	Case 2:17-cv-03899-GEKP Doc	cument 1	Filed 08/28/17	Page 1 of 18	
1 2 3 4	Cynthia Z. Levin, Esq. (SBN 27050) LAW OFFICES OF TODD M. FRIEDM 1150 First Avenue, Suite 501 King of Prussia, PA 19406 Phone: 888-595-9111 ext. 618 Fax: 866 633-0228	IAN, P.C.			
5	clevin@attorneysforconsumers.com				
6	Attorney for Plaintiff				
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
8	EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA				
9	MICHAEL SCAVO, individually and ) Case No.				
10	on behalf of all others similarly situated		) ) <u>CLASS ACTION</u>		
11 12	Plaintiff,	)		<b>R VIOLATIONS</b>	
12	VS.	) <b>CO</b> M	IFLAINT FUI	K VIOLATIONS	
14	ACCOUNT DISCOVERY SYSTEMS	)	. NEGLIGE	NT VIOLATIONS	
15	LLC, and DOES 1 through 10,	)		ELEPHONE ER PROTECTION	
16	inclusive, and each of them,	) 2	. WILLFUL	J.S.C. §227(b)] VIOLATIONS	
17	Defendant.	) )	CONSUM	ELEPHONE ER PROTECTION	
18		)	-	J.S.C. §227(b)]	
19			IAND FOR JU		
20	Plaintiff MICHAEL SCAVO ("Plaintiff"), individually and on behalf of all				
21	others similarly situated, alleges the following upon information and belief based				
22	upon personal knowledge:				
23	NATURE OF THE CASE				

24 Plaintiff brings this action individually and on behalf of all others 1. similarly situated seeking damages and any other available legal or equitable remedies resulting from the illegal actions of ACCOUNT DISCOVERY SYSTEMS, LLC ("Defendant"), in negligently, knowingly, and/or willfully contacting Plaintiff on Plaintiff's cellular telephone in violation of the Telephone

25

26

27

28

## **CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT**

Consumer Protection Act, 47. U.S.C. § 227 et seq. ("TCPA"), thereby causing 1 Plaintiff to incur charges for incoming calls and invading Plaintiff's privacy. 2

### JURISDICTION & VENUE

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Jurisdiction is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2) because Plaintiff, 2. a Pennsylvania resident, seeks relief on behalf of a Class, which will result in at least one class member belonging to a different state than that of Defendant, an New York company. Plaintiff also seeks up to \$1,500.00 in damages for each call in violation of the TCPA, which, when aggregated among a proposed class in the thousands, exceeds the \$5,000,000.00 threshold for federal court jurisdiction. Therefore, both diversity jurisdiction and the damages threshold under the Class Action Fairness Act of 2005 ("CAFA") are present, and this Court has jurisdiction.

Venue is proper in the United States District Court for the Eastern 3. District of Pennsylvania pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2) because Defendant does business within the State of Pennsylvania and Plaintiff resides within Delaware County in the State of Pennsylvania.

### PARTIES

Plaintiff, MICHAEL SCAVO ("Plaintiff"), is a natural person 4. residing in Delaware County, Pennsylvania and is a "person" as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 153 (39).

ACCOUNT DISCOVERY SYSTEMS, LLC 5. Defendant, ("Defendant") is a debt collection company, and is a "person" as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 153 (39).

The above named Defendant, and its subsidiaries and agents, are 6. collectively referred to as "Defendants." The true names and capacities of the Defendants sued herein as DOE DEFENDANTS 1 through 10, inclusive, are currently unknown to Plaintiff, who therefore sues such Defendants by fictitious names. Each of the Defendants designated herein as a DOE is legally responsible for the unlawful acts alleged herein. Plaintiff will seek leave of Court to amend the

#### **CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT** -2-

Complaint to reflect the true names and capacities of the DOE Defendants when
 such identities become known.

7. Plaintiff is informed and believes that at all relevant times, each and every Defendant was acting as an agent and/or employee of each of the other Defendants and was acting within the course and scope of said agency and/or employment with the full knowledge and consent of each of the cther Defendants. Plaintiff is informed and believes that each of the acts and/or omissions complained of herein was made known to, and ratified by, each of the other Defendants.

### **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

8. Beginning within the last year, Defendant contacted Plaintiff on Plaintiff's cellular telephone number ending in -8055, in an attempt to collect on an alleged debt.

9. Defendant called Plaintiff multiple times in connection with collection on an alleged debt.

10. Defendant left Plaintiff several voice messages with call back numbers, including without limitation, (716) 562-3709.

