual and potential impact of the Data Breach on their lives.
- 38. As a result of the Defendant's failures to prevent the Data Breach, Plaintiff and Class Members have suffered, will suffer, or are at increased risk of suffering:
  - a. The compromise, publication, theft and/or unauthorized use of their PII;
  - Out-of-pocket costs associated with the prevention, detection, recovery and remediation from identity theft or fraud;

1	43. Numerosity. Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(1). Consistent with Rule 23(a)(1), the
2	members of the Class are so numerous and geographically dispersed that the joinder of all
3	members is impractical. The Data Breach exposed the PII of 2.4 million Wyze customers.
4	Wyze has physical and/or email addresses for Class Members who therefore may be notified of
5	the pendency of this action by recognized, Court-approved notice dissemination methods,
6	which may include U.S. mail, electronic mail, internet postings, and/or published notice.
7	44. Commonality. Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(2) and (b)(3). Consistent with Rule
8	23(a)(2) and with 23(b)(3)'s predominance requirement, this action involves common questions
9	of law and fact that predominate over any questions affecting individual Class Members. The
10	common questions include:
11	a. Whether Wyze's security measures and protocols to protect customer PII
12	were reasonable;
13	b. Whether Wyze was negligent in failing to implement reasonable and
14	adequate security procedures and practices;
15	c. Whether Wyze's failure to implement adequate security measures
16	resulted in the unlawful exposure of customer PII;
17	d. Whether Plaintiff and Class Members were injured and suffered damages
18	or other losses because of Wyze's failure to reasonably secure and
19	protect their PII; and
20	e. Whether Plaintiff and Class Members are entitled to relief.
21	45. <b>Typicality. Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(3).</b> Consistent with Rule 23(a)(3), Plaintiff's
22	claims are typical of those of other Class Members. Plaintiff is a purchaser of a Wyze Product,
23	registered with Wyze through its App, and in so doing provided Wyze his PII. Plaintiff's
24	damages and injuries are akin to other Class Members, and Plaintiff seeks relief consistent with
25	the relief sought by the Class.
26	
27	

- 46. Adequacy. Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(4). Consistent with Rule 23(a)(4), Plaintiff is an adequate representative of the Class because Plaintiff is a member of the Class he seeks to represent; is committed to pursuing this matter against Wyze to obtain relief for the Class; and has no conflicts of interest with the Class. Moreover, Plaintiff's Counsel are competent and experienced in litigating class actions, including privacy litigation of this kind. Plaintiff intends to vigorously prosecute this case and will fairly and adequately protect the Class's interests.
- 47. **Superiority. Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(3).** Consistent with Rule 23(b)(3), a class action is superior to any other available means for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy, and no unusual difficulties are likely to be encountered in the management of this class action. The quintessential purpose of the class action mechanism is to permit litigation against wrongdoers even when damages to an individual plaintiff may not be sufficient to justify individual litigation. Here, the damages suffered by Plaintiff and the Class are relatively small compared to the burden and expense required to individually litigate their claims against Wyze, and thus, individual litigation to redress Wyze's wrongful conduct would be impracticable. Individual litigation by each Class member would also strain the court system. Individual litigation creates the potential for inconsistent or contradictory judgments and increases the delay and expense to all parties and the court system. By contrast, the class action device presents far fewer management difficulties and provides the benefits of a single adjudication, economies of scale, and comprehensive supervision by a single court.
- 48. **Injunctive and Declaratory Relief.** Class certification is also appropriate under Rule 23(b)(2). Defendant, through its uniform conduct, acted or refused to act on grounds generally applicable to the Class as a whole, making injunctive and declaratory relief appropriate to the Class as a whole.
- 49. Likewise, particular issues under Rule 23(c)(4) are appropriate for certification because such claims present only particular, common issues, the resolution of which would advance the disposition of this matter and the parties' interests therein.

50. Finally, all members of the proposed Classes are readily ascertainable. Wyze has access to customer names and addresses. Using this information, Class Members can be identified and ascertained for the purpose of providing notice.

#### FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

#### **NEGLIGENCE**

- 51. Plaintiff restates and realleges the paragraphs above as if fully set forth herein.
- 52. Defendant had full knowledge of the purpose for which its Products, especially its security cameras, were being used and the sensitivity of the people and things the cameras were designed to secure and protect. Defendant also knew the types of harm that Plaintiff and Class Members could and would suffer if the integrity of their PII were compromised.
- 53. Defendant had a duty to exercise reasonable care in ensuring its customer PII was secure and inviolable by unauthorized parties. This duty includes, among other things, ensuring that reasonable and proper protocols and safeguards were in place to protect the integrity of customer PII entrusted to it.
- 54. Plaintiff and Class Members were the foreseeable and probable victims of any inadequate security practices. Defendant knew of or should have known of the inherent risks of exposing customer PII without adequate security protocols and safeguards.
- 55. Plaintiff and the Class Members had no idea their PII was not properly secured and was vulnerable to exposure and misappropriation.
- 56. In contrast, Defendant was in a position to protect against the harm suffered by Plaintiff and Class Members and had a duty to do so.
- 57. Defendant, through its actions, unlawfully breached its duty to Plaintiff and Class Members by failing to ensure is cyber protocols and procedures were sufficiently robust to protect customer PII from exposure and unauthorized use.
- 58. But for Defendant's wrongful and negligent breach of duties owed to Plaintiff and Class Members, Plaintiff and Class Members' PII would not have been exposed.

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES,

26

59. As a result of Defendant's negligence, Plaintiff and the Class Members have suffered and will continue to suffer damages and injury including, but not limited to: the cost of replacement cameras; cost of additional surveillance and protective devices and services; time spent monitoring and addressing the current and future consequences of the exposure created by Wyze; and the necessity to engage legal counsel and incur attorneys' fees, costs and expenses.

#### **SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**

#### NEGLIGENCE PER SE

- 60. Plaintiff restates and realleges the paragraphs above as if fully set forth herein.
- 61. Section 5 of the FTC Act prohibits "unfair . . . practices in or affecting commerce," including, as interpreted and enforced by the FTC, the unfair act or practice by businesses, such as Wyze, of failing to use reasonable measures to protect PII. The FTC publications and orders described above also form part of the basis of Defendant's duty in this regard.
- 62. Wyze violated Section 5 of the FTC Act by failing to use reasonable measures to protect patient PII and not complying with applicable industry standards, as described in detail herein. Wyze's conduct was particularly unreasonable given the nature and amount of PII it obtained and stored, and the foreseeable consequences of a data breach including, specifically, the damages that would result to Plaintiff and Class Members.
  - 63. Wyze's violation of Section 5 of the FTC Act constitutes negligence *per se*.
- 64. Plaintiff and Class Members are within the class of persons that the FTC Act was intended to protect.
- 65. The harm that occurred as a result of the Data Breach is the type of harm the FTC Act was intended to guard against. The FTC has pursued enforcement actions against businesses, which, as a result of their failure to employ reasonable data security measures and

- Plaintiff and Class Members paid money to Defendant in exchange for Wyze Products and services, along with Defendant's promise to protect their PII from unauthorized
- Implicit in the agreement between Plaintiff and Class Members and the Defendant to provide PII, was the latter's obligation to: (a) use such PII for business purposes only, (b) take reasonable steps to safeguard that PII, (c) prevent unauthorized disclosures of the PII, (d) provide Plaintiff and Class Members with prompt and sufficient notice of any and all unauthorized access and/or theft of their PII, (e) reasonably safeguard and protect the PII of Plaintiff and Class Members from unauthorized disclosure or uses, and (f) retain the PII only under conditions that kept such information secure and confidential.
- Without such implied contracts, Plaintiff and Class Members would not have provided their PII to Defendant.
- Plaintiff and Class Members fully performed their obligations under the implied contract with Defendant, however, Defendant did not.
- Defendant breached the implied contracts with Plaintiff and Class Members by failing to acknowledge the inherent vulnerability in its cyber security systems and protocols. These circumstances are such that it would be inequitable for Defendant to retain the benefit
- As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's breach of its implied contracts with Plaintiff and Class Members, Plaintiff and Class Members have suffered and will suffer injury, including but not limited to: the cost of replacement cameras; the cost of additional surveillance and protective devices and services; and time spent monitoring, addressing the current and future consequences of the exposure enabled by Wyze.