11. Defendant called and left voice messages on the telephones of third parties, including without limitation, Plaintiff's mother, in connection with collection on the alleged debt from Plaintiff.

12. In many of these voice messages left on Plaintiff's and third party's telephones, there were noticeable three (3) to six (6) second of recorded silence before Defendant began to speak.

13. Defendant used an "automatic telephone dialing system" ("ATDS") as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 227(a)(1) to place its frequent and incessant collection calls to Plaintiff.

14. Defendant's calls constituted calls that were not for emergency purposes as defined by  $47 U.S.C. \le 227(b)(1)(A)$ .

15. Defendant's calls were placed to telephone number assigned to a

3

cellular telephone service for which Plaintiff incurs a charge for incoming calls
 pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1).

16. During all relevant times, Defendant did not possess Plaintiff's "prior express consent" to receive calls using an automatic telephone dialing system or an artificial or prerecorded voice on his cellular telephone pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A).

17. Defendant placed multiple collection calls to Plaintiff on his cellular telephone ending in -8055 within the last year.

18. Plaintiff received numerous collection calls from Defendant within a 12-month period.

19. Upon information and belief, and based on Plaintiff's experiences recounted herein, and at all relevant times, Defendant failed to establish and implement reasonable practices and procedures to effectively prevent collection calls in violation of the TCPA.

### **CLASS ALLEGATIONS**

20. Plaintiff brings this action individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, as a member the proposed classes (hereafter, "The Class") defined as follows:

All persons within the United States who received any collection telephone calls from Defendant to said person's cellular telephone made through the use of any automatic telephone dialing system or an artificial or prerecorded voice and such person had not previously consented to receiving such calls within the four years prior to the filing of this Complaint

21. Plaintiff represents, and is a member of, The Class, consisting of all persons within the United States who received any collection telephone calls from Defendant to said person's cellular telephone made through the use of any automatic telephone dialing system or an artificial or prerecorded voice and such

3

person had not previously provided their cellular telephone number to Defendant or express consent to be called with an automatic telephone dialing system or 2 artificial or prerecorded voice within the four years prior to the filing of this 3 Complaint. 4

Defendant, its employees and agents are excluded from The Class. 22. Plaintiff does not know the number of members in The Class, but believes the Class members number in the thousands, if not more. Thus, this matter should be certified as a Class Action to assist in the expeditious litigation of the matter.

The Class is so numerous that the individual joinder of all of its 23. members is impractical. While the exact number and identities of The Class members are unknown to Plaintiff at this time and can only be ascertained through appropriate discovery, Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that The Class includes thousands of members. Plaintiff alleges that The Class members may be ascertained by the records maintained by Defendant.

Plaintiff and members of The Class were harmed by the acts of 24. Defendant in at least the following ways: Defendant illegally contacted Plaintiff and Class members via their cellular telephones thereby causing Plaintiff and Class members to incur certain charges or reduced telephone time for which Plaintiff and Class members had previously paid by having to retrieve or administer messages left by Defendant during those illegal calls, and invading the privacy of said Plaintiff and Class members.

Common questions of fact and law exist as to all members of The 25. 22 Class which predominate over any questions affecting only individual members of The Class. These common legal and factual questions, which do not vary between Class members, and which may be determined without reference to the individual circumstances of any Class members, include, but are not limited to, the following:

27 28

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

26

Whether, within the four years prior to the filing of this a. Complaint, Defendant made any collection call (other than a call made for emergency purposes or made with the prior express consent of the called party) to a Class member using any automatic telephone dialing system or any artificial or prerecorded voice to any telephone number assigned to a cellular telephone service;

b. Whether Plaintiff and the Class members were damaged thereby, and the extent of damages for such violation; and

c. Whether Defendant should be enjoined from engaging in such conduct in the future.

26. As a person who received numerous collection calls from Defendant using an automatic telephone dialing system or an artificial or prerecorded voice, without Plaintiff's prior express consent, Plaintiff is asserting claims that are typical of The Class.

27. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the members of The Class. Plaintiff has retained attorneys experienced in the prosecution of class actions.

28. A class action is superior to other available methods of fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy, since individual litigation of the claims of all Class members is impracticable. Even if every Class member could afford individual litigation, the court system could not. It would be unduly burdensome to the courts in which individual litigation of numerous issues would proceed. Individualized litigation would also present the potential for varying, inconsistent, or contradictory judgments and would magnify the delay and expense to all parties and to the court system resulting from multiple trials of the same complex factual issues. By contrast, the conduct of this action as a class action presents fewer management difficulties, conserves the resources of the parties and of the court system, and protects the rights of each Class member.

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

29. The prosecution of separate actions by individual Class members

would create a risk of adjudications with respect to them that would, as a practical
 matter, be dispositive of the interests of the other Class members not parties to such
 adjudications or that would substantially impair or impede the ability of such non party Class members to protect their interests.

30. Defendant has acted or refused to act in respects generally applicable to The Class, thereby making appropriate final and injunctive relief with regard to the members of the Class as a whole.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

#### **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

# Negligent Violations of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq..

31. Plaintiff repeats and incorporates by reference into this cause of action the allegations set forth above at Paragraphs 1-30.

32. The foregoing acts and omissions of Defendant constitute numerous and multiple negligent violations of the TCPA, including but not limited to each and every one of the above cited provisions of 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq..

33. As a result of Defendant's negligent violations of 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq., Plaintiff and the Class Members are entitled an award of \$500.00 in statutory damages, for each and every violation, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B).

34. Plaintiff and the Class members are also entitled to and seek injunctive relief prohibiting such conduct in the future.

### **SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**

Knowing and/or Willful Violations of the Telephone Consumer Protection

#### Act

#### 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq.

35. Plaintiff repeats and incorporates by reference into this cause of action the allegations set forth above at Paragraphs 1-30.

36. The foregoing acts and omissions of Defendant constitute numerous and multiple knowing and/or willful violations of the TCPA, including but not

1 limited to each and every one of the above cited provisions of 47 U.S.C. § 227 et
2 seq..

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

37. As a result of Defendant's knowing and/or willful violations of 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq., Plaintiff and the Class members are entitled an award of \$1,500.00 in statutory damages, for each and every violation, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B) and 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(C).

38. Plaintiff and the Class members are also entitled to and seek injunctive relief prohibiting such conduct in the future.

#### **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff requests judgment against Defendant for the following:

### **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

Negligent Violations of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq.

• As a result of Defendant's negligent violations of 4? U.S.C. §227 et seq., Plaintiff and the Class members are entitled to and request \$500 in statutory damages, for each and every violation, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. 227(b)(3)(B).

• Any and all other relief that the Court deems just and proper.

# SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

Knowing and/or Willful Violations of the Telephone Consumer Protection

#### Act

### 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq.

• As a result of Defendant's willful and/or knowing violations of 47 U.S.C. §227 et seq., Plaintiff and the Class members are entitled to and request treble damages, as provided by statute, up to \$1,500, for each and every violation, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. §227(b)(3)(B) and 47 U.S.C. §227(b)(3)(C).

• Any and all other relief that the Court deems just and proper.

	Case 2:17-cv-03899-GEKP Document 1 Filed 08/28/17 Page 9 of 18
1	JURY DEMAND
2	39. Pursuant to the Seventh Amendment to the Constitution of the United
3	States of America, Plaintiff is entitled to, and demands, a trial by jury.
4	
5	
6	Respectfully Submitted this 29th Day of August, 2017.
7	LAW OFFICES OF TODD M. FRIEDMAN, P.C.
8	By:
9	Cynthia Z. Levin, Esq.
10	Law Offices of Todd M. Friedman, P.C. Attorney for Plaintiff
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT -9-
	-7-

, ,	Case 2:17-cv-03899-GEKP Docun	nent 1	Filed	08/28/17	Page 10 of 18
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Cynthia Z. Levin, Esq. (SBN 27050) LAW OFFICES OF TODD M. FRIEDMA 1150 First Avenue, Suite 501 King of Prussia, PA 19406 Phone: 888-595-9111 ext. 618 Fax: 866 633-0228 clevin@attorneysforconsumers.com Attorney for Plaintiff UNITED STATES			COURT	
8	EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA				
9	MICHAEL SCAVO, individually and	,	e No.		
10	on behalf of all others similarly situated,		ASS A	CTION	
11 12	Plaintiff,	)			R VIOLATIONS
12	vs.	) <b>OF</b> :		AINT FU	K VIOLATIONS
14	ACCOUNT DISCOVERY SYSTEMS,	) )	1.	NEGLIG	ENT VIOLATIONS
15	LLC, and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, and each of them,	)		OF THE CONSUN ACT [47	IELEPHONE IER PROTECTION U.S.C. §227(b)]
16		)	2.	WILLFU	L VIOLATIONS TELEPHONE
17 18	Defendant.	) )		CONSUN ACT [47	AER PROTECTION U.S.C. §227(b)]
19		) ) <b>DE</b> I	MAN	D FOR J	URY TRIAL
20	Plaintiff MICHAEL SCAVO ("Plaintiff"), individually and on behalf of all				
21	others similarly situated, alleges the following upon information and belief based				
22	upon personal knowledge:				
23	NATURE O	<u>F THE</u>	E CAS	<u>SE</u>	
24	1. Plaintiff brings this action individually and on behalf of all others				