25

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5

27

#### FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

#### UNJUST ENRICHMENT

- 89. Plaintiff restates and realleges the paragraphs above as if fully set forth herein.
- 90. As the intended and expected result of its conscious wrongdoing, Defendant has profited and benefited from the purchase of the Product by Plaintiff and the Class.
- 91. Defendant has voluntarily accepted and retained these profits and benefits, with full knowledge and awareness that, as a result of Defendant's misconduct, Plaintiff and the Class did not receive Product of the quality, nature, fitness, or value that had been represented by Defendant, and that reasonable consumers expected.
- 92. Defendant has been unjustly enriched by its fraudulent and deceptive withholding of benefits to Plaintiff and the Class at the expense of these parties.
- 93. Equity and good conscience militate against permitting Defendant to retain these profits and benefits.
- 94. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's unjust enrichment, Plaintiff and Class Members suffered injury and seek an order directing Defendant's disgorgement and the return to Plaintiff and the classes of the amount each improperly paid to Defendant.

#### VI. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated, respectfully requests the following relief:

- a. An Order certifying this case as a class action;
- b. An Order appointing Plaintiff as the class representative;
- c. An Order appointing undersigned counsel as class counsel;
- d. A mandatory injunction directing the Defendant to hereinafter adequately safeguard the PII of the Class by implementing improved security procedures and measures;

1	e. An award of damages;						
2	f. An award of costs and expenses;						
3	g. An award of attorneys' fees; and						
4	h. Such other and further relief as this court may deem just and proper.						
5	VII. DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL						
6	Plaintiff demands a jury trial as to all issues triable by a jury.						
7	RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED AND DATED this 21st day of February, 2020.						
8	TERRELL MARSHALL LAW GROUP PLLC						
9	TERRELE WIRRSHITEE EAW GROOT TEEC						
10	By: /s/ Beth E. Terrell, WSBA #26759						
11	Beth E. Terrell, WSBA #26759 Email: bterrell@terrellmarshall.com						
12							
13	By: /s/ Adrienne D. McEntee, WSBA #34061 Adrienne D. McEntee, WSBA #34061						
14	Email: amcentee@terrellmarshall.com 936 North 34th Street, Suite 300						
15	Seattle, Washington 98103-8869						
16	Telephone: (206) 816-6603 Facsimile: (206) 319-5450						
17	Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Proposed Class						
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							
26							
27							
<i>- 1</i>							

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of initiating the civil do					774, is requi	red for the use of	the elerk of court for the
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS			
MATTHEW SCHOOLFIELD				WYZE LABS, INC.			
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Tarrant County, Text (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)  (c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Beth E. Terrell, WSBA #26759, Email: bterrell@terrellmarshall.co				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant  (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)  NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.  Attorneys (If Known)			
Seattle, Washington 9810  II. BASIS OF JURISDI		ine Box Only)	III. CI	<u> </u> TIZENSHIP OF PI	RINCIPA	L PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff
		ne Box Omy)		(For Diversity Cases Only)			and One Box for Defendant)
□ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	☐ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government)	Not a Party)	Citize	en of This State	FF DEF 1 □ 1	Incorporated or Pri of Business In T	
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	★ 4 Diversity  (Indicate Citizenship)	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citize	en of Another State	<b>3</b> 2	Incorporated and Post Business In A	
				en or Subject of a reign Country	3 🗖 3	Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT			I E	DEELTHDE/DENAT TV			of Suit Code Descriptions.
CONTRACT  ☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	PERSONAL INJURY  □ 310 Airplane □ 315 Airplane Product Liability □ 320 Assault, Libel & Slander □ 330 Federal Employers' Liability □ 340 Marine □ 345 Marine Product Liability □ 350 Motor Vehicle □ 755 Motor Vehicle □ 100 Other Personal Injury □ 362 Personal Injury □ 362 Personal Injury □ 440 Other Civil Rights □ 441 Voting □ 442 Employment □ 443 Housing/ Accommodations □ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment □ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other □ 448 Education	PERSONAL INJUR  □ 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability □ 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability  □ 368 Asbestos Persona Injury Product Liability □ 368 Asbestos Persona Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPEI □ 370 Other Fraud □ 371 Truth in Lending ▼ 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability  PRISONER PETITIO  Habeas Corpus: □ 463 Alien Detainee □ 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence □ 530 General □ 535 Death Penalty Other: □ 540 Mandamus & Oth □ 550 Civil Rights □ 555 Prison Condition □ 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	1	DRFEITURE/PENALTY  5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881  6 Other  LABOR  0 Fair Labor Standards Act 10 Labor/Management Relations 10 Railway Labor Act 11 Family and Medical Leave Act 10 Other Labor Litigation 11 Employee Retirement Income Security Act  IMMIGRATION 12 Naturalization Application 15 Other Immigration Actions	422 Apper   423 With 28 US   423 With 28 US   424 With 28 US   425 With 28 W	SC 157  RTY RIGHTS rights t t - Abbreviated Drug Application mark SECURITY (1395ff) t Lung (923) C/DIWW (405(g)) Title XVI 405(g))  LAX SUITS s (U.S. Plaintiff efendant)	OTHER STATUTES  □ 375 False Claims Act □ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC
	moved from	Appellate Court tute under which you a	Reop	stated or 5 Transference Anothe (specify)	r District	☐ 6 Multidistr Litigation Transfer	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	Brief description of ca Class Action Fair	use:					
VII. REQUESTED IN CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION DEMAND \$ CHECK YES only if demark COMPLAINT: UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. JURY DEMAND: 2				-			
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE				T NUMBER	
DATE 02/21/2020 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		signature of at /s/ Beth E. Ter					
	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JUD	OGE

#### INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- **I.(a) Plaintiffs-Defendants.** Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
  - (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
  - (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.
  - United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here. United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.
  - Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.
  - Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; **NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.**)
- III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties. This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If there are multiple nature of suit codes associated with the case, pick the nature of suit code that is most applicable. Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.
  - Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.
  - Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441.

    Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date
  - Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date. Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.
  - Multidistrict Litigation Transfer. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407.
  - Multidistrict Litigation Direct File. (8) Check this box when a multidistrict case is filed in the same district as the Master MDL docket.

    PLEASE NOTE THAT THERE IS NOT AN ORIGIN CODE 7. Origin Code 7 was used for historical records and is no longer relevant due to changes in statue.
- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. **Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity.** Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.

  Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction.

  Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

### United States District Court

for the

Western District of Washington

Western District of Washington					
MATTHEW SCHOOLFIELD on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated,	) ) )				
Plaintiff(s)					
V.	Civil Action No.				
	) CIVIL PROGRAM.				
WYZE LABS, INC.,					
	)				
	)				
Defendant(s)	ý )				
SUMMONS II	N A CIVIL ACTION				
Tay (D.C. 1. d					
To: (Defendant's name and address)  WYZE LABS, INC.					
c/o VCORP SERVICES,	LLC, Registered Agent				
1013 Centre Road, Suite	403-B				
Wilmington, Delaware 19	9805				
A lawsuit has been filed against you.					
Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are:  Beth E. Terrell, WSBA #26759  TERRELL MARSHALL LAW GROUP PLLC 936 North 34th Street, Suite 300  Seattle, Washington 98103-8869  Telephone: (206) 816-6603  Facsimile: (206) 319-5450					
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.					
	CLERK OF COURT				
Date:					
	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk				

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

#### PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

	This summons for (name	e of individual and title, if any)		
was rec	ceived by me on (date)	·		
	☐ I personally served t	the summons on the individua	l at (place)	
			on (date)	; or
	☐ I left the summons a	at the individual's residence of	r usual place of abode with (name)	
			son of suitable age and discretion who res	sides there,
	on (date)	, and mailed a copy t	o the individual's last known address; or	
	☐ I served the summon		1.16.6	, who is
	designated by law to a	ccept service of process on be	chalf of (name of organization) on (date)	; or
	☐ I returned the summ	ons unexecuted because		; or
	☐ Other ( <i>specify</i> ):			
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00
	I declare under penalty	of perjury that this information	on is true.	
Date:				
			Server's signature	
			Printed name and title	
			Server's address	

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

# **ClassAction.org**

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: Wyze Labs Hit with Class Action Over Three-Week 2019 Data Breach Affecting 2.4 Million Customers