similarly situated seeking damages and any other available legal or equitable 25 remedies resulting from the illegal actions of ACCOUNT DISCOVERY 26 SYSTEMS, LLC ("Defendant"), in negligently, knowingly, and/or willfully 27 contacting Plaintiff on Plaintiff's cellular telephone in violation of the Telephone 28

Consumer Protection Act, 47. U.S.C. § 227 et seq. ("TCPA"), thereby causing Plaintiff to incur charges for incoming calls and invading Plaintiff's privacy.

#### **JURISDICTION & VENUE**

2. Jurisdiction is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2) because Plaintiff, a Pennsylvania resident, seeks relief on behalf of a Class, which will result in at least one class member belonging to a different state than that of Defendant, an New York company. Plaintiff also seeks up to \$1,500.00 in damages for each call in violation of the TCPA, which, when aggregated among a proposed class in the thousands, exceeds the \$5,000,000.00 threshold for federal court jurisdiction. Therefore, both diversity jurisdiction and the damages thresholc under the Class Action Fairness Act of 2005 ("CAFA") are present, and this Court has jurisdiction.

3. Venue is proper in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2) because Defendant does business within the State of Pennsylvania and Plaintiff resides within Delaware County in the State of Pennsylvania.

#### **PARTIES**

4. Plaintiff, MICHAEL SCAVO ("Plaintiff"), is a natural person residing in Delaware County, Pennsylvania and is a "person" as defined by 47  $U.S.C. \le 153$  (39).

5. Defendant, ACCOUNT DISCOVERY SYSTEMS, LLC ("Defendant") is a debt collection company, and is a "person" as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 153 (39).

6. The above named Defendant, and its subsidiaries and agents, are collectively referred to as "Defendants." The true names and capacities of the Defendants sued herein as DOE DEFENDANTS 1 through 10, inclusive, are currently unknown to Plaintiff, who therefore sues such Defendants by fictitious names. Each of the Defendants designated herein as a DOE is legally responsible for the unlawful acts alleged herein. Plaintiff will seek leave of Court to amend the

1

Complaint to reflect the true names and capacities of the DOE Defendants when such identities become known. 2

Plaintiff is informed and believes that at all relevant times, each and 7. every Defendant was acting as an agent and/or employee of each of the other Defendants and was acting within the course and scope of said agency and/or employment with the full knowledge and consent of each of the cther Defendants. Plaintiff is informed and believes that each of the acts and/or omissions complained of herein was made known to, and ratified by, each of the other Defendants.

# FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

Beginning within the last year, Defendant contacted Plaintiff on 8. Plaintiff's cellular telephone number ending in -8055, in an attempt to collect on an alleged debt.

Defendant called Plaintiff multiple times in connection with collection 9. on an alleged debt.

Defendant left Plaintiff several voice messages with call back 10. numbers, including without limitation, (716) 562-3709.

Defendant called and left voice messages on the telephones of third 11. parties, including without limitation, Plaintiff's mother, in connection with collection on the alleged debt from Plaintiff.

In many of these voice messages left on Plaintiff's and third party's 12. telephones, there were noticeable three (3) to six (6) second of recorded silence before Defendant began to speak.

Defendant used an "automatic telephone dialing system" ("ATDS") 13. as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 227(a)(1) to place its frequent and incessant collection calls to Plaintiff.

Defendant's calls constituted calls that were not for emergency 14. purposes as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A).

Defendant's calls were placed to telephone number assigned to a 15.

1

3

4

5

cellular telephone service for which Plaintiff incurs a charge for incoming calls pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1). 2

During all relevant times, Defendant did not possess Plaintiff's "prior 16. express consent" to receive calls using an automatic telephone dialing system or an artificial or prerecorded voice on his cellular telephone pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A).

Defendant placed multiple collection calls to Plaintiff on his cellular 7 17. telephone ending in -8055 within the last year.

Plaintiff received numerous collection calls from Defendant within a 18. 12-month period.

Upon information and belief, and based on Plaintiff's experiences 19. recounted herein, and at all relevant times, Defendant failed to establish and implement reasonable practices and procedures to effectively prevent collection calls in violation of the TCPA.

### **CLASS ALLEGATIONS**

Plaintiff brings this action individually and on behalf of all others 20. similarly situated, as a member the proposed classes (hereafter, "The Class") defined as follows:

> All persons within the United States who received any collection telephone calls from Defendant to said person's cellular telephone made through the use of any automatic telephone dialing system or an artificial or prerecorded voice and such person had not previously consented to receiving such calls within the four years prior to the filing of this Complaint

Plaintiff represents, and is a member of, The Class, consisting of all 21. persons within the United States who received any collection telephone calls from Defendant to said person's cellular telephone made through the use of any automatic telephone dialing system or an artificial or prerecorded voice and such

1

3

4

5

person had not previously provided their cellular telephone number to Defendant or express consent to be called with an automatic telephone dialing system or 2 artificial or prerecorded voice within the four years prior to the filing of this 3 Complaint. 4

Defendant, its employees and agents are excluded from The Class. 22. Plaintiff does not know the number of members in The Class, but believes the Class members number in the thousands, if not more. Thus, this matter should be certified as a Class Action to assist in the expeditious litigation of the matter.

The Class is so numerous that the individual joinder of all of its 23. members is impractical. While the exact number and identities of The Class members are unknown to Plaintiff at this time and can only be ascertained through appropriate discovery, Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that The Class includes thousands of members. Plaintiff alleges that The Class members may be ascertained by the records maintained by Defendant.

Plaintiff and members of The Class were harmed by the acts of 24. Defendant in at least the following ways: Defendant illegally contacted Plaintiff and Class members via their cellular telephones thereby causing Plaintiff and Class members to incur certain charges or reduced telephone time for which Plaintiff and Class members had previously paid by having to retrieve or administer messages left by Defendant during those illegal calls, and invading the privacy of said Plaintiff and Class members.

Common questions of fact and law exist as to all members of The 25. Class which predominate over any questions affecting only individual members of The Class. These common legal and factual questions, which do not vary between Class members, and which may be determined without reference to the individual circumstances of any Class members, include, but are not limited to, the following:

27 28

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Whether, within the four years prior to the filing of this a. Complaint, Defendant made any collection call (other than a

call made for emergency purposes or made with the prior express consent of the called party) to a Class member using any automatic telephone dialing system or any artificial or prerecorded voice to any telephone number assigned to a cellular telephone service;

Whether Plaintiff and the Class members were damaged b. thereby, and the extent of damages for such violation; and

Whether Defendant should be enjoined from engaging in such c. conduct in the future.

As a person who received numerous collection calls from Defendant 26. using an automatic telephone dialing system or an artificial or prerecorded voice, without Plaintiff's prior express consent, Plaintiff is asserting claims that are typical of The Class.

Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the members 27. of The Class. Plaintiff has retained attorneys experienced in the prosecution of class actions.

A class action is superior to other available methods of fair and 28. 17 efficient adjudication of this controversy, since individual litigation of the claims 18 of all Class members is impracticable. Even if every Class member could afford 19 individual litigation, the court system could not. It would be unduly burdensome 20 to the courts in which individual litigation of numerous issues would proceed. Individualized litigation would also present the potential for varying, inconsistent, 22 or contradictory judgments and would magnify the delay and expense to all parties 23 and to the court system resulting from multiple trials of the same complex factual 24 issues. By contrast, the conduct of this action as a class action presents fewer 25 management difficulties, conserves the resources of the parties and of the court 26 system, and protects the rights of each Class member. 27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

21

The prosecution of separate actions by individual Class members 29.

would create a risk of adjudications with respect to them that would, as a practical
 matter, be dispositive of the interests of the other Class members not parties to such
 adjudications or that would substantially impair or impede the ability of such non party Class members to protect their interests.

30. Defendant has acted or refused to act in respects generally applicable to The Class, thereby making appropriate final and injunctive relief with regard to the members of the Class as a whole.

## FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

# Negligent Violations of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq..

31. Plaintiff repeats and incorporates by reference into this cause of action the allegations set forth above at Paragraphs 1-30.

32. The foregoing acts and omissions of Defendant constitute numerous and multiple negligent violations of the TCPA, including but not limited to each and every one of the above cited provisions of 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq..

33. As a result of Defendant's negligent violations of 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq., Plaintiff and the Class Members are entitled an award of \$500.00 in statutory damages, for each and every violation, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B).

34. Plaintiff and the Class members are also entitled to and seek injunctive relief prohibiting such conduct in the future.

# **SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**

Knowing and/or Willful Violations of the Telephone Consumer Protection

#### Act

### 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq.

35. Plaintiff repeats and incorporates by reference into this cause of action the allegations set forth above at Paragraphs 1-30.

36. The foregoing acts and omissions of Defendant constitute numerous and multiple knowing and/or willful violations of the TCPA, including but not

limited to each and every one of the above cited provisions of 47 U.S.C. § 227 et 1 seq.. 2 As a result of Defendant's knowing and/or willful violations of 47 37. 3 U.S.C. § 227 et seq., Plaintiff and the Class members are entitled an award of 4 \$1,500.00 in statutory damages, for each and every violation, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. 5  $\S 227(b)(3)(B)$  and 47 U.S.C.  $\S 227(b)(3)(C)$ . 6 Plaintiff and the Class members are also entitled to and seek injunctive 7 38. relief prohibiting such conduct in the future. 8 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF** 9 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff requests judgment against Defendant for the following: 10 FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION 11 Negligent Violations of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act 12 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq. 13 • As a result of Defendant's negligent violations of 47 U.S.C. §227 et 14 seq. Plaintiff and the Class members are entitled to and request \$500 15 in statutory damages, for each and every violation, pursuant to 47 16 U.S.C. 227(b)(3)(B).17 • Any and all other relief that the Court deems just and proper. 18 **SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION** 19 Knowing and/or Willful Violations of the Telephone Consumer Protection 20 Act 21 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq. 22 • As a result of Defendant's willful and/or knowing violations of 47 23 U.S.C. §227 et seq., Plaintiff and the Class members are entitled to 24 and request treble damages, as provided by statute, up to \$1,500, for 25 each and every violation, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. §227(b)(3)(B) and 47 26  $U.S.C. \ \S{227(b)(3)(C)}.$ 27 • Any and all other relief that the Court deems just and proper. 28

	Case 2:17-cv-03899-GEKP Document 1 Filed 08/28/17 Page 18 of 18
1	JURY DEMAND
2	39. Pursuant to the Seventh Amendment to the Constitution of the United
3	States of America, Plaintiff is entitled to, and demands, a trial by jury.
4	
5	Respectfully Submitted this 29th Day of August, 2017.
6	LAW OFFICES OF TODD M. FRIEDMAN, P.C.
7 8	
8 9	By: Cynthia Z. Levin, Esq.
10	Law Offices of Todd M. Friedman, P.C.
11	Attorney for Plaintiff
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27 28	
28	
	CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT -9-

#### Case 2:17-cv-03899-GEKP Document 1-1 Filed 08/28/17 Page 1 of 2

JS 44 (Rev. 06/17)

#### **CIVIL COVER SHEET**

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS MICHAEL SCAVO, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,				ACCOUNT DISCOVERY SYSTEMS, LLC, and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, and each of them,				
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Delaware				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant				
	XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA					LAINTIFF CASES O	NLY)	
				NOTE: IN LAND CO THE TRACT	ONDEMNATI	ON CASES, USE TH IVOLVED.	HE LOCATION OF	
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Cynthia Z. Levin, Esq., L 1150 First Avenue, Suite	Address, and Telephone Numbe AW OFFICES OF TO 501, King of Prussia,	DD M. FRIEDMAN, PA 19406	P.C.,	Attorneys (If Known)				
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in C	ine Box Only)			RINCIPA	L PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)	
1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government	Noi a Party)			rf def 1 🗇 1	Incorporated <i>or</i> Pri of Business In T	PTF DEF incipal Place	
2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh)	ip of Parties in Item 111)	Citiz	en of Another State	2 🗖 2	In corporated and P of Business In A		
				en or Subject of a 🛛 🗖 reign Country	3 🗖 3	Fcreign Nation	□6 □6	
<b>IV. NATURE OF SUIT</b>			_				of Suit Code Descriptions.	
CONTRACT	ti se transmission de la constante de la consta	RTS		ORFEITURE/PENAL/Tev	1		OTHERSE WITCHES	
<ul> <li>110 Insurance</li> <li>120 Marine</li> <li>130 Miller Act</li> <li>140 Negotiable Instrument</li> </ul>	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability	PERSONAL INJUR 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/		5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 0 Other	□ 423 With 28 U	SC 157	<ul> <li>375 False Claims Act</li> <li>376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a))</li> <li>400 State Reapportionment</li> </ul>	
150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment	320 Assault, Libel & Slander	Pharmaceutical Personal Injury			□ 820 Copy	rights	410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking	
□ 151 Medicare Act	330 Federal Employers'	Product Liability			30 Paten	t	□ 450 Commerce	
□ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability	368 Asbestos Personal Inium Des dust				t - Abbreviated	460 Deportation	
Student Loans (Excludes Veterans)	<ul> <li>340 Marine</li> <li>345 Marine Product</li> </ul>	Injury Product Liability			S40 Trade	Druz Application	470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations	
□ 153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability	PERSONAL PROPER		LABOR	SOCIAL	ST2601111111	480 Consumer Credit	
of Veteran's Benefits	350 Motor Vehicle	370 Other Fraud	0 71	0 Fair Labor Standards	□ 861 HIA		□ 490 Cable/Sat TV	
<ul> <li>160 Stockholders' Suits</li> <li>190 Other Contract</li> </ul>	355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability	<ul> <li>371 Truth in Lending</li> <li>380 Other Personal</li> </ul>	<b>-</b> 72	Act 0 Labor/Management	□ 862 Black	: Lung (923) C/D+WW (405(g))	850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange	
190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability	□ 360 Other Personal	Property Damage	0 "	Relations	364 SSID		890 Other Statutory Actions	
196 Franchise	Injury 362 Personal Injury -	385 Property Damage Product Liability		0 Railway Labor Act 1 Family and Medical	🗇 865 RSI (	405 g))	<ul> <li>891 Agricultural Acts</li> <li>893 Environmental Matters</li> </ul>	
REAL PROPERTY	Medical Malpractice	PRISONER PETITIO		Leave Act 0 Other Labor Litigation	FEDERA	LA AXISTITIS	895 Freedom of Information Act	
210 Land Condemnation	440 Other Civil Rights	Habeas Corpus:		1 Employee Retirement		(U.S. Plaintiff	□ 896 Arbitration	
220 Foreclosure	□ 441 Voting	463 Alien Detainee		Income Security Act		efen lant)	899 Administrative Procedure	
□ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	□ 442 Employment	□ 510 Motions to Vacate			□ 871 IRS-		Act/Review or Appeal of	
<ul> <li>240 Torts to Land</li> <li>245 Tort Product Liability</li> </ul>	443 Housing/ Accommodations	Sentence 530 General			200	SC ''609	Agency Decision 950 Constitutionality of	
290 All Other Real Property	☐ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	535 Death Penalty	14	IMMIGRATION	1		State Statutes	
	Employment	Other:		2 Naturalization Application				
	446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other	<ul> <li>540 Mandamus &amp; Oth</li> <li>550 Civil Rights</li> </ul>	er   U 40	5 Other Immigration Actions				
	☐ 448 Education	□ 555 Prison Condition		. Todons				
		560 Civil Detainee -						
		Conditions of Confinement						
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in 1 Original 2 Rep		Remanded from	J 4 Rein	stated or 🖸 5 Transfe	rred from	🗆 6 Multidistri	ict 🛛 8 Multidistrict	
Proceeding Sta	te Court	Appellate Court		(specify)		Litigation Transfer	- Litigation - Direct File	
	Cite the U.S. Civil Sta	itute under which you ai	re ming (L	Do not cite jurisdictional stati	utes unless div	versity):		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIC	Brief description of ca	<sup>iuse:</sup> Telephon <u>e C<del>ons</del>um</u>	er Prote	ection Act				
VII. REQUESTED IN		IS A CLASS ACTION		EMAND S	С	HECK YES only	if demanded in complaint:	
COMPLAINT:	UNDER RULE2			5,000,001.00		URY DEMAND:	·'	
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKE	T NUMBER		
DATE		SIONATURE OF AT	CORNEY (	F RECORD				
08/28/2017	$\sim$							
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY				<u></u>				
	10UNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JUD	GE	
		-		`	٠			

JS 44 Reverse (Rev. 06/17)

#### INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

#### Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- I.(a) Plaintiffs-Defendants. Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".

II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictiors be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.

United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here. United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.

Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.)

- III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties. This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If there are multiple nature of suit codes associated with the case, pick the nature of suit code that is most applicable. Click here for: <u>Nature of Suit Code Descriptions</u>.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.

Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.

Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date. Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation – Transfer. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407.

Multidistrict Litigation – Direct File. (8) Check this box when a multidistrict case is filed in the same district as the Master MDL docket. PLEASE NOTE THAT THERE IS NOT AN ORIGIN CODE 7. Origin Code 7 was used for historical records and is no longer relevant due to changes in statue.

- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description o'the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity. Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P. Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction. Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

#### Case 2:17-cv-03899-GEKP Document 1-2 Filed 08/28/17 Page 1 of 1

#### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA – DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to appropriate calendar.		
Address of Plaintiff: 17 W Chester Pike, Ridley Park, PA 19078		
Address of Defendant: 495 Commerce Dr. Suite 2, Amherst, NY 14228		
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: Ridley Park, PA		
(Use Reverse Side For )	Additional Space)	
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation a	and any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock?	
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a)	$Y_{e_3} \square N_0 \square$	
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?	Yein No	
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:		
Case Number: Judge	Date Terminated:	
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:		
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one y	37	
<ol><li>Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior action in this court?</li></ol>	suit pending or within one year previously terminated	
	Ye; No 🛛	
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier		
terminated action in this court?	Ye; No 🛛	
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil righ	ts case filed by the same individual?	
	Yei No	
CIVIL: (Place V in ONE CATEGORY ONLY) A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:	
1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts	1. D Insurance Contract and Other Contracts	
2. I FELA	2. 🗆 Airplane Personal Injury	
3. 🗆 Jones Act-Personal Injury	3. 🗆 Assault, Defamation	
4. 🗆 Antitrust	4. 🗆 Marine Personal Injury	
5. 🗆 Patent	5. 🗆 Motor Vehicle Personal Injury	
6.  Labor-Management Relations	6. □ Other Personal Injury (Please	
	spe zify)	
7. 🗆 Civil Rights	7.  Products Liability	
8.	8.	
9. □ Securities Act(s) Cases	9. $\Box$ All other Diversity Cases	
10. □ Social Security Review Cases	(Please specify)	
-	(Trease specify)	
11. X All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify) 27.11.5 C \$ 227. TCDA		
(Please specify) 27 U.S.C. § 227, TCPA ARBITRATION CERT	IFICATION	
Cynthia Z. Levin (Check Appropriate C. I. , counsel of record do hereby certi	ategory)	
Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and		
\$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs;		
₫ Relief other than monetary damages is sought.		
8/29/17 DATE:	27050	
Attomey-at-Law NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if the	Attorney I.D.# ere has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.	
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or	within one year previously terminated action in this court	
except as noted above.		
8/29/17	27050	
DATE: Attorney-at-Law	Attorney I.D.#	

CIV. 609 (6/08)

Case 2:17-cv-03899-GEKP Document 1-3 Filed 08/28/17 Page 1 of 1

#### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

#### CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

MICHAEL SCAVO, individually and on : behalf of all others similarly situated,

CIVIL ACTION

NO

3899

()

( )

behalf of all others similarly situated,	still the	
ACCOUNT DISCOVERY SYSTEMS,		
LLC, and DOES 1 through 10,	:	

inclusive, and each of them, In accordance with the Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan of this court, counsel for plaintiff shall complete a Case Management Track Designation Form in all civil cases at the time of filing the complaint and serve a copy on all defendants. (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the reverse side of this form.) In the event that a defendant does not agree with the plaintiff regarding said designation, that defendant shall, with its first appearance, submit to the clerk of court and serve on the plaintiff and all other parties, a Case Management Track Designation Form specifying the track to which that defendant believes the case should be assigned.

#### SELECT ONE OF THE FOLLOWING CASE MANAGEMENT TRACKS:

(a) Habeas Corpus - Cases brought under 28 U.S.C.	§ 2241 through § 2255. ( )

- (b) Social Security Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits.
- (c) Arbitration Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2. ( )
- (d) Asbestos Cases involving claims for personal injury or property damage from exposure to asbestos.
- (e) Special Management Cases that do not fall into tracks (a) through (d) that are commonly referred to as complex and that need special or intense management by the court. (See reverse side of this form for a detailed explanation of special management cases.)
- (f) Standard Management Cases that do not fall into any one of the other tracks.

Telephone	FAX Number	E-Mail Address
(888) 595-9111	(866) 633-0228	clevin@attorneysforconsumers.com
Date	Attorney-at-law	Attorney for
August 21, 2017	Cythia Z. Levin, Esq.	Plaintiff, MICHAEL SCAVO

(Civ. 660) 10/02

# **ClassAction.org**

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: Lawsuit: Account Discovery Systems Left Messages for Alleged Debtor's Family